


2009 Verification Monitoring Report for the Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site


August 2009





2009 Verification Monitoring Report for the Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site

August 2009



Contents

Abbro	eviati	onsi	ii
1.0	Intro	duction	1
2.0	Site (Conditions	1
	2.1	Hydrogeology	1
	2.2	Water Quality	
	2.3	Surface Remediation Activities	3
	2.4	Institutional Controls	3
3.0	Moni	toring Program	4
4.0	Resu	lts of 2009 Monitoring	4
	4.1	DOE Monitor Wells	4
	4.2	Domestic Wells	8
	4.3	Surface Water	8
5.0	Natu	ral Flushing Assessment	25
6.0	Conc	lusions	29
7.0	Refe	rences	29
		Figures	
Figur	e 1.	Aerial Photograph of the Gunnison, Colorado, Area	2
Figur	e 2.	Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring Locations at the Gunnison Site	
Figur	e 3.	Uranium Distribution from April 2009 Sampling at the Gunnison Site	
Figur	e 4.	Manganese Distribution from April 2009 Sampling at the Gunnison Site	8
Figur	e 5.	Uranium Concentrations in Groundwater – On-Site DOE Monitor Wells at the Gunnison Site	٥
Figur	e 6	Uranium Concentrations in Groundwater – Downgradient DOE Monitor Wells –	·U
Tigui	C 0.	Pasture, Near the Gunnison Site	1
Figur	e 7.	Uranium Concentrations in Groundwater – Downgradient DOE Monitor Wells –	•
1 1841	· , .	Golf Course and Residential, Near the Gunnison Site	2
Figur	e 8.	Uranium Concentrations in Groundwater – Downgradient DOE Monitor Wells –	_
1 1841	.	West of the Gunnison River, Near the Gunnison Site	3
Figur	e 9.	Manganese Concentrations in Groundwater – On-Site DOE Monitor Wells at the	
U		Gunnison Site	4
Figur	e 10.	Manganese Concentrations in Groundwater – Downgradient DOE Monitor Wells –	
U		Pasture, Near the Gunnison Site	
Figur	e 11.	Manganese Concentrations in Groundwater – Downgradient DOE Monitor Wells –	
		Golf Course and Residential, Near the Gunnison Site	6
Figur	e 12.	Manganese Concentrations in Groundwater – Downgradient DOE Monitor Wells –	
		West of the Gunnison River, Near the Gunnison Site	7
Figur	e 13.	Uranium Concentrations in Groundwater – Domestic Wells Downgradient from the	
J		Gunnison Site	9
Figur	e 14.	Uranium Concentrations in Groundwater – Domestic Wells South of Tomichi	
_		Creek	20
Figur	e 15.	Manganese Concentrations in Groundwater – Domestic Wells Downgradient from	
-		the Gunnison Site	21

Figure 16.	Manganese Concentrations in Groundwater – Domestic Wells South of Tomichi	
	Creek	
Figure 17.	Uranium Concentrations in Surface Water Near the Gunnison Site	23
Figure 18.	Manganese Concentrations in Surface Water Near the Gunnison Site	24
Figure 19.	Uranium Concentration – Predicted and Actual – In DOE Monitor Well 0113 at the Gunnison Site	28
	Tables	
	roundwater and Surface Water Monitoring at the Gunnison Site	
Table 2. Su	ımmary of 2008 Uranium Distribution at the Gunnison Site	9
	ssessment of Uranium Concentration Trends at the Gunnison Site	
	Appendixes	
Appendix Appendix Appendix Appendix	B Groundwater Quality Data by Parameter for Domestic Wells C Surface Water Quality Data by Parameter	

Abbreviations

CDPHE Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment

CFR United States Code of Federal Regulations

COPC constituent(s) of potential concern

DOE U.S. Department of Energy

DWEL Drinking Water Equivalent Level

EPA U. S. Environmental Protection Agency

ft foot (feet)

ft/day foot (feet) per day

GCAP Ground Water Compliance Action Plan

GEMS Geospatial Environmental Mapping System

IC institutional control(s)

LM Legacy Management

MCL maximum concentration limit

mg/L milligram(s) per liter

NRC U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

RRM residual radioactive material

SOWP Site Observational Work Plan

UMTRA Uranium Mill Tailings Remedial Action

VMR Verification Monitoring Report

This page intentionally left blank

1.0 Introduction

The Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site (Gunnison site) is located in Gunnison County, Colorado, approximately 0.5 mile southwest of the City of Gunnison, between the Gunnison River and Tomichi Creek (Figure 1). Site characterization details for the site are available in the Final Site Observational Work Plan (SOWP) (DOE 2001).

The compliance strategy for groundwater cleanup at the Gunnison site is natural flushing in conjunction with continued groundwater and surface water monitoring and institutional controls (ICs). Groundwater modeling predicts that natural flushing of the alluvial aquifer will be completed within the 100-year timeframe specified in Subpart B of Title 40 *Code of Federal Regulations* Section 192 (40 CFR 192). The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) funded an alternate domestic water supply system in 1994, with upgrades in 2005, to service existing groundwater users in the area of potentially contaminated groundwater and to provide a potable water source for future development within the IC area.

Detailed information for the Gunnison site and water quality data through 1999 are found in the SOWP (DOE 2001). Water quality data from 2000 through 2008 are found in previous Verification Monitoring Reports (VMRs) (DOE 2003, 2004b, 2005b, 2006, 2007, and 2008). Water quality data for 2009 are provided in Appendixes A through C of this report. All water quality data for the Gunnison site are archived in the SEEPro database at the DOE Office of Legacy Management (LM) in Grand Junction, Colorado. Water quality data also are available for viewing with dynamic mapping via the Geospatial Environmental Mapping System (GEMS) website at http://gems.lm.doe.gov/imf/sites/gems_continental_us/jsp/launch.jsp.

The purpose of this VMR is to present and evaluate groundwater and surface water monitoring data collected during the annual 2009 sampling event at the Gunnison site and to provide an update on the progress of the natural flushing compliance strategy.

2.0 Site Conditions

2.1 Hydrogeology

Groundwater occurs under unconfined conditions in the alluvial (uppermost) aquifer, with an average depth to the water table of 5 feet (ft). The alluvium is composed of poorly sorted sediments ranging from clay-sized material to gravel, with cobbles and a few boulders. It ranges in thickness from 70 to 130 ft. Groundwater in the alluvial aquifer generally flows to the southwest with an average gradient of 0.005 ft/ft. Hydraulic conductivity ranges from 100 to 170 feet per day (ft/day). The average linear groundwater velocity ranges from 1.9 to 3.2 ft/day (DOE 2001).

Groundwater in the alluvial aquifer system is recharged by groundwater underflow, adjacent streams, precipitation, flood irrigation of the pasture downgradient of the site, and irrigation of the golf course and residential areas southwest of the site. Groundwater is discharged naturally to adjacent streams and by evapotranspiration. Groundwater also is discharged via dewatering activities at the adjacent sand and gravel company located south of the site.




Figure 1. Aerial Photograph of the Gunnison, Colorado, Area

2.2 Water Quality

Groundwater in the alluvial aquifer beneath and downgradient of the Gunnison site was contaminated by uranium processing activities. A variety of tailings-related contaminants in the subsurface and groundwater at the site were evaluated, and the potential risks to human health and the environment were assessed in the SOWP (DOE 2001). Only uranium and manganese were identified as constituents of potential concern (COPC) because they exceeded a groundwater standard and risk-based benchmark, respectively.

