#### RADIONUCLIDE AIR EMISSION ANNUAL REPORT CALENDAR YEAR 2008

for the

## LABORATORY FOR ENERGY-RELATED HEALTH RESEARCH UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS

prepared for:

#### **SM Stoller Corporation**

2597 B ¾ Road Grand Junction, Colorado 81503

prepared by:

#### **Weiss Associates**

5801 Christie Avenue, Suite 600 Emeryville, California 94608-1827

> June 23, 2009 Rev. 0



#### RADIONUCLIDE AIR EMISSION ANNUAL REPORT CALENDAR YEAR 2008

for the

## LABORATORY FOR ENERGY-RELATED HEALTH RESEARCH UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS

prepared for:

#### **SM Stoller Corporation**

2597 B ¾ Road Grand Junction, Colorado 81503

prepared by:

#### **Weiss Associates**

5801 Christie Avenue, Suite 600 Emeryville, California 94608-1827

> June 23, 2009 Rev. 0

Issued To:	Date: _	
Copy No.:	□ Controlled	■ Uncontrolled

#### Approvals Page

#### RADIONUCLIDE AIR EMISSION ANNUAL REPORT CALENDAR YEAR 2008

for the

## LABORATORY FOR ENERGY-RELATED HEALTH RESEARCH UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS

prepared for:

**SM Stoller Corporation** 

2597 B ¾ Road Grand Junction, Colorado 81503

prepared by:

Weiss Associates

5801 Christie Avenue, Suite 600 Emeryville, California 94608-1827

June 23, 2009

Rev. 0

Prepared by:

Michael Gaud, P.G. Project Geologist

Weiss Associates

Approved by:

Robert O. Devany, C.E.G., C.H.G.

Principal Hydrogeologist

Weiss Associates

Date: Jone 23, 2009

Date:



### **CONTENTS**

I.	1. Facility Information			1-1
	1.1	Site D	rescription	1-2
	1.2	Source	e Description	1-3
2.	Air En	nission	Data	2-1
	2.1	Point	Sources	2-1
	2.2	Diffus	ee Sources	2-1
		2.2.1	Estimating Radionuclide Emissions due to Wind Entrainment of Surface Soil	2-1
3.	Dose A	Assessm	nents	3-1
	3.1	Descri	iption of Dose Model	3-1
	3.2	Summ	nary of Input Parameters	3-2
4. Compliance Assessment 4-				4-1
	4.1	Certif	ication	4-1
5. Additional Information 5-				5-1
6.	5. Supplemental DOE Information 6-			6-1
7.	. References		7-1	

#### **TABLES**

Table 1.	Dose Estimates to Maximally Exposed Members of the Public, Laboratory for Energy-related Health Research, 1999-2008
Table 2.	Meteorological Input Parameters for CAP88-PC
Table 3.	Agricultural Input Parameters for CAP88-PC
Table 4.	Source Input Parameters for CAP88-PC
Table 5.	Radionuclides Potentially Released from Soil to Air, Eastern Dog Pens Area
Table 6.	CAP88-PC Model Run Specifications and Options
Table 7.	Modeled Effective Dose Equivalents to Potentially Exposed Members of the Public

#### **FIGURES**

- Figure 1. LEHR Location Map, University of California, Davis
- Figure 2. DOE Potential Diffuse-Source Area and Location of Maximally Exposed Individual

#### **APPENDIX**

Appendix A. CAP88-PC Output Results

#### ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

°C degree(s) Celsius

CAP88-PC atmospheric dispersion/radiation dose calculation computer code (US EPA)
CERCLA Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

CFR Code of Federal Regulations

Ci/yr curies per year cm centimeter(s)

cm/s centimeter(s) per second

DOE United States Department of Energy

EDE effective dose equivalent

EDPs Eastern Dog Pens

Eq. equation

g/m<sup>2</sup>-hr gram(s) per square meter-hour(s)

km kilometer(s)

LEHR Laboratory for Energy-related Health Research

m/s meter(s) per second m<sup>2</sup> square meter(s)

MEI maximally exposed individual

mrem/yr millirem(s) per year mSv/yr millisievert(s) per year

NESHAPs National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants

No(s). number(s)

pCi/g picocurie(s) per gram

PM<sub>10</sub> particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to

10 micrometers

Ra-226 radium-226 Sr-90 strontium-90

UC Davis University of California, Davis

US EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency



# US Department of Energy Radionuclide Air Emission Annual Report (Subpart H of 40 CFR 61) Calendar Year 2008

**Site Name**: Laboratory for Energy-related Health Research (LEHR)

#### **Field Office Information**

**Office**: U.S. Department of Energy

Office of Legacy Management

**Address**: 3610 Collins Ferry Road

Morgantown, WV 26507

Contact: Vijendra Kothari Phone: (304) 285-4579

e-mail: vijendra.kothari@lm.doe.gov

e-mail: rod@weiss.com

#### **Site Information**

**Operator**: Weiss Associates

**Address**: 5801 Christie Ave, Suite 600

Emeryville, CA 94608

**Contractor Contact**: Robert Devany Phone: (510) 450-6144

Principal Hydrogeologist

Weiss Associates



#### 1. FACILITY INFORMATION

This Radionuclide Air Emission Annual Report documents that, in 2008, the United States Department of Energy (DOE) areas at the Laboratory for Energy-related Health Research (LEHR) complied with the requirements of Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 61 Subpart H - National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPs) for Emissions of Radionuclides. The NESHAPs regulations require that radionuclide emissions not exceed levels that would result in an effective dose equivalent (EDE) to a member of the public of 10 millirems per year (mrem/yr).

LEHR is a former research facility that was located on the campus of the University of California, Davis (UC Davis); DOE activities there are currently limited to environmental restoration under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA). Environmental restoration responsibilities at LEHR are shared by DOE and UC Davis, but this report applies to only the DOE areas at LEHR. The DOE areas will be referred to as "the Site," whereas the 15-acre property where both DOE and UC Davis conducted activities will be referred to as "LEHR." There are currently no point sources of radionuclide emissions at the Site, but there was one potential diffuse source (i.e., surface soil) of radionuclide emissions during 2008.

The EDE's were modeled using the United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) atmospheric dispersion/radiation dose calculation computer code, CAP88-PC, Version 1.0. Based on the results from this model, the total contribution to the maximum EDE to a member of the public from diffuse-source emissions for reporting year 2008 is estimated to be 5.1E-4 mrem/yr (about 0.005% of the 10 mrem/yr standard). This result is more than two orders of magnitude lower than the EDE's modeled for the previous two years, when grading and demolition activities were conducted at the DOE areas; this result is similar to the lowest EDE's modeled over the previous nine years (Table 1).

The remainder of Section 1 of this report documents relevant characteristics of the Site and the source of the radionuclide emissions, in particular focusing on the input parameters for CAP88-PC. Section 2 of this report discusses the emission of radionuclides from the Site to the atmosphere, which is a key input parameter for CAP88-PC dose modeling. Section 3 presents the results of the dose-assessment modeling. Section 4 is a compliance assessment, and Sections 5 and 6 include additional information regarding radionuclide releases and regulatory compliance.

#### **1.1 Site Description**

LEHR is located in Solano County, California, in the southeast quadrant of Section 21, Township 8 North, Range 2 East, Mount Diablo Base and Meridian. It is approximately 1.5 miles south of the town of Davis (Figure 1) and occupies about 15 acres on the southeast portion of the UC Davis campus. LEHR is bounded by UC Davis research facilities, private farmland, and the South Fork of Putah Creek. The southern boundary is the northern levee of the South Fork of Putah Creek (Figure 2).

In the early 1950s, the Atomic Energy Commission (now DOE) began conducting radiological studies at UC Davis on laboratory animals, particularly beagles. Initial studies were carried out on the main campus, which is north of LEHR, and involved irradiation of beagles. DOE began operating at LEHR in 1958 when full-scale experimental use of radioactive materials began. Research at LEHR through the mid-1980s focused on the health effects from chronic exposure to radionuclides, primarily strontium-90 (Sr-90) and radium-226 (Ra-226). In the early 1970s, a cobalt-60 irradiator facility was constructed at LEHR to study the effects of chronic exposure to gamma rays on bone-marrow cells of beagles. In 1975, DOE initiated a program at LEHR to study the potential health effects of combustion products from fossil fuel power plants. In 1983, the Toxic Pollutant Health Research Laboratory was established at the facility. In 1989, DOE-funded research ended at LEHR. The LEHR Site was listed on the US EPA National Priority list in May 1994. The area is presently occupied by the UC Davis Center for Health and the Environment.

The local climate is Mediterranean with mild winters and dry summers. Precipitation and temperature data specific to 2008 were obtained from the Davis WSW weather station located approximately one mile northwest of LEHR (Western Regional Climate Center, 2009). The average temperature for 2008 was approximately 16.4 degrees Celsius (°C) (61.5 degrees Fahrenheit), and the total precipitation for 2008 was approximately 15.3 inches (38.8 centimeters [cm]). The sun shines approximately 95% of the time during daylight hours in the summer and about 45% of the time during daylight hours in the winter.

The prevailing wind direction is from the south, due to frequent incursions of marine air through the Carquinez Strait into the Sacramento Valley. Changes in wind direction, however, are common, with winds from the northwest occurring diurnally. Several times a year, strong winds blow from the north, generally following the passage of Pacific storm systems (DOE, 1994a). The Davis WSW weather station does not report wind speed; therefore, wind data from the Sacramento Executive Airport is used instead to represent wind conditions at LEHR. The Sacramento Executive Airport is located approximately 15 miles east of LEHR. The average wind speed for the Sacramento Executive Airport in 2008 was 2.5 meters per second (5.6 miles per hour) (NCDC, 2009). The meteorological parameters data required for CAP88-PC are listed in Table 2.

LEHR contains laboratory buildings and former animal-handling facilities (Figure 2). Approximately 45% of the Site is paved or covered by structures. Approximately 45% is unpaved and relatively free of vegetation, and 5% is covered by large, deep-rooted vegetation. Former

outdoor dog pens consisting of asphalt, concrete, gravel and soil occupied the remaining 5% of the Site. The Regents of the University of California own the land and the buildings on the Site.

The land within a one-mile radius of LEHR is owned privately or by UC Davis, and is mainly used for animal research, agriculture and recreation. Immediately to the north, east and west of LEHR are UC Davis research facilities. The privately owned lands within one mile to the south and east of LEHR include rural residences and crop land. Approximately 75% of the surrounding land in the general vicinity of LEHR is used for agriculture. Major crops include fruits, nuts and grains. Approximately 40% of the agricultural land in the vicinity is irrigated and some of the nearby lands are used for cattle grazing (DOE, 1988). The agricultural data required for CAP88-PC are listed in Table 3.

#### 1.2 Source Description

The only source of radionuclide air emissions at the Site in 2008 was diffuse emissions from the Eastern Dog Pens (EDPs) area (Figure 2). There are no point sources of radionuclide emissions at the Site.

The EDPs area is located along the southern boundary of LEHR (Figure 2) and overlies UC Davis Landfill Number 2. Historically, this area contained 96 outdoor pens that were used to house dogs involved in the former Ra-226 and Sr-90 research activities at LEHR. The pens were constructed of concrete curbs and chain-link fencing, contained above-grade shelters, and were partly covered by asphalt and partly covered by gravel. In the floor areas covered by gravel, there was no impermeable barrier between the gravel and the underlying soil. Low levels of Sr-90 and Ra-226 are known to have been released at the EDPs in excreta from the dogs to the floor of the pens and to the concrete curbing.

Removal of the dog pens began in 1996, when the above-grade structures and interior chain-link fencing were removed, properly packaged, and shipped to the DOE Hanford site for disposal. In fall 2007 (September 13 through October 24), the exterior chain-link fencing was removed, the concrete curbing was removed, and the area was re-graded.

In 2008, the EDPs area was a potential source of airborne radionuclide emissions due to entrainment by wind of soil particles from areas where soil was exposed. The EDPs emissions are "fugitive" emissions, by definition, because they were not released through an actively ventilated air stream (US EPA, 2004). There were no activities, construction or otherwise, that caused additional emissions.

The radionuclides detected at activity concentrations greater than background in soil at the EDPs, and for this report assumed to be emitted to the atmosphere, are listed in Table 5. It is assumed that all radionuclides detected in soil at concentrations greater than background are also present in fugitive-dust at the same concentrations.

Conservative assumptions are made to estimate the activity concentrations of the radionuclides in soil. The activity concentrations listed in Table 5 are the highest detected concentrations in soil at the EDPs. Background activity concentrations were not subtracted from these measured activity concentrations even though part of the total concentrations can be attributed to background in most cases. The activity concentrations were also not corrected to account for attenuation due to radioactive decay, although all of the soil samples were collected before 2000. The activity concentrations of radionuclides in soil from the EDPs area are from samples collected during the 1999 EDPs investigation (Weiss, 1999), which is the only CERCLA investigation in this area.

#### 2. AIR EMISSION DATA

#### 2.1 Point Sources

As discussed in Section 1, there were no point sources of radionuclide emissions at the Site in 2008.

#### 2.2 Diffuse Sources

The EDPs were the only diffuse source of radionuclide emissions present at the Site in 2008; emissions from this area were due to wind erosion of surface soil. No excavation or other ground-disturbing activities were conducted at any DOE areas during calendar year 2008. The following discussion pertains to the estimation of radionuclide emissions as respirable particles, defined as those particles 10 micrometers (PM<sub>10</sub>) in diameter or smaller.

#### 2.2.1 Estimating Radionuclide Emissions due to Wind Entrainment of Surface Soil

To estimate the emissions from wind erosion of radionuclides, a two-step calculation is used. First, a general emission rate for respirable particles is calculated for the area (Equation [Eq.] 1). The equation used for this calculation assumes that the source area is an "unlimited reservoir" of erodible soil, and that the emission rate is a function of percent of vegetation, soil conditions, and meteorological conditions. Secondly, specific emission rates for each radionuclide at each source area is calculated (Eq. 3).

The general-emission equation is found in the US EPA's guidance document *Rapid Assessment of Exposure to Particulate Emissions from Surface Contamination Sites* (Cowherd et al., 1985):

$$E_{10} = 0.036 \times (1 - V) \times \left(\frac{[u]}{u_t}\right)^3 \times F(x)$$
(Eq. 1)

where,

 $E_{10}$  = annual average PM<sub>10</sub> emission rate per unit contaminated surface, grams per square meter-hour [g/(m<sup>2</sup>-hr)];

V = fraction of contaminated surface vegetative cover (assumed zero for worst-case bare soil);

[u] = mean annual wind speed (= 2.5 m/s at Sacramento Executive Airport);

 $u_t$  = threshold value of wind speed at height of wind instrument (= 715 cm per second

[cm/s]);

F(x) = function plotted in Figure 4-3 (= 1.16E-1) of Cowherd et al., 1985; and

 $x = 0.866 u_t/[u] = \text{dimensionless wind-speed ratio} (= 2.47).$ 

The fraction of contaminated surface vegetative cover was conservatively assumed to be zero (i.e., bare soil) to calculate a worst-case value. The mean annual wind speed is taken from the Sacramento Executive Airport (NCDC, 2009), which is the nearest meteorological station to LEHR with wind sensors.

The threshold value of wind speed at the height of the wind instrument is calculated by Eq. 2:

$$u_{t} = u_{friction} \times \left(\frac{1}{0.4}\right) \times \left(\ln \frac{z}{z_{0}}\right)$$
 (Eq. 2)

where,

 $u_t$  = threshold value of wind speed at height of wind instrument;

 $u_{friction} =$  threshold friction velocity (= 50 cm/s);

z = height of wind instrument (20 feet = 610 cm); and

 $z_0$  = emission source-area roughness height (= 2 cm).

Cowherd et al. recommend a procedure for determining the threshold friction velocity based upon surface-soil sieve-analysis data. Because no surface-soil sieve-analysis data were available for the Site, a conservative threshold friction velocity of 50 cm/s was selected, following the example in Cowherd et al. (1985) for a rural emergency response application (Application No. 1). This velocity is based on a particle-size mode of 500  $\mu$ m. The two-cm roughness height represents a value between the ranges for a plowed field and grasslands (Cowherd et al., 1985). The resulting value of  $u_t$  is 715 cm/s.

The result of Eq. 1, using the Site- and 2008-specific data, is 9.41E-05 g/m<sup>2</sup>-hr (gram(s) per square meter-hour).

To determine the specific emission rate for each radionuclide, the emission rate is multiplied by the area over which the radionuclide-bearing soil is exposed, the time during which the soil is exposed, and the concentration of the radionuclide in the soil:

$$E_{area} = E_{10} \times A_{area} \times \left(24 \frac{hr}{day} \times 365 \frac{days}{year}\right) \times C_{rad} \times \left(10^{-12} \frac{curies}{picocurie}\right)$$
(Eq. 3)

where,

 $E_{area}$  = annual average  $PM_{10}$  emission rate for the potential radionuclide-emission diffuse source, curies per year (Ci/yr);

 $E_{10}$  = annual average  $PM_{10}$  emission rate per unit contaminated surface,  $g/(m^2-hr)$ ;

 $A_{area}$  = surface area of potential radionuclide-emission diffuse source,  $m^2$ ; and

 $C_{Rad}$  = maximum value of the measured surface-soil radionuclide concentrations, picocuries per gram (pCi/g).

The surface area of the source area, 3,900 square meters (m²), was determined using a scaled map of the Site (Figure 2). The highest measured (non-decay-corrected) concentrations are conservatively assumed to be uniformly distributed across each area. The concentration in the fugitive dust is assumed to be equal to the concentration measured in soil. Table 5 reports the concentrations of radionuclides in the soil and the resulting emission rates for each radionuclide as determined by Eq. 3.



#### 3. DOSE ASSESSMENTS

#### 3.1 Description of Dose Model

Compliance with the NESHAPs radiation-dose limits for diffuse-source emissions was assessed using the US EPA computer code CAP88-PC, Version 1.0. CAP88-PC calculates radiation dose from atmospheric diffusion, and was used to calculate dose from radionuclides in wind-emitted dust.

CAP88-PC was used to calculate the EDE to individual receptors at various distances from the EDPs. The area-source algorithm employed by CAP88-PC, Version 1.0, assumes the distance from an area source to a receptor is measured as the distance from the centroid of the area source to the receptor (US EPA, 1992). For the Site CAP88-PC modeling, the distance from an area source to a receptor is measured as the approximate distance from the centroid of the area source to the outdoor individual or building assumed to house the indoor receptor. Doses were calculated for potential receptors in buildings at LEHR and receptors immediately outside of LEHR (Table 7).

Based on the CAP88-PC model output, the maximally exposed individual (MEI) at LEHR is a worker at the Geriatrics Building Numbers 1 and 2 with an effective dose equivalent of 5.1E-04 mrem/yr (Figure 2, Table 7).

The collective population dose is calculated as the average radiation dose to an individual in each sector, multiplied by the number of individuals in that sector, and summed for all sectors. A "population" CAP88-PC run was executed to model the fugitive dust emission from wind entrainment of soil particles during the course of the year. The CAP88-PC model output is included in Appendix A. The CAP88-PC model was run with an updated population data file calculated from the United States Census Bureau 2008 population estimates for counties (US Census Bureau, 2009).

The population file includes receptors within a distance of 80 kilometers (km) from the Site, as specified by DOE guidance. Using geographical information system software, the area within 80 km of the Site was split into 128 sectors by dividing the area into eight 10-km-wide rings and sixteen compass directions. The population of each sector was estimated by multiplying the surface area of the sector by the population density of the county or counties occupied by that sector. The populations of the counties were obtained from the 2008 Annual Population Estimates Program of the Unites States Census Bureau (US Census Bureau, 2009). The total population within 80 km of LEHR is approximately 3,650,000.

The results of the CAP88-PC population run are presented in Appendix A. The estimated collective population dose for 2008 was 2.2E-05 person-rem per year.

Supplemental information required by DOE (DOE, 1994b) is included in Section 6.

#### 3.2 Summary of Input Parameters

The input parameters for the CAP88-PC runs are summarized in Tables 2 through 6. As noted above, each diffuse source was conservatively calculated assuming that the maximum concentrations (not corrected for background) of the observed radiological surface- and shallow-soil contamination for the EDPs area was present across the entire potential radionuclide emission diffuse source area. The US EPA-recommended particulate-resuspension rate model was used to calculate the fugitive dust emission rates.

The Sacramento area wind file included with the CAP88-PC computer code was used for the modeling. The average annual wind speeds recorded at Sacramento Executive Airport were used to calculate particle-emission rates, as described above. Use of the Sacramento wind data is appropriate because the Site is near Sacramento (approximately 15 miles), the geography is similar, there are no intervening geographical anomalies, and a compatible meteorological data file from a closer air station is not available.

#### 4. COMPLIANCE ASSESSMENT

Point-Source Effective Dose Equivalent: None

5.1E-04 millirem per year [mrem/yr] Diffuse-Source Maximum Effective Dose Equivalent:

(5.1E-06 millisieverts [mSv]per yr) (about

0.005% of the 10 mrem/yr standard).

Location of On-Site Maximally Exposed Individual: Geriatrics Buildings Numbers 1 and 2

#### 4.1 Certification

I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted herein and based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information including the possibility of fine and imprisonment (See 18 U. S. C. 1001).

Signature: taht O. Dory Date: 6-23-09

Principal Hydrogeologist

2009.06.12 12:23:13 -04'00' Date:

Vijendra Kothari

Signature:

DOE-LEHR Project Manager



#### 5. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

In 2008, no construction or modifications were completed at the DOE areas at LEHR.

As required in the memorandum of understanding for facilities that were exempt from having to submit an application to the US EPA for construction or modifications, the following information is provided for the Site:

• Provide a brief description of the construction or modification project and an estimate of potential doses to the public.

There were no construction or modification projects completed at the Site in 2008.

• Identify any unplanned releases of radionuclides to the atmosphere.

There were no unplanned releases of radionuclides to the atmosphere during 2008.

• Results of the dose assessment associated with the diffuse-source emissions from the facility.

As noted in Sections 1 and 2, there are currently no point sources of radionuclide emissions at the Site. The only potential sources of radionuclide emissions remaining at the Site are diffuse sources of fugitive dust. The results of the dose assessment associated with the diffuse-source emissions from the Site are presented in Section 3 and Table 7. The total EDE to the on-site MEI from diffuse-source emissions was estimated to be 5.1E-04 mrem/yr (5.1E-06 mSv/yr), far below the 10 mrem/yr standard.



#### 6. SUPPLEMENTAL DOE INFORMATION

Provide an estimate of collective dose equivalent for 2008 releases.

The collective population dose for calendar year 2008 emissions to the population within an 80-km distance of the Site is estimated to be 2.2E-05 person-rem per year.

• Provide information on the status of compliance with Subparts Q and T of 40 CFR Part 61.

The Site is in compliance with Subparts Q and T of 40 CFR part 61, which provide standards for radon emissions from 1) radium-containing materials and, 2) tailings and residual radioactive material due to the processing of uranium ores, respectively. Radium-containing material was not stored at the Site by DOE in 2008, and radium-containing material that had been disposed of at the Site that could emit radon above the Subpart Q standard has been removed. Uranium ores were not processed at the Site.

• Provide information on radon-220 emissions from sources containing uranium-232 and thorium-232, where emissions potentially can exceed 0.1 mrem/yr to the public or 10% of the non-radon dose to the public.

There are no uranium-232 or thorium-232 sources stored at the Site.

• Provide information on radon-222 emissions from non-disposal/non-storage sources where emissions potentially can exceed 0.1 mrem/yr to the public or 10% of the non-radon dose to the public.

There are no non-disposal or non-storage sources of radon-222 located at the Site.

• Give the number of emission points subject to the continuous monitoring requirements of Section 61.93(b) of 40 CFR, the number of these emission points that do not comply with Section 61.93(b) requirements and the cost of upgrades. Describe site periodic confirmatory measurement plans. Indicate the status of the quality assurance program described by Appendix B, Method 114.

There are no point-source emissions at the Site that require continuous monitoring according to Subpart H of 40 CFR.



#### 7. REFERENCES

- Cowherd, Chatten, Jr., Gregory E. Muleski, Phillip J. Englehart, and Dale A. Gillette, 1985, *Rapid Assessment of Exposure to Particulate Emissions from Surface Contamination Sites*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. (USEPA/600/8–85/002).
- National Climate Data Center (NCDC), 2009, http://lwf.ncdc.noaa.gov/oa/mppsearch.html.
- United States Census Bureau, Fact Finder web page (US Census Bureau), 2009, http://factfinder.census.gov/home/saff/main.html?\_lang=en, accessed May 9.
- United States Department of Energy (DOE), 1988, *Environmental Survey Preliminary Report*, Laboratory for Energy-related Health Research, Davis, California, Environment, Safety and Health Office of Environmental Audit.
- DOE, 1994a, Water Monitoring Plan, LEHR Environmental Restoration, UC Davis, California.
- DOE, 1994b, Memorandum—Calendar Year 1993 Radionuclide Air Emissions Annual Reports for DOE Sites, March.
- Pacific Northwest Laboratories (PNL), 1996, U. S. Department of Energy Radionuclide Air Emissions Annual Report (under Subpart H of 40 CFR Part 61) Calendar Year 1995, Davis, CA, June.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA), 1992, *User's Guide for CAP88-PC Version 1*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, (402-3-92-001, March 1992).
- US EPA, 2004, Methods for Estimating Fugitive Air Emissions of Radionuclides from Diffuse Sources at DOE Facilities Final Report, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, (September 2004).
- Weiss Associates (Weiss), 1999, Technical Memorandum: Investigative Results for the Former Eastern Dog Pens at the Laboratory for Energy-related Health Research (LEHR), University of California at Davis, California, September, Rev. 0.
- Weiss, 2000, Final Radionuclide Air Emission Annual Report (Subpart H of 40 CFR 61) Calendar Year 1999 for the Laboratory for Energy-related Health Research, University of California, Davis, May, Rev. 0.

Section 7

- Weiss, 2001, Final Radionuclide Air Emission Annual Report (Subpart H of 40 CFR 61) Calendar Year 2000 for the Laboratory for Energy-related Health Research, University of California, Davis, May, Rev. 0.
- Weiss, 2002, Final Radionuclide Air Emission Annual Report (Subpart H of 40 CFR 61) Calendar Year 2001 for the Laboratory for Energy-related Health Research, University of California, Davis, May, Rev. 0.
- Weiss, 2003, Final Radionuclide Air Emission Annual Report (Subpart H of 40 CFR 61) Calendar Year 2002 for the Laboratory for Energy-related Health Research, University of California, Davis, April, Rev. 0.
- Weiss, 2004, Final Radionuclide Air Emission Annual Report (Subpart H of 40 CFR 61) Calendar Year 2003 for the Laboratory for Energy-related Health Research, University of California, Davis, April, Rev. 0.
- Weiss, 2005, Final Radionuclide Air Emission Annual Report (Subpart H of 40 CFR 61) Calendar Year 2004 for the Laboratory for Energy-related Health Research, University of California, Davis, June, Rev. 0.
- Weiss, 2006, Radionuclide Air Emission Annual Report Calendar Year 2005 for the Laboratory for Energy-related Health Research, University of California, Davis, June, Rev. 0.
- Weiss, 2007, Radionuclide Air Emission Annual Report Calendar Year 2006 for the Laboratory for Energy-related Health Research, University of California, Davis, June, Rev. 0.
- Weiss, 2008, Radionuclide Air Emission Annual Report Calendar Year 2007 for the Laboratory for Energy-related Health Research, University of California, Davis, June, Rev. 0.
- Western Regional Climate Center, 2009, http://www.wrcc.dri.edu/cgi-bin/cliMAIN.pl?ca2294, accessed May 18.

#### **TABLES**



Table 1. Dose Estimates to Maximally Exposed Members of the Public, Laboratory for Energy-related Health Research, 1999-2008

Calendar Year	Effective Dose Equivalent to Maximally Exposed Individual (millirem(s) per year)	Document Reference
1999	1.35E-3	Weiss, 2000
2000	7.52E-4	Weiss, 2001
2001	1.0E-3	Weiss, 2002
2002	3.8E-4	Weiss, 2003
2003	1.4E-3	Weiss, 2004
2004	1.6E-3	Weiss, 2005
2005	5.9E-4	Weiss, 2006
2006	5.8E-2	Weiss, 2007
2007	8.1E-2	Weiss, 2008
2008	5.1E-4	This document

Table 2.	Meteorological	Input Parameters	for CAP88-PC
----------	----------------	------------------	--------------

Parameter	Value	Notes
Wind file	SAC0320.WND	supplied with CAP88-PC
Annual precipitation (centimeters)	38.8	total precipitation in Davis, California in 2008 <sup>1</sup>
Temperature (degrees Celsius)	16.4	average temperature in Davis, California in 2008 <sup>1</sup>
Height of lid (meters)	1,000	CAP88-PC default

**Note**<sup>1</sup> Davis WSW weather station

Tables

Agricultural Input Parameters for CAP88-PC Table 3.

Parameter	Value	Notes
Source type	Rural	CAP88-PC default
Food production		
Vegetable		
Fraction home produced	0.7	CAP88-PC default
Fraction from assessment area	0.3	CAP88-PC default
Fraction imported	0	CAP88-PC default
Milk		
Fraction home produced	0.399	CAP88-PC default
Fraction from assessment area	0.601	CAP88-PC default
Fraction imported	0	CAP88-PC default
Beef		
Fraction home produced	0.442	CAP88-PC default
Fraction from assessment area	0.558	CAP88-PC default
Fraction imported	0	CAP88-PC default
Beef cattle density (number per square kilometer)	8.81E-02	CAP88-PC default for California
Milk cattle density (number per square kilometer)	2.85E-02	CAP88-PC default for California
Land fraction cultivated for vegetable crops	0.25	Site-specific parameter that was applied to
		CAP88-PC for the 1995 Radionuclide Air
		Emissions Annual Report (PNL, 1996)

#### Table 4. Source Input Parameters for CAP88-PC

Parameter	Value	Notes
Source type	Area	
Number of sources	1	Eastern Dog Pens are composed of one contiguous area
Height (meters)	0	The area is relatively flat
Area (square meters)	3,900	Calculated from scaled map (Figure 2)
Plume rise	Zero	Plume rise is zero for each Pasquill stability category

Table 5. Radionuclides Potentially Released from Soil to Air, Eastern Dog Pens Area

Radionuclide <sup>2</sup>	Highest Measured Activity Concentration (pCi/g)	Potential emission rate due to entrainment by wind, assuming highest measured activity concentration <sup>1</sup> (Ci/yr)	Size <sup>3</sup> (μm)	Class <sup>4</sup>
Bismuth-212	0.415	1.33E-09	1	Week
Bismuth-214	0.572	1.84E-09	1	Week
Cesium-137 <sup>5</sup>	0.191	6.14E-10	1	Day
Lead-214	0.607	1.95E-09	1	Day
Strontium-90	0.164	5.27E-10	1	Day
Thallium-208	0.219	7.04E-10	1	Day
Thorium-228	1.54	4.95E-09	1	Year
Thorium-230	1.26	4.05E-09	1	Year
Thorium-232 <sup>5</sup>	1.39	4.47E-09	1	Year
Thorium-234	0.89	2.86E-09	1	Year
Tritium	1.21	3.89E-09	0	Gas
Uranium-235	0.0383	1.23E-10	1	Year

#### Notes

#### **Abbreviations**

Ci/yr curies per year pCi/g picocuries per gram pm micrometer

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See discussion in text for calculations of emission rate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The radionuclides included on this list are those for which at least one detected result in soil from the Eastern Dog Pens was at an activity concentration greater than background.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>CAP88-PC default particle size.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>CAP88-PC default lung-retention class.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Did not include whole decay chain in CAP88-PC model because nuclides were measured directly.

Table 6. CAP88-PC Mode	el Run Specifications and Options
Run type	Specifications
Individual	Distances between source and receptors, in meters, are 94, 132, 143, 150,
	155, 165, 180, 187, 500, 1000
Population	Population file is 08LEHR.POP
Options	Value
Generate genetic effects?	Yes
Create Dose and Risk Factor file?	Yes
Create Concentration Table file?	Yes
Create Chi/Q Table file?	Yes

Table 7. Modeled Effective Dose Equivalents to Potentially Exposed Members of the Public

Receptor loc			
Description	UC Davis Building Number	Distance and direction from Eastern Dog Pens <sup>3</sup>	Effective dose equivalent <sup>2</sup> (mrem/yr)
Geriatrics Buildings Nos. 1 and 2	H-292 and H-293	94 m N	5.1E-04
Specimen Storage Building	H-216	132 m WNW	2.6E-04
Inter-Regional Project No. 4 Building	H-217	143 m WNW	2.2E-04
Clinical Pathology	H-215	150 m WNW	2.0E-04
Cellular Biology Laboratory	H-294	155 m NNW	2.2E-04
Animal Hospital Buildings Nos. 1 and 2	H-219 and H-218	165 m NW	1.7E-04
UC Davis Building E of LEHR Site	N/A	180 m E	3.1E-05
Main Office	H-213	187 m NW	1.3E-04
Off-Site Receptor W of LEHR Site	N/A	500 m W	1.5E-05
Off-Site Receptor S of Putah Creek	N/A	1,000 m S	3.1E-06

#### Notes

#### **Abbreviations**

o degrees E east

LEHR Laboratory for Energy-related Health Research

NW northwest

mrem/yr millirem(s) per year

N north
N/A not applicable
NNW north by northwest

 $\begin{array}{ll} m & meters \\ No(s). & number(s) \\ S & south \end{array}$ 

UC Davis University of California, Davis

W west

WNW west by northwest

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The list of receptor locations is in order of distance from the source.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The effective dose equivalent to the potentially exposed member of the public is taken as the maximum modeled dose within a 45° sector in the direction and at the distance indicated. For example, the dose 94 m north of the Eastern Dog Pens area would be the maximum modeled dose within the sector bounded by 94 m NNE and 94 m NNW. See Appendix A for the modeled results for each distance and direction. Value in **bold face** is the modeled dose to the maximally exposed individual.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The distance from an area source to a receptor is defined by CAP88-PC as the distance from the centroid of the area source to the receptor (US EPA, 1992). For the CAP88-PC modeling at the Site, the distance from an area diffuse source to a receptor is measured as the approximate distance from the centroid of the diffuse source to the centroid of the building assumed to house the receptor.



# **FIGURES**






Figure 1. LEHR Location Map, University of California, Davis

Weiss Associates




Figure 2. DOE Potential Diffuse-Source Area and Location of Maximally Exposed Individual

L:\LEHR\4110\130-4110-003a.ai

# **APPENDIX A**

**CAP88-PC OUTPUT RESULTS** 



A. SUM

C A P 8 8 - P C

Versi on 1.00

Clean Air Act Assessment Package - 1988

#### DOSE AND RISK EQUIVALENT SUMMARIES

Non-Radon Individual Assessment May 17, 2009 2:33 pm

Facility: LEHR

Address:

Ci ty: Davi s

State: CA Zi p:

Source Category: Source Type: Emission Year: area Area

2008

Comments: wind-entrained dust, individual run

Dataset Name: EDPi nd

May 17, 2009 2:33 p WNDFILES\SAC0320.WND Dataset Date: 2:33 pm Wind File:

May 17, 2009 2:33 pm **SUMMARY** Page 1

## ORGAN DOSE EQUIVALENT SUMMARY

0rgan	Selected Individual (mrem/y)
GONADS BREAST R MAR LUNGS THYROI D ENDOST RMNDR	1. 73E-06 1. 78E-06 3. 02E-04 3. 03E-03 1. 73E-06 3. 74E-03 5. 02E-06

EFFEC

A. SUM 5. 14E-04

# PATHWAY EFFECTIVE DOSE EQUIVALENT SUMMARY

Pathway	Selected Individual (mrem/y)
I NGESTION I NHALATION AIR I MMERSION GROUND SURFACE I NTERNAL EXTERNAL	4. 17E-06 5. 10E-04 4. 74E-10 5. 60E-08 5. 14E-04 5. 65E-08
TOTAL	5. 14F-04

May 17, 2009 2:33 pm

SUMMARY Page 2

# NUCLIDE EFFECTIVE DOSE EQUIVALENT SUMMARY

Nucl i de	Sel ected Indi vi dual (mrem/y)
BI -212 BI -214 CS-137 PB-214 SR-90 TL-208 TH-228 TH-230 TH-232 TH-234 H-3 U-235	5. 92E-09 3. 34E-10 7. 07E-08 2. 77E-10 2. 01E-07 1. 81E-10 1. 65E-04 1. 34E-04 2. 13E-04 2. 73E-08 3. 38E-10 2. 10E-06
TOTAL	5. 14E-04

May 17, 2009 2:33 pm

SUMMARY Page 3

## CANCER RISK SUMMARY

	Selected Individual Total Lifetime		
Cancer	Fatal Cancer Risk		
LEUKEMI A	2. 63E-10		
BONE	1. 72E-10		
	Page 2		

THYROI D BREAST LUNG STOMACH BOWEL LI VER PANCREAS URI NARY OTHER	A. SUM	3. 16E-13 2. 77E-12 5. 18E-09 2. 24E-12 3. 16E-12 1. 14E-11 1. 56E-12 1. 28E-12 1. 91E-12
TOTAL		5. 64E-09

# PATHWAY RISK SUMMARY

Pathway	Selected Individual Total Lifetime Fatal Cancer Risk
INGESTION INHALATION AIR IMMERSION GROUND SURFACE INTERNAL EXTERNAL	1. 86E-11 5. 62E-09 1. 15E-14 1. 28E-12 5. 64E-09 1. 30E-12
TOTAL	5. 64E-09

