Data Validation Package

January 2015 Produced Water Sampling at the Rulison, Colorado, Site

May 2015



Available for sale to the public from:

U.S. Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service 5301 Shawnee Road Alexandria, VA 22312 Telephone: 800.553.6847

Fax: 703.605.6900 E-mail: orders@ntis.gov

Online Ordering: http://www.ntis.gov/help/ordermethods.aspx

Available electronically at http://www.osti.gov/scitech/

Available for a processing fee to U.S. Department of Energy and its contractors, in paper, from:

U.S. Department of Energy Office of Scientific and Technical Information P.O. Box 62 Oak Ridge, TN 37831-0062

Phone: 865.576.8401 Fax: 865.576.5728

Email: reports@adonis.osti.gov

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors.

Contents

Sampling Event Summary	
Rulison, Colorado, Site Sample Location Map	
Data Assessment Summary.	
Water Sampling Field Activities Verification Checklist	
Laboratory Performance Assessment	7
Sampling Quality Control Assessment	
Certification	

Attachment 1—Data Presentation

Produced Water Data Natural Gas Data

Attachment 2—Trip Report

This page intentionally left blank

Sampling Event Summary

Site: Rulison, Colorado, Site

Sampling Period: January 7, 2015

The U.S. Department of Energy Office of Legacy Management conducted sampling at the Rulison, Colorado, Site on January 7, 2015, in accordance with the 2010 *Rulison Monitoring Plan*. The Monitoring Plan provides guidance regarding the type and frequency of sample collection as a function of distance and heading from the Rulison detonation point; it also specifies the types of analyses. Natural gas and produced water samples are analyzed for radionuclides to determine if contamination is migrating from the Rulison detonation zone to producing gas wells. Samples were submitted for analysis as follows:

- Natural gas samples were submitted under requisition 14126698 to Isotech Laboratories in Champaign, Illinois, for the determination of carbon-14 and tritium.
- Produced water samples were submitted under requisition 14126699 to ALS Laboratory Group in Fort Collins, Colorado, for the determination of chloride, gross alpha/beta, gamma-emitting nuclides, and tritium.

Sampling and analyses were conducted as specified in the *Sampling and Analysis Plan for U.S. Department of Energy Office of Legacy Management Sites* (LMS/PRO/S04351, continually updated). A duplicate sample of produced water was collected at location 05-045-10840.

Sample radionuclide results are compared to the screening levels listed in the Monitoring Plan to determine if any further action is merited. None of the results for the 13 wells sampled during this event exceeded the screening levels specified in the Monitoring Plan. The natural gas and produced water sample results are presented in Attachment 1.


Rick Hutton, Site Lead

Stoller Newport News Nuclear, Inc.,

a wholly owned subsidiary of

Huntington Ingalls Industries, Inc.

May 2015



Rulison, Colorado, Site Sample Location Map

Data Assessment Summary

This page intentionally left blank

Water Sampling Field Activities Verification Checklist

F	Project	Rulison, Colorado	Date(s) of Wate	r Sampling	January 7, 2015
[Date(s) of Verification	April 2, 2015	Name of Verifie	r	Stephen Donivan
			Response (Yes, No, NA)		Comments
1.	Is the SAP the primary document	directing field procedures?	Yes		
	List any Program Directives or oth	ner documents, SOPs, instructions.		Program Directive	e RUL-2015-01.
2.	Were the sampling locations spec	sified in the planning documents sampled?	No	Limited volume of the wells.	f produced water was available from eight of
3.	Were calibrations conducted as s	pecified in the above-named documents?	NA	Field measureme	ents were not required.
4.	Was an operational check of the	field equipment conducted daily?			
	Did the operational checks meet	criteria?			
5.	Were the number and types (alka pH, turbidity, DO, ORP) of field m	linity, temperature, specific conductance, easurements taken as specified?			
6.	Were wells categorized correctly?	,	NA	This sampling eve	ent did not include ground water.
7.	Were the following conditions me	t when purging a Category I well:			
	Was one pump/tubing volume pu	rged prior to sampling?	NA	This sampling eve	ent did not include ground water.
	Did the water level stabilize prior	to sampling?			
	Did pH, specific conductance, and prior to sampling?	d turbidity measurements meet criteria			
	Was the flow rate less than 500 n	nL/min?			

Water Sampling Field Activities Verification Checklist (continued)

	(Yes, No, NA)	Comments
Were the following conditions met when purging a Category II well:		
Was the flow rate less than 500 mL/min?	NA	This sampling event did not include groundwater.
Was one pump/tubing volume removed prior to sampling?		
9. Were duplicates taken at a frequency of one per 20 samples?	Yes	A duplicate sample was collected at location 05-045-10840.
10. Were equipment blanks taken at a frequency of one per 20 samples that were collected with non-dedicated equipment?	NA	An equipment blank was not required.
11. Were trip blanks prepared and included with each shipment of VOC samples?	NA	
12. Were the true identities of the QC samples documented?	Yes	
13. Were samples collected in the containers specified?	Yes	
14. Were samples filtered and preserved as specified?	Yes	
15. Were the number and types of samples collected as specified?	Yes	Limited volume of produced water was available.
16. Were chain of custody records completed and was sample custody maintained?	Yes	
17. Was all pertinent information documented on the field data sheets?	Yes	
18. Was the presence or absence of ice in the cooler documented at every sample location?	NA NA	Sample cooling was not required.
19. Were water levels measured at the locations specified in the planning documents?	NA	

Laboratory Performance Assessment

General Information

Requisition No. (RIN): 14126699

Sample Event: January 7, 2015

Site(s): Rulison, Colorado, Site

Laboratory: ALS Laboratory Group, Fort Collins, Colorado

Work Order No.: 1501106

Analysis: Radiochemistry and Wet Chemistry

Validator: Stephen Donivan Review Date: April 2, 2015

This validation was performed according to the *Environmental Procedures Catalog*, (LMS/POL/S04325, continually updated) "Standard Practice for Validation of Environmental Data." The procedure was applied at Level 3, Data Validation. See attached Data Validation Worksheets for supporting documentation on the data review and validation. All analyses were successfully completed. The samples were prepared and analyzed using accepted procedures based on methods specified by line item code, which are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Analytes and Methods

Analyte	Line Item Code	Prep Method	Analytical Method
Chloride	WCH-B-011	EPA 300.0	EPA 300.0
Gamma Spectrometry	GAM-A-001	PA SOP713R11	PA SOP713R11
Gross Alpha/Beta	GPC-A-001	PA SOP702R19	PA SOP724R10
Tritium	LCS-A-001	PA SOP700R10	PA SOP704R9

Data Qualifier Summary

Analytical results were qualified as listed in Table 2. Refer to the sections below for an explanation of the data qualifiers applied.

Table 2. Data Qualifier Summary

Sample Number	Location	Analyte	Flag	Reason
1501106-2	BM 26-22C	Gross Alpha	J	Less than the determination limit
1501106-3	BM 26-22D	Actinium-228	U	Nuclide identification criteria
1501106-3	BM 26-22D	Gross Alpha	J	Less than the determination limit
1501106-3	BM 26-22D	Potassium-40	J	Less than the determination limit
1501106-4	BM 26-34B	Gross Beta	J	Less than the determination limit
1501106-5	BM 26-34D	Gross Beta	J	Less than the determination limit
1501106-6	BM 35-32A	Actinium-228	U	Nuclide identification criteria
1501106-7	BM 36-13	Potassium-40	J	Less than the determination limit
1501106-8	BM 36-13B	Gross Alpha	J	Less than the determination limit

Sample Shipping/Receiving

ALS Laboratory Group in Fort Collins, Colorado, received eight water samples on January 9, 2015, accompanied by a Chain of Custody form. The Chain of Custody form was checked to confirm that all of the samples were listed with sample collection dates and times, and that signatures and dates were present indicating sample relinquishment and receipt. Copies of the shipping labels were included in the receiving documentation. The Chain of Custody form was complete with no errors or omissions.