Uranium is the primary COPC in groundwater, with concentrations measured up to 1.5 milligrams per liter (mg/L) beneath the site in the past, and currently exceeding the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Uranium Mill Tailings Remedial Action (UMTRA) maximum concentration limit (MCL) of 0.044 mg/L for groundwater in several monitor wells on and adjacent to the former millsite and one monitor well (0183) more than 4,000 ft downgradient of the site boundary. Concentrations of uranium in groundwater below the MCL, but above background, extend approximately 7,000 ft downgradient of the site boundary and have migrated beneath the Gunnison River just beyond the confluence with Tomichi Creek. The zone of contamination attenuates and migrates deeper into the aquifer as it progresses laterally in a southwesterly direction.

Manganese is also a COPC in groundwater, with concentrations measured up to 77 mg/L beneath the site in the past. There is no MCL for manganese. The EPA Drinking Water Equivalent Level (DWEL) for manganese is 1.6 mg/L (EPA 2004). The DWEL is a lifetime-exposure concentration protective of adverse, non-cancer health effects that assumes all of the exposure to a contaminant is from drinking water. Concentrations of manganese are above the DWEL beneath the site and in two downgradient monitor wells (0113 and 0135). Manganese does not appear to be widespread in the aquifer, and concentrations beneath the site are decreasing.

2.3 Surface Remediation Activities

Uranium mill tailings and other residual radioactive material (RRM) were removed from the former millsite from 1992 through 1995 and stabilized in a disposal cell 6 miles east of the city of Gunnison. RRM beneath the site was cleaned up to just below the water table, with some contaminated material left in place per application of supplemental standards. The site was backfilled with clean fill and revegetated after RRM removal.

2.4 Institutional Controls

ICs in effect in the vicinity of the Gunnison site were finalized in 2004 and consist of deed restrictions on the original millsite property (specified in a Quit Claim Deed transferring the property from the State of Colorado to Gunnison County), a Gunnison County Resolution (Gunnison County 2004) establishing the New Domestic Well Constraint Area, and construction of a domestic water supply system. The Quit Claim Deed specifies restrictions on and approvals needed for excavation, groundwater use, and construction of habitable structures. The New Domestic Well Constraint Area is delineated by the IC boundary (Figure 1), and the Gunnison County Resolution specifies that no new wells can be constructed within the constraint area. In 2004, DOE entered into a cooperative agreement with Gunnison County, approved by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) (DOE 2004a), in which DOE (along with CDPHE)

agreed to fund an extension of the domestic water supply system to account for potential future growth within the IC boundary (Figure 1). Domestic wells within the IC boundary that are not connected to the water system are monitored to ensure COPC concentrations remain low and below the MCL and DWEL for uranium and manganese, respectively.

3.0 Monitoring Program

Verification monitoring is currently being performed on an annual basis, and will continue annually for the first 5 years after NRC concurrence with the Ground Water Compliance Action Plan (GCAP) (DOE 2005a), to ascertain that natural flushing is progressing as predicted by groundwater flow and transport modeling (DOE 2001). The GCAP is currently in review with NRC. A review of the monitoring program will be conducted after the first 5 years to determine if a change in the monitoring program is warranted. Ongoing monitoring requirements will be evaluated in subsequent VMRs and modified as determined by DOE and NRC.

The monitoring network during 2009 included sampling of 29 DOE monitor wells, five surface water locations, and nine domestic wells (Figure 2 and Table 1). Three domestic wells were not sampled during the April sampling event because contact was not made with the homeowner. These wells were subsequently sampled in June after contact was made with the homeowners. Samples collected from all monitoring locations were analyzed for the COPCs, uranium, and manganese. Field measurements of oxidation-reduction potential, pH, specific conductance, temperature, and turbidity were made at each location.

4.0 Results of 2009 Monitoring

Analytical data for uranium and manganese along with field measurements from DOE monitor wells, domestic wells, and surface water for 2009 are provided in Appendixes A through C, respectively. Water level data collected in 2009 is provided in Appendix D. The distributions of uranium and manganese in groundwater in the alluvial aquifer, based on the 2009 sampling event, are shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4, respectively. Time-versus-concentration plots for uranium and manganese in DOE monitor wells, domestic wells, and surface water, from 1997 (post-remedial action) through 2008, are also shown in Section 4.1.

4.1 DOE Monitor Wells

Though not separated lithologically, the alluvial aquifer (up to 130 ft thick) has been divided into three approximate depth zones to facilitate monitoring (wells screened to monitor these zones separately) and discussion of vertical contaminant migration: (1) shallow zone from 10 to 25 ft, (2) intermediate zone from 35 to 60 ft, and (3) deep zone from 90 to 100 ft (Table 1). Time-concentration plots for uranium and manganese in DOE monitor wells have been grouped by monitor wells on site, and in three downgradient sectors, to show the relationship between distance downgradient of the site and depth in the aquifer.

2009 Verification Monitoring Report—Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site Doc. No. S05527




Figure 2. Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring Locations at the Gunnison Site

Table 1. Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring at the Gunnison Site

Monitor Well	Aquifer Zone	Screened Interval (ft)	Location	Rationale (Uranium)	
Groundwate	er			1	
0002	Shallow	10–15	Airport	Upgradient	
0102	Intermediate	42–47	Airport	Upgradient	
0005	Shallow	10–15	On-site	Origin of plume	
0105	Intermediate	42–47	On-site	Origin of plume	
0006	Shallow	10–15	On-site	Origin of plume	
0106	Intermediate	34–39	On-site	Origin of plume	
0012R	Shallow	6–16	On-site	Origin of plume	
0112	Intermediate	40–45	On-site	Monitor plume migration	
0013	Shallow	11–16	Just off-site to southwest	Monitor plume migration	
0113	Intermediate	41–46	Just off-site to southwest	Monitor plume migration	
0125	Shallow	18–23	Pasture	Monitor plume migration	
0126	Intermediate	54–59	Pasture	Monitor plume migration	
0127	Deep	94–99	Pasture	Monitor plume migration	
0135	Shallow	18–23	Pasture	Monitor plume migration	
0136	Intermediate	53–58	Pasture	Monitor plume migration	
0064	Deep	87–97	Pasture	Monitor plume migration	
0062	Intermediate	48–58	Pasture	Monitor plume migration	
0063	Deep	88–98	Pasture	Monitor plume migration	
0181	Shallow	18–23	Golf course	Monitor plume migration	
0183	Deep	93–98	Golf course	Monitor plume migration	
0065	Intermediate	50–60	Golf course	Monitor plume migration	
0066	Intermediate	40–50	End of Tomichi Trail	Monitor plume migration	
0186	Intermediate	53–58	End of Monte Vista Dr.	Monitor plume migration	
0187	Deep	93–98	End of Monte Vista Dr.	Monitor plume migration	
0188	Intermediate	53–58	West of Gunnison River	Monitor plume migration	
0189	Deep	93–98	West of Gunnison River	Monitor plume migration	
0160	Intermediate	51–56	West of Gunnison River	Adjacent to IC boundary	
0161	Deep	93–98	West of Gunnison River	Adjacent to IC boundary	
0067	Intermediate	40–50	South of Tomichi Creek	Confirm results in domestic well 0082	
Surface Wat	ter				
0248	N/	1	Tomichi Creek	Downstream of gravel pit pond	
0777	N/	١	Tomichi Creek	Downstream – potential aquifer discharge	
0780	0780 NA		Gravel pit pond	Gravel pit discharge	
0792	N/	١	Gunnison River	Upstream of IC boundary	
0795 NA		\	Gunnison River	Downstream of IC boundary	
Domestic Wells Use					
0080	Intermediate	Potable	South of Tomichi Creek	Verify plume not beyond IC boundary	
0081	Intermediate	Not in use	South of Tomichi Creek	Verify plume not beyond IC boundary	
0082	Intermediate	Not in use	South of Tomichi Creek	Verify plume not beyond IC boundary	
0469	Shallow	Potable	West of Gunnison River	Verify low COPC concentrations	
0476	Shallow	Potable	West of Gunnison River	Verify low COPC concentrations	
0477	Shallow	Potable	West of Gunnison River	Verify low COPC concentrations	
0478	Shallow	Potable	West of Gunnison River	Verify low COPC concentrations	
0667	Shallow	Potable	West of Gunnison River	Verify low COPC concentrations	
0683	Shallow	Potable	West of Gunnison River	Verify low COPC concentrations	