May 17, 2009 2:33 pm

SUMMARY Page 4

# NUCLIDE RISK SUMMARY

Nucl i de	Selected Individual Total Lifetime Fatal Cancer Risk
BI -212 BI -214 CS-137 PB-214 SR-90 TL-208 TH-228 TH-230 TH-232 TH-232 TH-234 H-3 U-235	7. 62E-14 3. 87E-14 1. 85E-12 4. 74E-14 3. 39E-12 4. 42E-15 3. 31E-09 1. 10E-09 1. 20E-09 9. 34E-13 9. 15E-15 2. 77E-11
TOTAL	5. 64E-09

May 17, 2009 2:33 pm

SUMMARY Page 5

A. SUM (AII Radionuclides and Pathways)

				s and rat			
			Di st	ance (m)			
Direction	94	132	143	150	155	165	180
N NNW NW WNW WSW SSW SSE SE ESE ENE NNE	5. 1E-04 4. 8E-04 4. 7E-04 3. 9E-04 2. 6E-04 1. 4E-04 8. 2E-05 1. 0E-04 1. 7E-04 1. 7E-04 1. 1E-04 1. 5E-04 2. 8E-04 4. 0E-04	2. 9E-04 2. 3E-04 2. 6E-04 2. 0E-04 1. 4E-05 4. 0E-05 4. 4E-05 1. 0E-04 1. 3E-04 8. 4E-05 5. 0E-05 5. 2E-05 6. 5E-05 1. 9E-04	2. 5E-04 2. 0E-04 2. 2E-04 1. 7E-04 1. 2E-05 3. 3E-05 3. 6E-05 8. 8E-05 1. 2E-04 7. 1E-05 4. 2E-05 4. 5E-05 5. 3E-05 1. 3E-04 1. 6E-04	2. 4E-04 1. 8E-04 2. 0E-04 1. 6E-04 1. 1E-04 4. 6E-05 3. 0E-05 3. 2E-05 8. 1E-05 1. 1E-04 6. 4E-05 3. 7E-05 4. 1E-05 4. 7E-05 1. 2E-04 1. 5E-04	2. 2E-04 1. 6E-04 1. 9E-04 1. 5E-04 1. 0E-04 4. 2E-05 2. 8E-05 7. 6E-05 1. 0E-04 6. 0E-05 3. 4E-05 3. 8E-05 4. 3E-05 1. 2E-04 1. 4E-04	2. 0E-04 1. 4E-04 1. 7E-04 1. 3E-04 9. 0E-05 3. 7E-05 2. 4E-05 2. 5E-05 6. 8E-05 9. 2E-05 5. 3E-05 3. 0E-05 3. 7E-05 1. 0E-04 1. 2E-04	1. 7E-04 1. 2E-04 1. 4E-04 1. 1E-05 3. 1E-05 2. 1E-05 5. 7E-05 7. 7E-05 4. 4E-05 2. 5E-05 3. 1E-05 8. 6E-05 1. 0E-04
			Di st	ance (m)			
Direction	187	500	1000				
N NNW NW WNW WSW SSW SSE SE ESE ENE NNE	1. 6E-04 1. 1E-04 1. 3E-04 1. 0E-04 7. 0E-05 2. 9E-05 1. 9E-05 5. 3E-05 7. 2E-05 4. 1E-05 2. 4E-05 2. 7E-05 8. 0E-05 9. 5E-05	2. 4E-05 1. 7E-05 2. 0E-05 1. 5E-05 1. 0E-05 4. 4E-06 3. 0E-06 3. 1E-06 8. 1E-06 1. 1E-05 6. 4E-06 3. 7E-06 4. 1E-06 4. 5E-06 1. 2E-05 1. 5E-05	6. 4E-06 4. 6E-06 5. 4E-06 4. 1E-06 2. 9E-06 1. 3E-06 1. 0E-06 2. 3E-06 3. 1E-06 1. 9E-06 1. 2E-06 1. 3E-06 1. 4E-06 3. 4E-06 4. 1E-06				
May 17, 2009	2: 33 p						SUMMAR Page
				IE RISK (d es and Pat			

(All Radionuclides and Pathways)

Distance (m)

A. SUM

Direction	94	132	143	150	155	165	180
N NNW NW WNW WSW SSW SSE SE ESE ENE NE	5. 6E-09 5. 3E-09 5. 2E-09 4. 3E-09 2. 9E-09 1. 5E-09 9. 0E-10 1. 1E-09 2. 1E-09 1. 8E-09 1. 2E-09 1. 1E-09 1. 6E-09 3. 0E-09 4. 4E-09	3. 2E-09 2. 6E-09 2. 8E-09 2. 2E-09 1. 5E-09 6. 9E-10 4. 3E-10 1. 1E-09 1. 5E-09 9. 2E-10 5. 5E-10 7. 1E-10 1. 7E-09 2. 1E-09	2. 8E-09 2. 1E-09 2. 4E-09 1. 9E-09 1. 3E-09 5. 7E-10 3. 6E-10 9. 7E-10 1. 3E-09 7. 8E-10 4. 5E-10 4. 9E-10 5. 8E-10 1. 4E-09 1. 8E-09	2. 6E-09 1. 9E-09 2. 2E-09 1. 7E-09 1. 2E-09 5. 0E-10 3. 3E-10 3. 5E-10 8. 9E-10 1. 2E-09 7. 0E-10 4. 1E-10 4. 5E-10 5. 1E-10 1. 3E-09 1. 6E-09	2. 4E-09 1. 8E-09 2. 1E-09 1. 6E-09 1. 1E-09 4. 6E-10 3. 0E-10 3. 2E-10 8. 4E-10 1. 1E-09 6. 6E-10 3. 8E-10 4. 2E-10 4. 7E-10 1. 3E-09 1. 5E-09	2. 2E-09 1. 6E-09 1. 9E-09 1. 4E-09 9. 8E-10 4. 0E-10 2. 7E-10 7. 4E-10 1. 0E-09 5. 8E-10 3. 3E-10 4. 0E-10 1. 1E-09 1. 3E-09	1. 8E-09 1. 3E-09 1. 6E-09 1. 2E-09 8. 2E-10 3. 4E-10 2. 2E-10 2. 3E-10 6. 3E-10 4. 9E-10 2. 8E-10 3. 1E-10 3. 4E-10 9. 5E-10 1. 1E-09
			Di st	ance (m)			
Di recti on	187	500	1000				
N NNW NW WNW WSW SSW SSE SE ESE ENE NNE	1. 7E-09 1. 2E-09 1. 5E-09 1. 1E-09 7. 6E-10 3. 1E-10 2. 1E-10 5. 8E-10 7. 9E-10 4. 5E-10 2. 6E-10 2. 9E-10 3. 2E-10 8. 8E-10 1. 0E-09	2. 6E-10 1. 8E-10 2. 2E-10 1. 6E-10 1. 1E-10 4. 6E-11 3. 1E-11 3. 2E-11 8. 7E-11 1. 2E-10 6. 8E-11 4. 3E-11 4. 3E-11 1. 3E-10 1. 6E-10	6. 8E-11 4. 9E-11 5. 8E-11 4. 3E-11 3. 0E-11 1. 3E-12 9. 3E-12 2. 4E-11 3. 2E-11 1. 9E-11 1. 1E-11 1. 2E-11 1. 4E-11 3. 6E-11 4. 3E-11				



### C A P 8 8 - P C

### Version 1.00

Clean Air Act Assessment Package - 1988

#### SYNOPSIS REPORT

Non-Radon Population Assessment May 16, 2009 5:03 pm

Facility: LEHR

Address:

Ci ty: Davi s

State: CA Zi p:

> Effective Dose Equivalent (mrem/year)

> > 4.14E-07

At This Location: 5000 Meters North

Source Category: area Source Type: Emission Year: Area 2008

Comments: wind-entrained dust, population run

Dataset Name:

EDPpop May 16, 2009 5:00 p WNDFI LES\SAC0320. WND Dataset Date: 5:00 pm Wind File: POPFI LES\08LEHR. POP Population File:

May 16, 2009 5:03 pm SYNOPSI S Page 1

# MAXIMALLY EXPOSED INDIVIDUAL

Location Of The Individual: 5000 Meters North Lifetime Fatal Cancer Risk: 4.54E-12

Page 1

B. SYN

# ORGAN DOSE EQUIVALENT SUMMARY

Organ	Selected Individual (mrem/y)	Collective Population (person-rem/y)
GONADS BREAST R MAR LUNGS THYROI D ENDOST RMNDR	1. 37E-09 1. 42E-09 2. 43E-07 2. 43E-06 1. 37E-09 3. 02E-06 4. 06E-09	7. 56E-08 7. 86E-08 1. 28E-05 1. 26E-04 7. 57E-08 1. 59E-04 2. 51E-07
EFFEC	4. 14E-07	2. 15E-05

# FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF LIFETIME FATAL CANCER RISKS

Risk Range	Number of People	Number of People In This Risk Range Or Higher	Deaths/Year In This Risk Range	Deaths/Year In This Risk Range Or Higher
1. 0E+00 TO 1. 0E-01 1. 0E-01 TO 1. 0E-02 1. 0E-02 TO 1. 0E-03 1. 0E-03 TO 1. 0E-04 1. 0E-04 TO 1. 0E-05 1. 0E-05 TO 1. 0E-06 LESS THAN 1. 0E-06	0 0 0 0 0 0 3651131	0 0 0 0 0 0 3651131	0. 00E+00 0. 00E+00 0. 00E+00 0. 00E+00 0. 00E+00 0. 00E+00 3. 32E-09	0. 00E+00 0. 00E+00 0. 00E+00 0. 00E+00 0. 00E+00 0. 00E+00 3. 32E-09
May 16, 2009 5:03	bw			SYNOPSIS Page 2

# RADIONUCLIDE EMISSIONS DURING THE YEAR 2008

Nucl i de	CI ass	Si ze	Source #1 Ci /y	TOTAL Ci /y	
BI -212	W	1. 00	1. 3E-09	1. 3E-09	
BI -214	W	1. 00	1. 8E-09	1. 8E-09	
CS-137	Ď	1. 00	6. 1E-10	6. 1E-10	
PB-214	D	1.00	1. 9E-09	1. 9E-09	
SR-90	D	1.00	5. 3E-10	5. 3E-10	
TL-208	D	1.00	7. 0E-10	7. 0E-10	
TH-228	Υ	1.00	4. 9E-09	4. 9E-09	
TH-230	Υ	1.00	4. 0E-09	4. 0E-09	
TH-232	Υ	1.00	4. 5E-09	4. 5E-09	
TH-234	Υ	1.00	2. 9E-09	2. 9E-09	
H-3	*	0.00	3. 9E-09	3. 9E-09	
U-235	Υ	1. 00	1. 2E-10	1. 2E-10	

## B. SYN

## SITE INFORMATION

Temperature: Precipitation: Mixing Height: 17 degrees C 39 cm/y 1000 m

May 16, 2009 5:03 pm **SYNOPSIS** Page 3

## SOURCE INFORMATION

Source Number: 1

Source Height (m): Area (sq m): 0.00

3. 90E+03

Plume Rise Pasquill Cat: В С Α D Ε F G Zero: 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00

## AGRI CULTURAL DATA

	Vegetabl e	Milk	Meat
Fraction Home Produced: Fraction From Assessment Area: Fraction Imported:	0. 700 0. 300 0. 000	0. 399 0. 601 0. 000	0. 442 0. 558 0. 000

Beef Cattle Density: Milk Cattle Density: Land Fraction Cultivated for Vegetable Crops: 8. 81E-02 2. 85E-02

2.50E-01

5:03 pm May 16, 2009

SYNOPSI S Page 4

### POPULATION DATA

	Distance (m)						
Di recti on	5000	15000	25000	35000	45000	55000	65000
N NNW NW WNW W	1494 1488 1524 1697 3601 3629	4387 4381 4381 4393 8859 10887	7309 7301 7300 7299 10951 18138 Pag	9425 10221 10220 9936 9778 13697 e 3	10136 11313 13148 12023 11525 12563	10159 2560 14406 14107 14079 14079	14389 1952 9298 11515 18981 26328

SW SSW SSE SE ESE ENE NE NNE	3629 3629 3633 3627 2806 2176 2068 1889 1638 1512	10887 10887 10900 7296 4381 4967 8389 4413 4436 4381	B. S 18140 18142 18167 16867 26430 40985 51469 46914 50480 13582	23660 25399 25612 53841 71665 74102 74100 74102 37142 8116	31927 31075 49095 67904 60763 95347 95351 83633 15850 10574	29114 49271 112894 57317 41225 111363 116484 71307 18954 12434	42450 126251 135061 60317 46139 73411 43363 12625 22394 12928
			Di sta	nce (m)			
Di recti on	75000						
N NNW NW WNW WSW SSW SSE SE ESE ENE NE NNE	16809 2608 3833 7583 29490 33497 55465 155625 155844 63196 53234 22071 8898 11428 20547 12866						