Monitoring Results for Natural Gas Wells Near Project Rulison, 2nd Quarter, Fiscal Year 2015

U.S. Department of Energy Office of Legacy Management Grand Junction, Colorado

Date Sampled: March 31, 2015

Background

Project Rulison was the second Plowshare Program test to stimulate natural gas recovery from deep, low-permeability formations. On September 10, 1969, a 40-kiloton-yield nuclear device was detonated 8,426 feet (1.6 miles) below ground surface in the Williams Fork Formation at what is now the Rulison, Colorado, Site. Following the detonation, a series of production tests were conducted. Afterward, the site was shut down and then remediated, and the emplacement well (R-E) and the reentry well (R-Ex) were plugged.

Purpose

As part of the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Legacy Management (LM) mission to protect human health and the environment, LM is monitoring natural gas wells that are near the Rulison site for radionuclides associated with the detonation. The very low permeability of the Williams Fork Formation limits contaminant migration, and institutional controls restrict subsurface access in the detonation zone. When companies apply for a permit to drill wells within 3 miles of the site, the Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission notifies DOE, and the State of Colorado and DOE have an opportunity to review and comment on drilling permits and gas well development practices to help protect human health and the environment from the Rulison-related contaminants. The DOE *Rulison Monitoring Plan* (LMS/RUL/S06178) provides guidance for sample collection frequency based on distance from the Rulison detonation point, the types of analyses, and the reporting thresholds.

Summary of Results

Due to operational issues at several well heads and bad weather causing safety concerns, the sampling planned for mid-October of 2014 was delayed several times and finally collected on January 7, 2015. Following the January sampling event, the operator of the wells initiated a cleaning of the well return lines of organic buildup. This process requires a mechanical well bore "scrubbing" and a chemical cleaning. On Pad 26N, 6 of the 7 wells produced some production water but all samples collected contained significant amounts of hydrocarbons which required decanting before analysis. Analytical results of production water samples collected in March 2015 were all below the screening levels specified in the *Rulison Monitoring Plan*.

The March sampling effort consisted of sampling production water from 13 natural gas wells near the site. Two wells Battlement Mesa (BM) 26-34D and BM 13B produced no production water for sampling. After decanting the hydrocarbons from the samples collected, 3 wells

(BM 26-34A, 34C, and BM 26-22B) did not have enough water volume to conduct any scheduled analysis. At four locations (BM 26-33B, 33D, 22C, BM 35 32A, and the duplicate sample from BM 26-22C) enough sample volume was produced after decanting for only a tritium analysis. Most of the wells had lower line pressure and temperatures than normal. The well operator was unaware of any operational processes that would cause the lower temperature and pressure to occur.

Table 1 lists the 13 wells, and Table 2 lists the sequential sample collection information.

Pad	Collection Location	Well Name
26N	Wellhead separator	BM 26-33B–D, BM 26-34A–D
26K	Wellhead separator	BM 26-22B–D
35C	Wellhead separator	BM 35-32A
36L	Wellhead separator	BM36-13B
36B	Wellhead separator	BM36-13

Table 1. Sam	ple Collection	Locations
--------------	----------------	-----------

		Well		Location		Samp	e Phase	W	ell
Sequence	Pad	Name	API # 05-045-	Туре	Subtype	Gas	Liquid	T (°F)	P (psi)
1	26N	BM 26-33B	15743	WL	NGSA	No	Yes ¹	48	199
2	26N	BM 26-33C	15742	WL	NGSA	No	Yes ¹	46.8	205
3	26N	BM 26-33D	15739	WL	NGSA	No	Yes ¹	49.3	190
4	26N	BM 26-34A	15744	WL	NGSA	No	Yes ¹	47	198.3
5	26N	BM 26-34B	15745	WL	NGSA	No	Yes ¹	46	183
6	26N	BM 26-34C	15741	WL	NGSA	No	Yes ¹	88.1	205
7	26N	BM 26-34D	15748	WL	NGSA	No	No	51	207
8	26K	BM 26-22B	16086	WL	NGSA	No	Yes ¹	71.6	155
9	26K	BM 26-22C	16087	WL	NGSA	No	Yes ¹	77	212
Duplicate	26K	BM 26-22C	10840	WL	NGSV	No	Yes ²	77	212
10	26K	BM 26-22D	16074	WL	NGSA	No	Yes ¹	85	204
11	35C	BM 35-32A	10919	WL	NGSA	No	Yes ¹	69.7	205
12	36L	BM 36-13B	15469	WL	NGSV	No	No	49	212
13	36B	BM 36-13	10840	WL	NGSV	No	Yes ¹	57	246
1									

Table 2. Samples Collected

¹Volume amount of 400 to 1900 mL of production water were collected with significant amount of hydrocarbon in the sample $^2\mbox{Duplicate}$ volume of 250 mL, (only enough for tritium analysis)

Abbreviations:

American Petroleum Institute API

- NGSA natural gas well—angle NGSV natural gas well—vertical
- pressure in pounds per square inch P (psi)
- T ([°]F) temperature in degrees Fahrenheit

WĹ well

Sample Locations

The bottom-hole locations of the 13 gas wells planned for sample collection are between 0.75 mile and 1.07 miles from the Project Rulison detonation point. All gas wells sampled are producing gas from the Williams Fork Formation at a depth near that of the Rulison detonation point.

Sample Collection

A produced-water sample is collected at the wellhead from a tap on the common line connecting two gas-liquid separators and the accumulation tank. The produced water collected from one well separator is isolated from the other well separator by valves. Lines from each of the two separators are purged of produced water and condensate prior to sample collection. Each sample is collected in a new, 1-gallon plastic container.

Gas samples are collected from a tap on the gas line at the separator output. The line between the tap and the sample bottle is purged before sample collection. Each gas sample is collected in an evacuated 18-liter bottle furnished by the laboratory.

Monitoring Protocol

The *Rulison Monitoring Plan* provides guidance regarding the type and frequency of sample collection as a function of distance and heading from the Rulison detonation point; it also specifies the types of analyses. A copy of the monitoring plan is available at http://www.lm.doe.gov/Rulison/Documents.aspx. Table 3a lists gas-phase screening concentrations for tritium and carbon-14, and Table 3b lists liquid-phase screening concentrations for tritium, gross alpha, gross beta, and the suite of radionuclides identified by high-resolution gamma spectrometry.

Analyte	Reporting Units	Screening Concentration	Action Concentration	Comment
Tritium	TU	19,293	TBD	$5.183 \times 10^{-6} \text{ pCi/cc}^{-1} \text{ TU}^{-1}$
¹⁴ Carbon	рМС	2 pMC	5 pMC	$6.54\times 10^{^{-5}}pCi/cc$ and $16.4\times 10^{^{-5}}pCi/cc,$ respectively

Table 3a	. Gas-Phase	Concentrations	for	r Tritium Sample Results
----------	-------------	----------------	-----	--------------------------

Abbreviations:

pCi/ccpicocuries per cubic centimeterpCi/cc^1 TU^1picocuries per cubic centimeter of methane gas per tritium unitpMCpercent modern carbonTBDto be determinedTUtritium unit

Table 3b. Liquid-Phase Screening Concentrations for Tritium and Other Radionuclides

Analyte	Reporting Units	Screening Concentration	Action Concentration	Comment				
Tritium	pCi/L	800	TBD	20,000 pCi/L = EPA drinking water standard				
	Lab Method							
Gross alpha	pCi/L	3× background	TBD					
Gross beta	pCi/L	3× background	TBD					
High-resolution gamma spectrometry	pCi/L	20	TBD	Based on cesium-137				

Notes:

See the *Rulison Monitoring Plan*, Table 2, for response scenarios to use when the screening concentrations, action concentrations, or both, are exceeded.

The derived air effluent concentration for a 50 millirem per year dose from tritium exposure is 0.10 pCi (tritium)/cc (methane).

Abbreviations:

EPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

pCi/L picocuries per liter

TBD to be determined

Results

At two natural gas well locations (BM-26-34D and BM 13) the wells produced no production water for sampling. At four well locations (BM 26 33C 34B, 22D, and BM 35-32A) the production water collected contained higher than normal amounts of hydrocarbons in the sample. Each sample was decanted to remove the hydrocarbon prior to laboratory analysis. The amount of production water remaining in each sample after decanting allows for only tritium analysis to be conducted. Only four wells (BM 26-33C, BM 26 34B, BM 26-22D, and BM-13) produced enough sample volume to allow for conducting all laboratory analysis. Results of the production-water analysis show no exceedance of any screening level for Rulison related contaminants.

Production water analytical results are tabulated for each well in Appendix A.

Laboratory Qualifiers

A "detect" is a result greater than the laboratory's reporting threshold or minimum detectable concentration (MDC).

A "nondetect" is a result that is less than the laboratory's MDC for that sample. The laboratory assigns the qualifier "U" to a nondetect result.

Data Validation Qualifiers

A detect result less than 3 times the sample MDC is assigned the data validation qualifier "J" (estimated quantity).

A laboratory detect result less than 3 times the 1-sigma total propagated uncertainty is considered a nondetect. Data validation assigns the qualifier "U" to this result.

Results Summaries

Table 4a is a summary of analytical results for liquid-phase tritium, Table 4b is a summary of results for liquid-phase gross alpha and gross beta, and Table 4c shows results for potassium-40 analyses. Sample volumes not adequate for laboratory analysis are counted as not applicable (NA).

Table 10 Summer	ar of Trifium Complea	Doood on Loborator	y-Assigned Qualifiers
Table 4a. Sullilla		Daseu Uli Labulalui	v-Assiuneu Quaimers
	,		,

Collection Samples		Tritium Results (gas phase)			Tritium Results (liquid phase)			Carbon-14 (gas phase)		
Location	(gas/liquid) Collected	Detect	Nondetect	NA	Detect	Nondetect	NA	Detect	Nondetect	NA
Natural gas wells	0/12 ¹	0	0	0	0	9	3	0	0	0

Notes:

¹12 samples collected includes BM 26-22C duplicate which after decanting, approximately 250 mL remained for tritium analysis.

As scheduled, no natural gas samples were collected from during this sampling event. At wells BM 26-34D and BM 13B, no production water was produced during sampling operations. At three wells (BM 26-34A, 34C, and BM 26-22B), after decanting the hydrocarbons insufficient sample volume remained for any analysis. Following the decanting of hydrocarbons from the production-water samples, the water volumes remaining for BM 26-33B, 33D, 22C, and BM 35 32A, were adequate for only analysis of tritium. Wells BM 26-33C, 34B, 22D, and BM-13 produced enough sample volume for all scheduled analysis.

Table 4b. Summary of Gross Alpha and Gross Beta Liquid-Phase Samples Based on Laboratory-Assigned Qualifiers

	Total	Gros	ss Alpha Resul	ts	Gross Beta Results			
Collection Location	Liquid Samples Collected	Detect	Nondetect	NA	Detect	Nondetect	NA	
Natural gas wells	4	2	2	0	1	3	0	

Notes:

No production water collected, or insufficient amounts of production water, remained following the decanting of hydrocarbons for wells BM 26-34D and BM 13B (no production water collected), BM 26 33B, 33D, 22C, and BM 35 32A (volume for tritium only).

Table 4c. Summary of Potassium-40 Liquid-Phase Samples Based on Laboratory-Assigned Qualifiers

Collection	Total Liquid Samples	Potassium-40 Results				
Location	Collected	Detect	Nondetect	NA		
Natural gas wells	4	0	3	1		

Notes:

Data validation assigned a J qualifier to both potassium-40 detect results. No production water was collected from wells BM 26-33B, 26-33C, 26-33D, 26-34A, 26-34C, or 26-22B.

Data Review and Validation Report

This page intentionally left blank

Data Validation Package

March 2015 Produced Water Sampling at the Rulison, Colorado, Site

October 2015



Available for sale to the public from:

U.S. Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service 5301 Shawnee Road Alexandria, VA 22312 Telephone: 800.553.6847 Fax: 703.605.6900 E-mail: orders@ntis.gov Online Ordering: http://www.ntis.gov/help/ordermethods.aspx

Available electronically at http://www.osti.gov/scitech/

Available for a processing fee to U.S. Department of Energy and its contractors, in paper, from:

U.S. Department of Energy Office of Scientific and Technical Information P.O. Box 62 Oak Ridge, TN 37831-0062 Phone: 865.576.8401 Fax: 865.576.5728 Email: reports@adonis.osti.gov

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors.

Contents

1
2
3
5
7
.14
.16

Attachment 1—Data Presentation

Produced Water Quality Data Equipment Blank Data

Attachment 2—Trip Report

This page intentionally left blank

Sampling Event Summary

Site:

Rulison, Colorado, Site

Sampling Period: March 31, 2015

The U.S. Department of Energy Office of Legacy Management conducted sampling at the Rulison, Colorado, Site on March 31, 2015, in accordance with the 2010 *Rulison Monitoring Plan*. The Monitoring Plan provides guidance regarding the type and frequency of sample collection as a function of distance and heading from the Rulison detonation point; it also specifies the types of analyses. Produced water samples are analyzed for radionuclides to determine if contamination is migrating from the Rulison detonation zone to producing gas wells. Samples were submitted for analysis as follows:

• Produced-water samples were submitted under requisition 15036889 to ALS Laboratory Group in Fort Collins, Colorado, for the determination of gross alpha/beta, gamma-emitting nuclides, and tritium.

Sampling and analyses were conducted as specified in the *Sampling and Analysis Plan for U.S. Department of Energy Office of Legacy Management Sites* (LMS/PRO/S04351, continually updated). A duplicate sample of produced water was collected at location 05-045-16087.

Sample radionuclide results are compared to the screening levels listed in the Monitoring Plan to determine if any further action is merited. None of the results for the nine wells sampled during this event exceeded the screening levels specified in the Monitoring Plan. The natural gas and produced water sample results are presented in Attachment 1.

Rick Hulton, Site Lead Navarro Research and Engineering, Inc.

6-2015

Date



M:\LTS\111\0082\03\000\S09396\S0939600.mxd smithw 09/26/2012 3:10:22 PM

Rulison, Colorado, Site, Sample Location Map

Data Assessment Summary

This page intentionally left blank

Water Sampling Field Activities Verification Checklist

I	Project	Rulison, Colorado	Date(s) of Water	Sampling	March 31, 2015
I	Date(s) of Verification	September 28, 2015	Name of Verifier		Stephen Donivan
			Response (Yes, No, NA)		Comments
1.	Is the SAP the primary document of	directing field procedures?	Yes		
	List any Program Directives or othe	er documents, SOPs, instructions.	NA		
2.	Were the sampling locations speci	fied in the planning documents sampled?		Limited volume of pro	oduced water was available from seven of
3.	Were calibrations conducted as sp	ecified in the above-named documents?	NA	Field measurements	were not required.
4.	Was an operational check of the fi	eld equipment conducted daily?			
	Did the operational checks meet c	riteria?			
5.	Were the number and types (alkali pH, turbidity, DO, ORP) of field me	nity, temperature, specific conductance, asurements taken as specified?			
6.	Were wells categorized correctly?		NA	This sampling event	did not include groundwater.
7.	Were the following conditions met	when purging a Category I well:			
	Was one pump/tubing volume pure	ged prior to sampling?	NA	This sampling event	did not include groundwater.
	Did the water level stabilize prior to Did pH, specific conductance, and prior to sampling?	o sampling? turbidity measurements meet criteria			
	Was the flow rate less than 500 ml	L/min?			

Water Sampling Field Activities Verification Checklist (continued)

	Response (Yes, No, NA)	Comments
8. Were the following conditions met when purging a Category II well:		
Was the flow rate less than 500 mL/min?	NA	This sampling event did not include groundwater.
Was one pump/tubing volume removed prior to sampling?		
9. Were duplicates taken at a frequency of one per 20 samples?	Yes	A duplicate sample was collected at location 05-045-16087.
10. Were equipment blanks taken at a frequency of one per 20 samples that were collected with non-dedicated equipment?	NA	An equipment blank was not required.
11. Were trip blanks prepared and included with each shipment of VOC samples?	NA	
12. Were the true identities of the QC samples documented?	Yes	
13. Were samples collected in the containers specified?	Yes	_
14. Were samples filtered and preserved as specified?	Yes	_
15. Were the number and types of samples collected as specified?	Yes	Limited volume of produced water was available.
16. Were chain of custody records completed and was sample custody maintained?	Yes	
17. Was all pertinent information documented on the field data sheets?	Yes	
18. Was the presence or absence of ice in the cooler documented at every sample location?	NA	Sample cooling was not required.
19. Were water levels measured at the locations specified in the planning documents?	NA	

Laboratory Performance Assessment

General Information

Requisition No. (RIN):	15036889
Sample Event:	March 31, 2015
Site(s):	Rulison Site
Laboratory:	ALS Laboratory Group, Fort Collins, Colorado
Work Order No.:	1504097
Analysis:	Radiochemistry and Wet Chemistry
Validator:	Stephen Donivan
Review Date:	June 4, 2015

This validation was performed according to the *Environmental Procedures Catalog*, (LMS/POL/S04325, continually updated) "Standard Practice for Validation of Environmental Data." The procedure was applied at Level 3, Data Validation. See attached Data Validation Worksheets for supporting documentation on the data review and validation. All analyses were successfully completed. The samples were prepared and analyzed using accepted procedures based on methods specified by line item code, which are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Analytes and Methods

Analyte	Line Item Code	Prep Method	Analytical Method
Gamma Spectrometry	GAM-A-001	PA SOP713R11	PA SOP713R11
Gross Alpha/Beta	GPC-A-001	PA SOP702R19	PA SOP724R10
Tritium	LCS-A-001	PA SOP700R10	PA SOP704R9

Data Qualifier Summary

Analytical results were qualified as listed in Table 2. Refer to the sections below for an explanation of the data qualifiers applied.

Table 2. Data Qualifier Summary

Sample Number	Location	Analyte	Flag	Reason
1504097-4	BM 26-22D	Gross Alpha	J	Less than the determination limit
1504097-6	BM 26-33C	Gross Beta	J	Less than the determination limit
1504097-8	BM 26-34B	Actinium-228	U	Nuclide identification criteria
1504097-8	BM 26-34B	Gross Alpha	J	Less than the determination limit
1504097-8	BM 26-34B	Gross Beta	J	Less than the determination limit

Sample Shipping/Receiving

ALS Laboratory Group in Fort Collins, Colorado, received 10 water samples on April 3, 2015, accompanied by a Chain of Custody form. The Chain of Custody form was checked to confirm

that all of the samples were listed with sample collection dates and times; signatures and dates were present to indicate sample relinquishment and receipt. Copies of the shipping labels were included in the receiving documentation. The Chain of Custody form was complete with no errors or omissions.

Preservation and Holding Times

The sample shipment was received intact at ambient temperature, which complies with requirements. All samples were received in the correct container types and had been preserved correctly for the requested analyses. Sample analysis was completed within the applicable holding times.

Detection and Quantitation Limits

The method detection limit (MDL) was reported for all metal, organic, and wet chemical analytes as required. The MDL, as defined in 40 CFR 136, is the minimum concentration of an analyte that can be measured and reported with 99 percent confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero. The practical quantitation limit (PQL) for these analytes is the lowest concentration that can be reliably measured, and it is defined as 5 times the MDL.

For radiochemical analytes (those measured by radiometric counting) the MDL and PQL are not applicable; and these results are evaluated using the minimum detectable concentration (MDC), Decision Level Concentration (DLC), and Determination Limit (DL). The MDC is a measure of radiochemical method performance and was calculated and reported as specified in *Quality Systems for Analytical Services*. The DLC is the minimum concentration of an analyte that can be measured and reported with 99 percent confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero and is estimated as 3 times the 1-sigma total propagated uncertainty. Results that are greater than the MDC, but less than the DLC, are qualified with a "U" flag (not detected). The DL for radiochemical results is the lowest concentration that can be reliably measured and is defined as 3 times the MDC. Results not previously "U" qualified, but are less than the DL, are qualified with a "J" flag as estimated values.

The reported MDCs for radiochemical analytes met the detection limits requirements with the following exceptions. The required detection limits were not met for several gross alpha and gross beta samples because of the elevated levels of dissolved solids in the samples.

Laboratory Instrument Calibration

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable qualitative and quantitative data for all analytes. Initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance in the beginning of the analytical run and of producing a linear curve. Compliance requirements for continuing calibration checks are established to ensure that the instrument continues to be capable of producing acceptable qualitative and quantitative data. All laboratory instrument calibrations were performed correctly in accordance with the cited methods. All calibration and laboratory spike standards were prepared from independent sources.

Gamma Spectrometry

Activity concentrations above the MDC were reported in some instances where minimum nuclide identification criteria were not met. Such tentative identifications result when the software attempts to calculate net activity concentrations for analytes where either one or both of the following criteria are not satisfied: one or more characteristic peaks for a nuclide must be identified above the critical level, or the minimum library peak abundance must be attained. Sample results for gamma-emitting radionuclides that do not meet the identification criteria are qualified with a "U" flag as not detected.

Method and Calibration Blanks

Method blanks are analyzed to assess any contamination that may have occurred during sample preparation. Calibration blanks are analyzed to assess instrument contamination prior to and during sample analysis. All radiochemical method blank results were below the Decision Level Concentration.

Laboratory Control Sample

Laboratory control samples were analyzed at the correct frequency to provide information on the accuracy of the analytical method and the overall laboratory performance, including sample preparation. All control sample results were acceptable.

Laboratory Replicate Analysis

Laboratory replicate sample results demonstrate acceptable laboratory precision. The relative percent difference value for the chloride matrix spike replicate met the acceptance criteria. The radiochemical relative error ratio (calculated using the 1-sigma total propagated uncertainty) for the sample replicates was less than three for all duplicates.

Matrix Spike Analysis

Matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) samples are used to measure method performance in the sample matrix. The MS/MSD data are not evaluated when the concentration of the unspiked sample is greater than 4 times the spike concentration. The spike recoveries met the recovery and precision criteria for all analytes evaluated.

Completeness

Results were reported in the correct units for all analytes requested using contract-required laboratory qualifiers.

Electronic Data Deliverable (EDD) File

The EDD file arrived on May 1, 2015. The Sample Management System EDD validation module was used to verify that the EDD files were complete and in compliance with requirements. The module compares the contents of the file to the requested analyses to ensure all and only the requested data are delivered. The contents of the EDD were manually examined to verify that the sample results accurately reflect the data contained in the sample data package.

Analysis Type: Metals General Chem ✓ Rad Organics Samples: 10 Matrix: WATER Requested Analysis Completed: Yes Chain of Custody		General Data Validation Report
Samples: 10 Matrix: WATER Requested Analysis Completed: Yes Chain of Custody		e: PAR Validator: Stephen Donivan Validation Date: 06/04/2015
Chain of Custody Sample Present: OK Signed: OK OK Dated: OK Integrity: OK Preservation: OK Temperature: OK elect Quality Parameters Holding Times All analyses were completed within the applicable holding times. Detection Limits There are 8 detection limit failures. Field/Trip Blanks	ect: Rulison Site	
Present: OK Signed: OK Dated: OK Integrity: OK Preservation: OK Temperature: OK elect Quality Parameters All analyses were completed within the applicable holding times. All analyses were completed within the applicable holding times. There are 8 detection limit failures. Image: Detection Limits There are 8 detection limit failures. There was 1 trip/equipment blank evaluated.	Samples: <u>10</u> Matrix:	WATER Requested Analysis Completed: Yes
elect Quality Parameters Holding Times All analyses were completed within the applicable holding times. Detection Limits There are 8 detection limit failures. Field/Trip Blanks There was 1 trip/equipment blank evaluated.	Chain of Custody	Sample
Holding Times All analyses were completed within the applicable holding times. Detection Limits There are 8 detection limit failures. Field/Trip Blanks There was 1 trip/equipment blank evaluated.	Present: OK Signed: OK	Dated: OK Integrity: OK Preservation: OK Temperature: OK
Holding Times All analyses were completed within the applicable holding times. Detection Limits There are 8 detection limit failures. Field/Trip Blanks There was 1 trip/equipment blank evaluated.		
Detection Limits There are 8 detection limit failures. Field/Trip Blanks There was 1 trip/equipment blank evaluated.		All analyses were completed within the applicable holding times
Field/Trip Blanks There was 1 trip/equipment blank evaluated.		
	✓ Field/Trip Blanks	
	✓ Field Duplicates	

				5	SAMPLE MANAG	SEMENT S	YSTEN	1	F	Page 1 of
RIN: <u>1</u>	5036889 La	ab Code: PAR		Non-	Compliance Rep	port: Detec	tion L	imits		
Project:	Rulison Site									
Validatio	n Date: 06/04/2015									
Ticket	Location	Lab Sample ID	Method Code	Lab Method	Analyte Name	Result	Qualifier	Reported Detection Limit	Required Detection Limit	Units
IEU 504	BM 26-22D	1504097-4	GPC-A-001	724R11	GROSS ALPHA	101		66	2	pCi/L
	BM 26-22D	1504097-4	GPC-A-001		GROSS BETA	269		52	4	pCi/L
	BM 26-33C	1504097-6	GPC-A-001		GROSS BETA	117		52	4	pCi/L
IEU 511	BM 26-33C	1504097-6	GPC-A-001	724R11	GROSS ALPHA	28.8	U	57	2	pCi/L
VEU 501	BM 26-34B	1504097-8	GPC-A-001	724R11	GROSS BETA	125	1	49	4	pCi/L
	BM 26-34B	1504097-8	GPC-A-001		GROSS ALPHA	63.9		46	2	pCi/L
			1							/
EU 506	BM 36-13	1504097-10	GPC-A-001	724R11	GROSS ALPHA	41	U	57	2	pCi/L
IEU 506	BM 36-13	1504097-10	GPC-A-001	724R11	GROSS BETA	171	İ	47	4	pCi/L

Page 1 of 2

SAMPLE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM Radiochemistry Data Validation Worksheet

BB = 4+1++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++				Data Completed: 05/01/0015								
Matrix:	vvater	Site Code:	RULU1	Date Completed: <u>05/01/2015</u>								
Sample	Analyte	Date Analyzed	Result	Flag	Tracer %R	LCS %R	MS %R	Duplicate RER				
2694	Actinium-228	04/18/2015						1.71				
2694	Americium-241	04/18/2015				İ		0.61				
Blank_Spike	Americium-241	04/18/2015				98.50						
2694	Antimony-125	04/18/2015				İ		0.93				
2694	Cerium-144	04/18/2015				Ì		0.89				
2694	Cesium-134	04/18/2015				İ		1.72				
2694	Cesium-137	04/18/2015				İ		1.12				
Blank_Spike	Cesium-137	04/18/2015				104.00						
2694	Cobalt-60	04/18/2015				İ		0.81				
Blank_Spike	Cobalt-60	04/18/2015				97.70						
2694	Europium-152	04/18/2015				İ		0.40				
2694	Europium-154	04/18/2015				ĺ		0.37				
2694	Europium-155	04/18/2015				İ		0.75				
BM 26-34B	GROSS ALPHA	04/16/2015				Ì		0.60				
Blank	GROSS ALPHA	04/18/2015	-0.1600	U		Ì						
BM 26-22D	GROSS ALPHA	04/18/2015				Ì	121.0					
Blank_Spike	GROSS ALPHA	04/18/2015				89.00						
BM 26-34B	GROSS BETA	04/16/2015				Ì		2.35				
Blank_Spike	GROSS BETA	04/18/2015				94.80						
BM 26-22D	GROSS BETA	04/18/2015				Ì	100.0					
Blank	GROSS BETA	04/18/2015	-1.1200	U		Ì						
2694	H-3	04/23/2015				Ì		0.52				
BM 26-33C	H-3	04/23/2015				Ì	104.0					
Blank_Spike	H-3	04/24/2015				110.00						
Blank	H-3	04/24/2015	257.0000	U		Ì						
2694	Lead-212	04/18/2015				Ì		0.99				
2694	Potassium-40	04/18/2015						1.68				
2694	Promethium-144	04/18/2015						0.60				
2694	Promethium-146	04/18/2015				İ		0.12				
2694	Ruthenium-106	04/18/2015				<u> </u>		0.33				
2694	Thorium-234	04/18/2015		ĺ		Ì		0.48				
2694	Uranium-235	04/18/2015	1			Ì		0				

	SAMPLE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM Pag Radiochemistry Data Validation Worksheet													
RIN.	RIN: <u>15036889</u> Lab Code: <u>PAR</u> Date Due: <u>04/30/</u>													
Matrix:		Site Code:		Da				01/2015						
						-								
Sample	Analyte	Date Analyzed	Result	Flag	Tracer %R	LCS %R	MS %R	Duplicate RER						
2694	Yttrium-88	04/18/2015						0.09]					

Sampling Quality Control Assessment

The following information summarizes and assesses quality control for this sampling event.

Sampling Protocol

The produced-water samples were collected from a tap on a common line connecting the output of two separators (each servicing a well) and the nearby accumulation tanks. The collected water sample from one separator was isolated from the other separator by valves. Lines from each of the two separators were purged before sample collection.

Equipment Blank

Equipment blanks are prepared and analyzed to document contamination attributable to the sample collection process. One equipment blank was submitted with these samples. There were no analytes detected in this blank.

Field Duplicate Analysis

Field duplicate samples are collected and analyzed as an indication of overall precision of the measurement process. The precision observed includes both field and laboratory precision and has more variability than laboratory duplicates, which measure only laboratory performance. A duplicate sample was collected from location 05-045-16087. For non-radiochemical measurements, the relative percent difference for duplicate results that are greater than 5 times the PQL should be less than 20 percent.

For results less than 5 times the PQL, the range should be no greater than the PQL. For radiochemical measurements, the relative error ratio (the ratio of the absolute difference between the sample and duplicate results and the sum of the 1-sigma uncertainties) is used to evaluate duplicate results and should be less than 3. All duplicate results met these criteria thereby demonstrating acceptable precision.

	SAMPLE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM Validation Report: Field Duplicates										
RIN: 15036889	Validation Date: 06/04/2015										
Duplicate: 2657											
Analyte		– Sample Result	Flag Error	Dilution	Duplicate –	Flag	Error	Dilution	RPD	RER	Units
3		150	U 226	1	222	U	233	1		0.4	pCi/L

Certification

All laboratory analytical quality control criteria were met except as qualified in this report. The data qualifiers listed on the SEEPro database reports are defined on the last page of each report. All data in this package are considered validated and available for use.

Laboratory Coordinator:

<u>Stephen Donive</u>

-6-2015

10-6-2015

Date

Data Validation Lead:

heponue Stephen Donivan

Date

DVP-March 2015, Rulison, Colorado RIN 15036889 Page 16

Attachment 1 Data Presentation This page intentionally left blank

Produced Water Quality Data

This page intentionally left blank

General Water Quality Data by Location (USEE105) FOR SITE RUL01, Rulison Site REPORT DATE: 09/28/2015 Location: 05-045-10840 WELL BM 36-13

Parameter	Units	Samı Date	ple ID		oth Rai Ft BLS	-	Result	C Lab	Qualifiers Data	QA	Detection Limit	Uncertainty
Actinium-228	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	9.58	U		#	40	24.4
Americium-241	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	-8.62	U		#	27	16
Antimony-125	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	2.75	U		#	11	6.15
Cerium-144	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	-8.8	U		#	22	12.7
Cesium-134	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	-2.96	U		#	5	2.91
Cesium-137	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	429	U		#	4.6	2.67
Cobalt-60	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	-1.98	U		#	5.7	3.25
Europium-152	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	3.82	U		#	26	15.4
Europium-154	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	3.77	U		#	26	15.5
Europium-155	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	2.27	U		#	11	6.42
Gross Alpha	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	41	U		#	57	35.8
Gross Beta	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	171			#	47	41.1
Lead-212	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	3.55	U		#	12	7.33
Potassium-40	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	132	U		#	140	86.5
Promethium-144	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	2.28	U		#	4.7	2.88
Promethium-146	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	1.68	U		#	4.8	2.92
Ruthenium-106	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	-23.8	U		#	46	26.7
Thorium-234	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	-55.8	U		#	140	80.9

General Water Quality Data by Location (USEE105) FOR SITE RUL01, Rulison Site REPORT DATE: 09/28/2015 Location: 05-045-10840 WELL BM 36-13

Parameter	Units	Sam	Sample		Depth Range		Popult	Qualifiers			Detection	Uncertainty
Parameter	Units	Date	ID	()	(Ft BLS) Result		Result	Lab	Data	QA	Limit	Uncertainty
Tritium	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	92.9	U		#	380	225
Uranium-235	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	11.9	U		#	20	12.3
Yttrium-88	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	779	U		#	9.2	5.44

General Water Quality Data by Location (USEE105) FOR SITE RUL01, Rulison Site REPORT DATE: 09/28/2015 Location: 05-045-10919 WELL BM 35-32A

Devenuetor	Unite	Sample		Depth Range	Decult	Qua	alifiers	Detection	Uncertainty
Parameter	Parameter Units Date ID (Ft BLS)		Result	Lab I	Data QA	Limit	Uncertainty		
Tritium	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0 - 0	198	U	#	380	232

General Water Quality Data by Location (USEE105) FOR SITE RUL01, Rulison Site REPORT DATE: 09/28/2015 Location: 05-045-15739 WELL BM 26-33D

Parameter	Units	Sample		Depth Range	Deput	Qualifiers		Detection	Uncertainty
		Date	ID	(Ft BLS)	Result	Lab D	ata QA	Limit	Uncertainty
Tritium	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0 - 0	41	U	#	360	215

General Water Quality Data by Location (USEE105) FOR SITE RUL01, Rulison Site REPORT DATE: 09/28/2015 Location: 05-045-15742 WELL BM 26-33C

Parameter	Units	Sam Date	ple ID		oth Rai Ft BLS		Result	(Lab	Qualifiers Data	QA	Detection Limit	Uncertainty
Actinium-228	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	27.9	U		#	30	14.1
Americium-241	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	3.73	U		#	25	14.7
Antimony-125	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	0.401	U		#	12	6.42
Cerium-144	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	1.06	U		#	20	11.8
Cesium-134	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	-1.14	U		#	4.7	2.74
Cesium-137	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	301	U		#	4.7	2.74
Cobalt-60	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	9	U		#	4.8	2.75
Europium-152	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	-7.14	U		#	24	13.4
Europium-154	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	0.398	U		#	25	14.8
Europium-155	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	8.49	U		#	11	6.89
Gross Alpha	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	28.8	U		#	57	34.8
Gross Beta	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	117		J	#	52	37.7
Lead-212	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	0.471	U		#	11	6.73
Potassium-40	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	73.6	U		#	130	79.5
Promethium-144	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	0557	U		#	4.9	2.91
Promethium-146	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	587	U		#	5.4	3.18
Ruthenium-106	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	-23.1	U		#	44	25.1
Thorium-234	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	-22.4	U		#	130	77.2

General Water Quality Data by Location (USEE105) FOR SITE RUL01, Rulison Site REPORT DATE: 09/28/2015 Location: 05-045-15742 WELL BM 26-33C

Baramotor	Parameter Units		Sample		Depth Range		Result	Qualifiers			Detection	Uncertainty
Faranieter	Units	Date	ID	(Ft BLS	5)	Result	Lab	Data	QA	Limit	Oncertainty
Tritium	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	92.3	U		#	380	226
Uranium-235	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	11.8	U		#	19	10.9
Yttrium-88	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	2.98	U		#	5.3	3.26

General Water Quality Data by Location (USEE105) FOR SITE RUL01, Rulison Site REPORT DATE: 09/28/2015 Location: 05-045-15743 WELL BM 26-33B

Devenuetor	Sample		ole	Depth Range	Result	Qualifi	ers	Detection	Uncertainty
Parameter	Units	Date	ID	(Ft BLS)	Result	Lab Data	QA	Limit	Uncertainty
Tritium	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0 - 0	39.1	U	#	360	214

General Water Quality Data by Location (USEE105) FOR SITE RUL01, Rulison Site REPORT DATE: 09/28/2015 Location: 05-045-15745 WELL BM 26-34B

Parameter	Units	Sam Date	ole ID		oth Rai Ft BLS		Result	(Lab	Qualifiers Data	QA	Detection Limit	Uncertainty
Actinium-228	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	25.9		U	#	22	11.2
Americium-241	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	7.59	U		#	30	18.2
Antimony-125	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	3.99	U		#	14	8.31
Cerium-144	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	10.5	U		#	25	15.2
Cesium-134	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	-5.56	U		#	9.5	5.5
Cesium-137	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	354	U		#	5.7	3.36
Cobalt-60	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	0.77	U		#	7.6	4.49
Europium-152	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	8.98	U		#	34	20.2
Europium-154	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	8.32	U		#	36	21.2
Europium-155	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	-3.63	U		#	26	15.7
Gross Alpha	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	63.9		J	#	46	32.2
Gross Beta	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	125		J	#	49	37.2
Lead-212	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	2.14	U		#	14	8.74
Potassium-40	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	31	U		#	180	107
Promethium-144	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	-3.12	U		#	13	7.92
Promethium-146	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	-1.09	U		#	6.3	3.68
Ruthenium-106	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	-16.2	U		#	56	32.3
Thorium-234	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	34.5	U		#	160	96.3

General Water Quality Data by Location (USEE105) FOR SITE RUL01, Rulison Site REPORT DATE: 09/28/2015 Location: 05-045-15745 WELL BM 26-34B

Parameter	Parameter Units		Sample		Depth Range		Result	Qualifiers			Detection	Uncertainty
Farameter	Units	Date	ID	(Ft BLS	i)	Result	Lab	Data	QA	Limit	Uncertainty
Tritium	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	141	U		#	370	224
Uranium-235	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	13.4	U		#	25	13.3
Yttrium-88	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	4.16	U		#	6.9	4.26

General Water Quality Data by Location (USEE105) FOR SITE RUL01, Rulison Site REPORT DATE: 09/28/2015 Location: 05-045-16074 WELL BM 26-22D

Parameter	Parameter Units		Sample		Depth Range		Result	Qualifiers			Detection	Uncertainty
Farameter	Units	Date	ID	(Ft BLS	5)	Result	Lab	Data	QA	Limit	Uncertainty
Gross Alpha	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	101		J	#	66	46.8
Gross Beta	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	269			#	52	55.3
Tritium	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	85.2	U		#	380	226

General Water Quality Data by Location (USEE105) FOR SITE RUL01, Rulison Site REPORT DATE: 09/28/2015 Location: 05-045-16087 WELL BM 26-22C

Devementer	Units	Sam	Sample		Depth Range		Deput	Qualifiers			Detection	Uncertainty
Parameter	Units	Date	ID	(F	Ft BLS)		Result	Lab	Data	QA	Limit	Uncertainty
Tritium	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N001	0	-	0	150	U		#	370	226
Tritium	pCi/L	03/31/2015	N002	0	-	0	222	U		#	380	233

SAMPLE ID CODES: 000X = Filtered sample (0.45 µm). N00X = Unfiltered sample.

X = replicate number.

LAB QUALIFIERS:

- * Replicate analysis not within control limits.
- > Result above upper detection limit.
- A TIC is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
- B Inorganic: Result is between the IDL and CRDL. Organic: Analyte also found in method blank.
- C Pesticide result confirmed by GC-MS.
- D Analyte determined in diluted sample.
- E Inorganic: Estimate value because of interference, see case narrative. Organic: Analyte exceeded calibration range of the GC-MS.
- H Holding time expired, value suspect.
- I Increased detection limit due to required dilution.
- J Estimated
- N Inorganic or radiochemical: Spike sample recovery not within control limits. Organic: Tentatively identified compound (TIC).
- P > 25% difference in detected pesticide or Aroclor concentrations between 2 columns.
- U Analytical result below detection limit.
- W Post-digestion spike outside control limits while sample absorbance < 50% of analytical spike absorbance.
- X,Y,Z Laboratory defined qualifier, see case narrative.

DATA QUALIFIERS:

F Low flow sampling method used. L Less than 3 bore volumes purged

- G Possible grout contamination, pH > 9.Q Qualitative result due to sampling technique.
- J Estimated value. R Unusable result.

- Less than 3 bore volumes purged prior to sampling.
- U Parameter analyzed for but was not detected.
- X Location is undefined.

- QA QUALIFIER:
- # Validated according to quality assurance guidelines.

This page intentionally left blank

Equipment Blank Data

This page intentionally left blank

BLANKS REPORT

LAB: PARAGON/ALS LABORATORY GROUP (Fort Collins, CO) RIN: 15036889 Report Date: 09/28/2015

Parameter	Site Code	Location ID	Sampl Date	e ID	Units	Result	Qualifiers Lab Data	Detection Limit	Uncertainty	Sample Type
Actinium-228	RUL01	0999	03/31/2015	N001	pCi/L	8.47	U	23	10.6	ТВ
Americium-241	RUL01	0999	03/31/2015	N001	pCi/L	1.79	U	3.5	2.17	TB
Antimony-125	RUL01	0999	03/31/2015	N001	pCi/L	0.0534	U	9.4	4.82	TB
Cerium-144	RUL01	0999	03/31/2015	N001	pCi/L	8.08	U	13	8.18	TB
Cesium-134	RUL01	0999	03/31/2015	N001	pCi/L	878	U	3.8	2.22	TB
Cesium-137	RUL01	0999	03/31/2015	N001	pCi/L	-1.43	U	3.7	2.12	TB
Cobalt-60	RUL01	0999	03/31/2015	N001	pCi/L	0.345	U	4.2	2.46	ТВ
Europium-152	RUL01	0999	03/31/2015	N001	pCi/L	5.14	U	20	11.7	ТВ
Europium-154	RUL01	0999	03/31/2015	N001	pCi/L	8.42	U	21	12.6	TB
Europium-155	RUL01	0999	03/31/2015	N001	pCi/L	2.55	U	3.6	2.24	ТВ
Gross Alpha	RUL01	0999	03/31/2015	N001	pCi/L	0.314	U	1.2	0.663	TB
Gross Beta	RUL01	0999	03/31/2015	N001	pCi/L	1.32	U	2.1	1.33	TB
Lead-212	RUL01	0999	03/31/2015	N001	pCi/L	1.79	U	10	6.26	ТВ
Potassium-40	RUL01	0999	03/31/2015	N001	pCi/L	-57.5	U	110	62.8	ТВ
Promethium-144	RUL01	0999	03/31/2015	N001	pCi/L	0.491	U	4.2	2.47	ТВ
Promethium-146	RUL01	0999	03/31/2015	N001	pCi/L	0.535	U	3.8	2.29	ТВ
Ruthenium-106	RUL01	0999	03/31/2015	N001	pCi/L	-6.06	U	33	19.4	ТВ
Thorium-234	RUL01	0999	03/31/2015	N001	pCi/L	13.3	U	67	40.3	ТВ

BLANKS REPORT

LAB: PARAGON/ALS LABORATORY GROUP (Fort Collins, CO) RIN: 15036889 Report Date: 09/28/2015

Parameter	Site Code	Location ID	Sampl Date	e ID	Units	Result	Qualifier Lab Da	rs Detection ata Limit	Uncertainty	Sample Type
Tritium	RUL01	0999	03/31/2015	N001	pCi/L	42.9	U	380	224	ТВ
Uranium-235	RUL01	0999	03/31/2015	N001	pCi/L	11.1	U	14	7.46	TB
Yttrium-88	RUL01	0999	03/31/2015	N001	pCi/L	3.59	U	4.2	2.68	ТВ

SAMPLE ID CODES: 000X = Filtered sample (0.45 µm). N00X = Unfiltered sample. X = replicate number.

LAB QUALIFIERS:

- * Replicate analysis not within control limits.
- > Result above upper detection limit.
- A TIC is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
- B Inorganic: Result is between the IDL and CRDL. Organic: Analyte also found in method blank.
- C Pesticide result confirmed by GC-MS.
- D Analyte determined in diluted sample.
- E Inorganic: Estimate value because of interference, see case narrative. Organic: Analyte exceeded calibration range of the GC-MS.
- H Holding time expired, value suspect.
- I Increased detection limit due to required dilution.
- J Estimated
- N Inorganic or radiochemical: Spike sample recovery not within control limits. Organic: Tentatively identified compound (TIC).
- P > 25% difference in detected pesticide or Aroclor concentrations between 2 columns.
- U Analytical result below detection limit.
- W Post-digestion spike outside control limits while sample absorbance < 50% of analytical spike absorbance.
- X,Y,Z Laboratory defined qualifier, see case narrative.

DATA QUALIFIERS:

F Low flow sampling method used.L Less than 3 bore volumes purged prior to sampling.

U Parameter analyzed for but was not detected.

- G Possible grout contamination, pH > 9.Q Qualitative result due to sampling technique.
- J Estimated value.
- R Unusable result.

X Location is undefined.

SAMPLE TYPES:

E Equipment Blank.

Attachment 2 Trip Report This page intentionally left blank

Trip Report Natural Gas Wells near Project Rulison Second Quarter 2015

U.S. Department of Energy Office of Legacy Management Grand Junction, Colorado

Date Sampled

March 31, 2015 **Background**

Project Rulison was the second Plowshare Program test to investigate using a nuclear detonation to stimulate natural gas recovery from deep, low-permeability formations. On September 10, 1969, a 40-kiloton-yield nuclear device was detonated 8,426 feet (1.6 miles) below ground surface in the Williams Fork Formation, at what is now the Rulison, Colorado, Site. A series of production tests followed the detonation, and the site was subsequently shut down; the emplacement well (R-E) and reentry well (R-Ex) were plugged, and the surface soils were remediated.

Purpose

As part of the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Legacy Management (LM) mission to protect human health and the environment, LM is monitoring natural gas wells near the Rulison site for radionuclides associated with the detonation. The very low permeability of the Williams Fork Formation limits contaminant migration in the subsurface and institutional controls limit subsurface access near the detonation zone. The Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission notifies DOE of any drilling permit activity within 3 miles of the site. The State and DOE review drilling permits and gas well development practices within this boundary to ensure that drilling activities maintain a safe distance from the detonation zone. The DOE *Rulison Monitoring Plan* (LMS/RUL/S06178) provides guidance for sample collection frequency based on distance from the Rulison detonation point, the types of analyses, and the reporting thresholds. The purpose of this trip was to collect natural gas and production water from producing natural gas wells in the Battlement Mesa (BM) field. The sampled wells collect natural gas from the formation horizon where the Project Rulison detonation occurred. The well locations are within 1.5 miles of the detonation location (surface ground zero on Figure 1).

Summary of Results

Following the January 7th sampling event, wells on the 26N pad were reworked by the operator to increase production. The operator's reworking process involves mechanically and chemically cleaning out the downhole production piping of organic buildup. On Pad 26N, 6 of the 7 wells produced some production water; but, all samples collected contained significant amounts of hydrocarbons which required decanting before analysis. Two wells, Battlement Mesa (BM) 26-34D and BM 13B, produced no production water for sampling. After decanting the hydrocarbons

from the samples collected, three wells (BM 26-34A, 34C, and BM 26-22B) did not have enough water volume to conduct any scheduled analysis. Following the decanting of hydrocarbons from the production water samples, the water volumes remaining for BM 26-33B, 33D, 22C and BM 35 32A, were adequate only for analysis of tritium. Wells BM 26-33C, 34B, 22D, and BM-13 produced enough sample volume for all scheduled analyses. The well operator was unaware of any operational processes that would cause the lower temperature and pressure to occur.

For the 10 wells sampled on Pads 26N and 26K, the bottom-hole locations are between 0.76 mile and 1.1 miles from the Project Rulison vertical emplacement well 25-95 (R-E). Wells on Pads 35C and 36L are approximately 0.95 mile from the detonation point. The well on Pad 36B is approximately 0.55 mile southeast of well 25-95 (R-E). Surface projections of the bottom-hole well locations and Project Rulison surface ground zero, at well 25-95 (R-E) (i.e., the detonation point), are shown in Figure 1.



M:LTS\111\0082\03\000\S09396\S0939600.mxd smithw 09/26/2012 3:10:22 PM Notes:

All wells sampled have been previously sampled by DOE.

The first two numerals in the well name designate the section number of the bottom-well location in the BM field. The Project Rulison emplacement well, 25-95 (R-E) (i.e., ground zero), is located in Lot 11, Section 25.

Figure 1. Wells Sampled and Well 25-95 (R-E)

Table 1 lists the wells by sample-collection sequence. Before sample collection occurs at each well, each well's pressure and temperature (see Table 1) were read and recorded from surface transducers in the wells. Latitude and longitude values (not shown in Table 1) were compiled from survey plats included with the applications for permits to drill and from Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission scout cards.

All wellheads planned for sampling were available, and wellhead pressures and temperatures were lower than normal. Two wells, BM 26-34D and BM 13B, produced no production water for sampling. At some locations, production-water collection volumes were lower than normal and ranged from 400 to 1900 ml. All other well functions were performing normally, so no impact to the analytical data is expected.

Sample	Pad	Well	Location			Sampl	e Phase	Well		
Collection		Name	API #	Туре	Subtype	Gas	Liquid	Т	Р	
Sequence			05-045-					(° F)	(psi)	
1	26N	BM 26-33B	15739	WL	NGSA	No	Yes ^a	48	199	
2	26N	BM 26-33C	15742	WL	NGSA	No	Yes ^a	46.8	205	
3	26N	BM 26-33D	15743	WL	NGSA	No	Yes ^a	49.3	190	
4	26N	BM 26-34A	15744	WL	NGSA	No	Yes ^a	47	198.3	
5	26N	BM 26-34B	15745	WL	NGSA	No	Yes ^a	46	183	
6	26N	BM 26-34C	15741	WL	NGSA	No	Yes ^a	88.1	205	
7	26N	BM 26-34D	15748	WL	NGSA	No	No	51	207	
8	26K	BM 26-22B	16086	WL	NGSA	No	Yes ^a	71.6	155	
9	26K	BM 26-22C	16087	WL	NGSA	No	Yes ^a	77	212	
Duplicate	26K	BM 26-22C	16087	WL	NGSA	No	Yes ^a	77	212	
10	26K	BM 26-22D	16074	WL	NGSA	No	Yes ^a	85	204	
11	35C	BM 35-32A	10919	WL	NGSV	No	Yes ^a	69.7	205	
12	36L	BM 36-13B	15469	WL	NGSV	No	No	49	212	
13	36B	BM 36-13	10840	WL	NGSV	No	Yes ^a	57	246	

Table 1. San	ples Collected
--------------	----------------

^{a.} Sample volumes ranged from 400ml to 1900 ml of production water. Abbreviations:

API American Petroleum Institute

NGSA natural gas well-angle

NGSV natural gas well-vertical

P (psi) pressure in pounds per square inch

T (^o F) temperature in degrees Fahrenheit

WL well

The produced-water samples were collected from a tap on a common line connecting the output of two separators (each servicing a well) and the nearby accumulation tanks. Following the first quarter sampling that was conducted on January 7th, the operator of the wells reworked the wells to increase production. While the wells on Pad 26 N were reworked between sampling events, 6 of the 7 wells produced a smaller volume of production water than normally collected and contained higher than normal amounts of hydrocarbons. The collected water sample from one separator was isolated from the other separator by valves. Lines from each of the two separators were purged before sample collection.

Water condensation is variable and often not desired for the planned analytes. Collected sample volumes (Table 2) varied due to the water vapor concentration in the gas, temperature, age of the well, the cycle times of the well plunger, and transfer to the accumulation tank. Analysis priorities are tritium, gross alpha/beta, and high-resolution gamma spectrometry.

If condensate was collected with a sample, which happens for most samples, the condensate naturally separated from water after a short time in the sample bottle. The condensate was decanted in the field and returned to the operator. Table 2 lists the estimated sample volumes (including the condensate).

Sample Ticket	Well Name	Planned Analytes	Sample Volume (L)
1	BM 26-33B	³ H, Gross α/β, Gamma spec	≈1900ML
2	BM 26-33C	³ H, Gross α/β, Gamma spec	≈1400ML
3	BM 26-33D	³ H, Gross α/β, Gamma spec	≈1400ML
4	BM 26-34A	³ H, Gross α/β, Gamma spec	≈900ML
5	BM 26-34B	³ H, Gross α/β, Gamma spec	≈1900ML
6	BM 26-34C	³ H, Gross α/β, Gamma spec	≈900ML
7	BM 26-34D	NA	No Sample
8	BM 26-22B	³ H, Gross α/β, Gamma spec	≈900ML
9	BM 26-22C	³ H, Gross α/β, Gamma spec	≈ 900ML
10	BM 26-22D	³ H, Gross α/β, Gamma spec	≈ 900ML
11	BM 35-32A	³ H, Gross α/β, Gamma spec	≈ 400ML
12	BM 36-13B	NA	No Sample
13	BM 36-13	³ H, Gross α/β, Gamma spec	≈ 1900

Table 2. Collected Water Sample Volumes (Before Decanting)

Notes:

Water sample information is listed in the order of collection.

Wells BM 26-34D, BM 36-13B did not produce water for laboratory analyses.

Abbreviations:

Gamma spec	high-resolution gamma spectrometry analysis
Gross α/β	gross alpha and beta analyses
³ Н	tritium
L	liter
NA	not applicable

Equipment

Each produced-water sample was collected in a new, 1-gallon plastic bottle. After decanting, each water sample was poured into white, high-density polyethylene bottles of appropriate volumes for analysis.