Figure 3. Uranium Distribution from April 2009 Sampling at the Gunnison Site




Figure 4. Manganese Distribution from April 2009 Sampling at the Gunnison Site

Results from the 2009 sampling event indicate that uranium in groundwater is still generally decreasing and migrating deeper in the alluvial sequence while progressing downgradient from the former millsite, which is consistent with historical data and model predictions. Concentrations of uranium in groundwater beneath the former millsite are still above the MCL of 0.044 mg/L in all three wells in the shallow zone (Figure 5). The MCL was exceeded in intermediate zone wells 0112 (on site) and 0113 (immediately downgradient of the site), but not exceeded in any other intermediate zone well (Figure 6 and Figure 7). The MCL was exceeded in the deep zone (well 0183) 4,400 ft downgradient of the site (Figure 7). In wells farthest downgradient, uranium concentrations were above background levels (upper range of background 0.009 mg/L), but the MCL was not exceeded (Figure 8). The distribution of uranium throughout the alluvial aquifer in each of the three zones is summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Summary of 2008 Uranium Distribution at the Gunnison Site

Area	Zone	Wells	Uranium Concentration ^a (mg/L)			
Upgradient	Shallow	0002	0.0026			
Opgradient	Intermediate	0102	0.0042			
On Site and Just Off	Shallow	0005, 0006, 0012R	0.424			
Site	Intermediate	0105, 0106, 0112, 0113	0.053			
Downgradient	Shallow	0125, 0135, 0181	0.0066			
(Before Gunnison	Intermediate	0062, 0065, 0066, 0126, 0136, 0186	0.018			
River)	Deep	0063, 0064, 0127, 0183, 0187	0.021			
Downgradient	Intermediate	0160, 0188	0.028			
(Beyond Gunnison River)	Deep	0161, 0189	0.017			

^aUranium concentrations from 2009 sampling event. If more than one well is listed, the concentration is the 2009 mean value.

Concentrations of manganese in groundwater beneath the Gunnison site continue to be slightly above the DWEL of 1.6 mg/L in wells in the intermediate zone, with concentrations below the DWEL in the shallow zone (Figure 9). Downgradient of the site, the sample collected from monitor wells 0113 in the intermediate zone and 0135 in the shallow zone had the only manganese concentration that exceeded the DWEL (Figure 10 and Figure 11). Manganese does not appear to be widespread farther downgradient in the alluvial aquifer (Figure 12).





Figure 5. Uranium Concentrations in Groundwater - On-Site DOE Monitor Wells at the Gunnison Site






Figure 6. Uranium Concentrations in Groundwater – Downgradient DOE Monitor Wells – Pasture, Near the Gunnison Site





Figure 7. Uranium Concentrations in Groundwater - Downgradient DOE Monitor Wells - Golf Course and Residential, Near the Gunnison Site






Figure 8. Uranium Concentrations in Groundwater - Downgradient DOE Monitor Wells - West of the Gunnison River, Near the Gunnison Site




Figure 9. Manganese Concentrations in Groundwater – On-Site DOE Monitor Wells at the Gunnison Site






Figure 10. Manganese Concentrations in Groundwater - Downgradient DOE Monitor Wells -Pasture, Near the Gunnison Site




Figure 11. Manganese Concentrations in Groundwater – Downgradient DOE Monitor Wells – Golf Course and Residential, Near the Gunnison Site




Figure 12. Manganese Concentrations in Groundwater – Downgradient DOE Monitor Wells – West of the Gunnison River, Near the Gunnison Site

4.2 Domestic Wells

Concentrations of uranium in groundwater in the domestic buffer zone wells (northwest of the Gunnison River) downgradient of the site are well below the MCL of 0.044 mg/L and below the action level set by CDPHE of 0.020 mg/L (Figure 13).

Concentrations of uranium in groundwater in three domestic wells (0080, 0081, and 0082), installed in 2002 south of Tomichi Creek, are also well below the MCL of 0.044 mg/L and below the action level set by CDPHE of 0.020 mg/L (Figure 14). Because uranium groundwater concentrations in domestic well 0082 exceeded the upper range of background (0.009 mg/L) (DOE 1996) in 2003 (to a level of 0.0155 mg/L), DOE installed monitor well 0067 adjacent to the domestic well to obtain data from this portion of the aquifer from a well designed for collecting water quality samples. Results from DOE monitor well 0067 are shown in Figure 14 for comparison with the domestic wells. Migration of significant concentrations of uranium in groundwater is not expected south of Tomichi Creek.

Concentrations of manganese in groundwater in the domestic wells are far below the DWEL of 1.6 mg/L (Figure 15 and Figure 16).

4.3 Surface Water

Concentrations of uranium in surface water in the Gunnison River during 2009 were very low (0.0005 and 0.0006 mg/L) and indicative of runoff conditions from the melting of the mountain snow pack. The concentration of uranium in surface water in the gravel pit pond (0780) remained near the historical low (0.013 mg/L) at 0.016 mg/L (Figure 17).

Tomichi Creek was rerouted in 2006 to its original channel to establish a conservation area by the landowner. Location 0248, which is approximately 1,500 ft downstream of the gravel pit pond discharge point, is on the abandoned portion of the channel. The water in the abandoned channel is composed of discharge from the pond, flow through the diversion structure, and groundwater discharge. Concerns have been raised that low flows in the abandoned channel could concentrate uranium via evaporation and groundwater discharge. In 2009, the concentration of uranium in the sample collected from location 0248 was low (0.0094 mg/L), indicating minimal impacts from these factors. The concentration of uranium in the sample collected farther downstream on Tomichi Creek at location 0777 was slightly lower (0.0068 mg/L).

Concentrations of manganese in surface water are well below the DWEL of 1.6 mg/L (Figure 18).






Figure 13. Uranium Concentrations in Groundwater – Domestic Wells Downgradient from the Gunnison Site





Figure 14. Uranium Concentrations in Groundwater – Domestic Wells South of Tomichi Creek






Figure 15. Manganese Concentrations in Groundwater – Domestic Wells Downgradient from the Gunnison Site




Figure 16. Manganese Concentrations in Groundwater – Domestic Wells South of Tomichi Creek






Figure 17. Uranium Concentrations in Surface Water Near the Gunnison Site




Figure 18. Manganese Concentrations in Surface Water Near the Gunnison Site

5.0 Natural Flushing Assessment

Groundwater flow and transport modeling has predicted that uranium concentrations in groundwater in the alluvial aquifer will decrease to below the EPA groundwater standard within 100 years. To assess the progress of natural flushing, trend analysis using the Mann-Kendall test (Gilbert 1987) was performed to assess the temporal behavior of uranium concentrations. This test determines if an upward trend, a downward trend, or no trend exists. Results of the trend analysis along with 2009 uranium concentrations are shown in Table 3. Observations from Table 3 included the following:

- 1. Uranium concentration in shallow zone monitor well 0006 on the former millsite remains high (1 mg/L) with no trend, which indicates a possible localized, continual source of uranium from RRM supplemental standards areas.
- 2. Uranium concentration in shallow zone monitor well 0012R on the former millsite has a uranium concentration an order of magnitude less than monitor well 0006 and has a downward trend, which indicates that a localized continual source is not influencing it.
- 3. Upward trends in the intermediate zone on the millsite indicate that uranium is migrating vertically from the shallow zone.
- 4. Immediately downgradient of the former millsite, uranium trends are generally downward, which indicates that RRM on the former millsite is not having a widespread effect on the alluvial aquifer.
- 5. Farther downgradient, most wells show no trend (a few show downward trends), and only one monitor well has a uranium concentration that exceeds the MCL.
- 6. In the monitor wells farthest downgradient of the millsite, uranium trends are upward (although uranium concentrations are below the MCL), which indicates that natural flushing processes are effective in transporting uranium through the aquifer to these wells approximately 1.4 miles downgradient of the former millsite.

Table 3. Assessment of Uranium Concentration Trends at the Gunnison Site

Location	No. of Samples	Trend ¹	2009 Result (mg/L)	Standard ² Exceeded in 2009? (Yes/No)
0006	15	No trend	1.00	Yes
0012/0012R	10	Downward	0.210	Yes
0013	14	Downward	0.039	No
0062	5	No trend	0.0083	No
0063	5	No trend	0.011	No
0064	5	Downward	0.011	No
0065	5	No trend	0.031	No
0066	5	No trend	0.023	No
0067	5	No trend	0.0097	No
0106	15	Upward	0.013	No
0112	10	Upward	0.078	Yes
0113	15	Downward	0.110	Yes
0125	11	Downward	0.0077	No
0126	15	Downward	0.0098	No
0127	15	Downward	0.017	No
0135	6	No trend	0.0011	No
0136	11	No trend	0.016	No
0160	13	Upward	0.021	No
0161	13	Upward	0.018	No
0181	9	Downward	0.011	No
0183	12	No trend	0.057	Yes
0186	11	Downward	0.017	No
0188	15	No trend	0.035	No
0189	15	No trend	0.016	No

Data from 1997 to 2009. Only wells with more than five data points are included. 20.044 mg/L from 40 CFR 192.

Figure 19 shows the comparison of uranium concentrations predicted by groundwater flow and transport modeling to actual concentrations determined by analysis of groundwater samples from intermediate zone monitor well 0113. This well was selected as an indicator of natural flushing progress because of its location adjacent to and immediately downgradient of the millsite, which is in an area of the aquifer that should be the first to flush as the plume migrates off the millsite. Additionally, data from this well will be used to assess potential aquifer-wide groundwater impacts from the RRM supplemental standard areas remaining on the millsite. As shown in this figure, recent concentrations are similar to concentrations predicted by the groundwater model and are trending downward, which indicates that natural flushing processes have been effective and that RRM remaining on the millsite is not a significant enough source of groundwater contamination to affect the alluvial aquifer downgradient of the site.




Figure 19. Uranium Concentration - Predicted and Actual - In DOE Monitor Well 0113 at the Gunnison Site

6.0 Conclusions

Concentrations of manganese in the alluvial groundwater are still above the DWEL; however, the distribution and magnitude of manganese concentrations is limited. Samples from only five wells in the monitoring network exceed the DWEL, with concentrations less than 4 times the DWEL.

Concentrations of uranium in the alluvial groundwater beneath the former millsite are still above the MCL. The uranium concentration in one on-site well completed in the shallow zone remains high with no downward trend, which indicates that residual soil contamination has a localized effect. If concentrations continue to remain high in the short term, compliance with the 100-year regulatory timeframe for natural flushing at this well will be unlikely.

Concentrations of uranium in the alluvial groundwater immediately downgradient of the former millsite are generally decreasing with time, indicating that natural flushing is progressing. Concentrations of uranium in groundwater farther downgradient of the site and deeper in the alluvial aquifer are still elevated and increasing in some areas, as expected, as the plume migrates downgradient. Contaminant distribution continues to confirm the site conceptual model of contaminants migrating deeper in the alluvial aquifer with distance from the millsite.

Uranium concentrations in the domestic wells sampled near the processing site were all below the MCL and the CDPHE action level. Manganese concentrations in these wells were all below the DWEL.

The uranium concentrations in the Gunnison River and Tomichi Creek locations indicate minimal impacts from contaminated groundwater discharge. Uranium concentration at the gravel pit pond (0780) is elevated when compared to background, which is expected because the gravel pit receives discharge of contaminated groundwater; however, the concentration remains near the historical low.

Groundwater in the alluvial aquifer and surface water in the vicinity of the Gunnison site will continue to be monitored annually to assess the progress of natural flushing. The next update to this report will be compiled after groundwater and surface water monitoring in April 2010.

7.0 References


Gilbert, R.O., 1987. *Statistical Methods for Environmental Pollution Monitoring*, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, New York.


Gunnison County, 2004. A Resolution Approving a Special Geographic Area, The New Domestic Well Constraint Area, Board of County Commissioners of Gunnison County Resolution No. 59, Series 2004, November.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 1996. *Baseline Risk Assessment of Ground Water Contamination at the Uranium Mill Tailings Site Near Gunnison, Colorado*, DOE/AL/62350-57, Rev. 2, June.

- DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2001. Final Site Observational Work Plan for the Gunnison, Colorado, Uranium Mill Tailings Remedial Action (UMTRA) Project Site, GJO-2001-214-TAR, March.
- DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2003. *Verification Monitoring Report for the Gunnison, Colorado, UMTRA Project Site*, GJO-2003-469-TAC, August.
- DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2004a. Cooperative Agreement DE-FC01-04LM00004.
- DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2004b. *Verification Monitoring Report for the Gunnison, Colorado, UMTRCA Title I Processing Site*, DOE-LM/GJ739-2004, September.
- DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2005a. Final Ground Water Compliance Action Plan for the Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site, DOE-LM/GJ829-2005, May.
- DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2005b. *Verification Monitoring Report for the Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site*, DOE-LM/GJ969-2005, August.
- DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2006. *Verification Monitoring Report for the Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site*, DOE-LM/1305-2006, September.
- DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2007. *Verification Monitoring Report for the Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site*, DOE-LM/1524-2007, September.
- DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2008. *Verification Monitoring Report for the Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site*, LMS/GUP/s04496, August.
- EPA (U. S. Environmental Protection Agency), 2004. 2004 Edition of the Drinking Water Standards and Health Advisories, EPA 822-R-04-005, Winter.
- NRC (U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission), 2004. "U.S. Department of Energy Request to Decommission Monitor Wells at the Gunnison, Colorado, Uranium Mill Tailings Remedial Action Project Site (TAC L51108)," letter from NRC to DOE dated July 9, 2004, with attached *Technical Evaluation Report to Abandon Monitor Wells at the Gunnison, Colorado, Uranium Mill Tailings Remedial Action Project Site*.