Preservation and Holding Times

The sample shipment was received intact at ambient temperature which complies with requirements. All samples were received in the correct container types and had been preserved correctly for the requested analyses. Sample analysis was completed within the applicable holding times.

Detection and Quantitation Limits

The method detection limit (MDL) was reported for all metal, organic, and wet chemical analytes as required. The MDL, as defined in 40 CFR 136, is the minimum concentration of an analyte that can be measured and reported with 99 percent confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero. The practical quantitation limit (PQL) for these analytes is the lowest concentration that can be reliably measured, and is defined as 5 times the MDL.

For radiochemical analytes (those measured by radiometric counting) the MDL and PQL are not applicable, and these results are evaluated using the minimum detectable concentration (MDC), Decision Level Concentration (DLC), and Determination Limit (DL). The MDC is a measure of radiochemical method performance and was calculated and reported as specified in *Quality Systems for Analytical Services*. The DLC is the minimum concentration of an analyte that can be measured and reported with 99 percent confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero, and is estimated as 3 times the one-sigma total propagated uncertainty. Results that are greater than the MDC, but less than the DLC are qualified with a "U" flag (not detected). The DL for radiochemical results is the lowest concentration that can be reliably measured, and is defined as 3 times the MDC. Results not previously "U" qualified that are less than the DL are qualified with a "J" flag as estimated values.

The reported MDLs for the wet chemical analyte and MDCs for radiochemical analytes met the detection limits requirements with the following exceptions. The required detection limits were not met for gross alpha and gross beta samples because of the elevated levels of dissolved solids in the samples.

Laboratory Instrument Calibration

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable qualitative and quantitative data for all analytes. Initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance in the beginning of the analytical run and of producing a linear curve. Compliance requirements for

continuing calibration checks are established to ensure that the instrument continues to be capable of producing acceptable qualitative and quantitative data. All laboratory instrument calibrations were performed correctly in accordance with the cited methods. All calibration and laboratory spike standards were prepared from independent sources.

Method EPA 300.0, Chloride

Calibration for chloride was performed using five calibration standards on January 2, 2015. The calibration curve correlation coefficient values were greater than 0.995 and the absolute values of the intercepts were less than 3 times the MDL. Initial and continuing calibration verification checks were made at the required frequency with all calibration checks meeting the laboratory's acceptance criteria.

Gamma Spectrometry

Activity concentrations above the MDC were reported in some instances where minimum nuclide identification criteria were not met. Such tentative identifications result when the software attempts to calculate net activity concentrations for analytes where either one or both of the following criteria are not satisfied: one or more characteristic peaks for a nuclide must be identified above the critical level, or the minimum library peak abundance must be attained. Sample results for gamma-emitting radionuclides that do not meet the identification criteria are qualified with a "U" flag as not detected.

Method and Calibration Blanks

Method blanks are analyzed to assess any contamination that may have occurred during sample preparation. Calibration blanks are analyzed to assess instrument contamination prior to and during sample analysis. All radiochemical method blank results were below the Decision Level Concentration.

<u>Laboratory Control Sample</u>

Laboratory control samples were analyzed at the correct frequency to provide information on the accuracy of the analytical method and the overall laboratory performance, including sample preparation. All control sample results were acceptable.

<u>Laboratory Replicate</u> Analysis

Laboratory replicate sample results demonstrate acceptable laboratory precision. The relative percent difference value for the chloride matrix spike replicate met the acceptance criteria. The radiochemical relative error ratio (calculated using the one-sigma total propagated uncertainty) for the sample replicates was less than three for all duplicates.

Matrix Spike Analysis

Matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) samples are used to measure method performance in the sample matrix. The MS/MSD data are not evaluated when the concentration of the unspiked sample is greater than 4 times the spike concentration. The spike recoveries met the recovery and precision criteria for all analytes evaluated.

Completeness

Results were reported in the correct units for all analytes requested using contract-required laboratory qualifiers.

Electronic Data Deliverable (EDD) File

The EDD file arrived on February 2, 2015. The Sample Management System EDD validation module was used to verify that the EDD files were complete and in compliance with requirements. The module compares the contents of the file to the requested analyses to ensure all and only the requested data are delivered. The contents of the EDD were manually examined to verify that the sample results accurately reflect the data contained in the sample data package.

SAMPLE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM **General Data Validation Report** RIN: 14126699 Validator: Stephen Donivan _ Lab Code: PAR Validation Date: 04/02/2015 Analysis Type: Metals General Chem Project: Rulison Site ✓ Rad Organics # of Samples: 8 Matrix: WATER Requested Analysis Completed: Yes Chain of Custody-Sample-Present: OK Dated: OK Integrity: OK Temperature: OK Signed: OK Preservation: OK **Select Quality Parameters** ✓ Holding Times All analyses were completed within the applicable holding times. ✓ Detection Limits There are 16 detection limit failures. Field/Trip Blanks ✓ Field Duplicates There was 1 duplicate evaluated.

SAMPLE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

Page 1 of 1

RIN:	14126699	Lab Code:	PAR	
	14120000	Eub Couc.	17414	

Non-Compliance Report: Detection Limits

Validation Date: 04/02/2015

Project: Rulison Site

Ticket	Location	Lab Sample ID	Method Code	Lab Method	Analyte Name	Result	Qualifier	Reported Detection Limit	Required Detection Limit	Units
MNU 712	2657	1501106-1	GPC-A-001	724R11	GROSS BETA	180		44	4	pCi/L
MNU 712	2657	1501106-1	GPC-A-001	724R11	GROSS ALPHA	11.7	U	44	2	pCi/L
MNU 705	BM 26-22C	1501106-2	GPC-A-001	724R11	GROSS BETA	167		43	4	pCi/L
VINU 705	BM 26-22C	1501106-2	GPC-A-001	724R11	GROSS ALPHA	45.6		42	2	pCi/L
MNU 706	BM 26-22D	1501106-3	GPC-A-001	724R11	GROSS BETA	211		45	4	pCi/L
MNU 706	BM 26-22D	1501106-3	GPC-A-001	724R11	GROSS ALPHA	58		47	2	pCi/L
MNU 703	BM 26-34B	1501106-4	GPC-A-001	724R11	GROSS BETA	46.1		23	4	pCi/L
MNU 703	BM 26-34B	1501106-4	GPC-A-001	724R11	GROSS ALPHA	-8.25	U	29	2	pCi/L
MNU 704	BM 26-34D	1501106-5	GPC-A-001	724R11	GROSS BETA	120	Т	45	4	pCi/L
VINU 704	BM 26-34D	1501106-5	GPC-A-001	724R11	GROSS ALPHA	33.5	U	48	2	pCi/L
MNU 707	BM 35-32A	1501106-6	GPC-A-001	724R11	GROSS BETA	143	T	45	4	pCi/L
MNU 707	BM 35-32A	1501106-6	GPC-A-001	724R11	GROSS ALPHA	18.7	U	41	2	pCi/L
MNU 708	BM 36-13	1501106-7	GPC-A-001	724R11	GROSS ALPHA	24.1	U	39	2	pCi/L
MNU 708	BM 36-13	1501106-7	GPC-A-001	724R11	GROSS BETA	181		43	4	pCi/L
MNU 709	BM 36-13B	1501106-8	GPC-A-001	724R11	GROSS BETA	170	Т	43	4	pCi/L
MNU 709	BM 36-13B	1501106-8	GPC-A-001	724R11	GROSS ALPHA	57.6	İ	45	2	pCi/L

Page 1 of 2

SAMPLE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM Radiochemistry Data Validation Worksheet

 RIN:
 14126699
 Lab Code:
 PAR
 Date Due:
 02/06/2015

 Matrix:
 Water
 Site Code:
 RUL01
 Date Completed:
 02/03/2015

Sample	Analyte	Date Analyzed	Result	Flag	Tracer %R	LCS %R	MS %R	Duplicate RER
BM 35-32A	Actinium-228	01/29/2015						0.71
BM 35-32A	Americium-241	01/29/2015				Ì		0.23
Blank_Spike	Americium-241	01/29/2015				93.20		
BM 35-32A	Antimony-125	01/29/2015				Ì		1.24
BM 35-32A	Cerium-144	01/29/2015				Ì		0.68
BM 35-32A	Cesium-134	01/29/2015				Ì		
BM 35-32A	Cesium-137	01/29/2015				Ì		1.71
Blank_Spike	Cesium-137	01/29/2015				97.60		
BM 35-32A	Cobalt-60	01/29/2015				Ì		0.30
Blank_Spike	Cobalt-60	01/29/2015				96.10		
BM 35-32A	Europium-152	01/29/2015				Ì		0.12
BM 35-32A	Europium-154	01/29/2015				Ì		1.25
BM 35-32A	Europium-155	01/29/2015				Ì		0.07
Blank_Spike	GROSS ALPHA	01/14/2015				103.00		
BM 36-13B	GROSS ALPHA	01/14/2015				Ì	63.0	
Blank	GROSS ALPHA	01/14/2015	-0.0600	U		Ì		
BM 35-32A	GROSS ALPHA	01/16/2015				ĺ		0.43
Blank_Spike	GROSS BETA	01/14/2015				104.00		
BM 36-13B	GROSS BETA	01/14/2015				Ì	95.9	
Blank	GROSS BETA	01/14/2015	0.8820	U		ĺ		
BM 35-32A	GROSS BETA	01/16/2015				ĺ		1.85
BM 36-13	H-3	01/28/2015						0.22
2657	H-3	01/28/2015				ĺ	100.0	
Blank_Spike	H-3	01/30/2015				99.30		
Blank	H-3	01/30/2015	58.4000	U		ĺ		
BM 35-32A	Lead-212	01/29/2015				Ì		0.16
BM 35-32A	Potassium-40	01/29/2015				ĺ		0.32
BM 35-32A	Promethium-144	01/29/2015				Ì		0.92
BM 35-32A	Promethium-146	01/29/2015						0.25
BM 35-32A	Ruthenium-106	01/29/2015				Ì		1.64
BM 35-32A	Thorium-234	01/29/2015						1.19
BM 35-32A	Uranium-235	01/29/2015						0.18

Page 2 of 2

SAMPLE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM Radiochemistry Data Validation Worksheet

RIN: <u>14126699</u> Date Due: 02/06/2015 Lab Code: PAR Matrix: Water Site Code: RUL01 **Date Completed:** <u>02/03/2015</u>

Sample	Analyte	Date Analyzed	Result	Flag	Tracer %R	LCS %R	MS %R	Duplicate RER
BM 35-32A	Yttrium-88	01/29/2015						1.65

Page 1 of 1

SAMPLE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

Wet Chemistry Data Validation Worksheet

RIN: 14126699 Lab Code: PAR Date Due: 02/06/2015 Matrix: Water Site Code: RUL01 Date Completed: 02/03/2015

Analyte	Date Analyzed				Method	LCS %R	MS %R	MSD %R	DUP RPD	Serial Dil. %R	
	-	Int.	R^2	CCV	ССВ	Blank					
CHLORIDE	01/13/2015	0.000	1.0000	ОК	ОК	ОК	105.00	100.0	95.0	1.00	

General Information

Requisition (RIN): 14126698

Sample Event: January 1, 2015 Site(s): Rulison, Colorado Laboratory: Isotech Laboratories

Work Order No.: 27809

Analysis: Radiochemistry
Validator: Stephen Donivan
Review Date: April 2, 2015

This validation was performed according to the *Environmental Procedures Catalog*, (LMS/POL/S04325, continually updated) "Standard Practice for Validation of Environmental Data." The procedure was applied at Level 1, Data Deliverables Examination. The data were examined to assess the completeness of the deliverables, identify any reporting errors, and assess the usability of the data based on the results of the field duplicate and the laboratory's evaluation of their data, as described in the narrative provided. The data are acceptable as received. The samples were prepared and analyzed using accepted procedures based on methods specified by line item code, which are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Analytes and Methods

Analyte	Line Item Code	Prep Method	Analytical Method
Natural Gas Analysis	LMG-01	NA	Gas Chromatography
Carbon-14 and Tritium	LMG-03	Combustion	Liquid Scintillation Counting

Data Qualifier Summary

None of the analytical results required qualification.

Sample Shipping/Receiving

Isotech Laboratories received 13 natural gas samples on January 12, 2015, accompanied by a Chain of Custody (COC) form. The COC form was checked to confirm that all of the samples were listed with sample collection dates and times, and that signatures and dates were present indicating sample relinquishment and receipt. The COC form was complete with no errors or omissions.

Summary

Thirteen natural gas samples were received at Isotech Laboratories and analyzed by gas chromatography to determine the natural gas composition. The samples were then combusted with the resulting water collected for analysis. Carbon-14 and tritium were measured in the water collected by liquid scintillation counting. There were no analytical difficulties noted by the laboratory.

Completeness

The results of the gas chromatography analysis were reported in volume percent showing the average sample composition of 90% methane.

The carbon-14 results were reported in percent modern carbon (pMC). The tritium results were reported in tritium units. Carbon-14 and tritium were not detected in any of the samples.

Sampling Quality Control Assessment

The following information summarizes and assesses quality control for this sampling event.

Sampling Protocol

The produced water samples were collected from a tap on a common line connecting the output of two separators (each servicing a well) and the nearby accumulation tanks. The collected water sample from one separator was isolated from the other separator by valves. Lines from each of the two separators were purged before sample collection.

Natural gas samples were collected as specified in Program Directive RUL-2013-01 in an evacuated 17.8-liter gas cylinder provided by Isotech Laboratories, Inc. Each sampling container was filled to approximately 25 pounds per square inch with natural gas from each well.

Equipment Blank Assessment

An equipment blank was not required.

Field Duplicate Assessment

Field duplicate samples are collected and analyzed as an indication of overall precision of the measurement process. The precision observed includes both field and laboratory precision and has more variability than laboratory duplicates, which measure only laboratory performance. A duplicate sample was collected from location BM 36-13. For non-radiochemical measurements, the relative percent difference for duplicate results that are greater than 5 times the PQL should be less than 20 percent. For results less than 5 times the PQL, the range should be no greater than the PQL. For radiochemical measurements, the relative error ratio (the ratio of the absolute difference between the sample and duplicate results and the sum of the 1-sigma uncertainties) is used to evaluate duplicate results and should be less than 3. All duplicate results met these criteria demonstrating acceptable precision.

SAMPLE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

Page 1 of 1

Validation Report: Field Duplicates

 RIN:
 14126699
 Lab Code:
 PAR
 Project:
 Rulison Site
 Validation Date:
 04/02/2015

Duplicate: 2657

Sample: BM 36-13

	- Sample -				Duplicate —						
Analyte	Result	Flag	Error	Dilution	Result	Flag	Error	Dilution	RPD	RER	Units
Actinium-228	12.7	U	13.5	1	12.7	U	20.8	1		0	pCi/L
Americium-241	-6.23	U	15.1	1	-15.4	U	55.4	1		0.3	pCi/L
Antimony-125	4.17	U	5.79	1	4.04	U	6.71	1		0	pCi/L
Cerium-144	6.8	U	12.5	1	-12.2	U	20.8	1		1.5	pCi/L
Cesium-134	1.04	U	4.29	1	-0.245	U	2.84	1		0.5	pCi/L
Cesium-137	-1.47	U	2.57	1	-0.593	U	2.76	1		0.5	pCi/L
CHLORIDE	9600			1000	10000			1000	4.08		MG/L
Cobalt-60	1.72	U	3.25	1	0.271	U	2.77	1		0.7	pCi/L
Europium-152	-4.24	U	16.8	1	9.41	U	13.9	1		1.2	pCi/L
Europium-154	-8.58	U	16.6	1	-5.55	U	15.4	1		0.3	pCi/L
Europium-155	-0.559	U	7.15	1	-2.85	U	8.06	1		0.4	pCi/L
GROSS ALPHA	24.1	U	24.4	1	11.7	U	26.2	1		0.7	pCi/L
GROSS BETA	181		40.9	1	180		41	1		0	pCi/L
H-3	-65.8	U	207	1	-133	U	185	1		0.5	pCi/L
Lead-212	0.118	U	7.19	1	0.492	U	8.69	1		0.1	pCi/L
Potassium-40	150		88.6	1	103	U	121	1		0.6	pCi/L
Promethium-144	2.89	U	2.99	1	-2.1	U	2.91	1		2.3	pCi/L
Promethium-146	-0.0925	U	3.03	1	-1.36	U	3.04	1		0.6	pCi/L
Ruthenium-106	-9.12	U	25.4	1	-19.3	U	25.7	1		0.6	pCi/L
Thorium-234	21.3	U	74.5	1	34.9	U	90.6	1		0.2	pCi/L
Uranium-235	-5.71	U	20.9	1	15.7	U	15.6	1		1.6	pCi/L
Yttrium-88	2.26	U	2.79	1	-2.96	U	7.74	1		1.2	pCi/L

Certification

All laboratory analytical quality control criteria were met except as qualified in this report. The data qualifiers listed on the SEEPro database reports are defined on the last page of each report. All data in this package are considered validated and available for use.

Laboratory Coordinator:

Data Validation Lead:

Attachment 1 Data Presentation

This page intentionally left blank

Produced Water Data

This page intentionally left blank

REPORT DATE: 04/17/2015

Location: 05-045-10840 WELL BM 36-13

Parameter	Units	Sam Date	ple ID	-	th Rai	_	Result	Lab	Qualifiers Data	QA	Detection Limit	Uncertainty
Actinium-228	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	12.7	U		#	28	13.5
Actinium-228	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N002	0	-	0	12.7	U		#	34	20.8
Americium-241	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-6.23	U		#	26	15.1
Americium-241	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N002	0	-	0	-15.4	U		#	92	55.4
Antimony-125	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	4.17	U		#	11	5.79
Antimony-125	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N002	0	-	0	4.04	U		#	12	6.71
Cerium-144	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	6.8	U		#	21	12.5
Cerium-144	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N002	0	-	0	-12.2	U		#	35	20.8
Cesium-134	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	1.04	U		#	7.1	4.29
Cesium-134	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N002	0	-	0	245	U		#	4.8	2.84
Cesium-137	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-1.47	U		#	4.4	2.57
Cesium-137	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N002	0	-	0	593	U		#	4.7	2.76
Chloride	mg/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	9600			#	200	
Chloride	mg/L	01/07/2015	N002	0	-	0	10000			#	200	
Cobalt-60	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	1.72	U		#	5.4	3.25
Cobalt-60	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N002	0	-	0	0.271	U		#	4.8	2.77
Europium-152	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-4.24	U		#	29	16.8
Europium-152	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N002	0	-	0	9.41	U		#	23	13.9

REPORT DATE: 04/17/2015

Location: 05-045-10840 WELL BM 36-13

Parameter	Units	Samլ Date	ole ID		oth Rai Ft BLS	_	Result	(Lab	Qualifiers Data	QA	Detection Limit	Uncertainty
Europium-154	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-8.58	U		#	29	16.6
Europium-154	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N002	0	-	0	-5.55	U		#	27	15.4
Europium-155	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	559	U		#	12	7.15
Europium-155	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N002	0	-	0	-2.85	U		#	14	8.06
Gross Alpha	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	24.1	U		#	39	24.4
Gross Alpha	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N002	0	-	0	11.7	U		#	44	26.2
Gross Beta	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	181			#	43	40.9
Gross Beta	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N002	0	-	0	180			#	44	41
Lead-212	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	0.118	U		#	12	7.19
Lead-212	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N002	0	-	0	0.492	U		#	14	8.69
Potassium-40	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	150		J	#	140	88.6
Potassium-40	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N002	0	-	0	103	U		#	200	121
Promethium-144	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	2.89	U		#	4.8	2.99
Promethium-144	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N002	0	-	0	-2.1	U		#	5.1	2.91
Promethium-146	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	0925	U		#	5.1	3.03
Promethium-146	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N002	0	-	0	-1.36	U		#	5.2	3.04
Ruthenium-106	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-9.12	U		#	43	25.4
Ruthenium-106	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N002	0	-	0	-19.3	U		#	45	25.7

REPORT DATE: 04/17/2015

Location: 05-045-10840 WELL BM 36-13

Parameter	Units	Samլ Date	ole ID		th Ran t BLS	_	Result	Lab	Qualifiers Data	QA	Detection Limit	Uncertainty
Thorium-234	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	21.3	U		#	120	74.5
Thorium-234	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N002	0	-	0	34.9	U		#	150	90.6
Tritium	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-65.8	U		#	350	207
Tritium	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N002	0	-	0	-133	U		#	320	185
Uranium-235	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-5.71	U		#	35	20.9
Uranium-235	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N002	0	-	0	15.7	U		#	25	15.6
Yttrium-88	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	2.26	U		#	4.6	2.79
Yttrium-88	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N002	0	-	0	-2.96	U		#	13	7.74

REPORT DATE: 04/17/2015

Location: 05-045-10919 WELL BM 35-32A

Units	Sam _l Date	pie ID		oth Rar Ft BLS	_	Result	Lab	Qualifiers Data	QA	Detection Limit	Uncertainty
pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	18		U	#	17	9.67
pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-15	U		#	25	14.8
pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	143	U		#	9.7	5.36
pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	7.6	U		#	20	11.9
pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-4.46	U		#	4.6	2.66
pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	151	U		#	4.1	2.41
mg/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	8800			#	200	
pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-1.46	U		#	5	2.84
pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	0.744	U		#	25	14.6
pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	17.1	U		#	23	14.2
pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-2.98	U		#	10	6.06
pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	18.7	U		#	41	25.1
pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	143			#	45	37.1
pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	1.28	U		#	12	7
pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	114	U		#	120	72.6
pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	1.16	U		#	3.6	1.73
pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-1.53	U		#	4.7	2.74
pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	6.06	U		#	54	32.2
	pCi/L	pCi/L 01/07/2015 mg/L 01/07/2015 pCi/L 01/07/2015	Date ID pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001	Date ID (pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001<	Date ID (Ft BLS pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - mg/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 -	Date ID (Ft BLS) pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 mg/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0	Date ID (FFBLS) pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 18 pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 -15 pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 143 pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 -7.6 pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 -4.46 pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 151 mg/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 146 pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 0.744 pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 17.1 pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 18.7 pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 143 pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001<	Date ID (FT BLS) Lab pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 18 pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 -15 U pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 143 U pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 7.6 U pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 -4.46 U pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 -1.51 U mg/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 8800	PCI/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 18 U pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 -15 U pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 -143 U pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 7.6 U pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 -4.46 U pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 -151 U mg/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 -151 U mg/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 8800 U pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 0.744 U pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 17.1 U pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 <	pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 18 U # pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 -155 U # pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 -143 U # pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 -143 U # pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 -7.6 U # pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 -4.46 U # pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 -3.151 U # pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 8800 # # pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 0.744 U # pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 18.7	pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 18 U # 17 pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 -15 U # 25 pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 -143 U # 9.7 pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 -143 U # 9.7 pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 -7.6 U # 4.6 pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 -4.46 U # 4.6 pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 -151 U # 4.6 pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 -146 U # 5 pCi/L 01/07/2015 N001 0 - 0 0.744 U # <t< td=""></t<>

REPORT DATE: 04/17/2015

Location: 05-045-10919 WELL BM 35-32A

Parameter	Units	Sam Date	ple ID		oth Rai	_	Result	Lab	Qualifiers Data	QA	Detection Limit	Uncertainty
Thorium-234	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-30.9	U		#	140	83.9
Tritium	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-184	U		#	340	198
Uranium-235	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	8.43	U		#	12	7.29
Yttrium-88	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	4.16	U		#	4.7	2.99

REPORT DATE: 04/17/2015

Location: 05-045-15469 WELL BM 36-13B

Parameter	Units	Samլ Date	ole ID		th Rai	_	Result	Lab	Qualifiers Data	QA	Detection Limit	Uncertainty
Actinium-228	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	19.2	U		#	36	21.9
Americium-241	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-2.51	U		#	4.3	2.5
Antimony-125	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	4.56	U		#	8.6	4.9
Cerium-144	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-2.83	U		#	14	8.26
Cesium-134	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-2.55	U		#	3.8	2.2
Cesium-137	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-2.08	U		#	3.9	2.2
Chloride	mg/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	9500			#	200	
Cobalt-60	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	1.02	U		#	3.9	2.33
Europium-152	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	4.1	U		#	20	11.8
Europium-154	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-5.99	U		#	21	12.3
Europium-155	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	0.515	U		#	5.7	3.41
Gross Alpha	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	57.6		J	#	45	30.6
Gross Beta	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	170			#	43	39.5
Lead-212	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	4.03	U		#	9.3	5.64
Potassium-40	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	77.6	U		#	110	67.1
Promethium-144	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	0.497	U		#	4	2.37
Promethium-146	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-2.02	U		#	4.3	2.52
Ruthenium-106	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-11	U		#	34	19.5

REPORT DATE: 04/17/2015

Location: 05-045-15469 WELL BM 36-13B

Parameter	Units	Sam Date	ple ID		oth Rai	•	Result	Lab	Qualifiers Data	QA	Detection Limit	Uncertainty
Thorium-234	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	1.32	U		#	70	42.1
Tritium	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-64.5	U		#	330	192
Uranium-235	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	8.32	U		#	14	8.21
Yttrium-88	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	1.64	U		#	4.3	2.59

REPORT DATE: 04/17/2015

Location: 05-045-15745 WELL BM 26-34B

Parameter	Units	Samլ Date	ole ID		th Rar Ft BLS	_	Result	(Lab	Qualifiers Data	QA	Detection Limit	Uncertainty
Actinium-228	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	14.5	U		#	22	13.7
Americium-241	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	17	U		#	28	17.1
Antimony-125	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-1.14	U		#	13	7.67
Cerium-144	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-4.48	U		#	25	14.8
Cesium-134	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	4.18	U		#	5.2	3.15
Cesium-137	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	77	U		#	5.5	3.22
Chloride	mg/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	6500			#	100	
Cobalt-60	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-1.6	U		#	7.7	4.41
Europium-152	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-7.22	U		#	36	20.8
Europium-154	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	8.41	U		#	33	19.8
Europium-155	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	0.389	U		#	12	7.4
Gross Alpha	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-8.25	U		#	29	16.6
Gross Beta	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	46.1		J	#	23	16.2
Lead-212	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	6.63	U		#	13	7.86
Potassium-40	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	36.3	U		#	170	105
Promethium-144	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	2.21	U		#	6.1	3.69
Promethium-146	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	4.04	U		#	5.6	3.45
Ruthenium-106	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	748	U		#	54	31.9

REPORT DATE: 04/17/2015

Location: 05-045-15745 WELL BM 26-34B

Parameter	Units	Sam Date	ple ID		th Rai	•	Result	Lab	Qualifiers Data	QA	Detection Limit	Uncertainty
Thorium-234	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-62.1	U		#	170	102
Tritium	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-112	U		#	350	202
Uranium-235	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	20.7	U		#	23	14.6
Yttrium-88	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	3.01	U		#	6.9	4.18

REPORT DATE: 04/17/2015

Location: 05-045-15748 WELL BM 26-34D

Parameter	Units	Samլ Date	ole ID	-	oth Rai Ft BLS	_	Result	(Lab	Qualifiers Data	QA	Detection Limit	Uncertainty
Actinium-228	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	12.9	U		#	18	9.81
Americium-241	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-12	U		#	140	81.7
Antimony-125	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	1.86	U		#	10	5.75
Cerium-144	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	547	U		#	24	14.4
Cesium-134	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-1.42	U		#	4.1	2.39
Cesium-137	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	722	U		#	3.8	2.21
Chloride	mg/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	13000			#	200	
Cobalt-60	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	255	U		#	3.8	2.2
Europium-152	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	3.29	U		#	19	11
Europium-154	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-5.03	U		#	22	12.5
Europium-155	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	9.98	U		#	16	9.69
Gross Alpha	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	33.5	U		#	48	30.4
Gross Beta	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	120		J	#	45	34.6
Lead-212	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	1.01	U		#	11	6.46
Potassium-40	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	75	U		#	130	77.9
Promethium-144	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	1.22	U		#	3.9	2.36
Promethium-146	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	1.13	U		#	4.5	2.68
Ruthenium-106	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-8.54	U		#	36	21.1

REPORT DATE: 04/17/2015

Location: 05-045-15748 WELL BM 26-34D

Parameter	Units	Sam Date	ple ID		oth Ra Ft BLS	•	Result	Lab	Qualifiers Data	QA	Detection Limit	Uncertainty
Thorium-234	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	14	U		#	210	128
Tritium	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-161	U		#	340	200
Uranium-235	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	3.39	U		#	40	24.4
Yttrium-88	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	103	U		#	8.6	5.11

REPORT DATE: 04/17/2015

Location: 05-045-16074 WELL BM 26-22D

Parameter	Units	Samլ Date	ole ID		th Rai	_	Result	Lab	Qualifiers Data	QA	Detection Limit	Uncertainty
Actinium-228	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	45.7		U	#	30	18.9
Americium-241	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-5.5	U		#	37	22.1
Antimony-125	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	3.49	U		#	11	6.09
Cerium-144	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	5.11	U		#	22	13
Cesium-134	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-2.61	U		#	4.5	2.61
Cesium-137	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	99	U		#	4.7	2.72
Chloride	mg/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	13000			#	200	
Cobalt-60	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-1.2	U		#	5.6	3.17
Europium-152	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-8.73	U		#	26	14.8
Europium-154	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-8.86	U		#	26	15
Europium-155	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	1.79	U		#	13	7.9
Gross Alpha	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	58		J	#	47	31.8
Gross Beta	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	211			#	45	45.5
Lead-212	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-3.43	U		#	14	8.37
Potassium-40	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	158		J	#	120	78.9
Promethium-144	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	2.57	U		#	4.7	2.88
Promethium-146	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-1.86	U		#	5.7	3.32
Ruthenium-106	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-6.59	U		#	45	26.6

REPORT DATE: 04/17/2015

Location: 05-045-16074 WELL BM 26-22D

Parameter	Units	Sam Date	ple ID		oth Ra Ft BLS	•	Result	Lab	Qualifiers Data	QA	Detection Limit	Uncertainty
Thorium-234	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	6.32	U		#	140	87.2
Tritium	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	58	U		#	330	200
Uranium-235	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	0.58	U		#	44	26.6
Yttrium-88	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	2.14	U		#	5.2	3.15

REPORT DATE: 04/17/2015

Location: 05-045-16087 WELL BM 26-22C

Parameter	Units	Sam Date	ple ID		oth Rai	_	Result	C Lab	ualifiers Data	QA	Detection Limit	Uncertainty
Actinium-228	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	14.2	U		#	32	19.2
Americium-241	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	28.8	U		#	110	63.9
Antimony-125	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-2.9	U		#	10	5.85
Cerium-144	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	12.3	U		#	26	15.6
Cesium-134	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-2.98	U		#	4.6	2.65
Cesium-137	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	65	U		#	4.5	2.63
Chloride	mg/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	9900			#	200	
Cobalt-60	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	0.484	U		#	4.4	2.58
Europium-152	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	6.26	U		#	22	13
Europium-154	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-9.06	U		#	24	13.6
Europium-155	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	2.25	U		#	17	10
Gross Alpha	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	45.6		J	#	42	27.6
Gross Beta	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	167			#	43	38.9
Lead-212	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	1.87	U		#	16	9.49
Potassium-40	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	115	U		#	130	78.4
Promethium-144	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	1.04	U		#	4.6	2.74
Promethium-146	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	0.184	U		#	4.8	2.86
Ruthenium-106	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	7	U		#	41	24.3

REPORT DATE: 04/17/2015

Location: 05-045-16087 WELL BM 26-22C

Parameter	Units	Sam Date	ple ID	-	th Rai		Result	Lab	Qualifiers Data	QA	Detection Limit	Uncertainty
Thorium-234	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	4.16	U		#	230	137
Tritium	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	61.1	U		#	330	198
Uranium-235	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	7.11	U		#	58	35.2
Yttrium-88	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	0	-	0	-3.16	U		#	12	6.9

SAMPLE ID CODES: $000X = Filtered sample (0.45 \mu m)$. N00X = Unfiltered sample. X = replicate number.

LAB QUALIFIERS:

- Replicate analysis not within control limits.
- > Result above upper detection limit.
- A TIC is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
- B Inorganic: Result is between the IDL and CRDL. Organic: Analyte also found in method blank.
- C Pesticide result confirmed by GC-MS.
- D Analyte determined in diluted sample.
- E Inorganic: Estimate value because of interference, see case narrative. Organic: Analyte exceeded calibration range of the GC-MS.
- H Holding time expired, value suspect.
- I Increased detection limit due to required dilution.
- J Estimated
- N Inorganic or radiochemical: Spike sample recovery not within control limits. Organic: Tentatively identified compound (TIC).
- P > 25% difference in detected pesticide or Aroclor concentrations between 2 columns.
- U Analytical result below detection limit.
- W Post-digestion spike outside control limits while sample absorbance < 50% of analytical spike absorbance.
- X,Y,Z Laboratory defined qualifier, see case narrative.

DATA QUALIFIERS:

- F Low flow sampling method used.
- L Less than 3 bore volumes purged prior to sampling.
- U Parameter analyzed for but was not detected.
- G Possible grout contamination, pH > 9. J Estimated value.
- Q Qualitative result due to sampling technique. R Unusable result.
- X Location is undefined.

QA QUALIFIER:

Validated according to quality assurance guidelines.

This page intentionally left blank

Natural Gas Data

This page intentionally left blank

REPORT DATE: 06/01/2015

Location: 05-045-10840 WELL, Natural Gas Well - Angle, BM 36-13

Parameter	Units	Sample	е	Ticket	Elev. F	Range	Matrix Subtype	Dogult	(Qualifiers	•	Detection	Uncertainty
	Units	Date	ID	Number	(F	t)	watrix Subtype	Result	Lab	Data	QA	Limit	Uncertainty
Carbon-14	рМС	01/07/2015	N003	MNU 699	8683 -	8683	NATURAL GAS	0.2	U		#	0.2	_
Tritium	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N003	MNU 699	8683 -	8683	NATURAL GAS	0.0514	U		#	0.0514	

REPORT DATE: 06/01/2015

Location: 05-045-10919 WELL, Natural Gas Well - Angle, BM 35-32A

Parameter	Units	Sample	9	Ticket	Elev.	Range	•	Matrix Subtype	Popult	C	Qualifiers		Detection	Uncertainty
	Units	Date	ID	Number	(1	Ft)		watrix Subtype	Result	Lab	Data	QA	Limit	Uncertainty
Carbon-14	рМС	01/07/2015	N002	MNU 698	9236	- 9:	236	NATURAL GAS	0.2	U		#	0.2	
Tritium	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N002	MNU 698	9236	- 9:	236	NATURAL GAS	0.0514	U		#	0.0514	

REPORT DATE: 06/01/2015

Location: 05-045-15469 WELL, Natural Gas Well - Angle, BM 36-13B

Parameter	Unito	Sample	9	Ticket	Elev.	Range		Matrix Subtype	Popult	(Qualifiers	;	Detection	Uncertainty
Parameter	Units	Date	ID	Number	((Ft)		Matrix Subtype	Result	Lab	Data	QA	Limit	Uncertainty
Carbon-14	рМС	01/07/2015	N002	MNU 700	8901	- 89	01	NATURAL GAS	0.2	U		#	0.2	
Tritium	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N002	MNU 700	8901	- 89	01	NATURAL GAS	0.0514	U		#	0.0514	

REPORT DATE: 06/01/2015

Location: 05-045-15739 WELL, Natural Gas Well - Angle, BM 26-33D

Parameter	Units	Sample	9	Ticket	Elev. Range	Matrix Subtype	Popult	C	Qualifiers	i	Detection	Uncertainty
	Ullits	Date	ID	Number	(Ft)	Matrix Subtype	Result	Lab	Data	QA	Limit	Uncertainty
Carbon-14	рМС	01/07/2015	N001	MNU 690	8963.5 - 8963.5	NATURAL GAS	0.2	U		#	0.2	_
Tritium	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	MNU 690	8963.5 - 8963.5	NATURAL GAS	0.0514	U		#	0.0514	

REPORT DATE: 06/01/2015

Location: 05-045-15741 WELL, Natural Gas Well - Angle, BM 26-34C

Parameter	Units	Sample	е	Ticket	Elev. Range	Matrix Subtype	Popult	C	Qualifiers	i	Detection	Uncertainty
	Units	Date	ID	Number	(Ft)	Matrix Subtype	Result	Lab	Data	QA	Limit	Uncertainty
Carbon-14	рМС	01/07/2015	N001	MNU 693	8963.5 - 8963.5	NATURAL GAS	0.2	U		#	0.2	_
Tritium	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	MNU 693	8963.5 - 8963.5	NATURAL GAS	0.0514	U		#	0.0514	

REPORT DATE: 06/01/2015

Location: 05-045-15742 WELL, Natural Gas Well - Angle, BM 26-33C

Parameter	Units	Sample	9	Ticket	Elev. Range	Matrix Subtuna	ix Subtype Result		Qualifiers	i	Detection	Uncertainty
Parameter	Units	Date	ID	Number	(Ft)	Matrix Subtype			Data	QA	Limit	Uncertainty
Carbon-14	рМС	01/07/2015	N001	MNU 689	8963.5 - 8963.5	NATURAL GAS	0.2	U		#	0.2	_
Tritium	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	MNU 689	8963.5 - 8963.5	NATURAL GAS	0.0514	U		#	0.0514	

REPORT DATE: 06/01/2015

Location: 05-045-15743 WELL, Natural Gas Well - Angle, BM 26-33B

Parameter	Unito	Sample	9	Ticket	Elev. Range	Matrix Subtype Result			Qualifiers	i	Detection	Uncertainty
Parameter	Units	Date	ID	Number	(Ft)	Matrix Subtype	Result	Lab	Data	QA	Limit	Unicertainty
Carbon-14	рМС	01/07/2015	N001	MNU 688	8963.5 - 8963.5	NATURAL GAS	0.2	U		#	0.2	
Tritium	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	MNU 688	8963.5 - 8963.5	NATURAL GAS	0.0514	U		#	0.0514	

REPORT DATE: 06/01/2015

Location: 05-045-15744 WELL, Natural Gas Well - Angle, BM 26-34A

Parameter	Unito	Sample	9	Ticket	Elev. Range	Matrix Subtype Result			Qualifiers		Detection	Uncertainty
Parameter	Units	Date	ID	Number	(Ft)	watrix Subtype	Result	Lab	Data	QA	Limit	Unicertainty
Carbon-14	рМС	01/07/2015	N001	MNU 691	8963.5 - 8963.5	NATURAL GAS	0.2	U		#	0.2	
Tritium	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	MNU 691	8963.5 - 8963.5	NATURAL GAS	0.0514	U		#	0.0514	

REPORT DATE: 06/01/2015

Location: 05-045-15745 WELL, Natural Gas Well - Angle, BM 26-34B

Parameter	Units	Sample	9	Ticket	Elev. Range	Matrix Subtuna	trix Subtype Result		Qualifiers	i	Detection	Uncertainty
Parameter	Ullits	Date	ID	Number	(Ft)	Matrix Subtype	Result	Lab	Data	QA	Limit	Uncertainty
Carbon-14	рМС	01/07/2015	N002	MNU 692	8963.5 - 8963.5	NATURAL GAS	0.2	U		#	0.2	_
Tritium	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N002	MNU 692	8963.5 - 8963.5	NATURAL GAS	0.0514	U		#	0.0514	

REPORT DATE: 06/01/2015

Location: 05-045-15748 WELL, Natural Gas Well - Angle, BM 26-34D

Parameter	Unito	Sample	•	Ticket	Elev. Range	Matrix Subtype Result		C	Qualifiers		Detection	Uncertainty
Parameter	Units	Date	ID	Number	(Ft)	Matrix Subtype	Result	Lab	Data	QA	Limit	Unicertainty
Carbon-14	рМС	01/07/2015	N002	MNU 694	8963.5 - 8963.5	NATURAL GAS	0.2	U		#	0.2	
Tritium	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N002	MNU 694	8963.5 - 8963.5	NATURAL GAS	0.0514	U		#	0.0514	

REPORT DATE: 06/01/2015

Location: 05-045-16074 WELL, Natural Gas Well - Angle, BM 26-22D

Parameter	Units	Sample	Sample Tie		Elev. Range	Matrix Subtype Resu		(Qualifiers	;	Detection	Uncertainty
Parameter	Units	Date	ID	Number	(Ft)	Matrix Subtype	Result	Lab	Data	QA	Limit	Unicertainty
Carbon-14	рМС	01/07/2015	N002	MNU 697	8983.5 - 8983.5	NATURAL GAS	0.2	U		#	0.2	
Tritium	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N002	MNU 697	8983.5 - 8983.5	NATURAL GAS	0.0514	U		#	0.0514	

REPORT DATE: 06/01/2015

Location: 05-045-16086 WELL, Natural Gas Well - Angle, BM 26-22B

Parameter	Units	Sample	е	Ticket	Elev. Range	Matrix Subtuna	Matrix Subtype Result		Qualifiers	i	Detection	Uncertainty
Parameter	Units	Date	ID	Number	(Ft)	Matrix Subtype			Lab Data QA		Limit	Uncertainty
Carbon-14	рМС	01/07/2015	N001	MNU 695	8983.5 - 8983.5	NATURAL GAS	0.2	U		#	0.2	_
Tritium	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N001	MNU 695	8983.5 - 8983.5	NATURAL GAS	0.0514	U		#	0.0514	

REPORT DATE: 06/01/2015

Location: 05-045-16087 WELL, Natural Gas Well - Angle, BM 26-22C

Dovemeter	Unito	Sample	9	Ticket	Elev. Range	Matrix Cubtuma	Decult	(Qualifiers	;	Detection	Uncertainte
Parameter	Units	Date	ID	Number	(Ft)	Matrix Subtype	Result	Lab	Data	QA	Limit	Uncertainty
Carbon-14	рМС	01/07/2015	N002	MNU 696	8983.5 - 8983.5	NATURAL GAS	0.2	U		#	0.2	
Tritium	pCi/L	01/07/2015	N002	MNU 696	8983.5 - 8983.5	NATURAL GAS	0.0514	U		#	0.0514	

SAMPLE ID CODES: 000X = Filtered sample (0.45 µm). N00X = Unfiltered sample. X = replicate number.

LAB QUALIFIERS:

- Replicate analysis not within control limits.
- > Result above upper detection limit.
- A TIC is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
- B Inorganic: Result is between the IDL and CRDL. Organic: Analyte also found in method blank.
- C Pesticide result confirmed by GC-MS.
- D Analyte determined in diluted sample.
- E Inorganic: Estimate value because of interference, see case narrative. Organic: Analyte exceeded calibration range of the GC-MS.
- H Holding time expired, value suspect.
- Increased detection limit due to required dilution.
- J Estimated
- N Inorganic or radiochemical: Spike sample recovery not within control limits. Organic: Tentatively identified compound (TIC).
- P > 25% difference in detected pesticide or Aroclor concentrations between 2 columns.
- U Analytical result below detection limit.
- W Post-digestion spike outside control limits while sample absorbance < 50% of analytical spike absorbance.
- X,Y,Z Laboratory defined qualifier, see case narrative.

DATA QUALIFIERS:

- F Low flow sampling method used.
- L Less than 3 bore volumes purged prior to sampling.
- U Parameter analyzed for but was not detected.
- $\begin{array}{lll} G & \text{Possible grout contamination, pH} > 9. & J & \text{Estimated value.} \\ Q & \text{Qualitative result due to sampling technique.} & R & \text{Unusable result.} \end{array}$
- X Location is undefined.

QA QUALIFIER:

Validated according to quality assurance guidelines.

This page intentionally left blank

Attachment 2 Trip Report This page intentionally left blank

Trip Report Natural Gas Wells near Project Rulison First Quarter 2015

U.S. Department of Energy Office of Legacy Management Grand Junction, Colorado

Date Sampled

January 7, 2015

Background

Project Rulison was the second Plowshare Program test to investigate using a nuclear detonation to stimulate natural gas recovery from deep, low-permeability formations. On September 10, 1969, a 40-kiloton-yield nuclear device was detonated 8,426 feet (1.6 miles) below ground surface in the Williams Fork Formation, at what is now the Rulison, Colorado, Site. A series of production tests followed the detonation, and the site was subsequently shut down, the emplacement well (R-E) and reentry well (R-Ex) were plugged, and the surface soils were remediated.

Purpose


As part of the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Legacy Management (LM) mission to protect human health and the environment, LM is monitoring natural gas wells near the Rulison site for radionuclides associated with the detonation. The very low permeability of the Williams Fork Formation limits contaminant migration in the subsurface and institutional controls limit subsurface access near the detonation zone. The Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission notifies DOE of any drilling permit activity within 3 miles of the site. The State and DOE review drilling permits and gas well development practices within this boundary to ensure that drilling activities maintain a safe distance from the detonation zone. The DOE *Rulison Monitoring Plan* (LMS/RUL/S06178) provides guidance for sample collection frequency based on distance from the Rulison detonation point, the types of analyses, and the reporting thresholds. The purpose of this trip was to collect natural gas and production water from producing natural gas wells in the Battlement Mesa (BM) field. The sampled wells collect natural gas from the formation horizon where the Project Rulison detonation occurred. The well locations are within 1.5 miles of the detonation location (surface ground zero on Figure 1).

Summary of Results

During the first quarter of 2015, several attempts were made to collect production water and natural gas samples. Due to operational issues at the well heads and bad weather conditions causing safety concerns, the sampling planned for October through December 2014 timeframe was delayed and occurred on January 7, 2015. Operational issues continued during this sampling January 7th sampling event with most of the wells on Pad 26N, not producing production water for unknown reasons. All planned natural gas samples were collected. The operator that owns the wells brought a bailer to collect water samples but obstructions in the water return lines limited

the potential to bail production water for sampling. The following wells were sampled: seven producing gas wells on Pad 26N, three gas wells on Pad 26K, one well on Pad 35C, one well on Pad 36L, and one well on Pad 36B.

For the 10 wells sampled on Pads 26N and 26K, the bottom-hole locations are between 0.76 mile and 1.1 miles from the Project Rulison vertical emplacement well 25-95 (R-E). Wells on Pads 35C and 36L are approximately 0.95 mile from the detonation point. The well on Pad 36B is approximately 0.55 mile southeast of well 25-95 (R-E). Surface projections of the bottom-hole well locations and Project Rulison surface ground zero, at well 25-95 (R-E) (i.e., the detonation point), are shown in Figure 1.



Notes:

All wells sampled have been previously sampled by DOE.

The first two numerals in the well name designate the section number of the bottom-well location in the BM field. The Project Rulison emplacement well, 25-95 (R-E) (i.e., ground zero), is located in Lot 11, Section 25.

Figure 1. Wells Sampled and Well 25-95 (R-E)

Table 1 lists the wells by sample-collection sequence. Before sample collection occurs at each well, each well's pressure and temperature (see Table 2) were read and recorded from surface transducers in the wells. Latitude and longitude values (not shown in Table 2) were compiled from survey plats included with the applications for permits to drill and from Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission scout cards.

All planned wellheads were available for sampling, and wellhead pressures and temperatures were lower than normal. A total of seven 1-gallon production-water samples were collected for total analysis. At six locations—26-33B, 26-33C, 26-33D, 26-34A, 26-34C, and 26-22B—no production water was collected. A duplicate sample was collected from BM 36-13 and is noted in Table 2. All other well functions were performing normally, so no impact to the analytical data is expected.

Table 2. Samples Collected

Sample		Mall Name		Location		Sample	e Phase	W	ell
Collection Sequence	Pad	Well Name	API # 05-045-	Туре	Subtype	Gas	Liquid	T (°F)	P (psi)
1	26N	BM 26-33B	15739	WL	NGSA	Yes	No	84	264
2	26N	BM 26-33C	15742	WL	NGSA	Yes	No	85	260
3	26N	BM 26-33D	15743	WL	NGSA	Yes	No	84.9	261
4	26N	BM 26-34A	15744	WL	NGSA	Yes	No	83.9	263
5	26N	BM 26-34B	15745	WL	NGSA	Yes	Yes	80.1	258
6	26N	BM 26-34C	15741	WL	NGSA	Yes	No	88.8	256
7	26N	BM 26-34D	15748	WL	NGSA	Yes	Yes ^a	81	259
8	26K	BM 26-22B	16086	WL	NGSA	Yes	No	91.5	239
9	26K	BM 26-22C	16087	WL	NGSA	Yes	Yes	89.1	234
10	26K	BM 26-22D	16074	WL	NGSA	Yes	Yes	83.1	240
11	35C	BM 35-32A	10919	WL	NGSV	Yes	Yes	65.3	270
12	36L	BM 36-13B	15469	WL	NGSV	Yes	Yes	67.7	223
13	36B	BM 36-13	10840	WL	NGSV	Yes	Yes	76.7	279
Duplicate	36B	BM 36-13	10840	WL	NGSV	No	Yes	76.7	279

^a BM 26-34D included approximately 400ML of production water.

Abbreviations:

API American Petroleum Institute

NGSA natural gas well–angle

NGSV natural gas well-vertical

P (psi) pressure in pounds per square inch T (°F) temperature in degrees Fahrenheit

WL wel

The produced-water samples were collected from a tap on a common line connecting the output of two separators (each servicing a well) and the nearby accumulation tanks. With the wells on Pad 26N continuing to have operational issues, a well head service company installed a bailer to try to collect production water. The collected water sample from one separator was isolated from the other separator by valves. Lines from each of the two separators were purged before sample collection.

Water condensation is variable and often not desired for the planned analytes. Collected sample volumes (Table 3) varied due to the water vapor concentration in the gas, temperature, age of the well, the cycle times of the well plunger, and transfer to the accumulation tank. Analysis priorities are tritium, gross alpha/beta, technetium-99, and high-resolution gamma spectrometry.

If condensate was collected with a sample, which happens for most samples, the condensate naturally separated from water after a short time in the sample bottle. The condensate was decanted in the field and returned to the operator. Table 3 lists the estimated sample volumes (including the condensate).

Table 3. Collected Water Sample Volumes (Before Decanting)

Sample Ticket	Well Name	Planned Analytes	Sample Volume (L)
1	BM 26-33B	NA	No Sample
2	BM 26-33C	NA	No Sample
3	BM 26-33D	NA	No Sample
4	BM 26-34A	NA	No Sample
5	BM 26-34B	³ H, Gross α/β, Gamma spec, CΓ, ⁹⁹ Tc	≈ 2.5 L
6	BM 26-34C	NA	No Sample
7	BM 26-34D	³ H, Gross α/β, Gamma spec, CΓ, ⁹⁹ Tc	≈ 2.5 L400ML
8	BM 26-22B	NA	No Sample
9	BM 26-22C	³ H, Gross α/β, Gamma spec, CΓ, ⁹⁹ Tc	≈ 2.5 L
10	BM 26-22D	³ H, Gross α/β, Gamma spec, CΓ, ⁹⁹ Tc	≈ 1.75 L
11	BM 35-32A	³ H, Gross α/β, Gamma spec, CΓ, ⁹⁹ Tc	≈ 2.5 L
12	BM 36-13B	³ H, Gross α/β, Gamma spec, CΓ, ⁹⁹ Tc	≈ 2.5 L
13	BM 36-13	³ H, Gross α/β, Gamma spec, CΓ, ⁹⁹ Tc	≈ 2.5 L
Duplicate	BM 36-13	³ H, Gross α/β, Gamma spec, Cl ⁻ , ⁹⁹ Tc	≈ 2.5 L

Notes:

Water sample information is listed in the order of collection.

Wells BM 26-33B, BM 26-33C,BM 26-33D, BM 26-34A, BM 26-34C, and BM 26-22B did not produce water for laboratory analyses.

Abbreviations:

Cl⁻ chloride

Gamma spec high-resolution gamma spectrometry analysis

Gross α/β gross alpha and beta analyses

³H tritium L liter

NA not applicable 99Tc technetium-99

Equipment

Each produced-water sample was collected in a new, 1-gallon plastic bottle. After decanting, each water sample was poured into white, high-density polyethylene bottles of appropriate volumes for analysis.