

LMS/GUP/S10620

2013 Verification Monitoring Report for the Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site

September 2013

Abbr	reviations	. iii
1.0	Overview	1
2.0	Site Conditions	3
	2.1 Hydrogeology	3
	2.2 Water Quality	
	2.3 Surface Remediation Activities	
	2.4 Institutional Controls	
3.0	Monitoring Program	5
4.0	Results of 2013 Monitoring	
	4.1 DOE Monitoring Wells	
	4.2 Domestic Wells	
	4.3 Surface Water	.10
5.0	Natural Flushing Assessment	.25
6.0	Conclusions	.29
7.0	References	.31

Contents

Figures

Figure 1.	Aerial Photograph of the Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site	2
Figure 2.	Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring Locations at the Gunnison,	
-	Colorado, Processing Site	7
Figure 3.	Uranium Distribution from April 2013 Sampling at the Gunnison, Colorado,	
	Processing Site	11
Figure 4.	Manganese Distribution from April 2013 Sampling at the Gunnison, Colorado,	
-	Processing Site	12
Figure 5.	Uranium Concentrations in Groundwater: Onsite DOE Monitoring Wells at the	
-	Gunnison Site	13
Figure 6.	Uranium Concentrations in Groundwater: Downgradient DOE Monitoring	
	Wells—Pasture, near the Gunnison Site	14
Figure 7.	Uranium Concentrations in Groundwater: Downgradient DOE Monitoring	
	Wells-Golf Course and Residential, near the Gunnison Site	15
Figure 8.	Uranium Concentrations in Groundwater: Downgradient DOE Monitoring	
	Wells-West of the Gunnison River, near the Gunnison Site	16
Figure 9.	Manganese Concentrations in Groundwater: Onsite DOE Monitoring Wells at	
	the Gunnison Site	17
Figure 10.	Manganese Concentrations in Groundwater: Downgradient DOE Monitoring	
	Wells—Pasture, near the Gunnison Site	18
Figure 11.	Manganese Concentrations in Groundwater: Downgradient DOE Monitoring	
	Wells-Golf Course and Residential, near the Gunnison Site	19
Figure 12.	Manganese Concentrations in Groundwater: Downgradient DOE Monitoring	
	Wells-West of the Gunnison River, near the Gunnison Site	20
Figure 13.	Uranium Concentrations in Groundwater: Domestic Wells Downgradient from	
	the Gunnison Site	21

Figure 14. Manganese Concentrations in Groundwater: Domestic Wells Downgradient	
from the Gunnison Site	22
Figure 15. Uranium Concentrations in Surface Water near the Gunnison Site	23
Figure 16. Manganese Concentrations in Surface Water near the Gunnison Site	24
Figure 17. Uranium Concentration—Predicted (Blue) and Actual (Red)—in DOE	
Monitoring Well 0113 at the Gunnison Site	27

Tables

Table 1. Groundwater and Surface Water Mos	nitoring at the Gunnison Site	6
Table 2. Summary of 2013 Uranium Distribut	tion at the Gunnison Site 1	10
Table 3. Assessment of Uranium Concentration	on Trends at the Gunnison Site2	26

Appendixes

- Appendix A Groundwater Quality Data by Parameter for DOE Monitoring Wells
- Appendix B Groundwater Quality Data by Parameter for Domestic Wells
- Appendix C Surface Water Quality Data by Parameter
- Appendix D Water Level Data

Abbreviations

CDPHE	Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
COPC	contaminant of potential concern
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
DWEL	Drinking Water Equivalent Level
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ft	foot (feet)
GCAP	Groundwater Compliance Action Plan
GEMS	Geospatial Environmental Mapping System
IC	institutional control
MCL	maximum contaminant level
mg/L	milligram(s) per liter
NRC	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
RRM	residual radioactive material
SEEPro	Site Environmental Evaluation for Projects
SOWP	Site Observational Work Plan
VMR	Verification Monitoring Report


1.0 Overview


The Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site (Gunnison site) is located in Gunnison County, Colorado, approximately 0.5 mile southwest of the city of Gunnison, between the Gunnison River and Tomichi Creek (Figure 1). Site characterization details are available in the Final Site Observational Work Plan (SOWP) (DOE 2001).

The compliance strategy for groundwater cleanup at the Gunnison site is natural flushing in conjunction with continued groundwater and surface water monitoring and institutional controls (ICs). Groundwater modeling predicts that natural flushing of the alluvial aquifer beneath and downgradient of the site will be completed within the 100-year time frame specified in Subpart B of Title 40 *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 192 (40 CFR 192). The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) funded an alternate domestic water supply system in 1994, with upgrades in 2005, to service existing users of potentially contaminated groundwater and to provide a potable water source for future development within the ICs area.

Detailed information for the Gunnison site and water quality data through 1999 are available in the SOWP (DOE 2001). Site information and water quality data from recent years can be found in Verification Monitoring Reports (VMRs) (DOE 2007, DOE 2008, DOE 2009, DOE 2010a, DOE 2011, DOE 2012) located on DOE's Office of Legacy Management website at http://www.LM.doe.gov/Gunnison/Processing/Documents.aspx#vmr. Water quality data for 2013 are provided in Appendixes A through C of this report. All water quality data for the Gunnison site are archived in the Site Environmental Evaluation for Projects (SEEPro) database at the Office of Legacy Management in Grand Junction, Colorado. Water quality data also are available for viewing with dynamic mapping via the Geospatial Environmental Mapping System (GEMS) website at http://gems.lm.doe.gov/imf/sites/gems_continental_us/jsp/launch.jsp.

The purpose of this VMR is to present and evaluate groundwater and surface water monitoring data collected during the annual 2013 sampling event at the Gunnison site and to provide an update on the progress of the natural flushing compliance strategy. Uranium and manganese are the two contaminants of potential concern (COPCs) in the alluvial aquifer. Uranium remained above the groundwater standard and manganese remained above a risk-based benchmark. Concentrations of uranium in the alluvial aquifer continue to migrate deeper in the alluvial aquifer with distance from the mill site and provide some evidence that natural flushing of the alluvial aquifer is progressing. However, residual soil contamination on the former mill site may be having a localized effect on groundwater on and immediately downgradient of the mill site. The distribution of manganese in the alluvial aquifer is limited; the highest concentrations are near the benchmark value. Concentrations of COPCs in samples collected from domestic wells and Gunnison River locations remained low with no indication of site impacts.





2.0 Site Conditions

2.1 Hydrogeology

Groundwater is unconfined in the alluvial (uppermost) aquifer, with an average depth to the water table of 5 feet (ft). The alluvium is composed of poorly sorted sediments ranging from clay-sized material to gravel, with cobbles and a few boulders. It ranges in thickness from 70 to 130 ft. Groundwater in the alluvial aquifer generally flows to the southwest with an average gradient of 0.005 ft/ft. Hydraulic conductivity ranges from 100 to 170 ft/day. The average linear groundwater velocity ranges from 1.9 to 3.2 ft/day (DOE 2001).

Groundwater in the alluvial aquifer system is recharged by groundwater underflow, adjacent streams, precipitation, flood irrigation of the pasture downgradient of the site, and irrigation of the golf course and residential areas southwest of the site. Groundwater loss is through evapotranspiration and natural discharge to adjacent streams. Groundwater is also discharged by dewatering activities at the adjacent sand and gravel company located south of the former mill site.

2.2 Water Quality

Groundwater in the alluvial aquifer beneath and downgradient of the Gunnison site was contaminated by uranium-ore processing activities. A variety of tailings-related contaminants in the subsurface and groundwater at the site were evaluated, and the potential risks to human health and the environment were assessed in the SOWP (DOE 2001). Only uranium and manganese were identified as COPCs because uranium exceeded a groundwater standard and manganese exceeded a risk-based benchmark.

Uranium is the primary COPC in groundwater, with historical concentrations measured up to 1.5 milligrams per liter (mg/L) beneath the site. Currently, uranium concentrations exceed the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) 40 CFR 192 maximum contaminant level (MCL) of 0.044 mg/L for groundwater in several monitoring wells on and adjacent to the former mill site and in one monitoring well (0183) more than 4,000 ft downgradient of the site boundary. Concentrations of uranium that are less than the MCL but above background extend approximately 7,000 ft downgradient of the site boundary and have migrated beneath the Gunnison River just beyond the confluence with Tomichi Creek. The zone of contamination attenuates and migrates deeper into the aquifer as it progresses laterally in a southwesterly direction.