2009 Verification Monitoring Report—Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site Doc. No. S05527





PARAMETER	UNITS	LOCATION ID	LOCATION TYPE	SAMPI DATE	LE: ID	ZONE COMPL.	FLOW REL.	RESULT		JALIFIEF DATA		DETECTION LIMIT	UN- CERTAINTY
Manganese	mg/L	0002	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	U	0.0019	В	UF	#	0.00012	=
	mg/L	0005	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	0.500		F	#	0.00012	-
	mg/L	0006	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	0.330		F	#	0.00012	-
	mg/L	0012R	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL		0.0097		F	#	0.00012	-
	mg/L	0013	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	0.200		F	#	0.00012	-
	mg/L	0062	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	0	0.0044	В	F	#	0.00012	-
	mg/L	0063	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	0	0.050		F	#	0.00012	-
	mg/L	0064	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	0	0.0037	В	F	#	0.00012	-
	mg/L	0065	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	0	0.033		F	#	0.00012	-
	mg/L	0066	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	0.0075		F	#	0.00012	-
	mg/L	0067	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	0.013		FJ	#	0.00012	-
	mg/L	0067	WL	04/21/2009	N002	AL	0	0.013	Е	FJ	#	0.00012	-
	mg/L	0102	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	U	0.0015	В	UF	#	0.00012	-
	mg/L	0105	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	3.700		F	#	0.00012	-
	mg/L	0106	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	6.100		F	#	0.00012	-
	mg/L	0112	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	5.000		F	#	0.00012	-
	mg/L	0113	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	1.700		F	#	0.00012	-
	mg/L	0113	WL	04/22/2009	N002	AL	D	1.700		F	#	0.00012	-
	mg/L	0125	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	D	0.031		F	#	0.00012	-
	mg/L	0126	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	D	0.020		F	#	0.00012	-
	mg/L	0127	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	D	0.0016	В	UF	#	0.00012	-
	mg/L	0135	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	D	2.800		F	#	0.00012	-
	mg/L	0136	WL	04/20/2009	0001	AL	D	0.150		FQ	#	0.00012	-
	mg/L	0160	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	0.130		F	#	0.00012	-
	mg/L	0161	WL	04/22/2009	0002	AL	D	0.009		F	#	0.00012	-
	mg/L	0161	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	0.0066		F	#	0.00012	-

PARAMETER	UNITS	LOCATION ID	LOCATION TYPE	SAMPL DATE	.E: ID	ZONE COMPL.	FLOW REL.	RESULT		UALIFIER B DATA		DETECTION LIMIT	UN- CERTAINTY
Manganese	mg/L	0181	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	0.230		F	#	0.00012	-
	mg/L	0183	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	0.012		F	#	0.00012	-
	mg/L	0186	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	0.0027	В	UF	#	0.00012	-
	mg/L	0187	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	0.990		F	#	0.00012	-
	mg/L	0188	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	0.0013	В	UF	#	0.00012	-
	mg/L	0189	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	0.850		FQ	#	0.00012	-
Oxidation Reduction Potent	mV	0002	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	U	84		F	#	-	-
	mV	0005	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	-16		F	#	-	-
	mV	0006	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	25		F	#	-	-
	mV	0012R	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL		130		F	#	-	-
	mV	0013	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	184		F	#	-	-
	mV	0062	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	0	79		F	#	-	-
	mV	0063	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	0	73		F	#	-	-
	mV	0064	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	0	65		F	#	-	-
	mV	0065	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	0	193		F	#	-	-
	mV	0066	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	20		F	#	-	-
	mV	0067	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	19		F	#	-	-
	mV	0102	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	U	69		F	#	-	-
	mV	0105	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	-52		F	#	-	-
	mV	0106	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	-9		F	#	-	-
	mV	0112	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	137		F	#	-	-
	mV	0113	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	174		F	#	-	-
	mV	0125	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	D	94		F	#	-	-
	mV	0126	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	D	89		F	#	-	-
	mV	0127	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	D	95		F	#	-	-
	mV	0135	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	D	46.6		F	#	-	-

PARAMETER	UNITS	LOCATION ID	LOCATION TYPE	SAMPL DATE	-E: ID	ZONE COMPL.	FLOW REL.	RESULT	QUALIFIER LAB DATA		DETECTION LIMIT	UN- CERTAINTY
Oxidation Reduction Potent	mV	0136	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	D	142	FQ	#	-	-
	mV	0160	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	62	F	#	-	-
	mV	0161	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	65	F	#	-	-
	mV	0181	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	10	F	#	-	-
	mV	0183	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	23	F	#	-	-
	mV	0186	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	166	F	#	-	-
	mV	0187	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	77	F	#	-	-
	mV	0188	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	7	F	#	-	-
	mV	0189	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	32	FQ	#	-	-
рН	s.u.	0002	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	U	7.04	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0005	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	6.75	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0006	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	6.57	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0012R	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL		6.65	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0013	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	7.06	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0062	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	0	7.00	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0063	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	0	7.16	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0064	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	0	6.94	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0065	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	0	7.17	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0066	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	6.81	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0067	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	6.97	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0102	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	U	7.27	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0105	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	6.20	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0106	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	5.70	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0112	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	5.81	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0113	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	6.94	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0125	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	D	6.87	F	#	-	-

PARAMETER	UNITS	LOCATION ID	LOCATION TYPE	SAMPI DATE	-E: ID	ZONE COMPL.	FLOW REL.	RESULT	QUALIFIER LAB DATA		DETECTION LIMIT	UN- CERTAINTY
рН	s.u.	0126	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	D	7.00	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0127	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	D	7.19	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0135	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	D	6.26	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0136	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	D	7.23	FQ	#	-	-
	s.u.	0160	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	6.33	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0161	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	6.46	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0181	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	6.81	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0183	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	6.53	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0186	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	7.32	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0187	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	6.45	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0188	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	6.86	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0189	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	6.07	FQ	#	-	-
pecific Conductance	umhos/cm	0002	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	U	565	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0005	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	555	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0006	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	2295	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0012R	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL		1045	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0013	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	637	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0062	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	0	524	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0063	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	0	482	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0064	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	0	483	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0065	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	0	733	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0066	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	688	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0067	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	462	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0102	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	U	644	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0105	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	516	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0106	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	1937	F	#	-	-

PARAMETER	UNITS	LOCATION ID	LOCATION TYPE	SAMPI DATE	_E: ID	ZONE COMPL.	FLOW REL.	RESULT	QUALIFIERS LAB DATA		DETECTION LIMIT	UN- CERTAINTY
Specific Conductance	umhos/cm	0112	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	935	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0113	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	541	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0125	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	D	468	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0126	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	D	606	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0127	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	D	722	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0135	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	D	369	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0136	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	D	610	FQ	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0160	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	746	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0161	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	810	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0181	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	545	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0183	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	1057	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0186	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	643	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0187	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	655	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0188	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	811	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0189	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	2075	FQ	#	-	-
Temperature	С	0002	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	U	8.52	F	#	-	-
	С	0005	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	7.80	F	#	-	-
	С	0006	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	9.02	F	#	-	-
	С	0012R	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL		8.8	F	#	-	-
	С	0013	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	8.26	F	#	-	-
	С	0062	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	0	8.81	F	#	-	-
	С	0063	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	0	9.14	F	#	-	-
	С	0064	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	0	9.85	F	#	-	-
	С	0065	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	0	7.57	F	#	-	-
	С	0066	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	9.5	F	#	-	-
	С	0067	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	7.59	F	#	-	-

PARAMETER	UNITS	LOCATION ID	LOCATION TYPE	SAMPI DATE	-E: ID	ZONE COMPL.	FLOW REL.	RESULT	QUALIFIER LAB DATA		DETECTION LIMIT	UN- CERTAINTY
Temperature	С	0102	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	U	9.99	F	#	-	-
	С	0105	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	10.00	F	#	-	-
	С	0106	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	9.94	F	#	-	-
	С	0112	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	10.9	F	#	-	-
	С	0113	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	10.19	F	#	-	-
	С	0125	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	D	9.16	F	#	-	-
	С	0126	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	D	9.75	F	#	-	-
	С	0127	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	D	9.92	F	#	-	-
	С	0135	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	D	7.25	F	#	-	-
	С	0136	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	D	9.20	FQ	#	-	-
	С	0160	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	8.29	F	#	-	-
	С	0161	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	8.55	F	#	-	-
	С	0181	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	7.91	F	#	-	-
	С	0183	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	8.95	F	#	-	-
	С	0186	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	7.81	F	#	-	-
	С	0187	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	8.69	F	#	-	-
	С	0188	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	7.47	F	#	-	-
	С	0189	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	7.90	FQ	#	-	-
Turbidity	NTU	0002	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	U	2.51	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0005	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	5.29	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0006	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	2.43	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0012R	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL		6.75	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0013	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	2.88	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0062	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	0	1.93	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0063	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	0	9.39	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0064	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	0	1.61	F	#	-	-

PARAMETER	UNITS	LOCATION ID	LOCATION TYPE	SAMPI DATE	-E: ID	ZONE COMPL.	FLOW REL.	RESULT	QUALIFIEI LAB DATA		DETECTION LIMIT	UN- CERTAINTY
Turbidity	NTU	0065	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	0	6.51	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0066	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	1.39	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0067	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	2.36	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0105	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	1.84	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0106	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	5.34	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0112	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	4.57	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0113	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	1.29	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0125	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	D	0.88	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0126	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	D	5.18	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0127	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	D	0.55	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0135	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	D	5.00	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0136	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	D	45.2	FQ	#	-	-
	NTU	0160	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	6.29	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0161	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	1.61	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0181	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	2.61	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0183	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	7.75	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0186	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	5.50	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0187	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	3.23	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0188	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	1.12	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0189	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	6.87	FQ	#	-	-
Uranium	mg/L	0002	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	U	0.0026	E F	#	4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0005	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	0.061	F	#	4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0006	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	1.000	F	#	0.00009	-
	mg/L	0012R	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL		0.210	F	#	4.5E-05	-
	mg/L	0013	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	0.039	F	#	4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0062	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	0	0.0083	F	#	4.5E-06	-

PARAMETER	UNITS	LOCATION ID	LOCATION TYPE	SAMPI DATE	LE: ID	ZONE COMPL.	FLOW REL.	RESULT	QUALIFIER LAB DATA		DETECTION LIMIT	UN- CERTAINTY
Uranium	mg/L	0063	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	0	0.011	F	#	4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0064	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	0	0.011	F	#	4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0065	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	0	0.031	F	#	4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0066	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	0.023	F	#	4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0067	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	0.0097	F	#	4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0067	WL	04/21/2009	N002	AL	0	0.0095	F	#	4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0102	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	U	0.0042	F	#	4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0105	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	0.011	F	#	4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0106	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	0.013	F	#	4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0112	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	0	0.078	F	#	2.2E-05	=
	mg/L	0113	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	0.110	F	#	2.2E-05	-
	mg/L	0113	WL	04/22/2009	N002	AL	D	0.100	F	#	2.2E-05	-
	mg/L	0125	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	D	0.0077	F	#	4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0126	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	D	0.0098	F	#	4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0127	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	D	0.017	F	#	4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0135	WL	04/20/2009	N001	AL	D	0.0011	F	#	4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0136	WL	04/20/2009	0001	AL	D	0.016	FQ	#	4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0160	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	0.021	F	#	4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0161	WL	04/22/2009	0002	AL	D	0.018	F	#	4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0161	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	0.018	F	#	4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0181	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	0.011	F	#	4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0183	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	0.057	F	#	4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0186	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	0.017	F	#	4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0187	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	0.0093	F	#	4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0188	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	0.035	F	#	4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0189	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	D	0.016	FQ	#	4.5E-06	-

CLASSIC GROUND WATER QUALITY DATA BY PARAMETER WITH ZONE (USEE201) FOR SITE GUN01, Gunnison Processing Site

REPORT DATE: 7/14/2009 4:47 pm

LOCATION LOCATION SAMPLE: ZONE FLOW QUALIFIERS: DETECTION UN-PARAMETER UNITS TYPE DATE COMPL. REL. RESULT LAB DATA QA LIMIT CERTAINTY

RECORDS: SELECTED FROM USEE200 WHERE site_code='GUN01' AND location_code

in('0002','0005','0006','0012R','0013','0062','0063','0064','0065','0066','00187','0102','0105','0106','0112','0113','0125','0126','0127','0135','0136','0160','0161','0181','0183','0186','0187','0188','0189')

AND quality_assurance = TRUE AND (data_validation_qualifiers IS NULL OR data_validation_qualifiers NOT LIKE '%N%' AND data_validation_qualifiers NOT LIKE '%R%' AND data_validation_qualifiers NOT LIKE '%X%') AND DATE SAMPLED between #4/1/2009# and #6/30/2009#

SAMPLE ID CODES: 000X = Filtered sample (0.45 µm). N00X = Unfiltered sample. X = replicate number.

LOCATION TYPES: WL WELL

ZONES OF COMPLETION:

AL ALLUVIUM

FLOW CODES: D DOWN GRADIENT O ON-SITE U UPGRADIENT

LAB QUALIFIERS:

- * Replicate analysis not within control limits.
- Correlation coefficient for MSA < 0.995.
- > Result above upper detection limit.
- A TIC is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
- B Inorganic: Result is between the IDL and CRDL. Organic & Radiochemistry: Analyte also found in method blank.
- C Pesticide result confirmed by GC-MS.
- D Analyte determined in diluted sample.
- E Inorganic: Estimate value because of interference, see case narrative. Organic: Analyte exceeded calibration range of the GC-MS.
- H Holding time expired, value suspect.
- I Increased detection limit due to required dilution.
- J Estimated
- M GFAA duplicate injection precision not met.
- N Inorganic or radiochemical: Spike sample recovery not within control limits. Organic: Tentatively identified compund (TIC).
- P > 25% difference in detected pesticide or Arochlor concentrations between 2 columns.
- S Result determined by method of standard addition (MSA).
- U Analytical result below detection limit.
- W Post-digestion spike outside control limits while sample absorbance < 50% of analytical spike absorbance.
- X Laboratory defined (USEPA CLP organic) qualifier, see case narrative.
- Y Laboratory defined (USEPA CLP organic) qualifier, see case narrative.
- Z Laboratory defined (USEPA CLP organic) qualifier, see case narrative.

DATA QUALIFIERS:

F Low flow sampling method used.

Possible grout contamination, pH > 9.


J Estimated value.

- L Less than 3 bore volumes purged prior to sampling.
- N Presumptive evidence that analyte is present. The analyte is "tentatively identified".
- Q Qualitative result due to sampling technique


R Unusable result.

- U Parameter analyzed for but was not detected.
- X Location is undefined.

QA QUALIFIER: # = validated according to Quality Assurance guidelines.



Appendix B **Groundwater Quality Data by Parameter for Domestic Wells**



mi mi mi mi	ng/L ng/L ng/L	0080 0081	WL				REL.	RESULT	LAD	DATA	QA	LIMIT	CERTAINTY
m; m;	_	0081		04/22/2009	N001	AL		0.100			#	0.00012	-
m _i m _i	ng/L	0001	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL		0.400			#	0.00012	-
m		0082	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL		0.120			#	0.00012	-
	ng/L	0469	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	D	0.0012	В	U	#	0.00012	-
m	ng/L	0476	WL	06/11/2009	N001			0.00053	В	U	#	0.00012	-
	ng/L	0477	WL	06/11/2009	N001			0.014			#	0.00012	-
m	ng/L	0478	WL	04/22/2009	N001			0.420			#	0.00012	-
m-	ng/L	0667	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	N	0.0033	В		#	0.00012	-
m	ng/L	0683	WL	06/11/2009	N001	AL	N	0.00041	В	U	#	0.00012	-
Oxidation Reduction Potent m	٦V	0080	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL		-1			#	-	-
m'	٦V	0081	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL		117			#	-	-
m'	٦V	0082	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL		35			#	=	-
m'	٦V	0469	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	D	134			#	-	-
m'	٦V	0476	WL	06/11/2009	N001			272			#	-	-
m'	٦V	0477	WL	06/11/2009	N001			320			#	-	-
m'	٦V	0478	WL	04/22/2009	N001			71			#	-	-
m'	٦V	0667	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	N	65			#	-	-
m'	٦V	0683	WL	06/11/2009	N001	AL	N	272			#	-	-
pH s.ı	.u.	0080	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL		6.75			#	-	-
S.	.u.	0081	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL		6.87			#	-	-
S.	.u.	0082	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL		7.07			#	-	-
S.	.u.	0469	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	D	7.66			#	-	-
S.	.u.	0476	WL	06/11/2009	N001			7.15			#	-	-
S.	.u.	0477	WL	06/11/2009	N001			6.93			#	-	-
S.	.u.	0478	WL	04/22/2009	N001			7.24			#	-	-
S.!	.u.	0667	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	N	7.08			#	-	-

PARAMETER	UNITS	LOCATION ID	LOCATION TYPE	SAMPI DATE	LE: ID	ZONE COMPL.	FLOW REL.	RESULT	QUALIFIERS: LAB DATA QA	DETECTION LIMIT	UN- CERTAINTY
рН	s.u.	0683	WL	06/11/2009	N001	AL	N	7.54	#	-	-
Specific Conductance	umhos/cm	0080	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL		426	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0081	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL		446	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0082	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL		472	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0469	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	D	244	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0476	WL	06/11/2009	N001			225	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0477	WL	06/11/2009	N001			205	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0478	WL	04/22/2009	N001			277	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0667	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	Ν	239	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0683	WL	06/11/2009	N001	AL	N	255	#	-	-
Temperature	С	0080	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL		12.50	#	-	-
	С	0081	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL		6.86	#	-	-
	С	0082	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL		8.84	#	-	-
	С	0469	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	D	9.30	#	-	-
	С	0476	WL	06/11/2009	N001			16.3	#	-	-
	С	0477	WL	06/11/2009	N001			12.0	#	-	-
	С	0478	WL	04/22/2009	N001			22.39	#	-	-
	С	0667	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	N	13.47	#	-	-
	С	0683	WL	06/11/2009	N001	AL	N	16.7	#	-	-
Turbidity	NTU	0080	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL		1.14	#	-	-
	NTU	0081	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL		3.96	#	-	-
	NTU	0082	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL		7.54	#	-	-
	NTU	0469	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	D	2.13	#	-	-
	NTU	0476	WL	06/11/2009	N001			2.06	#	-	-
	NTU	0477	WL	06/11/2009	N001			0.79	#	-	-
	NTU	0478	WL	04/22/2009	N001			0.87	#	-	-

PARAMETER	UNITS	LOCATION ID	LOCATION TYPE	SAMPI DATE	-E: ID	ZONE COMPL.	FLOW REL.	RESULT	QUALIFIERS: LAB DATA QA	DETECTION LIMIT	UN- CERTAINTY
Turbidity	NTU	0667	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	N	1.06	#	-	-
	NTU	0683	WL	06/11/2009	N001	AL	N	1.10	#	-	-
Uranium	mg/L	0800	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL		0.0024	#	4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0081	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL		0.0061	#	4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0082	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL		0.0097	#	4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0469	WL	04/21/2009	N001	AL	D	0.00062	#	4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0476	WL	06/11/2009	N001			0.0016	#	4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0477	WL	06/11/2009	N001			0.0007	#	4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0478	WL	04/22/2009	N001			0.0026	#	4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0667	WL	04/22/2009	N001	AL	N	0.00096	#	4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0683	WL	06/11/2009	N001	AL	N	0.0007	#	4.5E-06	-

		LOCATION	LOCATION	SAMPI		ZONE	FLOW		QUALIFIERS:	DETECTION	UN-
PARAMETER	UNITS	ID	TYPE	DATE	ID	COMPL.	REL.	RESULT	LAB DATA QA	LIMIT	CERTAINTY

RECORDS: SELECTED FROM USEE200 WHERE site_code='GUN01' AND location_code in('0080','0081','0082','0469','0476','0478','0667','0683') AND quality_assurance = TRUE AND (data_validation_qualifiers IS NULL OR data_validation_qualifiers NOT LIKE '%N%' AND data_validation_qualifiers NOT LIKE '%R%' AND data_validation_qualifiers NOT LIKE '%X%') AND DATE SAMPLED between #4/1/2009# and #6/30/2009#

SAMPLE ID CODES: 000X = Filtered sample (0.45 µm). N00X = Unfiltered sample. X = replicate number.

LOCATION TYPES: WL WELL

ZONES OF COMPLETION:

AL ALLUVIUM

FLOW CODES: D DOWN GRADIENT N UNKNOWN

LAB QUALIFIERS:

- * Replicate analysis not within control limits.
- + Correlation coefficient for MSA < 0.995.
- > Result above upper detection limit.
- A TIC is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
- B Inorganic: Result is between the IDL and CRDL. Organic & Radiochemistry: Analyte also found in method blank.
- C Pesticide result confirmed by GC-MS.
- D Analyte determined in diluted sample.
- E Inorganic: Estimate value because of interference, see case narrative. Organic: Analyte exceeded calibration range of the GC-MS.
- H Holding time expired, value suspect.
- Increased detection limit due to required dilution.
- J Estimated
- M GFAA duplicate injection precision not met.
- N Inorganic or radiochemical: Spike sample recovery not within control limits. Organic: Tentatively identified compund (TIC).
- P > 25% difference in detected pesticide or Arochlor concentrations between 2 columns.
- S Result determined by method of standard addition (MSA).
- U Analytical result below detection limit.
- W Post-digestion spike outside control limits while sample absorbance < 50% of analytical spike absorbance.
- X Laboratory defined (USEPA CLP organic) qualifier, see case narrative.
- Y Laboratory defined (USEPA CLP organic) qualifier, see case narrative.
- Z Laboratory defined (USEPA CLP organic) qualifier, see case narrative.

DATA QUALIFIERS:

F Low flow sampling method used.

Possible grout contamination, pH > 9.

J Estimated value.

- L Less than 3 bore volumes purged prior to sampling.
- N Presumptive evidence that analyte is present. The analyte is "tentatively identified".
- Q Qualitative result due to sampling technique


R Unusable result.

- U Parameter analyzed for but was not detected.
- X Location is undefined.

QA QUALIFIER: # =validated according to Quality Assurance guidelines.

Appendix C

Surface Water Quality Data by Parameter



PARAMETER	UNITS	LOCATIOI ID	N SAMPL DATE	.E: ID	RESULT	QUALIFIERS: LAB DATA QA	DETECTION LIMIT	I UN- CERTAINTY
Manganese	mg/L	0248	04/20/2009	N001	0.130		# 0.00012	-
	mg/L	0777	04/21/2009	0001	0.071		# 0.00012	-
	mg/L	0780	04/22/2009	N001	0.015		# 0.00012	-
	mg/L	0792	04/21/2009	0001	0.026		# 0.00012	-
	mg/L	0795	04/21/2009	0001	0.026		# 0.00012	-
Oxidation Reduction Potent	mV	0248	04/20/2009	N001	75		# -	-
	mV	0777	04/21/2009	N001	112		# -	-
	mV	0780	04/22/2009	N001	164		# -	-
	mV	0792	04/21/2009	N001	128		# -	-
	mV	0795	04/21/2009	N001	133		# -	-
pH	s.u.	0248	04/20/2009	N001	7.69		# -	-
	s.u.	0777	04/21/2009	N001	7.81		# -	-
	s.u.	0780	04/22/2009	N001	8.09		# -	-
	s.u.	0792	04/21/2009	N001	7.92		# -	-
	s.u.	0795	04/21/2009	N001	7.74		# -	-
Specific Conductance	umhos/cm	0248	04/20/2009	N001	368		# -	-
	umhos/cm	0777	04/21/2009	N001	322		# -	-
	umhos/cm	0780	04/22/2009	N001	438		# -	-
	umhos/cm	0792	04/21/2009	N001	194		# -	-
	umhos/cm	0795	04/21/2009	N001	192		# -	-
Temperature	С	0248	04/20/2009	N001	12.19		# -	-
	С	0777	04/21/2009	N001	14.2		# -	-
	С	0780	04/22/2009	N001	9.87		# -	-
	С	0792	04/21/2009	N001	7.43		# -	-
	С	0795	04/21/2009	N001	8.17		# -	-
Turbidity	NTU	0248	04/20/2009	N001	9.54		# -	-
	NTU	0777	04/21/2009	N001	33.4		# -	-
	NTU	0780	04/22/2009	N001	5.84		# -	-
	NTU	0792	04/21/2009	N001	24.1		# -	-
	NTU	0795	04/21/2009	N001	21.4		# -	-
Uranium	mg/L	0248	04/20/2009	N001	0.0094		# 4.5E-06	_
	mg/L	0777	04/21/2009		0.0068		# 4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0780	04/22/2009		0.016		# 4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0792	04/21/2009		0.0006		# 4.5E-06	-
	mg/L	0795	04/21/2009	0001	0.0005		# 4.5E-06	-

SURFACE WATER QUALITY DATA BY PARAMETER (USEE800) FOR SITE GUN01, Gunnison Processing Site

REPORT DATE: 7/14/2009 4:39 pm

LOCATION SAMPLE: QUALIFIERS: DETECTION UN-PARAMETER UNITS ID DATE ID RESULT LAB DATA QA LIMIT CERTAINTY

RECORDS: SELECTED FROM USEE800 WHERE site_code='GUN01' AND quality_assurance = TRUE AND (data_validation_qualifiers IS NULL OR data_validation_qualifiers NOT LIKE '%N%' AND data_validation_qualifiers NOT LIKE '%R%' AND data_validation_qualifiers NOT LIKE '%X%') AND DATE_SAMPLED between #4/1/2009# and #4/30/2009#

SAMPLE ID CODES: 000X = Filtered sample (0.45 µm). N00X = Unfiltered sample. X = replicate number.

LAB QUALIFIERS:


- * Replicate analysis not within control limits.
- + Correlation coefficient for MSA < 0.995.
- > Result above upper detection limit.
- A TIC is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
- B Inorganic: Result is between the IDL and CRDL. Organic & Radiochemistry: Analyte also found in method blank.
- C Pesticide result confirmed by GC-MS.
- D Analyte determined in diluted sample.
- E Inorganic: Estimate value because of interference, see case narrative. Organic: Analyte exceeded calibration range of the GC-MS.
- H Holding time expired, value suspect.
- I Increased detection limit due to required dilution.
- J Estimated
- M GFAA duplicate injection precision not met.
- N Inorganic or radiochemical: Spike sample recovery not within control limits. Organic: Tentatively identified compund (TIC).
- P > 25% difference in detected pesticide or Arochlor concentrations between 2 columns.
- S Result determined by method of standard addition (MSA).
- U Analytical result below detection limit.
- W Post-digestion spike outside control limits while sample absorbance < 50% of analytical spike absorbance.
- X Laboratory defined (USEPA CLP organic) qualifier, see case narrative.
- Y Laboratory defined (USEPA CLP organic) qualifier, see case narrative.
- Z Laboratory defined (USEPA CLP organic) qualifier, see case narrative.

DATA QUALIFIERS:

- F Low flow sampling method used.
- J Estimated value.
- N Presumptive evidence that analyte is present. The analyte is "tentatively identified".
- R Unusable result.
- X Location is undefined.
- QA QUALIFIER: # = validated according to Quality Assurance guidelines.
- G Possible grout contamination, pH > 9.
- L Less than 3 bore volumes purged prior to sampling.
- Q Qualitative result due to sampling technique
- U Parameter analyzed for but was not detected.

Appendix D

Water Level Data for 2009



$RFP \cap RT$	DATE	7/14/2009	2.38 nm

LOCATION CODE	EL OW.	TOP OF CASING ELEVATION (FT)	MEASUREMENT		DEPTH FROM TOP OF CASING	WATER	WATER
	FLOW CODE		DATE	TIME	(FT)	ELEVATION (FT)	LEVEL FLAG
0002	U	7646.75	04/21/2009	10:50	5.40	7641.35	
0005	0	7644.66	04/21/2009	17:00	6.91	7637.75	
0006	0	7647.23	04/21/2009	17:30	11.84	7635.39	
0012R		7645.95	04/21/2009	13:45	12.33	7633.62	
0013	D	7643.75	04/22/2009	08:35	12.69	7631.06	
0062	0	7630.61	04/20/2009	15:20	7.20	7623.41	
0063	0	7630.34	04/20/2009	15:45	8.18	7622.16	
0064	0	7620.76	04/20/2009	14:50	7.13	7613.63	
0065	0	7610.27	04/22/2009	07:50	2.26	7608.01	
0066	0	7606.22	04/21/2009	16:00	1.81	7604.41	
0067	0	7628.96	04/21/2009	09:10	2.90	7626.06	
0102	U	7647.30	04/21/2009	11:05	6.15	7641.15	
0105	0	7646.11	04/21/2009	16:40	8.95	7637.16	
0106	0	7647.22	04/21/2009	17:50	11.98	7635.24	
0112	0	7645.74	04/21/2009	13:20	12.72	7633.02	
0113	D	7643.83	04/22/2009	08:55	12.78	7631.05	
0125	D	7633.52	04/20/2009	16:35	6.95	7626.57	
0126	D	7634.14	04/20/2009	16:55	7.25	7626.89	
0127	D	7634.64	04/20/2009	17:25	9.03	7625.61	
0135	D	7627.03	04/20/2009	14:15	5.98	7621.05	
0136	D	7626.24	04/20/2009	13:45	6.03	7620.21	
0160	D	7604.39	04/22/2009	14:15	4.92	7599.47	
0161	D	7605.63	04/22/2009	14:30	6.41	7599.22	
0181	D	7616.38	04/22/2009	16:30	3.30	7613.08	
0183	D	7616.27	04/22/2009	16:10	4.63	7611.64	
0186	D	7627.21	04/22/2009	10:20	6.52	7620.69	
0187	D	7625.91	04/22/2009	10:55	5.98	7619.93	
0188	D	7613.65	04/22/2009	13:10	5.77	7607.88	
0189	D	7613.56	04/22/2009	12:50	6.50	7607.06	

STATIC WATER LEVELS (USEE700) FOR SITE GUN01, Gunnison Processing Site

REPORT DATE: 7/14/2009 2:38 pm

	DEPTH						
LOCATION CODE	FLOW	CASING ELEVATION	MEASUREMENT		FROM TOP OF CASING	WATER ELEVATION	WATER LEVEL
LOOK HON CODE	CODE	(FT)	DATE	TIME	(FT)	(FT)	FLAG

 $RECORDS: SELECTED\ FROM\ USEE700\ WHERE\ site_code='GUN01'\ AND\ LOG_DATE\ between\ \#4/1/2009\#\ and\ \#4/30/2009\#$

FLOW CODES: D DOWN GRADIENT O ON-SITE

U UPGRADIENT

WATER LEVEL FLAGS: