

LMS/GUP/S08005

2011 Verification Monitoring Report for the Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site

December 2011

| Abbr | eviations | iii | | | | | | | |
|------|-----------------------------|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1.0 | .0 Overview1 | | | | | | | | |
| 2.0 | Site Conditions | 3 | | | | | | | |
| | 2.1 Hydrogeology | 3 | | | | | | | |
| | 2.2 Water Quality | 3 | | | | | | | |
| | 2.3 Surface Activities | 4 | | | | | | | |
| | 2.4 Institutional Controls | 4 | | | | | | | |
| 3.0 | Monitoring Program | 5 | | | | | | | |
| 4.0 | Results of 2011 Monitoring | 9 | | | | | | | |
| | 4.1 DOE Monitoring Wells | 9 | | | | | | | |
| | 4.2 Domestic Wells | 9 | | | | | | | |
| | 4.3 Surface Water | 10 | | | | | | | |
| 5.0 | Natural Flushing Assessment | 25 | | | | | | | |
| 6.0 | Conclusions | 29 | | | | | | | |
| 7.0 | References | 30 | | | | | | | |

Contents

Figures

| Figure 1. | Aerial Photograph of the Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site | . 2 |
|------------|---|-----|
| Figure 2. | Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring Locations at the Gunnison, Colorado, | |
| | Processing Site | . 6 |
| Figure 3. | Uranium Distribution from April 2011 Sampling at the Gunnison, Colorado, | |
| | Processing Site | 11 |
| Figure 4. | Manganese Distribution from April 2011 Sampling at the Gunnison, Colorado, | |
| | Processing Site | 12 |
| Figure 5. | Uranium Concentrations in Groundwater: On-Site DOE Monitoring Wells at the | |
| | Gunnison Site | 13 |
| Figure 6. | Uranium Concentrations in Groundwater: Downgradient DOE Monitoring | |
| | Wells—Pasture, near the Gunnison Site | 14 |
| Figure 7. | Uranium Concentrations in Groundwater: Downgradient DOE Monitoring | |
| | Wells—Golf Course and Residential, near the Gunnison Site | 15 |
| Figure 8. | Uranium Concentrations in Groundwater: Downgradient DOE Monitoring | |
| | Wells—West of the Gunnison River, Near the Gunnison Site | 16 |
| Figure 9. | Manganese Concentrations in Groundwater: On-Site DOE Monitoring Wells at | |
| | the Gunnison Site | 17 |
| Figure 10. | Manganese Concentrations in Groundwater: Downgradient DOE Monitoring | |
| | Wells—Pasture, near the Gunnison Site | 18 |
| Figure 11. | Manganese Concentrations in Groundwater: Downgradient DOE Monitoring | |
| | Wells—Golf Course and Residential, near the Gunnison Site | 19 |
| Figure 12. | Manganese Concentrations in Groundwater: Downgradient DOE Monitoring | |
| | Wells—West of the Gunnison River, near the Gunnison Site | 20 |
| Figure 13. | Uranium Concentrations in Groundwater: Domestic Wells Downgradient from | |
| | the Gunnison Site | 21 |

| Figure 14. | Manganese Concentrations in Groundwater: Domestic Wells Downgradient | |
|------------|--|----|
| | from the Gunnison Site | 22 |
| Figure 15. | Uranium Concentrations in Surface Water near the Gunnison Site | 23 |
| Figure 16. | Manganese Concentrations in Surface Water near the Gunnison Site | 24 |
| Figure 17. | Uranium Concentration—Predicted (Blue) and Actual (Red)—In DOE | |
| - | Monitoring Well 0113 at the Gunnison Site | 27 |

Tables

| Table 1. | Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring at the Gunnison Site | . 7 |
|----------|---|-----|
| Table 2. | Summary of 2011 Uranium Distribution at the Gunnison Site | 10 |
| Table 3. | Assessment of Uranium Concentration Trends at the Gunnison Site | 26 |

Appendixes

- Appendix A Groundwater Quality Data by Parameter for DOE Monitoring Wells
- Appendix B Groundwater Quality Data by Parameter for Domestic Wells
- Appendix C Surface Water Quality Data by Parameter
- Appendix D Water Level Data

Abbreviations

| CDPHE | Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment |
|--------|--|
| CFR | Code of Federal Regulations |
| COPC | constituent of potential concern |
| DOE | U.S. Department of Energy |
| DWEL | Drinking Water Equivalent Level |
| EPA | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency |
| ft | foot (feet) |
| GCAP | Ground Water Compliance Action Plan |
| GEMS | Geospatial Environmental Mapping System |
| IC | institutional control |
| MCL | maximum concentration limit |
| mg/L | milligram(s) per liter |
| NRC | U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission |
| RRM | residual radioactive material |
| SOWP | Site Observational Work Plan |
| UMTRCA | Uranium Mill Tailings Radiation Control Act |
| VMR | Verification Monitoring Report |
| | |

1.0 Overview

The Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site (Gunnison site) is located in Gunnison County, Colorado, approximately 0.5 mile southwest of the city of Gunnison, between the Gunnison River and Tomichi Creek (Figure 1). Site characterization details are available in the Final Site Observational Work Plan (SOWP) (DOE 2001).

The compliance strategy for groundwater cleanup at the Gunnison site is natural flushing in conjunction with continued groundwater and surface water monitoring and institutional controls (ICs). Groundwater modeling predicts that natural flushing of the alluvial aquifer beneath and downgradient of the site will be completed within the 100-year time frame specified in Subpart B of Title 40 *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 192 (40 CFR 192). The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) funded an alternate domestic water supply system in 1994, with upgrades in 2005, to service existing users of potentially contaminated groundwater and to provide a potable water source for future development within the IC area.

Detailed information for the Gunnison site and water quality data through 1999 are available in the SOWP (DOE 2001). Site information and water quality data from recent years can be found in Verification Monitoring Reports (VMRs) (DOE 2007 to DOE 2009; DOE 2010a) located on DOE's Office of Legacy Management website at http://www.LM.doe.gov/Gunnison/Processing/Documents.aspx#vmr. Water quality data for 2011 are provided in Appendixes A through C of this report. All water quality data for the Gunnison site are archived in the SEEPro database at the Office of Legacy Management in Grand Junction, Colorado. Water quality data also are available for viewing with dynamic mapping via the Geospatial Environmental Mapping System (GEMS) website at http://gems.lm.doe.gov/imf/sites/gems_continental_us/jsp/launch.jsp.

The purpose of this VMR is to present and evaluate groundwater and surface water monitoring data collected during the annual 2011 sampling event at the Gunnison site and to provide an update on the progress of the natural flushing compliance strategy. In 2011, concentrations of uranium and manganese, the two constituents of potential concern (COPCs) in the alluvial aquifer, remained above the groundwater standard and risk-based benchmark, respectively. Concentrations of uranium in the alluvial aquifer continue to confirm the site conceptual model of contaminants migrating deeper in the alluvial aquifer with distance from the mill site and provide evidence that natural flushing of the alluvial aquifer is progressing. However, residual soil contamination on the former mill site may be having a localized effect in some wells on and immediately downgradient of the mill site. The distribution of manganese in the alluvial aquifer is limited; the highest concentrations are near the benchmark value. Concentrations of COPCs in samples collected from domestic wells and Gunnison River locations remained low with no indication of site impacts.



M:\LTS\111\0064\01\008\S08022\S0802200.mxd smithw 12/27/2011 12:00:30 PM

Figure 1. Aerial Photograph of the Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site

2.0 Site Conditions

2.1 Hydrogeology

Groundwater is unconfined in the alluvial (uppermost) aquifer, with an average depth to the water table of 5 feet (ft). The alluvium is composed of poorly sorted sediments ranging from clay-sized material to gravel, with cobbles and a few boulders. It ranges in thickness from 70 to 130 ft. Groundwater in the alluvial aquifer generally flows to the southwest with an average gradient of 0.005 ft/ft. Hydraulic conductivity ranges from 100 to 170 ft/day. The average linear groundwater velocity ranges from 1.9 to 3.2 ft/day (DOE 2001).

Groundwater in the alluvial aquifer system is recharged by groundwater underflow, adjacent streams, precipitation, flood irrigation of the pasture downgradient of the site, and irrigation of the golf course and residential areas southwest of the site. Groundwater loss is through evapotranspiration and natural discharge to adjacent streams. Groundwater also is discharged by dewatering activities at the adjacent sand and gravel company located south of the former mill site.

2.2 Water Quality

Groundwater in the alluvial aquifer beneath and downgradient of the Gunnison site was contaminated by uranium-ore processing activities. A variety of tailings-related contaminants in the subsurface and groundwater at the site were evaluated, and the potential risks to human health and the environment were assessed in the SOWP (DOE 2001). Only uranium and manganese were identified as COPCs because they exceeded a groundwater standard and risk-based benchmark, respectively.

Uranium is the primary COPC in groundwater, with historical concentrations measured up to 1.5 milligrams per liter (mg/L) beneath the site. Currently, uranium concentrations exceed the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) 40 CFR 192 maximum concentration limit (MCL) of 0.044 mg/L for groundwater in several monitoring wells on and adjacent to the former mill site and in one monitoring well (0183) more than 4,000 ft downgradient of the site boundary. Concentrations of uranium that are less than the MCL but above background extend approximately 7,000 ft downgradient of the site boundary and have migrated beneath the Gunnison River just beyond the confluence with Tomichi Creek. The zone of contamination attenuates and migrates deeper into the aquifer as it progresses laterally in a southwesterly direction.

Manganese is also a COPC in groundwater, with historical concentrations that measured up to 77 mg/L beneath the site. There is no MCL for manganese. The EPA Drinking Water Equivalent Level (DWEL) for manganese is 1.6 mg/L (EPA 2011). The DWEL is a lifetime-exposure concentration protective of adverse, noncancer health effects that assumes all of the exposure to a contaminant is from drinking water. Concentrations of manganese are above the DWEL beneath the site and in two downgradient monitoring wells (0113 and 0135). Manganese does not appear to be widespread in the aquifer, and concentrations beneath the site are decreasing.

2.3 Surface Activities

Uranium mill tailings and other residual radioactive material (RRM) were removed from the former mill site from 1992 through 1995 and stabilized in a disposal cell 6 miles east of the city of Gunnison. RRM beneath the site was cleaned up to a depth just below the water table, with some contaminated material left in place through the application of supplemental standards. The site was backfilled with clean fill and revegetated after RRM removal.

The former mill site property is currently being developed as a light industrial park by the owner, Gunnison County. Construction activities in recent years included trenching and excavation for utilities and foundations, construction of buildings, recontouring portions of the site, and landscaping. These activities may potentially mobilize contaminants remaining in the shallow soils into the groundwater. Mobilization of contaminants into the groundwater after construction activity was observed at other Uranium Mill Tailings Radiation Control Act (UMTRCA) sites such as the New Rifle processing site in Rifle, Colorado.

2.4 Institutional Controls

ICs in effect in the vicinity of the Gunnison site were finalized in 2004 and consist of government ownership and deed restrictions on the original mill site property (specified in a quitclaim deed transferring the property from the State of Colorado to Gunnison County), a Gunnison County Resolution (Gunnison County 2004) establishing the New Domestic Well Constraint Area, and construction of a domestic water supply system. The quitclaim deed specifies restrictions on and approvals needed for excavation, groundwater use, and construction of habitable structures. If part or all of the mill site property is transferred to another owner, the deed restrictions will remain in effect. The New Domestic Well Constraint Area is delineated by the IC boundary (Figure 1), and the Gunnison County Resolution specifies that no new wells can be constructed within the constraint area. A domestic water supply system was installed in 1994 to provide safe water to local residents in areas potentially impacted by contaminated groundwater. In 2004, DOE entered into a cooperative agreement with Gunnison County, approved by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) (DOE 2004), in which DOE (along with CDPHE) agreed to fund extensions of the domestic water supply system to accommodate projected future growth within the IC boundary (Figure 1). A major extension was constructed in 2005 and 2006. Smaller extensions were constructed in 2008 to supply water to the former millsite and several parcels of land south and west of the former mill site. Domestic wells within the IC boundary that are not connected to the water system are monitored to verify that concentrations of uranium and manganese remain low and below the MCL and DWEL, respectively.

3.0 Monitoring Program

Verification monitoring occurs on an annual basis. Monitoring is expected to continue annually for the first 10 years after NRC concurrence with a final Ground Water Compliance Action Plan (GCAP) (DOE 2010b) to verify that natural flushing is progressing as predicted by groundwater flow and transport modeling (DOE 2001). Additionally, comprehensive reviews of the monitoring program are planned to occur every 10 years after approval of a final GCAP to assess if natural flushing is consistent with model predictions and to determine the effectiveness and viability of the compliance strategy. The current version of the GCAP is in review with NRC. Ongoing monitoring requirements will be evaluated in subsequent VMRs and modified as determined by DOE and NRC.

During 2011, the monitoring network included sampling of 28 DOE monitoring wells, 6 surface water locations, and 5 domestic wells (Figure 2 and Table 1). Two of those domestic wells (0476 and 0477) were not sampled during the April sampling event because the homeowners could not be contacted. These wells were subsequently sampled in May and June after contact was made with the homeowners. Sampling of domestic well 0479 was discontinued in 2011 because the residence was connected to the water system, and the well is no longer in use. Samples collected from all monitoring locations were analyzed for uranium and manganese. Field measurements of oxidation-reduction potential, pH, specific conductance, temperature, and turbidity were made at each location.







| Monitoring Well | Aquifer Zone | Screened Interval (ft) | Location | Rationale (Uranium) | | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Groundwater ^a | i I | • • • • | | | | | | |
| 0002 | Shallow | 10–15 | Airport | Upgradient—background | | | | |
| 0102 | Intermediate | 42–47 | Airport | Upgradient—background | | | | |
| 0005 | Shallow | 10–15 | On site | Origin of plume | | | | |
| 0105 | Intermediate | 42–47 | On site | Origin of plume | | | | |
| 0006 | Shallow | 10–15 | On site | Origin of plume | | | | |
| 0106 | Intermediate | 34–39 | On site | Origin of plume | | | | |
| 0012R | Shallow | 6–16 | On site | Origin of plume | | | | |
| 0112 | Intermediate | 40–45 | On site | Monitor plume migration | | | | |
| 0013 | Shallow | 11–16 | Just off site to southwest | Monitor plume migration | | | | |
| 0113 | Intermediate | 41–46 | Just off site to southwest | Monitor plume migration | | | | |
| 0125 | Shallow | 18–23 | Pasture | Monitor plume migration | | | | |
| 0126 | Intermediate | 54–59 | Pasture | Monitor plume migration | | | | |
| 0127 | Deep | 94–99 | Pasture | Monitor plume migration | | | | |
| 0135 | Shallow | 18–23 | Pasture | Monitor plume migration | | | | |
| 0136 | Intermediate | 53–58 | Pasture | Monitor plume migration | | | | |
| 0064 | Deep | 87–97 | Pasture | Monitor plume migration | | | | |
| 0062 | Intermediate | 48–58 | Pasture | Monitor plume migration | | | | |
| 0063 | Deep | 88–98 | Pasture | Monitor plume migration | | | | |
| 0181 | Shallow | 18–23 | Golf course | Monitor plume migration | | | | |
| 0183 | Deep | 93–98 | Golf course | Monitor plume migration | | | | |
| 0065 | Intermediate | 50–60 | Golf course | Monitor plume migration | | | | |
| 0066 | Intermediate | 40–50 | End of Tomichi Trail | Monitor plume migration | | | | |
| 0186 | Intermediate | 53–58 | End of Monte Vista Dr. | Monitor plume migration | | | | |
| 0187 | Deep | 93–98 | End of Monte Vista Dr. | Monitor plume migration | | | | |
| 0188 | Intermediate | 53–58 | West of Gunnison River | Monitor plume migration | | | | |
| 0189 | Deep | 93–98 | West of Gunnison River | Monitor plume migration | | | | |
| 0160 | Intermediate | 51–56 | West of Gunnison River | Adjacent to IC boundary | | | | |
| 0161 | Deep | 93–98 | West of Gunnison River | Adjacent to IC boundary | | | | |
| Surface Water | | | | | | | | |
| 0248 | N | Ą | Tomichi Creek | Downstream of gravel pit pond | | | | |
| 0250 | N | Ą | Gunnison River | Potential aquifer discharge | | | | |
| 0777 | N | Ą | Tomichi Creek | Potential aquifer discharge | | | | |
| 0780 | N | Ą | Gravel pit pond | Gravel pit—aquifer discharge to pond | | | | |
| 0792 | N | Ą | Gunnison River | Upstream of IC boundary—background | | | | |
| 0795 | N | Ą | Gunnison River | Potential aquifer discharge | | | | |
| Domestic Well | S | Use | | | | | | |
| 0476 | Shallow | Potable | West of Gunnison River | Verify low COPC concentrations | | | | |
| 0477 | Shallow | Potable | West of Gunnison River | Verify low COPC concentrations | | | | |
| 0478 | Shallow | Potable | West of Gunnison River | Verify low COPC concentrations | | | | |
| 0667 | Shallow | Potable | West of Gunnison River | Verify low COPC concentrations | | | | |
| 0683 | Shallow | Potable | West of Gunnison River | Verify low COPC concentrations | | | | |

Table 1. Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring at the Gunnison Site

^a Monitoring wells listed in the same table cell are co-located.

4.0 Results of 2011 Monitoring

Analytical data for uranium and manganese along with field measurements from DOE monitoring wells, domestic wells, and surface water for 2011 are provided in Appendixes A through C, respectively. Water level data collected in 2011 are provided in Appendix D. The distributions of uranium and manganese in groundwater in the alluvial aquifer, based on the 2011 sampling event, are shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4, respectively. Time-concentration plots for uranium and manganese in DOE monitoring wells, domestic wells, and surface water from 1997 (post-remedial action) through 2011 are presented in Figures 5 through 16 located at the end of this section.

4.1 DOE Monitoring Wells

Though not separated lithologically, the alluvial aquifer (up to 130 ft thick) has been divided into three approximate depth zones to facilitate monitoring (wells are screened to monitor these zones separately) and discussion of vertical contaminant migration: (1) shallow zone from 6 to 23 ft, (2) intermediate zone from 34 to 60 ft, and (3) deep zone from 87 to 98 ft (Table 1). Time-concentration plots for uranium and manganese in DOE monitoring wells have been grouped by monitoring wells on site and in three downgradient sectors to show the relationship between distance downgradient of the site and depth in the aquifer.

Results from the 2011 sampling event indicate that uranium in groundwater is still generally decreasing and migrating deeper in the alluvial sequence while progressing downgradient from the former mill site, which is consistent with historical data. Concentrations of uranium in groundwater in the shallow zone exceeded the MCL of 0.044 mg/L in two of three wells on the former mill site (Figure 5) and in one well immediately downgradient of the site (0013) (Figure 6). The MCL was exceeded in intermediate zone well 0113 (immediately downgradient of the site) but was not exceeded in any other intermediate zone well (Figure 6 and Figure 7). The MCL was exceeded in one deep zone well (0183) 4,400 ft downgradient of the site (Figure 8). Table 2 summarizes the distribution of uranium throughout the alluvial aquifer in each of the three zones.

Concentrations of manganese in groundwater beneath the Gunnison site continue to be slightly above the DWEL of 1.6 mg/L in wells in the intermediate zone, with concentrations below the DWEL in the shallow zone (Figure 9). Downgradient of the site, samples collected from monitoring wells 0113 in the intermediate zone and 0135 in the shallow zone had manganese concentrations that exceeded the DWEL (Figure 10 and Figure 11). Manganese does not appear to be widespread farther downgradient in the alluvial aquifer (Figure 12).

4.2 Domestic Wells

Concentrations of uranium in groundwater in the domestic buffer zone wells (northwest of the Gunnison River) downgradient of the site are below the MCL of 0.044 mg/L and below the action level set by CDPHE of 0.020 mg/L (Figure 13). Concentrations of manganese in groundwater in the domestic wells are below the DWEL of 1.6 mg/L (Figure 14).

| Table 2. Summary of 201 | Uranium Distribution | at the Gunnison Site |
|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|

| Area | Zone | Wells | Uranium Concentration ^a (mg/L) | | |
|----------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Shallow | 0002 | 0.0029 | | |
| Opgradient | Intermediate | 0102 | 0.0042 | | |
| | | | | | |
| On Site and Just Off | Shallow | 0005, 0006, 0012R, 0013 | 0.278 | | |
| Site | Intermediate | 0105, 0106, 0112, 0113 | 0.067 | | |
| | | | | | |
| Downgradient | Shallow | 0125, 0135, 0181 | 0.008 | | |
| (Before Gunnison | Intermediate | 0062, 0065, 0066, 0126, 0136, 0186 | 0.018 | | |
| River) | Deep | 0063, 0064, 0127, 0183, 0187 | 0.021 | | |
| | | | | | |
| Downgradient | Intermediate | 0160, 0188 | 0.0245 | | |
| River) | Deep | 0161, 0189 | 0.017 | | |

^a Uranium concentrations from 2011 sampling event. Where more than one well is listed, the concentration is the 2011 mean value.

4.3 Surface Water

Concentrations of uranium in surface water in the Gunnison River during 2011 were very low (0.00076 to 0.00084 mg/L) and indicative of high-runoff flows from melting of the mountain snowpack. In addition, there was no significant difference between upstream (background) and downstream uranium concentrations, indicating that water quality in the river was not affected by discharge of alluvial groundwater. The concentration of uranium (0.031 mg/L) in surface water in the gravel pit pond (0780) continued to be elevated above background; however, elevated uranium concentrations are expected as the pond receives discharge of alluvial groundwater (Figure 15).

In 2006 the private landowner rerouted Tomichi Creek to its original channel to establish a conservation area. Location 0248, which is approximately 1,500 ft downstream of the gravel pit pond discharge point, is on the abandoned portion of the channel. The water in the abandoned channel is composed of discharge from the pond, flow through the diversion structure, and groundwater discharge. Concerns have been raised that low flows in the abandoned channel could concentrate uranium by evaporation and groundwater discharge. In 2011, the concentration of uranium in the sample collected from location 0248 (0.012 mg/L) was elevated compared to background, indicating some influence from these factors; however, the concentration is below the groundwater MCL. The concentration of uranium in the sample collected farther downstream on Tomichi Creek at location 0777 was lower (0.005 mg/L) because of dilution as the rerouted creek merges back into a single channel.

Concentrations of manganese in surface water are well below the DWEL of 1.6 mg/L (Figure 16).



Figure 3. Uranium Distribution from April 2011 Sampling at the Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site



Figure 4. Manganese Distribution from April 2011 Sampling at the Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site



Figure 5. Uranium Concentrations in Groundwater: On-Site DOE Monitoring Wells at the Gunnison Site







Figure 6. Uranium Concentrations in Groundwater: Downgradient DOE Monitoring Wells—Pasture, near the Gunnison Site

U.S. Department of Energy December 2011





Figure 7. Uranium Concentrations in Groundwater: Downgradient DOE Monitoring Wells—Golf Course and Residential, near the Gunnison Site





Figure 8. Uranium Concentrations in Groundwater: Downgradient DOE Monitoring Wells—West of the Gunnison River, Near the Gunnison Site



Figure 9. Manganese Concentrations in Groundwater: On-Site DOE Monitoring Wells at the Gunnison Site



Figure 10. Manganese Concentrations in Groundwater: Downgradient DOE Monitoring Wells—Pasture, near the Gunnison Site

U.S. Department of Energy December 2011



Note: A hollow symbol denotes an analytical result below the detection limit.

Figure 11. Manganese Concentrations in Groundwater: Downgradient DOE Monitoring Wells—Golf Course and Residential, near the Gunnison Site





Note: A hollow symbol denotes an analytical result below the detection limit.

Figure 12. Manganese Concentrations in Groundwater: Downgradient DOE Monitoring Wells—West of the Gunnison River, near the Gunnison Site







Figure 13. Uranium Concentrations in Groundwater: Domestic Wells Downgradient from the Gunnison Site



Note: A hollow symbol denotes an analytical result below the detection limit.

Figure 14. Manganese Concentrations in Groundwater: Domestic Wells Downgradient from the Gunnison Site

U.S. Department of Energy December 2011







Figure 15. Uranium Concentrations in Surface Water near the Gunnison Site

2011 Verification Monitoring Report—Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site Doc. No. S08005 Page 23



5.0 Natural Flushing Assessment

Groundwater flow and transport modeling predicted that uranium concentrations in alluvial groundwater will decrease to levels below EPA's 40 CFR 192 groundwater standard within 100 years. To assess the progress of natural flushing, a trend analysis using the Mann-Kendall test (Gilbert 1987) was performed to assess the temporal behavior of uranium concentrations. This test determines if an upward trend, a downward trend, or no trend exists at a 5 percent level of significance. Table 3 shows the trend analysis, which includes 1997 – 2011 uranium sampling data, and lists 2011 uranium concentrations. Observations from Table 3 included the following:

- For the 26 monitoring wells listed in Table 3; 4 wells had upward trends, 12 wells had no trend, and 10 wells had downward trends.
- 5 out of the 26 monitoring wells had uranium concentrations that exceeded the uranium MCL. For the five monitoring wells where the uranium levels exceeded the MCL, three wells had downward trends and two had no trend.
- Uranium concentration in shallow zone monitoring well 0006 on the former mill site remains relatively high (0.64 mg/L) with a downward trend. Uranium concentrations in this well have been highly variable (1 mg/L in 2009), which indicates a possible localized, continual source of uranium from supplemental standards areas.
- Uranium concentration of 0.310 mg/L in shallow zone monitoring well 0012R located on the former mill site is significantly lower than that in monitoring well 0006 and has an overall downward trend, which indicates the source contributing to the high concentrations in monitoring well 0006 is localized.
- Upward trends in the intermediate zone (wells 0106 and 0112) on the mill site indicate that uranium is migrating vertically downward from the shallow zone.
- Immediately downgradient of the former mill site, uranium trends are generally downward, which indicates that RRM on the former mill site is not having a widespread effect on the alluvial aquifer.
- In the monitoring wells farthest downgradient of the mill site, uranium trends are upward (although concentrations are below the MCL), which indicates that natural flushing processes are effective in transporting uranium through the aquifer to these wells approximately 1.4 miles downgradient of the former mill site.

Figure 17 shows the comparison of uranium concentrations predicted by groundwater flow and transport modeling to actual concentrations determined by analysis of groundwater samples from intermediate zone monitoring well 0113. This intermediate zone monitoring well was selected as an indicator of natural flushing progress because of its depth and location adjacent to and immediately downgradient of the mill site, which is in an area of the aquifer that should be the first to flush as the plume migrates off the former mill site. Additionally, data from this well are used to assess potential aquifer-wide groundwater impacts from the RRM supplemental standard areas remaining on the mill site. As shown in Figure 17, uranium concentrations have historically tracked with concentrations predicted by the groundwater model but deviated from model predictions in 2010 and 2011, when concentrations increased sharply.

| Location | No. of Samples | Trend ^a | 2011 Result (mg/L) | Standard ^b Exceeded in 2011? (Yes/No) |
|------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|---|
| 0005 | 6 | No trend | 0.043 | No |
| 0006 | 17 | Downward | 0.640 | Yes |
| 0012/0012R | 12 | Downward | 0.310 | Yes |
| 0013 | 16 | No trend | 0.120 | Yes |
| 0062 | 7 | No trend | 0.0085 | No |
| 0063 | 7 | No trend | 0.013 | No |
| 0064 | 7 | Downward | 0.0091 | No |
| 0065 | 7 | No trend | 0.028 | No |
| 0066 | 7 | No trend | 0.023 | No |
| 0105 | 7 | No trend | 0.012 | No |
| 0106 | 17 | Upward | 0.014 | No |
| 0112 | 12 | Upward | 0.043 | No |
| 0113 | 17 | Downward | 0.200 | Yes |
| 0125 | 13 | Downward | 0.011 | No |
| 0126 | 17 | Downward | 0.010 | No |
| 0127 | 16 | Downward | 0.015 | No |
| 0135 | 8 | No trend | 0.0024 | No |
| 0136 | 13 | No trend | 0.017 | No |
| 0160 | 15 | Upward | 0.022 | No |
| 0161 | 15 | Upward | 0.019 | No |
| 0181 | 11 | Downward | 0.011 | No |
| 0183 | 14 | No trend | 0.054 | Yes |
| 0186 | 13 | Downward | 0.020 | No |
| 0187 | 6 | Downward | 0.012 | No |
| 0188 | 17 | No trend | 0.027 | No |
| 0189 | 16 | No trend | 0.015 | No |

Table 3. Assessment of Uranium Concentration Trends at the Gunnison Site

^a Data from 1997 to 2011. ^b 0.044 mg/L from 40 CFR 192.

Long-term monitoring of this well will determine if recent increases in uranium concentrations are temporary due to construction activities at the former mill site or are a result of RRM in supplemental standards areas at the former mill site.



Figure 17. Uranium Concentration—Predicted (Blue) and Actual (Red)—In DOE Monitoring Well 0113 at the Gunnison Site

6.0 Conclusions

Concentrations of manganese in the alluvial groundwater are still above the DWEL; however, the distribution and magnitude of manganese concentrations are limited. Samples from five wells in the monitoring network exceed the DWEL, with concentrations less than four times the DWEL.

Concentrations of uranium in the alluvial groundwater beneath the former mill site are above the MCL. The uranium concentration in monitoring well 0006, which completed in the shallow zone, remains high but has a downward trend. Highly variable uranium concentrations in this well indicate that residual soil contamination has a localized effect. Construction activities on the former mill site may be mobilizing uranium in soils and contributing to elevated concentrations in groundwater. If concentrations continue to remain high, the 99 year natural flushing time predicted by groundwater modeling and compliance with the 100 year regulatory time-frame for natural flushing at monitoring well 0006 may be unlikely. Accordingly, the compliance strategy for this site may need to be revised.

Concentrations of uranium in the alluvial groundwater immediately downgradient of the former mill site are generally decreasing with time, indicating that natural flushing is progressing. Concentrations of uranium in groundwater farther downgradient of the site and deeper in the alluvial aquifer are still elevated and increasing in some areas, as expected, as the plume migrates downgradient. Contaminant distribution continues to confirm the site conceptual model of contaminants migrating deeper in the alluvial aquifer with distance from the mill site.

Uranium concentrations in the domestic wells sampled near the processing site were all below the MCL and the CDPHE action levels. Manganese concentrations in these wells were all below the DWEL.

The uranium concentrations in the Gunnison River and Tomichi Creek locations indicate minimal impacts from contaminated groundwater discharge. Uranium concentration at the gravel pit pond (0780) is elevated when compared to background, which is expected because the gravel pit receives discharge of contaminated groundwater. Uranium concentrations in the pond have decreased over time, indicating flushing of the alluvial aquifer.

Groundwater in the alluvial aquifer and surface water in the vicinity of the Gunnison site will continue to be monitored annually to assess the progress of natural flushing. The next update to this report will be compiled after groundwater and surface water monitoring in April 2012.

7.0 References

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2001. *Final Site Observational Work Plan for the Gunnison, Colorado, Uranium Mill Tailings Remedial Action (UMTRA) Project Site,* GJO-2001-214-TAR, Grand Junction Office, Grand Junction, Colorado, March.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2004. Cooperative Agreement DE-FC01-04LM00004.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2007. *Verification Monitoring Report for the Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site*, DOE-LM/1524-2007, Office of Legacy Management, Grand Junction, Colorado, September.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2008. Verification Monitoring Report for the Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site, LMS/GUP/S04496, Office of Legacy Management, Grand Junction, Colorado, August.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2009. Verification Monitoring Report for the Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site, LMS/GUP/S05527, Office of Legacy Management, Grand Junction, Colorado, August.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2010a. 2010 *Verification Monitoring Report for the Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site*, LMS/GUP/S06692, Office of Legacy Management, Grand Junction, Colorado, September.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2010b. *Final Ground Water Compliance Action Plan for the Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site*, LMS/GUP/S06004, Office of Legacy Management, Grand Junction, Colorado, April.

EPA (U. S. Environmental Protection Agency), 2011. 2011 Edition of the Drinking Water Standards and Health Advisories, EPA 822-R-04-005, Winter.

Gilbert, R.O., 1987. *Statistical Methods for Environmental Pollution Monitoring*, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, New York.

Gunnison County, 2004. A Resolution Approving a Special Geographic Area, the New Domestic Well Constraint Area, Board of County Commissioners of Gunnison County Resolution No. 59, Series 2004, November.

Appendix A

Groundwater Quality Data by Parameter for DOE Monitoring Wells

| PARAMETER | UNITS | LOCATION CODE | LOCATION TYPE | SAMPI DATE | _E: ID | ZONE COMPL | FLOW REL. | RESULT | Q LAE | UALIFIEI 3 DATA | RS: QA | DETECTION LIMIT | UN- CERTAINTY |
|-----------|-------|------------------|------------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|--------------|---------|----------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|------------------|
| Manganese | mg/L | 0002 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | U | 0.00044 | В | FJ | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0005 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 0.810 | | F | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0006 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 0.030 | | F | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0012R | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | | 0.410 | | F | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0012R | WL | 04/26/2011 | N002 | AL | | 0.370 | | F | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0013 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 0.019 | | F | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0062 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 0.0013 | В | F | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0063 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 0.021 | | F | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0064 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 0.0071 | | F | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0065 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 0.024 | | F | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0066 | WL | 04/25/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 0.012 | | F | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0102 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | U | 0.0023 | В | F | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0105 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 3.700 | | F | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0106 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 5.200 | | F | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0112 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 4.900 | | F | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0113 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 2.300 | | F | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0125 | WL | 04/28/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 0.051 | | F | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0126 | WL | 04/28/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 0.015 | | F | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0127 | WL | 04/28/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 0.032 | | F | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0135 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 2.700 | | F | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0136 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 0.072 | | F | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0160 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 0.120 | | F | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0161 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 0.0034 | В | F | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0161 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N002 | AL | D | 0.0029 | В | F | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0181 | WL | 04/25/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 0.290 | | F | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0183 | WL | 04/26/2011 | 0001 | AL | D | 0.0012 | В | F | # | 0.00011 | - |

| PARAMETER | UNITS | LOCATION CODE | LOCATION TYPE | SAMPL DATE | .E: ID | ZONE COMPL | FLOW REL. | RESULT | QI LAB | JALIFIEF DATA | RS: QA | DETECTION LIMIT | UN- CERTAINTY |
|----------------------------------|-------|------------------|------------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|--------------|---------|-----------|------------------|-----------|--------------------|------------------|
| Manganese | mg/L | 0186 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 0.00052 | В | FJ | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0187 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 0.990 | | F | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0188 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 0.002 | В | F | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0189 | WL | 04/26/2011 | 0001 | AL | D | 0.810 | | FQ | # | 0.00011 | - |
| Oxidation Reduction Potential | mV | 0002 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | U | 67.7 | | F | # | - | - |
| | mV | 0005 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 18.9 | | F | # | - | - |
| | mV | 0006 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 102.2 | | F | # | - | - |
| | mV | 0012R | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | | 100.0 | | F | # | - | - |
| | mV | 0013 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 85.1 | | F | # | - | - |
| | mV | 0062 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 70.0 | | F | # | - | - |
| | mV | 0063 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 67.6 | | F | # | - | - |
| | mV | 0064 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 50.5 | | F | # | - | - |
| | mV | 0065 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 71 | | F | # | - | - |
| | mV | 0066 | WL | 04/25/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 149.1 | | F | # | - | - |
| | mV | 0102 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | U | 70.0 | | F | # | - | - |
| | mV | 0105 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 31.3 | | F | # | - | - |
| | mV | 0106 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 90.6 | | F | # | - | - |
| | mV | 0112 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 54.9 | | F | # | - | - |
| | mV | 0113 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 71.7 | | F | # | - | - |
| | mV | 0125 | WL | 04/28/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 162.2 | | F | # | - | - |
| | mV | 0126 | WL | 04/28/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 140.9 | | F | # | - | - |
| | mV | 0127 | WL | 04/28/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 136.2 | | F | # | - | - |
| | mV | 0135 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 44.0 | | F | # | - | - |
| | mV | 0136 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 0.1 | | F | # | - | - |
| | mV | 0160 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 62.7 | | F | # | - | - |

| PARAMETER | UNITS | LOCATION CODE | LOCATION TYPE | SAMPL DATE | .E: ID | ZONE COMPL | FLOW REL. | RESULT | QUA LAB I | LIFIEF DATA | RS: QA | DETECTION LIMIT | UN- CERTAINTY |
|----------------------------------|-------|------------------|------------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|--------------|--------|--------------|----------------|-----------|--------------------|------------------|
| Oxidation Reduction Potential | mV | 0161 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 79 | | F | # | - | - |
| | mV | 0181 | WL | 04/25/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 122.6 | | F | # | - | - |
| | mV | 0183 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 113 | | F | # | - | - |
| | mV | 0186 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | -29.9 | | F | # | - | - |
| | mV | 0187 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 54.3 | | F | # | - | - |
| | mV | 0188 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | -25.8 | | F | # | - | - |
| | mV | 0189 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 2.9 | | FQ | # | - | - |
| рН | s.u. | 0002 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | U | 7.28 | | F | # | - | - |
| | s.u. | 0005 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 7.15 | | F | # | - | - |
| | s.u. | 0006 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 6.86 | | F | # | - | - |
| | s.u. | 0012R | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | | 6.89 | | F | # | - | - |
| | s.u. | 0013 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 7.00 | | F | # | - | - |
| | s.u. | 0062 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 7.42 | | F | # | - | - |
| | s.u. | 0063 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 7.47 | | F | # | - | - |
| | s.u. | 0064 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 7.34 | | F | # | - | - |
| | s.u. | 0065 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 7.32 | | F | # | - | - |
| | s.u. | 0066 | WL | 04/25/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 7.16 | | F | # | - | - |
| | s.u. | 0102 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | U | 7.53 | | F | # | - | - |
| | s.u. | 0105 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 6.65 | | F | # | - | - |
| | s.u. | 0106 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 5.88 | | F | # | - | - |
| | s.u. | 0112 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 6.21 | | F | # | - | - |
| | s.u. | 0113 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 6.81 | | F | # | - | - |
| | s.u. | 0125 | WL | 04/28/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 7.14 | | F | # | - | - |
| | s.u. | 0126 | WL | 04/28/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 7.16 | | F | # | - | - |
| | s.u. | 0127 | WL | 04/28/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 7.35 | | F | # | - | - |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| PARAMETER | UNITS | LOCATION CODE | LOCATION TYPE | SAMPL DATE | .E: ID | ZONE COMPL | FLOW REL. | RESULT | QUALIFIER LAB DATA | S: QA | DETECTION LIMIT | UN- CERTAINTY |
|----------------------|----------|------------------|------------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|--------------|--------|-----------------------|----------|--------------------|------------------|
| рН | s.u. | 0135 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 6.95 | F | # | - | - |
| | s.u. | 0136 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 7.34 | F | # | - | - |
| | s.u. | 0160 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 6.66 | F | # | - | - |
| | s.u. | 0161 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 6.63 | F | # | - | - |
| | s.u. | 0181 | WL | 04/25/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 6.99 | F | # | - | - |
| | s.u. | 0183 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 6.65 | F | # | - | - |
| | s.u. | 0186 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 7.63 | F | # | - | - |
| | s.u. | 0187 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 6.47 | F | # | - | - |
| | s.u. | 0188 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 7.19 | F | # | - | - |
| | s.u. | 0189 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 6.32 | FQ | # | - | - |
| Specific Conductance | umhos/cm | 0002 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | U | 555 | F | # | - | - |
| | umhos/cm | 0005 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 540 | F | # | - | - |
| | umhos/cm | 0006 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 2179 | F | # | - | - |
| | umhos/cm | 0012R | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | | 1182 | F | # | - | - |
| | umhos/cm | 0013 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 1005 | F | # | - | - |
| | umhos/cm | 0062 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 539 | F | # | - | - |
| | umhos/cm | 0063 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 515 | F | # | - | - |
| | umhos/cm | 0064 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 478 | F | # | - | - |
| | umhos/cm | 0065 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 722 | F | # | - | - |
| | umhos/cm | 0066 | WL | 04/25/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 508 | F | # | - | - |
| | umhos/cm | 0102 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | U | 588 | F | # | - | - |
| | umhos/cm | 0105 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 527 | F | # | - | - |
| | umhos/cm | 0106 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 1936 | F | # | - | - |
| | umhos/cm | 0112 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 930 | F | # | - | - |
| | umhos/cm | 0113 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 788 | F | # | - | - |
| | umhos/cm | 0125 | WL | 04/28/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 637 | F | # | - | - |

| PARAMETER | UNITS | LOCATION CODE | LOCATION TYPE | SAMPL DATE | .E: ID | ZONE COMPL | FLOW REL. | RESULT | QUALIF LAB DA | IERS: TA QA | DETECTION LIMIT | UN- CERTAINTY |
|----------------------|----------|------------------|------------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|--------------|--------|------------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Specific Conductance | umhos/cm | 0126 | WL | 04/28/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 692 | F | # | - | - |
| | umhos/cm | 0127 | WL | 04/28/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 754 | F | # | - | - |
| | umhos/cm | 0135 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 474 | F | # | - | - |
| | umhos/cm | 0136 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 763 | F | # | - | - |
| | umhos/cm | 0160 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 786 | F | # | - | - |
| | umhos/cm | 0161 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 842 | F | # | - | - |
| | umhos/cm | 0181 | WL | 04/25/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 570 | F | # | - | - |
| | umhos/cm | 0183 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 1155 | F | # | - | - |
| | umhos/cm | 0186 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 604 | F | # | - | - |
| | umhos/cm | 0187 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 800 | F | # | - | - |
| | umhos/cm | 0188 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 731 | F | # | - | - |
| | umhos/cm | 0189 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 2113 | F | ຊ # | - | - |
| Temperature | С | 0002 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | U | 7.71 | F | # | - | - |
| | С | 0005 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 5.39 | F | # | - | - |
| | С | 0006 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 7.14 | F | # | - | - |
| | С | 0012R | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | | 6.05 | F | # | - | - |
| | С | 0013 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 6.86 | F | # | - | - |
| | С | 0062 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 7.70 | F | # | - | - |
| | С | 0063 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 7.65 | F | # | - | - |
| | С | 0064 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 7.65 | F | # | - | - |
| | С | 0065 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 10.41 | F | # | - | - |
| | С | 0066 | WL | 04/25/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 6.81 | F | # | - | - |
| | С | 0102 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | U | 9.37 | F | # | - | - |
| | С | 0105 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 8.15 | F | # | - | - |
| | С | 0106 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 7.82 | F | # | - | - |
| | С | 0112 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 7.99 | F | # | - | - |

| PARAMETER | UNITS | LOCATION CODE | LOCATION TYPE | SAMPL DATE | .E: ID | ZONE COMPL | FLOW REL. | RESULT | QUA LAB | LIFIER DATA | S: QA | DETECTION LIMIT | UN- CERTAINTY |
|-------------|-------|------------------|------------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|--------------|--------|------------|----------------|----------|--------------------|------------------|
| Temperature | С | 0113 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 10.21 | | F | # | - | - |
| | С | 0125 | WL | 04/28/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 5.50 | | F | # | - | - |
| | С | 0126 | WL | 04/28/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 7.79 | | F | # | - | - |
| | С | 0127 | WL | 04/28/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 7.26 | | F | # | - | - |
| | С | 0135 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 5.66 | | F | # | - | - |
| | С | 0136 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 8.28 | | F | # | - | - |
| | С | 0160 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 6.54 | | F | # | - | - |
| | С | 0161 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 6.85 | | F | # | - | - |
| | С | 0181 | WL | 04/25/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 7.30 | | F | # | - | - |
| | С | 0183 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 6.17 | | F | # | - | - |
| | С | 0186 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 6.11 | | F | # | - | - |
| | С | 0187 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 6.78 | | F | # | - | - |
| | С | 0188 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 6.52 | | F | # | - | - |
| | С | 0189 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 5.90 | | FQ | # | - | - |
| Turbidity | NTU | 0002 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | U | 3.54 | | F | # | - | - |
| | NTU | 0005 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 3.36 | | F | # | - | - |
| | NTU | 0006 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 9.20 | | F | # | - | - |
| | NTU | 0012R | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | | 7.29 | | F | # | - | - |
| | NTU | 0013 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 1.89 | | F | # | - | - |
| | NTU | 0062 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 1.44 | | F | # | - | - |
| | NTU | 0063 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 3.79 | | F | # | - | - |
| | NTU | 0064 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 1.70 | | F | # | - | - |
| | NTU | 0065 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 5.58 | | F | # | - | - |
| | NTU | 0066 | WL | 04/25/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 3.95 | | F | # | - | - |
| | NTU | 0102 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | U | 4.21 | | F | # | - | - |
| | NTU | 0105 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 4.92 | | F | # | - | - |

| PARAMETER | UNITS | LOCATION CODE | LOCATION TYPE | SAMPL DATE | .E: ID | ZONE COMPL | FLOW REL. | RESULT I | QUAL LAB D | _IFIER DATA | S: QA | DETECTION LIMIT | UN- CERTAINTY |
|-----------|-------|------------------|------------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|--------------|----------|---------------|----------------|----------|--------------------|------------------|
| Turbidity | NTU | 0106 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 8.49 | | F | # | - | - |
| | NTU | 0112 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 5.64 | | F | # | - | - |
| | NTU | 0113 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 5.58 | | F | # | - | - |
| | NTU | 0125 | WL | 04/28/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 3.02 | | F | # | - | - |
| | NTU | 0126 | WL | 04/28/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 6.84 | | F | # | - | - |
| | NTU | 0127 | WL | 04/28/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 1.86 | | F | # | - | - |
| | NTU | 0135 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 4.25 | | F | # | - | - |
| | NTU | 0136 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 2.76 | | F | # | - | - |
| | NTU | 0160 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 9.74 | | F | # | - | - |
| | NTU | 0161 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 5.07 | | F | # | - | - |
| | NTU | 0181 | WL | 04/25/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 7.48 | | F | # | - | - |
| | NTU | 0183 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 22.2 | | F | # | - | - |
| | NTU | 0186 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 8.68 | | F | # | - | - |
| | NTU | 0187 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 4.65 | | F | # | - | - |
| | NTU | 0188 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 4.65 | | F | # | - | - |
| | NTU | 0189 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 12.2 | | FQ | # | - | - |
| Uranium | mg/L | 0002 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | U | 0.0029 | | F | # | 2.9E-05 | - |
| | mg/L | 0005 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 0.043 | | F | # | 2.9E-05 | - |
| | mg/L | 0006 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 0.640 | | F | # | 0.00015 | - |
| | mg/L | 0012R | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | | 0.310 | | F | # | 0.00015 | - |
| | mg/L | 0012R | WL | 04/26/2011 | N002 | AL | | 0.280 | | F | # | 0.00015 | - |
| | mg/L | 0013 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 0.120 | | F | # | 0.00015 | - |
| | mg/L | 0062 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 0.0085 | | F | # | 2.9E-05 | - |
| | mg/L | 0063 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 0.013 | | F | # | 2.9E-05 | - |
| | mg/L | 0064 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 0.0091 | | F | # | 2.9E-05 | - |
| | mg/L | 0065 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 0.028 | | F | # | 2.9E-05 | - |

| PARAMETER | UNITS | LOCATION CODE | LOCATION TYPE | SAMPL DATE | .E: ID | ZONE COMPL | FLOW REL. | RESULT | QUALIFIER LAB DATA | S: QA | DETECTION LIMIT | UN- CERTAINTY |
|-----------|-------|------------------|------------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|--------------|--------|-----------------------|----------|--------------------|------------------|
| Uranium | mg/L | 0066 | WL | 04/25/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 0.023 | F | # | 2.9E-05 | - |
| | mg/L | 0102 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | U | 0.0042 | F | # | 2.9E-05 | - |
| | mg/L | 0105 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 0.012 | F | # | 2.9E-05 | - |
| | mg/L | 0106 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | Ο | 0.014 | F | # | 2.9E-05 | - |
| | mg/L | 0112 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | 0 | 0.043 | F | # | 2.9E-05 | - |
| | mg/L | 0113 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 0.200 | F | # | 0.00015 | - |
| | mg/L | 0125 | WL | 04/28/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 0.011 | F | # | 2.9E-05 | - |
| | mg/L | 0126 | WL | 04/28/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 0.010 | F | # | 2.9E-05 | - |
| | mg/L | 0127 | WL | 04/28/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 0.015 | F | # | 2.9E-05 | - |
| | mg/L | 0135 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 0.0024 | F | # | 2.9E-05 | - |
| | mg/L | 0136 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 0.017 | F | # | 2.9E-05 | - |
| | mg/L | 0160 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 0.022 | F | # | 2.9E-05 | - |
| | mg/L | 0161 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 0.019 | F | # | 2.9E-05 | - |
| | mg/L | 0161 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N002 | AL | D | 0.018 | F | # | 2.9E-05 | - |
| | mg/L | 0181 | WL | 04/25/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 0.011 | F | # | 2.9E-05 | - |
| | mg/L | 0183 | WL | 04/26/2011 | 0001 | AL | D | 0.054 | F | # | 2.9E-05 | - |
| | mg/L | 0186 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 0.020 | F | # | 2.9E-05 | - |
| | mg/L | 0187 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 0.012 | F | # | 2.9E-05 | - |
| | mg/L | 0188 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | D | 0.027 | F | # | 2.9E-05 | - |
| | mg/L | 0189 | WL | 04/26/2011 | 0001 | AL | D | 0.015 | FQ | # | 2.9E-05 | - |

| PARAME | TER UNITS | LOCATION CODE | LOCATION TYPE | SAMPLI DATE | E: ZONE ID COMPL | FLOW REL. | R | ESULT I | QU/ LAB | ALIFIERS DATA | S: DETECTION QA LIMIT | N UN- CERTAINTY | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|---|------------------------------------|--------------|--|------------------|------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|--|
| RECORDS | S: SELECTED FROM USEE200 in('0002','0005','0006','0012R',' (data_validation_qualifiers IS N #5/30/2011# | WHERE site_code 0013','0062','0063', IULL OR data_valio | ='GUN01' ANI '0064','0065',' dation_qualifie | D location_code 0066','0102','0105', rs NOT LIKE '%R9 | '0106','0112','0113', %' AND data_valida | 0125','0126','0 tion_qualifiers |)127' NOT | ,'0135','0136','01 Г LIKE '%X%') A | 160','0 AND E | 161','0181 DATE_SAM | ','0183','0186','0187','(/IPLED between #4/1/ | 0188','0189') AND 2011# and | | |
| SAMPLE I | D CODES: 000X = Filtered samp | ole. N00X = Unfilt | ered sample. | X = replicate nun | nber. | | | | | | | | | |
| LOCATION | N TYPES: WL WELL | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ZONES OF | ZONES OF COMPLETION: a zone of completion with a "-" is cross-screened and, therefore, has two zones of completion (1st zone - 2nd zone). AL ALLUVIUM FLOW CODES: D D DOWN GRADIENT O ON-SITE U UPGRADIENT | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FLOW CO | DES: D DOWN GRADIENT | O ON-SITE | | U UPGRADI | ENT | | | | | | | | | |
| LAB QUAL | _IFIERS: | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * Rep | olicate analysis not within control li | mits. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| + C01 | sult above upper detection limit | 5. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A TIC | is a suspected aldol-condensation | n product. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B Inoi | rganic: Result is between the IDL | and CRDL. Organi | ic & Radioche | mistry: Analyte als | o found in method b | lank. | | | | | | | | |
| C Pes | sticide result confirmed by GC-MS. | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D Ana | alyte determined in diluted sample. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E Inoi | rganic: Estimate value because of | interference, see c | ase narrative. | Organic: Analyte | exceeded calibration | n range of the | GC- | MS. | | | | | | |
| H Hol | ding time expired, value suspect. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| I Incr | reased detection limit due to requir | ed dilution. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| J Est | imated | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| M GF | AA duplicate injection precision no | t met. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| N Inoi | rganic or radiochemical: Spike sa | nple recovery not w | vithin control li | mits. Organic: Te | ntatively identified c | ompund (TIC). | | | | | | | | |
| P > 2 | 5% difference in detected pesticide | e or Aroclor concen | trations betwe | en 2 columns. | | | | | | | | | | |
| S Res | sult determined by method of stand | lard addition (MSA) |). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| U Ana | alytical result below detection limit. | nita while comple c | boorbonoo a | 50% of analytical a | nika abaarbanaa | | | | | | | | | |
| VV FUS X Lah | poratory defined (LISEPA CLP or as | nic) qualifier see c | 205010d10e < 3 | 50% OF ANALYLICALS | pike absorbance. | | | | | | | | | |
| Y Lab | poratory defined (USEPA CLP orga | nic) qualifier, see c | ase narrative. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Z Lab | poratory defined (USEPA CLP orga | nic) qualifier, see c | ase narrative. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ALIFIERS: | ,, | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E Low | v flow sampling method used | | G Possi | ole arout contamin | ation nH > 9 | | ı. | Estimated valu | | | | | | |
| L Les | s than 3 bore volumes purged pric | r to sampling. | N Presu analyt | mptive evidence the is "tentatively ide | nat analyte is present entified". | t. The | Q | Qualitative res | sult du | e to sampl | ing technique | | | |
| R Unusable result. U Parameter analyzed for but was not detected. X Location is undefined. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| QA QUALI | IFIER: # = validated according to | Quality Assurance | guidelines. | | | | | | | | | | | |

Appendix B

Groundwater Quality Data by Parameter for Domestic Wells

| PARAMETER | UNITS | LOCATION CODE | LOCATION TYPE | SAMPL DATE | .E: ID | ZONE COMPL | FLOW REL. | RESULT | QI LAB | JALIFIEI DATA | RS: QA | DETECTION LIMIT | UN- CERTAINTY |
|----------------------------------|----------|------------------|------------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|--------------|---------|-----------|------------------|-----------|--------------------|------------------|
| Manganese | mg/L | 0476 | WL | 06/14/2011 | N001 | | | 0.0015 | В | U | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0477 | WL | 05/25/2011 | N001 | | | 0.021 | | | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0478 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | | | 0.620 | | | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0667 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | Ν | 0.00055 | В | | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0683 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | Ν | 0.00044 | В | J | # | 0.00011 | - |
| Oxidation Reduction Potential | mV | 0476 | WL | 06/14/2011 | N001 | | | 120 | | | # | - | - |
| | mV | 0477 | WL | 05/25/2011 | N001 | | | 130.9 | | | # | - | - |
| | mV | 0478 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | | | 87.9 | | | # | - | - |
| | mV | 0667 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | Ν | 51.4 | | | # | - | - |
| | mV | 0683 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | Ν | 89.0 | | | # | - | - |
| рН | s.u. | 0476 | WL | 06/14/2011 | N001 | | | 6.67 | | | # | - | - |
| | s.u. | 0477 | WL | 05/25/2011 | N001 | | | 7.71 | | | # | - | - |
| | s.u. | 0478 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | | | 7.39 | | | # | - | - |
| | s.u. | 0667 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | Ν | 7.29 | | | # | - | - |
| | s.u. | 0683 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | Ν | 7.39 | | | # | - | - |
| Specific Conductance | umhos/cm | 0476 | WL | 06/14/2011 | N001 | | | 255 | | | # | - | - |
| | umhos/cm | 0477 | WL | 05/25/2011 | N001 | | | 234 | | | # | - | - |
| | umhos/cm | 0478 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | | | 310 | | | # | - | - |
| | umhos/cm | 0667 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | Ν | 217 | | | # | - | - |
| | umhos/cm | 0683 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | Ν | 295 | | | # | - | - |
| Temperature | С | 0476 | WL | 06/14/2011 | N001 | | | 15.6 | | | # | - | - |
| | С | 0477 | WL | 05/25/2011 | N001 | | | 10.81 | | | # | - | - |
| | С | 0478 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | | | 14.37 | | | # | - | - |
| | С | 0667 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | Ν | 9.14 | | | # | - | - |
| | С | 0683 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | Ν | 15.50 | | | # | - | - |

| PARAMETER | UNITS | LOCATION CODE | LOCATION TYPE | SAMPL DATE | LE: ID | ZONE COMPL | FLOW REL. | RESULT L | QUALIFIERS: AB DATA QA | DETECTION LIMIT | UN- CERTAINTY |
|-----------|-------|------------------|------------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|--------------|-----------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Turbidity | NTU | 0476 | WL | 06/14/2011 | N001 | | | 1.17 | # | - | - |
| | NTU | 0477 | WL | 05/25/2011 | N001 | | | 8.2 | # | - | - |
| | NTU | 0478 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | | | 4.85 | # | - | - |
| | NTU | 0667 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | Ν | 3.52 | # | - | - |
| | NTU | 0683 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | Ν | 5.99 | # | - | - |
| Uranium | mg/L | 0476 | WL | 06/14/2011 | N001 | | | 0.0016 E* | J # | 2.9E-05 | - |
| | mg/L | 0477 | WL | 05/25/2011 | N001 | | | 0.0012 | # | 2.9E-05 | - |
| | mg/L | 0478 | WL | 04/27/2011 | N001 | | | 0.003 | # | 2.9E-05 | - |
| | mg/L | 0667 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | Ν | 0.00097 | # | 2.9E-05 | - |
| | mg/L | 0683 | WL | 04/26/2011 | N001 | AL | Ν | 0.0035 | # | 2.9E-05 | - |

| PAR | AMETER | UNITS | LOCATION CODE | LOCA TY | ATION SAN PE DATE | PLE: ID | ZONE COMPL | FLOW REL. | R | ESULT | QU/ LAB | ALIFIERS: DATA QA | DETECTION LIMIT | UN- CERTAINTY |
|-------|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|--|------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|------------------------|------------|----------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| RECO | ORDS: SELECTED FRO LIKE '%R%' AND | M USEE200 V 0 data_validati | VHERE site_code on_qualifiers NOT | ='GUN LIKE ' | 01' AND location_coo %X%') AND DATE_ | e in('0476', AMPLED | '0477','0478',' between #3/1 | 0667','0683') ⁄2011# and # | AND 7/1/2 | (data_validati 011# | ion_qual | lifiers IS NULL | OR data_validation | _qualifiers NOT |
| SAMF | PLE ID CODES: 000X = | Filtered samp | le. N00X = Unfilt | ered sa | ample. X = replicate | number. | | | | | | | | |
| LOCA | TION TYPES: WL WE | LL | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ZONE | S OF COMPLETION: | a zone of co | mpletion with a "-" | is cros | s-screened and, ther | fore, has t | wo zones of c | ompletion (1s | st zon | e - 2nd zone). | | | | |
| Al | _ ALLUVIUM | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FLOV | CODES: N UNKNO | OWN | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LAB (| QUALIFIERS: | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * | Replicate analysis not wi | thin control lin | nits. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| + | Correlation coefficient for | r MSA < 0.995 | j. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| > | Result above upper dete | ction limit. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Α | TIC is a suspected aldol- | -condensation | product. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| В | B Inorganic: Result is between the IDL and CRDL. Organic & Radiochemistry: Analyte also found in method blank. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| С | Pesticide result confirme | d by GC-MS. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D | Analyte determined in dil | uted sample. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E | Inorganic: Estimate valu | e because of | interference, see o | case na | arrative. Organic: An | lyte excee | ded calibratio | n range of the | e GC· | MS. | | | | |
| н | Holding time expired, val | ue suspect. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| I | Increased detection limit | due to require | ed dilution. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| J | Estimated | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| М | GFAA duplicate injection | precision not | met. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ν | Inorganic or radiochemic | al: Spike sam | ple recovery not v | vithin co | ontrol limits. Organic | Tentative | ly identified co | mpund (TIC) |). | | | | | |
| Р | > 25% difference in dete | cted pesticide | or Aroclor concen | trations | s between 2 columns | | | | | | | | | |
| S | Result determined by me | ethod of stand | ard addition (MSA) |). | | | | | | | | | | |
| U | Analytical result below de | etection limit. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| W | Post-digestion spike outs | side control lin | nits while sample a | absorba | ance < 50% of analyti | al spike at | sorbance. | | | | | | | |
| Х | Laboratory defined (USE | PA CLP orga | nic) qualifier, see c | case na | arrative. | | | | | | | | | |
| Y | Laboratory defined (USE | PA CLP orga | nic) qualifier, see o | case na | arrative. | | | | | | | | | |
| Z | Laboratory defined (USE | PA CLP orga | nic) qualifier, see c | case na | arrative. | | | | | | | | | |
| DATA | QUALIFIERS: | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| F | Low flow sampling method | od used. | | G | Possible grout conta | mination, p |)H > 9. | | J | Estimated va | alue. | | | |
| L | Less than 3 bore volume | s purged prior | to sampling. | Ν | Presumptive eviden | e that ana | lyte is present '. | . The | Q | Qualitative r | esult du | e to sampling to | echnique | |
| R | Unusable result. | | | U | Parameter analyzed | for but was | not detected | | Х | Location is u | undefine | d. | | |

QA QUALIFIER: # = validated according to Quality Assurance guidelines.

Appendix C

Surface Water Quality Data by Parameter

SURFACE WATER QUALITY DATA BY PARAMETER (USEE800) FOR SITE GUN01, Gunnison Processing Site REPORT DATE: 7/26/2011 12:30 pm

| PARAMETER | UNITS | LOCATION CODE | SAMPL DATE | .E: ID | RESULT | QUALIFIERS: LAB DATA QA | DET | ECTION | UN- CERTAINTY |
|----------------------------------|----------|------------------|---------------|-----------|--------|----------------------------|-----|---------|------------------|
| Manganese | mg/L | 0248 | 04/27/2011 | N001 | 0.100 | | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0250 | 04/25/2011 | N001 | 0.031 | | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0777 | 04/25/2011 | 0001 | 0.040 | | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0780 | 04/26/2011 | N001 | 0.020 | | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0792 | 04/26/2011 | N001 | 0.035 | | # | 0.00011 | - |
| | mg/L | 0795 | 04/26/2011 | N001 | 0.030 | | # | 0.00011 | - |
| Oxidation Reduction Potential | mV | 0248 | 04/27/2011 | N001 | 79.4 | | # | - | - |
| | mV | 0250 | 04/25/2011 | N001 | 132.5 | | # | - | - |
| | mV | 0777 | 04/25/2011 | N001 | 180.6 | | # | - | - |
| | mV | 0780 | 04/26/2011 | N001 | 85.3 | | # | - | - |
| | mV | 0792 | 04/26/2011 | N001 | 137 | | # | - | - |
| | mV | 0795 | 04/26/2011 | N001 | 45.5 | | # | - | - |
| pН | s.u. | 0248 | 04/27/2011 | N001 | 8.06 | | # | - | - |
| | s.u. | 0250 | 04/25/2011 | N001 | 8.27 | | # | - | - |
| | s.u. | 0777 | 04/25/2011 | N001 | 8.20 | | # | - | - |
| | s.u. | 0780 | 04/26/2011 | N001 | 8.26 | | # | - | - |
| | s.u. | 0792 | 04/26/2011 | N001 | 7.19 | | # | - | - |
| | s.u. | 0795 | 04/26/2011 | N001 | 8.11 | | # | - | - |
| Specific Conductance | umhos/cm | 0248 | 04/27/2011 | N001 | 398 | | # | - | - |
| | umhos/cm | 0250 | 04/25/2011 | N001 | 216 | | # | - | - |
| | umhos/cm | 0777 | 04/25/2011 | N001 | 265 | | # | - | - |
| | umhos/cm | 0780 | 04/26/2011 | N001 | 517 | | # | - | - |
| | umhos/cm | 0792 | 04/26/2011 | N001 | 305 | | # | - | - |
| | umhos/cm | 0795 | 04/26/2011 | N001 | 217 | | # | - | - |
| Temperature | С | 0248 | 04/27/2011 | N001 | 8.45 | | # | - | - |
| | С | 0250 | 04/25/2011 | N001 | 8.55 | | # | - | - |
| | С | 0777 | 04/25/2011 | N001 | 8.18 | | # | - | - |
| | С | 0780 | 04/26/2011 | N001 | 8.78 | | # | - | - |
| | С | 0792 | 04/26/2011 | N001 | 4.79 | | # | - | - |
| | С | 0795 | 04/26/2011 | N001 | 4.34 | | # | - | - |
| Turbidity | NTU | 0248 | 04/27/2011 | N001 | 7.13 | | # | - | - |
| | NTU | 0250 | 04/25/2011 | N001 | 7.16 | | # | - | - |
| | NTU | 0777 | 04/25/2011 | N001 | 26.3 | | # | - | - |
| | NTU | 0780 | 04/26/2011 | N001 | 7.87 | | # | - | - |
| | NTU | 0792 | 04/26/2011 | N001 | 9.74 | | # | - | - |
| | NTU | 0795 | 04/26/2011 | N001 | 8.15 | | # | - | - |

SURFACE WATER QUALITY DATA BY PARAMETER (USEE800) FOR SITE GUN01, Gunnison Processing Site REPORT DATE: 7/26/2011 12:30 pm

| PARAMETER | UNITS | LOCATION CODE | SAMPL DATE | .E: ID | RESULT | QUALIFIER LAB DATA | s: de Qa | ETECTION LIMIT | UN- CERTAINTY |
|-----------|-------|------------------|---------------|-----------|--------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Uranium | mg/L | 0248 | 04/27/2011 | N001 | 0.012 | | # | 2.9E-05 | - |
| | mg/L | 0250 | 04/25/2011 | N001 | 0.0007 | | # | 2.9E-05 | - |
| | mg/L | 0777 | 04/25/2011 | 0001 | 0.005 | | # | 2.9E-05 | - |
| | mg/L | 0780 | 04/26/2011 | N001 | 0.031 | | # | 2.9E-05 | - |
| | mg/L | 0792 | 04/26/2011 | N001 | 0.0007 | | # | 2.9E-05 | - |
| | mg/L | 0795 | 04/26/2011 | N001 | 0.0008 | | # | 2.9E-05 | - |

RECORDS: SELECTED FROM USEE800 WHERE site_code='GUN01' AND quality_assurance = TRUE AND (data_validation_qualifiers IS NULL OR data_validation_qualifiers NOT LIKE '%R%' AND data_validation_qualifiers NOT LIKE '%X%') AND DATE_SAMPLED between #3/1/2011# and #7/1/2011#

SAMPLE ID CODES: 000X = Filtered sample. N00X = Unfiltered sample. X = replicate number.

LAB QUALIFIERS:

- * Replicate analysis not within control limits.
- + Correlation coefficient for MSA < 0.995.
- > Result above upper detection limit.
- A TIC is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
- B Inorganic: Result is between the IDL and CRDL. Organic & Radiochemistry: Analyte also found in method blank.
- C Pesticide result confirmed by GC-MS.
- D Analyte determined in diluted sample.
- E Inorganic: Estimate value because of interference, see case narrative. Organic: Analyte exceeded calibration range of the GC-MS.
- H Holding time expired, value suspect.
- I Increased detection limit due to required dilution.
- J Estimated
- M GFAA duplicate injection precision not met.
- N Inorganic or radiochemical: Spike sample recovery not within control limits. Organic: Tentatively identified compund (TIC).
- P > 25% difference in detected pesticide or Aroclor concentrations between 2 columns.
- S Result determined by method of standard addition (MSA).
- U Analytical result below detection limit.
- W Post-digestion spike outside control limits while sample absorbance < 50% of analytical spike absorbance.
- X Laboratory defined (USEPA CLP organic) qualifier, see case narrative.
- Y Laboratory defined (USEPA CLP organic) qualifier, see case narrative.
- Z Laboratory defined (USEPA CLP organic) qualifier, see case narrative.

DATA QUALIFIERS:

- F Low flow sampling method used.
- J Estimated value
- N Presumptive evidence that analyte is present. The analyte is
- "tentatively identified".
- R Unusable result.
- X Location is undefined.

QA QUALIFIER: # = validated according to Quality Assurance guidelines.

- G Possible grout contamination, pH > 9.
- L Less than 3 bore volumes purged prior to sampling.
- Q Qualitative result due to sampling technique
- U Parameter analyzed for but was not detected.

Appendix D

Water Level Data

STATIC WATER LEVELS (USEE700) FOR SITE $\,$ GUN01, $\,$ Gunnison Processing Site REPORT DATE: 7/26/2011 12:33 pm $\,$

| | FL OW | TOP OF CASING ELEVATION | MEASURE | MENT | DEPTH FROM TOP OF CASING | WATER ELEVATION | WATER |
|--------------|-------|-------------------------------|------------|-------|--------------------------------|--------------------|-------|
| LOOKHON CODE | CODE | (FT) | DATE | TIME | (FT) | (FT) | FLAG |
| 0002 | U | 7646.75 | 04/27/2011 | 15:25 | 5.43 | 7641.32 | |
| 0005 | 0 | 7644.66 | 04/26/2011 | 16:10 | 6.48 | 7638.18 | |
| 0006 | 0 | 7647.23 | 04/26/2011 | 15:25 | 11.28 | 7635.95 | |
| 0012R | | 7645.95 | 04/26/2011 | 14:40 | 11.78 | 7634.17 | |
| 0013 | D | 7643.75 | 04/26/2011 | 13:50 | 12.18 | 7631.57 | |
| 0062 | 0 | 7630.61 | 04/27/2011 | 17:20 | 6.25 | 7624.36 | |
| 0063 | 0 | 7630.34 | 04/27/2011 | 16:55 | 7.45 | 7622.89 | |
| 0064 | 0 | 7620.76 | 04/27/2011 | 18:10 | 6.57 | 7614.19 | |
| 0065 | 0 | 7610.27 | 04/27/2011 | 11:00 | 2.10 | 7608.17 | |
| 0066 | 0 | 7606.22 | 04/25/2011 | 17:25 | 2.07 | 7604.15 | |
| 0102 | U | 7647.30 | 04/27/2011 | 16:05 | 6.05 | 7641.25 | |
| 0105 | 0 | 7646.11 | 04/26/2011 | 16:20 | 5.43 | 7640.68 | |
| 0106 | 0 | 7647.22 | 04/26/2011 | 15:40 | 11.40 | 7635.82 | |
| 0112 | 0 | 7645.74 | 04/26/2011 | 14:55 | 12.14 | 7633.60 | |
| 0113 | D | 7643.83 | 04/26/2011 | 13:25 | 12.25 | 7631.58 | |
| 0125 | D | 7633.52 | 04/28/2011 | 07:50 | 6.41 | 7627.11 | |
| 0126 | D | 7634.14 | 04/28/2011 | 08:20 | 6.51 | 7627.63 | |
| 0127 | D | 7634.64 | 04/28/2011 | 08:40 | 8.33 | 7626.31 | |
| 0135 | D | 7627.03 | 04/27/2011 | 09:15 | 5.90 | 7621.13 | |
| 0136 | D | 7626.24 | 04/27/2011 | 14:10 | 5.32 | 7620.92 | |
| 0160 | D | 7604.39 | 04/26/2011 | 10:00 | 5.35 | 7599.04 | |
| 0161 | D | 7605.63 | 04/26/2011 | 10:25 | 6.72 | 7598.91 | |
| 0181 | D | 7616.38 | 04/25/2011 | 16:40 | 2.72 | 7613.66 | |
| 0183 | D | 7616.27 | 04/26/2011 | 19:40 | 4.37 | 7611.90 | |
| 0186 | D | 7627.21 | 04/26/2011 | 08:50 | 5.78 | 7621.43 | |
| 0187 | D | 7625.91 | 04/26/2011 | 08:30 | 5.36 | 7620.55 | |
| 0188 | D | 7613.65 | 04/26/2011 | 11:40 | 6.02 | 7607.63 | |
| 0189 | D | 7613.56 | 04/26/2011 | 11:25 | 6.51 | 7607.05 | |

STATIC WATER LEVELS (USEE700) FOR SITE $\,$ GUN01, $\,$ Gunnison Processing Site REPORT DATE: 7/26/2011 12:33 pm $\,$

| LOCATION CODE | FLOW CODE | TOP OF CASING ELEVATION (FT) | MEASUREMENT | | DEPTH FROM TOP OF CASING | | WATER |
|---|--------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|------|--------------------------------|----------|-------|
| | | | DATE | TIME | (FT) | (FT) | FLAG |
| RECORDS: SELECTED FROM USEE700 WHERE site_code='GUN01' AND LOG_DATE between #3/1/2011# and #7/1/2011# | | | | | | | |
| FLOW CODES: D | DOWN GRADI | ENT C | ON-SITE | E | U UPO | GRADIENT | |
| WATER LEVEL FLAGS: | | | | | | | |