Manganese is also a COPC in groundwater, with historical concentrations that measured up to 77 mg/L beneath the site. There is no MCL for manganese. The EPA Drinking Water Equivalent Level (DWEL) for manganese is 1.6 mg/L (EPA 2012). The DWEL is a lifetime-exposure concentration protective of adverse, noncancer health effects that assumes all of the exposure to a contaminant is from drinking water. In 2013, concentrations of manganese remain above the DWEL beneath the site and in downgradient monitoring well 0113; downgradient well 0135 has been elevated in manganese in past years, but could not be sampled in 2013 because the well was damaged due to frost heaving during the winter. In past years, the DWEL was exceeded in monitoring well 0135; however, damage to the well had occurred over the winter from frost

wedging and was not sampled in 2013. Manganese does not appear to be widespread in the aquifer, and concentrations beneath the site are decreasing.

2.3 Surface Remediation Activities

Uranium mill tailings and other residual radioactive material (RRM) were removed from the former mill site from 1992 through 1995 and stabilized in a disposal cell 6 miles east of the city of Gunnison. RRM beneath the site was cleaned up to just below the water table, with some contaminated material left in place requiring the application of supplemental standards. The site was backfilled with clean fill and revegetated after RRM removal.

2.4 Institutional Controls

ICs in effect in the vicinity of the Gunnison site were finalized in 2004 and consist of government ownership and deed restrictions on the original mill site property (specified in a quitclaim deed transferring the property from the State of Colorado to Gunnison County), a Gunnison County Resolution (Gunnison County 2004) establishing the New Domestic Well Constraint Area, and construction of a domestic water supply system.

The quitclaim deed specifies restrictions on and approvals needed from CDPHE and DOE for excavation, groundwater use, and construction of habitable structures. If part or all of the mill site property is transferred to another owner, the deed restrictions will remain in effect. It is expected that the site will continue to be developed as a light industrial park by the owner, Gunnison County. DOE, CDPHE, and Gunnison County have discussed that disturbance of supplemental standards areas due to excavation should be minimized to the maximum extent practicable. In 2013, DOE and CDPHE will approve design plans submitted by Gunnison County for an animal shelter at the site per the requirements of the quitclaim deed.

The New Domestic Well Constraint Area is delineated by the IC boundary (Figure 1), and the Gunnison County resolution specifies that no new wells can be constructed within the constraint area. A domestic water supply system was installed in 1994 to provide safe water to local residents in areas potentially impacted by contaminated groundwater. In 2004, DOE entered into a cooperative agreement with Gunnison County, approved by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) (DOE 2004), in which DOE (along with CDPHE) agreed to fund extensions of the domestic water supply system to accommodate projected future growth within the IC boundary (Figure 1). A major extension was constructed in 2005 and 2006. Smaller extensions were constructed in 2008 to supply water to the former mill site and several parcels of land south and west of the former mill site. Domestic wells within the IC boundary that are not connected to the water system are monitored to verify that concentrations of uranium and manganese remain low and below the MCL and DWEL, respectively.

3.0 Monitoring Program


Verification monitoring occurs on an annual basis. Monitoring is expected to continue annually for the first 10 years after NRC concurrence with a final Groundwater Compliance Action Plan (GCAP) (DOE 2010b) to verify that natural flushing is progressing as predicted by groundwater flow and transport modeling (DOE 2001). Additionally, comprehensive reviews of the monitoring program are planned to occur every 10 years after approval of a final GCAP to assess if natural flushing is consistent with model predictions and to determine the effectiveness and viability of the compliance strategy. The current version of the GCAP is in review with NRC. Ongoing monitoring requirements will be evaluated in subsequent VMRs and modified as determined by DOE and NRC.

During 2013, the monitoring network included sampling of 27 DOE monitoring wells, 6 surface water locations, and 5 domestic wells (Figure 2 and Table 1). Two of those domestic wells (0476 and 0477) were not sampled during the April sampling event because the homeowners could not be contacted. These wells were subsequently sampled in June after contact was made with the homeowners. As noted previously, DOE monitoring well 0135 could not be sampled in 2013 due to damage to the well casing. DOE plans to repair this well before the next annual sampling event. Samples collected from all monitoring locations were analyzed for uranium and manganese. Field measurements of oxidation-reduction potential, pH, specific conductance, temperature, and turbidity were made at each location.

Monitoring Well ^a	Aquifer Zone	Screened Interval (ft)	Location	Rationale (Uranium)	
Groundwater					
0002	Shallow	10–15	Airport	Upgradient—background	
0102	Intermediate	42–47	Airport	Upgradient—background	
0005	Shallow	10–15	Onsite	Origin of plume	
0105	Intermediate	42–47	Onsite	Origin of plume	
0006	Shallow	10–15	Onsite	Origin of plume	
0106	Intermediate	34–39	Onsite	Origin of plume	
0012R	Shallow	6–16	Onsite	Origin of plume	
0112	Intermediate	40–45	Onsite	Monitor plume migration	
0013	Shallow	11–16	Just offsite to southwest	Monitor plume migration	
0113	Intermediate	41–46	Just offsite to southwest	Monitor plume migration	
0125	Shallow	18–23	Pasture	Monitor plume migration	
0126	Intermediate	54–59	Pasture	Monitor plume migration	
0127	Deep	94–99	Pasture	Monitor plume migration	
0135	Shallow	18–23	Pasture	Monitor plume migration	
0136	Intermediate	53–58	Pasture	Monitor plume migration	
0064	Deep	87–97	Pasture	Monitor plume migration	
0062	Intermediate	48–58	Pasture	Monitor plume migration	
0063	Deep	88–98	Pasture	Monitor plume migration	
0181	Shallow	18–23	Golf course	Monitor plume migration	
0183	Deep	93–98	Golf course	Monitor plume migration	
0065	Intermediate	50–60	Golf course	Monitor plume migration	
0066	Intermediate	40–50	End of Tomichi Trail	Monitor plume migration	
0186	Intermediate	53–58	End of Monte Vista Dr.	Monitor plume migration	
0187	Deep	93–98	End of Monte Vista Dr.	Monitor plume migration	
0188	Intermediate	53–58	West of Gunnison River	Monitor plume migration	
0189	Deep	93–98	West of Gunnison River	Monitor plume migration	
0160	Intermediate	51–56	West of Gunnison River	Adjacent to IC boundary	
0161	Deep	93–98	West of Gunnison River	Adjacent to IC boundary	
Surface Water					
0248	NA	4	Tomichi Creek	Downstream of gravel pit pond	
0250	N/	4	Gunnison River	Potential aquifer discharge	
0777	NA		Tomichi Creek	Potential aquifer discharge	
0780	NA		Gravel pit pond	Gravel pit—aquifer discharge to pond	
0792	NA	4	Gunnison River	Upstream of IC boundary—background	
0795 NA		Gunnison River	Potential aquifer discharge		
Domestic Wells Use					
0476	Shallow Potable		West of Gunnison River	Verify low COPC concentrations	
0477	Shallow	Potable	West of Gunnison River	Verify low COPC concentrations	
0478	Shallow	Potable	West of Gunnison River	Verify low COPC concentrations	
0667	Shallow	Potable	West of Gunnison River	Verify low COPC concentrations	
0683	Shallow	Potable	West of Gunnison River	Verify low COPC concentrations	

Table 1. Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring at the Gunnison Site

^a Monitoring wells listed in the same table cell are co-located.



M:\LTS\111\0064\01\010\S10622\S1062200.mxd smithw 10/31/2013 10:17:19 AM

Figure 2. Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring Locations at the Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site

4.0 Results of 2013 Monitoring

Analytical data for uranium and manganese, along with field measurements from DOE monitoring wells, domestic wells, and surface water for 2013, are provided in Appendixes A through C. Water level data collected in 2013 are provided in Appendix D. The distributions of uranium and manganese in groundwater in the alluvial aquifer, based on the 2013 sampling event, are shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4, respectively. These distributions are displayed in these figures by using the monitoring well with the highest concentration in areas where several monitoring wells are clustered together. Time-concentration plots for uranium and manganese in DOE monitoring wells, domestic wells, and surface water from 1997 (post-remedial action) through 2013 are presented in Figures 5 through 16 located at the end of this section.

4.1 DOE Monitoring Wells

Though not separated lithologically, the alluvial aquifer (up to 130 ft thick) has been divided into three approximate depth zones to facilitate monitoring (wells are screened to monitor these zones separately) and discussion of vertical contaminant migration: (1) shallow zone from 6 to 23 ft, (2) intermediate zone from 34 to 60 ft, and (3) deep zone from 87 to 98 ft (Table 1). Time-concentration plots for uranium and manganese in DOE monitoring wells have been grouped by monitoring wells onsite and in three downgradient sectors to show the relationship between distance downgradient of the site and depth in the aquifer.

Results from the 2013 sampling event indicate that uranium in groundwater is still generally decreasing and migrating deeper in the alluvial sequence while progressing downgradient from the former mill site, which is consistent with historical data. Concentrations of uranium in groundwater in the shallow zone exceeded the MCL of 0.044 mg/L in two of the three wells on the former mill site (Figure 5) and in one well immediately downgradient of the site (0013) (Figure 6). The MCL was exceeded in intermediate zone well 0113 (immediately downgradient of the site) but was not exceeded in any other intermediate zone well (Figure 6 and Figure 7). The MCL was exceeded in one deep zone well (0183) 4,400 ft downgradient of the site (Figure 8). Table 2 summarizes the distribution of uranium throughout the alluvial aquifer in each of the three x0.

Concentrations of manganese in groundwater beneath the Gunnison site continue to be slightly above the DWEL of 1.6 mg/L in wells in the intermediate zone, with concentrations below the DWEL in the shallow zone (Figure 9). Downgradient of the site, samples collected from monitoring well 0113 in the intermediate zone had manganese concentration that exceeded the DWEL (Figure 10 and Figure 11). Manganese does not appear to be widespread farther downgradient in the alluvial aquifer (Figure 12).

4.2 Domestic Wells

Concentrations of uranium in groundwater in the domestic buffer zone wells (northwest of the Gunnison River) downgradient of the site are below the MCL of 0.044 mg/L and below the action level set by CDPHE of 0.020 mg/L (Figure 13). Concentrations of manganese in groundwater in the domestic wells are below the DWEL of 1.6 mg/L (Figure 14).

Area	Zone	Wells	Uranium Concentration ^a (mg/L)
Ungradient	Shallow	0002	0.0023
Upgradient	Intermediate	0102	0.0035
Onsite and Just	Shallow	0005, 0006, 0012R, 0013	0.28
Offsite	Intermediate	0105, 0106, 0112, 0113	0.058
Downgradient	Shallow	0125, 0135 [♭] , 0181	0.0089
(East of Gunnison	Intermediate	0062, 0065, 0066, 0126, 0136, 0186	0.016
River)	Deep	0063, 0064, 0127, 0183, 0187	0.024
		·	•
Downgradient	Intermediate	0160, 0188	0.0305
(West of Gunnison River)	Deep	0161, 0189	0.017

^a Uranium concentrations from 2013 sampling event. Where more than one well is listed, the concentration is the 2013 mean value.

^b Monitoring well 0135 was not sampled in 2013 and, therefore, was not included in the mean value.

4.3 Surface Water

Concentrations of uranium in surface water in the Gunnison River during 2013 were low (0.0012 to 0.0045 mg/L) and indicative of runoff from melting of the mountain snowpack. Downstream locations were similar to or slightly higher than upstream (background; location 0792) uranium concentrations, indicating that water quality in the river was not appreciably affected by discharge of alluvial groundwater. The concentration of uranium (0.033 mg/L) in surface water in the gravel pit pond (0780) continued to be elevated above background; however, elevated uranium concentrations are expected as the pond receives discharge of alluvial groundwater (Figure 15).

In 2006 the private landowner rerouted Tomichi Creek to its original channel to establish a conservation area. Location 0248, which is approximately 1,500 ft downstream of the gravel pit pond discharge point, is on the abandoned portion of the channel. The water in the abandoned channel is composed of discharge from the pond, flow through the diversion structure, and groundwater discharge. CDPHE has raised concerns that low flows in the abandoned channel could concentrate uranium by evaporation and groundwater discharge. In 2013, the concentration of uranium in the sample collected from location 0248 (0.0055 mg/L) was elevated compared to background, indicating some influence from these factors; however, the concentration is below the groundwater MCL. The concentration of uranium in the sample collected form 10 uranium in the sample collected farther downstream on Tomichi Creek at location 0777 was lower (0.0045 mg/L) because of dilution as the rerouted creek merges back into a single channel.

Concentrations of manganese in surface water are well below the DWEL of 1.6 mg/L (Figure 16).






Figure 3. Uranium Distribution from April 2013 Sampling at the Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site



M:\LTS\111\0064\01\010\S10623\S1062301.mxd smithw 10/31/2013 10:27:48 AM

Figure 4. Manganese Distribution from April 2013 Sampling at the Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site





Notes: 1. A hollow symbol denotes an analytical result below the detection limit. 2. Logarithmic scale on the Y-axis.

Figure 5. Uranium Concentrations in Groundwater: Onsite DOE Monitoring Wells at the Gunnison Site




Figure 6. Uranium Concentrations in Groundwater: Downgradient DOE Monitoring Wells—Pasture, near the Gunnison Site




Figure 7. Uranium Concentrations in Groundwater: Downgradient DOE Monitoring Wells—Golf Course and Residential, near the Gunnison Site




Figure 8. Uranium Concentrations in Groundwater: Downgradient DOE Monitoring Wells—West of the Gunnison River, near the Gunnison Site




Figure 9. Manganese Concentrations in Groundwater: Onsite DOE Monitoring Wells at the Gunnison Site








Figure 10. Manganese Concentrations in Groundwater: Downgradient DOE Monitoring Wells—Pasture, near the Gunnison Site




Note: A hollow symbol denotes an analytical result below the detection limit.







Note: A hollow symbol denotes an analytical result below the detection limit.








Note: A hollow symbol denotes an analytical result below the detection limit.





Note 1: A hollow symbol denotes an analytical result below the detection limit. 2. Logarithmic scale on the Y-axis.

Figure 14. Manganese Concentrations in Groundwater: Domestic Wells Downgradient from the Gunnison Site




Figure 15. Uranium Concentrations in Surface Water near the Gunnison Site




Figure 16. Manganese Concentrations in Surface Water near the Gunnison Site

2013 Verification Monitoring Report—Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site Doc. No. S10620 Page 24

5.0 Natural Flushing Assessment

Groundwater flow and transport modeling predicted that uranium concentrations in alluvial groundwater will decrease to levels below EPA's 40 CFR 192 groundwater standard within 100 years. To assess the progress of natural flushing, a trend analysis using the Mann-Kendall test (Gilbert 1987) was performed to assess the temporal behavior of uranium concentrations. This test determines if an upward trend, a downward trend, or no trend exists at a 95 percent level of significance ($\alpha = 0.05$). Table 3 shows the trend analysis, which includes 1997–2013 uranium sampling data, and lists 2013 uranium concentrations. Observations from Table 3 included the following:

- For the 26 monitoring wells listed in Table 3, 5 wells had upward trends, 11 wells had no trend, and 10 wells had downward trends. Note: only 25 monitoring wells were sampled in 2013 monitoring well 0135 was not sampled but the trend from last year was included in the Table 3.
- Five out of the 26 monitoring wells had uranium concentrations that exceeded the uranium MCL. For the five monitoring wells where the uranium levels exceeded the MCL, three wells had downward trends and two had no trend.
- Uranium concentration in shallow zone monitoring well 0006 on the former mill site remains relatively high (0.74 mg/L) with a downward trend. Uranium concentrations in this well have been highly variable (Figure 5), which indicates a possible localized, continual source of uranium from supplemental standards areas.
- Uranium concentration of 0.29 mg/L in shallow zone monitoring well 0012R located on the former mill site is significantly lower than that in monitoring well 0006 and has an overall downward trend, which indicates the source contributing to the high concentrations in monitoring well 0006 is localized.
- Upward trends in the intermediate zone (wells 0106 and 0112) on the mill site indicate that uranium is migrating vertically downward from the shallow zone.
- Immediately downgradient of the former mill site, uranium trends are generally downward, which indicates that RRM on the former mill site is not having a widespread effect on the alluvial aquifer.
- In the monitoring wells farthest downgradient of the mill site, uranium trends are upward (although concentrations are below the MCL), which indicates that natural flushing processes are effective in transporting uranium through the aquifer to these wells approximately 1.4 miles downgradient of the former mill site.

Figure 17 shows the comparison of uranium concentrations predicted by groundwater flow and transport modeling to actual concentrations determined by analysis of groundwater samples from intermediate zone monitoring well 0113. This intermediate zone monitoring well was selected as an indicator of natural flushing progress because of its depth and location adjacent to and immediately downgradient of the mill site, which is in an area of the aquifer that should be the first to flush as the plume migrates off the former mill site. Additionally, data from this well are used to assess potential aquifer-wide groundwater impacts from the RRM supplemental standard areas remaining on the mill site. As shown in Figure 17, uranium concentrations have historically tracked closely with concentrations predicted by the groundwater model. In recent years,

uranium concentrations have been increasing and deviating from model predictions. In 2013, the uranium concentration increased, but was below the concentration observed in 2011.

Location No. of Samples		Trend ^a	2013 Result (mg/L)	Standard ^b Exceeded in 2013? (Yes/No)
0005	8	No trend	0.036	No
0006	19	Downward	0.74	Yes
0012/0012R	14	Downward	0.29	Yes
0013	18	No trend	0.054	Yes
0062	9	No trend	0.0075	No
0063	9	No trend	0.011	No
0064	9	Downward	0.0095	No
0065	9	Downward	0.023	No
0066	9	No trend	0.023	No
0105	9	No trend	0.0082	No
0106	19	Upward	0.019	No
0112	14	Upward	0.033	No
0113	19	Downward	0.17	Yes
0125	15	Downward	0.011	No
0126	19	Downward	0.010	No
0127	19	Downward	0.013	No
0135	9	Upward	not sampled	not sampled
0136	15	No trend	0.016	No
0160	17	Upward	0.026	No
0161	17	Upward	0.019	No
0181	13	Downward	0.0067	No
0183	16	No trend	0.056	Yes
0186	15	Downward	0.018	No
0187	8	No trend	0.032	No
0188	19	No trend	0.035	No
0189	19	No trend	0.015	No

Table 3. Assessment of Uranium Concentration Trends at the Gunnison Site

^a Data from 1997 to 2013.

^b 0.044 mg/L from 40 CFR 192.

Long-term monitoring of this well will determine if recent increases in uranium concentrations are temporary due to construction activities at the former mill site or are more permanent due to the influence of RRM in supplemental standards areas at the former mill site. In either case, fluctuating uranium concentrations indicate that short-term assessment against model predictions varies, and the assessment of uranium concentrations versus model predictions should be conducted over a longer time frame.




Figure 17. Uranium Concentration—Predicted (Blue) and Actual (Red)—in DOE Monitoring Well 0113 at the Gunnison Site

6.0 Conclusions

Concentrations of manganese in the alluvial groundwater are still above the DWEL; however, the distribution and magnitude of manganese concentrations are limited. Samples from four wells in the monitoring network exceed the DWEL, with concentrations less than four times the DWEL.

Concentrations of uranium in the alluvial groundwater beneath the former mill site are above the MCL. The uranium concentration in monitoring well 0006, which is completed in the shallow zone, remains high but has a downward trend using the Mann-Kendall test. Highly variable uranium concentrations in this well indicate that residual soil contamination has a localized effect. Construction activities on the former mill site may be mobilizing uranium in soils and contributing to elevated concentrations in groundwater. If concentrations continue to remain high, the 99-year natural flushing time predicted by groundwater modeling and compliance with the 100-year regulatory time frame for natural flushing at monitoring well 0006 may be unlikely. Accordingly, the compliance strategy for this site may need to be revised.

Concentrations of uranium in the alluvial groundwater immediately downgradient of the former mill site are generally decreasing with time, indicating that natural flushing is progressing. Concentrations of uranium in groundwater farther downgradient of the site and deeper in the alluvial aquifer are still elevated and increasing in some areas, as expected, as the plume migrates downgradient. Contaminant distribution continues to confirm the site conceptual model of contaminants migrating deeper in the alluvial aquifer with distance from the mill site.

Uranium concentrations in the domestic wells sampled near the processing site were all below the MCL and the CDPHE action levels. Manganese concentrations in these wells were all below the DWEL.

The uranium concentrations in the Gunnison River and Tomichi Creek locations indicate minimal impacts from contaminated groundwater discharge. Uranium concentration at the gravel pit pond (0780) is elevated when compared to background, which is expected because the gravel pit receives discharge of contaminated groundwater. Uranium concentrations in the pond have decreased over time, indicating flushing of the alluvial aquifer.

Groundwater in the alluvial aquifer and surface water in the vicinity of the Gunnison site will continue to be monitored annually to assess the progress of natural flushing. The next update to this report will be compiled after groundwater and surface water monitoring in April 2014.

7.0 References

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2001. *Final Site Observational Work Plan for the Gunnison, Colorado, Uranium Mill Tailings Remedial Action (UMTRA) Project Site,* GJO-2001-214-TAR, Grand Junction Office, Grand Junction, Colorado, March.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2004. Cooperative Agreement DE-FC01-04LM00004.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2007. *Verification Monitoring Report for the Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site*, DOE-LM/1524-2007, Office of Legacy Management, Grand Junction, Colorado, September.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2008. 2008 Verification Monitoring Report for the Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site, LMS/GUP/S04496, Office of Legacy Management, Grand Junction, Colorado, August.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2009. 2009 Verification Monitoring Report for the Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site, LMS/GUP/S05527, Office of Legacy Management, Grand Junction, Colorado, August.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2010a. 2010 Verification Monitoring Report for the Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site, LMS/GUP/S06692, Office of Legacy Management, Grand Junction, Colorado, September.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2010b. *Final Ground Water Compliance Action Plan for the Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site*, LMS/GUP/S06004, Office of Legacy Management, Grand Junction, Colorado, April.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2011. 2011 Verification Monitoring Report for the Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site, LMS/GUP/S08005, Office of Legacy Management, Grand Junction, Colorado, December.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2012. 2012 Verification Monitoring Report for the Gunnison, Colorado, Processing Site, LMS/GUP/S09244, Office of Legacy Management, Grand Junction, Colorado, September.

EPA (U. S. Environmental Protection Agency), 2012. 2012 Edition of the Drinking Water Standards and Health Advisories, EPA 822-S-12-001, April.

Gilbert, R.O., 1987. *Statistical Methods for Environmental Pollution Monitoring*, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, New York.

Gunnison County, 2004. A Resolution Approving a Special Geographic Area, the New Domestic Well Constraint Area, Board of County Commissioners of Gunnison County Resolution No. 59, Series 2004, November.

Appendix A

Groundwater Quality Data by Parameter for DOE Monitoring Wells

PARAMETER	UNITS	LOCATION CODE	LOCATION TYPE	SAMPI DATE	LE: ID	DEPTH RANGE (FT BLS)	RESULT		UALIFIER B DATA		DETECTION LIMIT	UN- CERTAINTY
Manganese	mg/L	0002	WL	04/15/2013	N001	10.00 - 15.00	0.00049	в	FJ	#	0.00011	-
	mg/L	0005	WL	04/16/2013	N001	10.00 - 15.00	0.930		F	#	0.00011	-
	mg/L	0006	WL	04/16/2013	N001	10.00 - 15.00	0.038		F	#	0.00011	-
	mg/L	0012R	WL	04/15/2013	N001	6.03 - 16.00	0.650		F	#	0.00011	-
	mg/L	0013	WL	04/16/2013	N001	11.00 - 16.00	0.081		F	#	0.00011	
	mg/L	0062	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	47.90 - 57.90	0.0011	в	F	#	0.00011	-
	mg/L	0063	WL	04/16/2013	N001	87.90 - 97.90	0.0074		F	#	0.00011	-
	mg/L	0064	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	86.70 - 96.70	0.0067		F	#	0.00011	-
	mg/L	0065	WL	04/15/2013	N001	49.70 - 59.70	0.018		F	#	0.00011	-
	mg/L	0066	WL	04/15/2013	N001	40.20 - 50.20	0.043		F	#	0.00011	
	mg/L	0102	WL.	04/15/2013	N001	42.00 - 47.00	0.00011	U	F	#	0.00011	-
	mg/L	0105	WL	04/16/2013	N001	42.00 - 47.00	2.700		F	#	0.00011	-
	mg/L	0106	WL	04/16/2013	N001	34.00 - 39.00	4.800		F	#	0.00011	-
	mg/L	0112	WL.	04/15/2013	N001	40.00 - 45.00	5.100		F	#	0.00011	-
	mg/L	0113	WL	04/16/2013	N001	41.00 - 46.00	2.300		F	#	0.00011	-
	mg/L	0125	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	17.80 - 22.80	0.0096		F	#	0.00011	-
	mg/L	0125	WL	04/16/2013	N002	17.80 - 22.80	0.0088		F	#	0.00011	-
	mg/L	0126	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	54.00 - 59.00	0.011		F	#	0.00011	-
	mg/L	0126	WL	04/16/2013	N002	54.00 - 59.00	0.011		F	#	0.00011	-
	mg/L	0127	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	94.00 ~ 99.00	0.00011	U	F	#	0.00011	-
	mg/L	0136	WL	04/16/2013	N001	53.00 - 58.00	0.048		F	#	0.00011	-
	mg/L	0160	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	51.00 - 56.00	0.052		F	#	0.00011	
	mg/L	0161	WL	04/16/2013	N001	93.00 - 98.00	0.0036	в	F	#	0.00011	-
	mg/L	0181	WL	04/15/2013	N001	18.00 - 23.00	0.600		F	#	0.00011	-
	mg/L	0183	WL	04/15/2013	N001	93.00 - 98.00	0.004	в	F	#	0.00011	-
	mg/L	0186	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	53.00 - 58.00	0.00062	в	F	#	0.00011	-

PARAMETER	UNITS	LOCATION CODE	LOCATION TYPE	SAMP DATE	LE: ID	DEPTH RANGE (FT BLS)	RESULT		QUALIFIE AB DATA		DETECTION LIMIT	UN- CERTAINTY
Manganese	mg/L	0187	WL	04/16/2013	N001	93.00 - 98.00	1.100		F	#	0.00011	-
	mg/L	0188	WL	04/16/2013	N001	53.00 - 58.00	0.0039	в	F	#	0.00011	-
	mg/L	0189	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	93.00 - 98.00	0.820		F	#	0.00011	-
Oxidation Reduction Potential	mV	0002	WL.	04/15/2013	N001	10.00 - 15.00	186.3		F	#	-	-
	mV	0005	WL	04/16/2013	N001	10.00 - 15.00	69.8		F	#	-	-
	mV	0006	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	10.00 - 15.00	178.6		F	#	•	-
	mV	0012R	WL	04/15/2013	N001	6.03 - 16.00	220.4		F	#	-	-
	mV	0013	WL	04/16/2013	N001	11.00 - 16.00	119.8		F	#	-	•
	mV	0062	WL	04/16/2013	N001	47.90 - 57.90	177.9		F	#	-	-
	mV	0063	WL	04/16/2013	N001	87.90 - 97.90	178.5		F	#	-	-
	mV	0064	WL	04/16/2013	N001	86.70 - 96.70	179.3		F	#	-	-
	mV	0065	WL	04/15/2013	N001	49.70 - 59.70	149.1		F	#	-	-
	mV	0066	WL	04/15/2013	N001	40.20 - 50.20	172.3		F	#	-	-
	mV	0102	WL	04/15/2013	N001	42.00 - 47.00	190.4		F	#	-	-
	mV	0105	WL	04/16/2013	N001	42.00 - 47.00	109.0		F	#	-	• _
	mV	0106	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	34.00 - 39.00	129.1		F	#	-	-
·	mV	0112	WL.	04/15/2013	N001	40.00 - 45.00	92.5		F	#	-	-
	mV	0113	WL	04/16/2013	N001	41.00 - 46.00	32.8		F	#	-	-
	mV	0125	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	17.80 - 22.80	166.4		F	#	-	-
	mV	0126	WL	04/16/2013	N001	54.00 - 59.00	158.5		F	#	-	-
	mV	0127	WL	04/16/2013	N001	94.00 - 99.00	167.1		F	#	-	-
	mV	0136	WL	04/16/2013	N001	53.00 - 58.00	-3.2		F	#	-	-
	mV	0160	WL	04/16/2013	N001	51.00 - 56.00	152.8		F	#	-	-
	mV	0161	WL	04/16/2013	N001	93.00 - 98.00	164.4		F	#	-	-
	mV	0181	WL	04/15/2013	N001	18.00 - 23.00	190.8		F	#	-	-

PARAMETER	UNITS	LOCATION CODE	LOCATION TYPE	SAMPI DATE	LE: ID	DEPTH RANGE (FT BLS)	RESULT	QUALIFIEI LAB DATA		DETECTION LIMIT	UN- CERTAINTY
Oxidation Reduction Potential	mV	0183	WL	04/15/2013	N001	93.00 - 98.00	201.9	F	#	-	. .
	mV	0186	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	53.00 - 58.00	70.2	F	#	-	-
	mV	0187	WL,	04/16/2013	N001	93.00 - 98.00	74.8	F	#	-	-
	mV	0188	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	53.00 - 58.00	71.1	F	#	-	-
	mV	0189	WL	04/16/2013	N001	93.00 - 98.00	9.1	F	#	-	-
рН	s.u.	0002	WL	04/15/2013	N001	10.00 - 15.00	7.01	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0005	WL	04/16/2013	N001	10.00 - 15.00	7.03	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0006	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	10.00 - 15.00	6.87	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0012R	WL	04/15/2013	N001	6.03 - 16.00	6.74	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0013	WL	04/16/2013	N001	11.00 - 16.00	7.06	F	#	-	<u> </u>
	s.u.	0062	WL	04/16/2013	N001	47.90 - 57.90	7.23	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0063	WL	04/16/2013	N001	87.90 - 97.90	7.27	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0064	WL	04/16/2013	N001	86.70 - 96.70	7.09	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0065	WL.	04/15/2013	N001	49.70 - 59.70	7.16	[`] F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0066	WL	04/15/2013	N001	40.20 - 50.20	7.06	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0102	WL	04/15/2013	N001	42.00 - 47.00	7.20	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0105	WL	04/16/2013	N001	42.00 - 47.00	6.62	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0106	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	34.00 - 39.00	5.81	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0112	WL.	04/15/2013	N001	40.00 - 45.00	6.10	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0113	WL	04/16/2013	N001	41.00 - 46.00	6.86	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0125	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	17.80 - 22.80	6.93	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0126	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	54.00 - 59.00 .	7.20	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0127	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	94.00 - 99.00	7.27	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0136	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	53.00 - 58.00	7.22	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0160	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	51.00 - 56.00	6.44	F	#	-	-

PARAMETER	UNITS	LOCATION CODE	LOCATION TYPE	SAMPI DATE	LE: ID	DEPTH RANGE (FT BLS)	RESULT	QUALIFIE LAB DATA		ETECTION LIMIT	UN- CERTAINT
pН	s.u.	0161	WL	04/16/2013	N001	93.00 - 98.00	6.49	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0181	WL	04/15/2013	N001	18.00 - 23.00	6.68	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0183	WL.	04/15/2013	N001	93.00 - 98.00	6.55	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0186	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	53.00 - 58.00	7.83	F	#	-	· _
	s.u.	0187	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	93.00 - 98.00	6.31	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0188	WL	04/16/2013	N001	53.00 - 58.00	7.04	F	#	-	-
	s.u.	0189	WL	04/16/2013	N001	93.00 - 98.00	6.23	F	#	-	-
Specific Conductance	umhos/cm	0002	WL	04/15/2013	N001	10.00 - 15.00	507	F	#	~	-
	umhos/cm	0005	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	10.00 - 15.00	458	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0006	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	10.00 - 15.00	2251	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0012R	WL.	04/15/2013	N001	6.03 - 16.00	1196	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0013	WL	04/16/2013	N001	11.00 - 16.00	687	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0062	WL	04/16/2013	N001	47.90 - 57.90	510	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0063	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	87.90 - 97.90	502	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0064	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	86.70 - 96.70	472	F	#	-	_
	umhos/cm	0065	WL.	04/15/2013	N001	49.70 - 59.70	669	F	#	-	
	umhos/cm	0066	WL	04/15/2013	N001	40.20 - 50.20	680	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0102	WL	04/15/2013	N001	42.00 - 47.00	535	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0105	WL	04/16/2013	N001	42.00 - 47.00	481	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0106	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	34.00 - 39.00	1787	F	#	-	
	umhos/cm	0112	WL	04/15/2013	N001	40.00 - 45.00	883	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0113	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	41.00 - 46.00	737	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0125	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	17.80 - 22.80	518	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0126	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	54.00 - 59.00	680 [.]	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0127	WL	04/16/2013	N001	94.00 - 99.00	729	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0136	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	53.00 - 58.00	707	F	#	-	-

5

PARAMETER	UNITS	LOCATION CODE	LOCATION TYPE	SAMP DATE	LE: ID	DEPTH RANGE (FT BLS)	RESULT	QUALIFIE LAB DATA		DETECTION LIMIT	UN- CERTAINTY
Specific Conductance	umhos/cm	0160	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	51.00 - 56.00	856	7	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0161	WL	04/16/2013	N001	93.00 - 98.00	876	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0181	WL.	04/15/2013	N001	18.00 - 23.00	482	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0183	WL.	04/15/2013	N001	93.00 - 98.00	1089	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0186	WL	04/16/2013	N001	53.00 - 58.00	568	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0187	WL	04/16/2013	N001	93.00 - 98.00	1258	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0188	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	53.00 - 58.00	802	F	#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0189	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	93.00 - 98.00	2026	F	#	-	-
Temperature	c	0002	WL	04/15/2013	N001	10.00 - 15.00	8.13	F	#	-	-
	С	0005	WL	04/16/2013	N001	10.00 - 15.00	6.79	F	#	-	-
	С	0006	WL	04/16/2013	N001	10.00 - 15.00	7.03	F	#	<u> -</u>	-
	С	0012R	WL.	04/15/2013	N001	6.03 - 16.00	8.47	F	#	-	-
	С	0013	WL	04/16/2013	N001	11.00 ~ 16.00	8.14	F	#	•	-
	С	0062	WL -	04/16/2013	N001	47.90 - 57.90	7.45	F	#	-	-
	С	0063	WL	04/16/2013	N001	87.90 - 97.90	7.66	F	#	-	-
	С	0064	WL	04/16/2013	N001	86.70 - 96.70	7.79	F	#	**	-
	С	0065	WL	04/15/2013	N001	49.70 - 59.70	8.80	F	#	-	-
	С	0066	WL	04/15/2013	N001	40.20 - 50.20	7.27	F	#	-	-
	С	0102	WL.	04/15/2013	N001	42.00 - 47.00	9.99	F	#	-	-
	С	0105	WL	04/16/2013	N001	42.00 - 47.00	9.36	F	#	-	-
	С	0106	WL	04/16/2013	N001	34.00 - 39.00	10.38	F	#	-	-
	С	0112	WL.	04/15/2013	N001	40.00 - 45.00	10,37	F	#	-	-
	C .	0113	WL	04/16/2013	N001	41.00 - 46.00	10.77	F	#	-	-
	С	0125	WL	04/16/2013	N001	17.80 - 22.80	5.41	F	#	-	-
	С	0126	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	54.00 - 59.00	7.35	F	#	-	•
	С	0127	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	94.00 - 99.00	7.41	F	#	-	-

PARAMETER	UNITS	LOCATION CODE	LOCATION TYPE	SAMP DATE	LE: ID	DEPTH RANGE (FT BLS)	RESULT	QUALIFIEI LAB DATA		DETECTION LIMIT	UN- CERTAINTY
Temperature	С	0136	WL	04/16/2013	N001	53.00 - 58.00	8.17	F	#	-	-
	С	0160	WL	04/16/2013	N001	51.00 - 56.00	8.33	F	#	•	-
	С	0161	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	93.00 - 98.00	8.40	F	#	-	-
	С	0181	WL.	04/15/2013	N001	18.00 - 23.00	6.12	F	#	-	-
	С	0183	WL	04/15/2013	N001	93.00 - 98.00	8.22	F	#	-	-
	С	0186	WL	04/16/2013	N001	53.00 - 58.00	8.45	F	#	-	-
	С	0187	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	93.00 - 98.00	8.49	F	#	-	-
	С	0188	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	53.00 - 58.00	7.24	F	#	-	-
	С	0189	WI	04/16/2013	N001	93.00 - 98.00	7.35	F	#	-	-
urbidity	NTU	0002	WL.	04/15/2013	N001	10.00 - 15.00	3.87	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0005	WL	04/16/2013	N001	10.00 - 15.00	3.86	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0006	WL	04/16/2013	N001	10.00 - 15.00	5.03	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0012R	WL.	04/15/2013	N001	6.03 - 16.00	7.12	, F	#	-	-
	NTU	0013	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	11.00 - 16.00	2.65	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0062	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	47.90 - 57.90	2.47	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0063	WL	04/16/2013	N001	87.90 - 97.90	2.94	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0064	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	86.70 - 96.70	1.69	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0065	WL.	04/15/2013	N001	49.70 - 59.70	9.98	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0066	WL	04/15/2013	N001	40.20 - 50.20	6.22	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0102	WL.	04/15/2013	N001	42.00 - 47.00	2.85	F	#	-	.
	NTU	0105	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	42.00 - 47.00	2.31	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0106	WL	04/16/2013	N001	34.00 - 39.00	7.48	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0112	WL.	04/15/2013	N001	40.00 - 45.00	9.57	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0113	WL	04/16/2013	N001	41.00 - 46.00	9.08	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0125	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	17.80 - 22.80	1.94	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0126	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	54.00 - 59.00	5.40	F	#	-	-

PARAMETER	UNITS	LOCATION I CODE	LOCATION TYPE	SAMPI DATE	LE: ID	DEPTH RANGE (FT BLS)	RESULT	QUALIF LAB DA		DETECTION LIMIT	UN- CERTAINTY
Turbidity	NTU	0127	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	94.00 - 99.00	0.81	F	#		-
	NTU	0136	WL	04/16/2013	N001	53.00 - 58.00	5.95	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0160	WL	04/16/2013	N001	51.00 - 56.00	5.51	F	#	· -	-
	NTU	0161	WL	04/16/2013	N001	93.00 - 98.00	· 2.85	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0181	WL	04/15/2013	N001	18.00 - 23.00	4.02	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0183	WL	04/15/2013	N001	93.00 - 98.00	5.67	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0186	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	53.00 - 58.00	1.56	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0187	WL	04/16/2013	N001	93.00 - 98.00	9.93	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0188	WL	04/16/2013	N001	53.00 - 58.00	7.93	F	#	-	-
	NTU	0189	WL	04/16/2013	N001	93.00 ~ 98.00	2.45	F	#	-	-
Uranium	mg/L	0002	WL.	04/15/2013	N001	10.00 - 15.00	0.0023	F	#	2.9E-05	-
	mg/L	0005	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	10.00 - 15.00	0.036	F	#	2.9E-05	-
	mg/L	0006	WL	04/16/2013	N001	10.00 - 15.00	0.740	F	#	0.00029	-
	mg/L	0012R	WL	04/15/2013	N001	6.03 - 16.00	0.290	F	#	0.00029	-
	mg/L	0013	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	11.00 - 16.00	0.054	F	#	0.00015	-
	mg/L	0062	WL	04/16/2013	N001	47.90 - 57.90	0.0075	F	#	2.9E-05	-
	mg/L	0063	WL	04/16/2013	N001	87.90 - 97.90	0.011	F	#	2.9E-05	-
	mg/L	0064	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	86.70 - 96.70	0.0095	F	#	2.9E-05	. .
	mg/L	0065	WL	04/15/2013	N001	49.70 - 59.70	0.023	F	#	2.9E-05	-
	mg/L	0066	WL	04/15/2013	N001	40.20 - 50.20	0.023	F	#	2.9E-05	-
	mg/L	0102	WL	04/15/2013	N001	42.00 - 47.00	0.0035	F	#	2.9E-05	-
	mg/L	0105	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	42.00 - 47.00	0.0082	F	#	2.9E-05	-
	mg/L	0106	WL	04/16/2013	N001	34.00 - 39.00	0.019	F	#	2.9E-05	-
	mg/L	0112	WL	04/15/2013	N001	40.00 - 45.00	0.033	F	#	2.9E-05	-
	mg/L	0113	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	41.00 - 46.00	0.170	F	#	0.00015	-
	mg/L	0125	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	17.80 - 22.80	0.011	F	#	2.9E-05	-

PARAMETER	UNITS	LOCATION CODE	LOCATION TYPE	SAMPI DATE	LE: ID	DEPTH RANGE (FT BLS)	RESULT	QUALIFIERS: LAB DATA Q		UN- CERTAINTY
Uranium	mg/L	0125	WL	04/16/2013	N002	17.80 - 22.80	0.011	F	# 2.9E-05	-
	mg/L	0126	WL	04/16/2013	N001	54.00 - 59.00	0.010	F	# 2.9E-05	-
	mg/L	0126	WL.	04/16/2013	N002	54.00 - 59.00	0.010	F	# 0.00029	-
	mg/L	0127	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	94.00 - 99.00	0.013	F	# 2.9E-05	-
	mg/L	0136	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	53.00 - 58.00	0.016	F	# 2.9E-05	-
	mg/L	0160	WL	04/16/2013	N001	51.00 - 56.00	0.026	F	# 2.9E-05	-
	mg/L	0161	WL	04/16/2013	N001	93.00 - 98.00	0.019	F	# 2.9E-05	-
	mg/L	0181	WL	04/15/2013	N001	18.00 - 23.00	0.0067	F	# 2.9E-05	-
	mg/L	0183	WL	04/15/2013	N001	93.00 - 98.00	0.056	F	# 2.9E-05	-
	mg/L	0186	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	53.00 - 58.00	0.018	F	# 2.9E-05	-
	mg/L	0187	WL	04/16/2013	N001	93.00 - 98.00	0.032	F	# 2.9E-05	_`
	mg/L	0188	WL.	04/16/2013	N001	53.00 - 58.00	0.035	F	# 2.9E-05	-
	mg/L	0189	WL,	04/16/2013	N001	93.00 - 98.00	0.015	F	# 2.9E-05	-

	AMETER	UNITS	LOCATION	TYF	PE DATE	D	DEPTH RANGE (FT BLS)		RESULT		ALIFIE DATA		DETECTION LIMIT	UN- CERTAINT
REC	ORDS: SELECTED FRO in('0002','0005','0 AND (data_valida	06','0012','001	2R','0013','006;	2"."0063"."	0064'.'0065'.'0066'.'	0102' '0105'	,'0106','0112','0113','0125',' 6' AND data_validation_qu	'0126 Jalifie	','0127','0135','0 rs NOT LIKE '%	136','016 X%') Al	50','0161	.'0181 SAM	','0183','0186','018	37','0188','0189'
SAM	PLE ID CODES: 000X =									,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				10#
	ATION TYPES: WL WE													
	QUALIFIERS:													
*		thin control lies	***											
+	Replicate analysis not wi Correlation coefficient for											•		
>	Result above upper dete													
A	TIC is a suspected aldol-		oroduct											
B	Inorganic: Result is betw			nic & Ra	diochemistry: Analy	le also foun	d in method blank							
С	Pesticide result confirme	by GC-MS.			alooneniiouy. Analy		a in mealoù blank,							
D	Analyte determined in dil	uted sample.												
E	Inorganic: Estimate valu	e because of in	nterference, see	case na	rrative. Organic: Ar	alvte excee	ded calibration range of the	e GC.	-MS					
н	Holding time expired, val	ue suspect.			.	,								
ł	Increased detection limit	due to required	dilution.											
1	Estimated													
М	GFAA duplicate injection													
N	Inorganic or radiochemic	al: Spike samp	ple recovery not	within co	ontrol limits. Organic	: Tentative	ly identified compund (TIC)).						
P	> 25% difference in detec				between 2 columns	•								
\$	Result determined by me		rd addition (MS)	A).										
U W	Analytical result below de													
X	Post-digestion spike outs	ide control limit	ts while sample	absorba	nce < 50% of analyt	cal spike at	sorbance.							
Ŷ	Laboratory defined (USE Laboratory defined (USE	PA CLP organia	c) qualifier, see	case nar	rative.									
ż	Laboratory defined (USE													
	QUALIFIERS:	A OLI OIGAIN	c) quanner, see	case nai	lauve.									
F	Low flow sampling metho	d uppet			D									
1	Less than 3 bore volumes		o comolina		Possible grout cont	••		J	Estimated value					
	Less than 5 bore volumes	pulged prior a	o samping.	N	Presumptive eviden analyte is "tentative	ce that ana v identified'	yte is present. The	Q	Qualitative res	ult due t	o sampli	ng teci	nique	
R	Unusable result.				Parameter analyzed			х	Location is un	defined.				
	UALIFIER: # = validated													

.

.

Appendix **B**

Groundwater Quality Data by Parameter for Domestic Wells

PARAMETER	UNITS	LOCATION	LOCATION TYPE	SAMPI DATE	LE: ID	DEPTH RANGE (FT BLS)	RESULT		UALIFIE 3 DATA		DETECTION LIMIT	UN- CERTAINTY
Manganese	mg/L	0476	WL.	06/03/2013	N001		0.0022	в	U	#	0.00011	-
	mg/L	0477	WL	06/03/2013	N001		0.0097	E	J	#	0.00011	+
	mg/L	0478	WL.	04/17/2013	N001		1.000			#	0.00011	-
	mg/L	0667	WL	04/16/2013	N001		0.00011	U		#	0.00011	-
	mg/L	0683	WL.	06/03/2013	N001		0.0065			#	0.00011	-
Oxidation Reduction Potential	mV	0476	WL.	06/03/2013	N001		50			#	-	-
	mV	0477	WL.	06/03/2013	N001		76			#	-	-
	mV	0478	WL.	04/17/2013	N001		233.2			#	-	-
	mV	0667	WL.	04/16/2013	N001		115.2			#	-	-
	mV	0683	WL	04/17/2013	N001		135.1			#	-	-
	m∨	0683	WL	06/03/2013	N001		81			#	-	-
рН	s.u.	0476	WL	06/03/2013	N001		6.44			#	-	-
	s.u.	0477	WL.	06/03/2013	N001		6.31			#	-	-
	s.u.	0478	WL.	04/17/2013	N001		6.60			#	-	-
	s.u.	0667	WL.	04/16/2013	N001		7.28			#	-	-
	s.u.	0683	WL	04/17/2013	N001		6.96			#	-	-
	s.u.	0683	WL	06/03/2013	N001		6.88			#	-	-
Specific Conductance	umhos/cm	0476	WL	06/03/2013	N001		258			#	-	
	umhos/cm	0477	WL	06/03/2013	N001		255			#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0478	WL.	04/17/2013	N001		349			#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0667	WL.	04/16/2013	N001		264			#	~	-
	umhos/cm	0683	WL.	04/17/2013	N001		328			#	-	-
	umhos/cm	0683	WL	06/03/2013	N001		289			#	-	-
Temperature	С	0476	WL	06/03/2013	N001		10.41			#		

PARAMETER	UNITS	LOCATION I CODE	LOCATION TYPE	SAMPI DATE	_E: ID	DEPTH RANGE (FT BLS)	RESULT	QUALIFIERS: LAB DATA QA	DETECTION LIMIT	UN- CERTAINTY
Temperature	С	0477	WL.	06/03/2013	N001		8.13	#	••	-
	С	0478	WL.	04/17/2013	N001		15.37	#	-	· _
	С	0667	WL	04/16/2013	N001		11.77	#	-	-
	С	0683	WL	04/17/2013	N001		16.41	#	•	-
	С	0683	WL.	06/03/2013	N001		10.40	#	-	-
urbidity	NTU	0476	WL	06/03/2013	N001		2.85	#	-	+
	NTU	0477	WL.	06/03/2013	N001		2.65	#	-	-
	NTU	0478	WL.	04/17/2013	N001		1.05	#	-	-
	NTU	0667	WL	04/16/2013	N001		3.71	#	-	-
	NTU	0683	WL.	04/17/2013	N001		6.19	#	-	-
•	NTU	0683	WL	06/03/2013	N001		1.77	#	-	-
Uranium	mg/L	0476	WL	06/03/2013	N001		0.0019	#	2.9E-05	-
	mg/L	0477	WL.	06/03/2013	N001		0.0015	#	2.9E-05	-
	mg/L	0478	WL.	04/17/2013	N001		0.0033	#	2.9E-05	-
	mg/L	0667	WL.	04/16/2013	N001		0.0026	#	2.9E-05	
	mg/L	0683	WL	06/03/2013	N001		0.0027	#	2.9E-05	-

.

PARAMETER UNITS		YPE DAT		DEPTH RANGE (FT BLS)		RESULT	LAB	ALIFIERS: DATA Q/		UN- CERTAINTY
RECORDS: SELECTED FROM USEE200 LIKE '%R%' AND data_valida	WHERE site_code='G tion_qualifiers NOT LI	UN01' AND location	 TESAMPLED	,'0477','0478','0667','0683') >= #1/1/2013#) AND	(data_validation	_qualifi	ers IS NULL (OR data_validation	_qualifiers NOT
SAMPLE ID CODES: 000X = Filtered samp										
LOCATION TYPES: WL WELL										
LAB QUALIFIERS:										
 Replicate analysis not within control li 	mite									
+ Correlation coefficient for MSA < 0.99										
 Result above upper detection limit. 	0.									
A TIC is a suspected aldol-condensation	product.									
B Inorganic: Result is between the IDL		Radiochemistry: A	nalvte also foun	d in method blank						
C Pesticide result confirmed by GC-MS.										
D Analyte determined in diluted sample.										
E Inorganic: Estimate value because of	interference, see case	narrative. Organic	: Analyte excee	ded calibration range of the	e GC-	MS.				
H Holding time expired, value suspect.		-	•							
I Increased detection limit due to require	ed dilution.									
J Estimated										
M GFAA duplicate injection precision not										
N Inorganic or radiochemical: Spike sar	nple recovery not withi	n control limits. Org	anic: Tentative	ly identified compund (TIC)).					
 P > 25% difference in detected pesticide S Result determined by method of stand 		ons between 2 colu	mns.							
 S Result determined by method of stand U Analytical result below detection limit. 	ard addition (MSA).									
W Post-digestion spike outside control lin	nito utilo comalo obce									
X Laboratory defined (USEPA CLP orga	nics while sample abso	noance < 50% of ar	lalytical spike at	sorbance.						
Y Laboratory defined (USEPA CLP orga										
Z Laboratory defined (USEPA CLP organ	nic) qualifier, see case	narrative								
DATA QUALIFIERS:										
F Low flow sampling method used.	G	December was a		11. 0	_	.				
L Less than 3 bore volumes purged prior	-		· •	H > 9. lyte is present. The	J	Estimated value				
	w samping, N	analyte is "tenta	tively identified	ivie is present. The	Q	Qualitative resu	lit due to	sampling te	chnique	
R Unusable result.	U	•	*		х	Location is und	efined			
QA QUALIFIER: # = validated according to	Quality Assurance ouic									

Appendix C

Surface Water Quality Data by Parameter

SURFACE WATER QUALITY DATA BY PARAMETER (USEE800) FOR SITE GUN01, Gunnison Processing Site REPORT DATE: 8/27/2013 8:20 am

PARAMETER	UNITS	LOCATION CODE	SAMPL DATE	.E: ID	RESULT	QU LAB	ALIFIEF DATA	DETECTION LIMIT	UN- CERTAINTY
Manganese	mg/L	0248	04/16/2013	0001	0.120			# 0.00011	-
	mg/L	0250	04/16/2013	N001	0.054			# 0.00011	-
	mg/L	0777	04/16/2013	N001	0.170			# 0.00011	-
	mg/L	0780	04/16/2013	N001	0.080			# 0.00011	-
	mg/L	0792	04/16/2013	N001	0.034			# 0.00011	-
	mg/L	0795	04/16/2013	N001	0.030			# 0.00011	-
Uranium	mg/L	0248	04/16/2013	0001	0.0055			# 2.9E-05	i -
	mg/L	0250	04/16/2013	N001	0.0012			# 2.9E-05	· -
	mg/L	0777	04/16/2013	N001	0.0045			# 2.9E-05	i -
	mg/L	0780	04/16/2013	N001	0.033			# 2.9E-05	· -
	mg/L	0792	04/16/2013	N001	0.0012			# 2.9E-05	. -
	mg/L	0795	04/16/2013	N001	0.0012			# 2.9E-05	-

RECORDS: SELECTED FROM USEE800 WHERE site_code='GUN01' AND (data_validation_qualifiers IS NULL OR data_validation_qualifiers NOT LIKE '%R%' AND data_validation_qualifiers NOT LIKE '%X%') AND cas in('07439-96-5','07440-61-1') AND DATE_SAMPLED >= #1/1/2013#

SAMPLE ID CODES: 000X = Filtered sample. N00X = Unfiltered sample. X = replicate number.

LAB QUALIFIERS:

- * Replicate analysis not within control limits.
- + Correlation coefficient for MSA < 0.995.
- > Result above upper detection limit.
- A TIC is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
- B Inorganic: Result is between the IDL and CRDL. Organic & Radiochemistry: Analyte also found in method blank.
- C Pesticide result confirmed by GC-MS.
- D Analyte determined in diluted sample.
- E Inorganic: Estimate value because of interference, see case narrative. Organic: Analyte exceeded calibration range of the GC-MS.
- H Holding time expired, value suspect.
- I Increased detection limit due to required dilution.
- J Estimated
- M GFAA duplicate injection precision not met.
- N Inorganic or radiochemical: Spike sample recovery not within control limits. Organic: Tentatively identified compund (TIC).
- P > 25% difference in detected pesticide or Aroclor concentrations between 2 columns.
- S Result determined by method of standard addition (MSA).
- U Analytical result below detection limit.
- W Post-digestion spike outside control limits while sample absorbance < 50% of analytical spike absorbance.
- X Laboratory defined (USEPA CLP organic) qualifier, see case narrative.
- Y Laboratory defined (USEPA CLP organic) qualifier, see case narrative.
- Z Laboratory defined (USEPA CLP organic) qualifier, see case narrative.

DATA QUALIFIERS:

- F Low flow sampling method used.
- J Estimated value.
- N Presumptive evidence that analyte is present. The analyte is "tentatively identified".
- R Unusable result.
- X Location is undefined.

- G Possible grout contamination, pH > 9.
- L Less than 3 bore volumes purged prior to sampling.
- Q Qualitative result due to sampling technique
- U Parameter analyzed for but was not detected.

QA QUALIFIER: # = validated according to Quality Assurance guidelines.

Appendix D

Water Level Data

STATIC WATER LEVELS (USEE700) FOR SITE GUN01, Gunnison Processing Site REPORT DATE: 8/27/2013 9:54 am

LOCATION CODE	FLOW	TOP OF CASING ELEVATION	MEASURI	EMENT	DEPTH FROM TOP OF CASING	WATER ELEVATION	WATEF LEVEL
	CODE	(FT)	DATE	TIME	(FT)	(FT)	FLAG
0002	U	7646.75	04/15/2013	14:15	3.95	7642.80	
0005	0	7644.66	04/16/2013	14:55	3.91	7640.75	
0006	0	7647.23	04/16/2013	13:40	9.65	7637.58	
0012R	0	7645.95	04/15/2013	15:50	10.81	7635.14	
0013	D	7643.75	04/16/2013	13:10	10.83	7632.92	
0062	0	7630.61	04/16/2013	09:15	5.60	7625.01	
0063	0	7630.34	04/16/2013	09:00	6.93	7623.41	
0064	0	7620.76	04/16/2013	10:05	6.31	7614.45	
0065	0	7610.27	04/15/2013	18:00	1.92	7608.35	
0066	0	7606.22	04/15/2013	17:15	2.23	7603.99	
0102	U	7647.30	04/15/2013	14:30	4.68	7642.62	
0105	0	7646.11	04/16/2013	14:45	6.06	7640.05	i.
0106	0	7647.22	04/16/2013	14:00	9.82	7637.40	
0112	0	7645.74	04/15/2013	16:20	11.29	7634.45	
0113	D	7643.83	04/16/2013	12:55	10.99	7632.84	
0125	D	7633.52	04/16/2013	07:45	5.54	7627.98	
0126	D	7634.14	04/16/2013	08:05	5.69	7628.45	
0127	D	7634.64	04/16/2013	08:25	7.70	7626.94	
0136	D	7626.24	04/16/2013	11:10	4.61	7621.63	
0160	D	7604.39	04/16/2013	17:45	6.12	7598.27	-
0161	D	7605.63	04/16/2013	18:00	7.54	7598.09	
0181	D	7616.38	04/15/2013	15:20	1.78	7614.60	
0183	D	7616.27	04/15/2013	15:05	4.02	7612.25	
0186	D	7627.21	04/16/2013	16:10	5.32	7621.89	
0187	D	7625.91	04/16/2013	15:50	5.05	7620.86	
0188	D	7613.65	04/16/2013	17:10	7.00	7606.65	~~
0189	D	7613.56	04/16/2013	16:55	7.84	7605.72	

WATER LEVEL FLAGS: