

This document has been designed for online viewing.

Contents

Abbr	eviatio	ns		ii						
1.0	Introduction									
	1.1 Quarterly Site Status									
2.0	MVP		·	2						
3.0										
	3.1	OU I		3						
		3.1.1	Repository and Pond 4	3						
		3.1.2	TSF							
		3.1.3	Mill Site	4						
	3.2	OU II								
	3.3	OU III		5						
		3.3.1	Groundwater Restricted Area (ICs)							
		3.3.2	OU III Groundwater Contingency Remedy Optimization System							
			3.3.2.1 GRO System Quarterly Performance Summary							
		3.3.3	OU III Closure Strategy							
4.0	Sched	lule of Ac	tivities and Deliverables							
5.0	Refer	ences		8						

Tables

Table 1. GRO System Treatment: Monthly Volumes and Rates for This Quarter and	
Cumulative Volumes Since January 2015	. 7
Table 2. Uranium Mass Removal from Groundwater in the AOA	. 7
Table 3. Monticello Sites Recent and Near-Term Activities and Deliverables	. 8

Appendixes

Appendix A	Monthly and Quarterly Surveillance Checklists
------------	---

Appendix B Graphs Showing Performance History for Disposal Cell and Pond 4 LCRS and LDS

Abbreviations

3D	three-dimensional
AOA	Area of Attainment
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
FFA	Federal Facility Agreement
gpad	gallons per acre per day
gpm	gallons per minute
GRO	Groundwater Remedy Optimization
IC	institutional control
LCRS	Leachate Collection and Removal System
LDS	Leak Detection System
LM	Office of Legacy Management
LMS	Legacy Management Support
LTS&M	long-term surveillance and maintenance
MMTS	Monticello Mill Tailings Site
MNA	monitored natural attenuation
MVP	Monticello Vicinity Properties
OU	Operable Unit
PRB	permeable reactive barrier
QAPP	Quality Assurance Project Plan
TSF	Temporary Storage Facility
UDEQ	Utah Department of Environmental Quality
UDOT	Utah Department of Transportation
ZVI	zero-valent iron

1.0 Introduction

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Legacy Management (LM) submits this quarterly report to inform the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Utah Department of Environmental Quality (UDEQ) of the status of the Monticello Vicinity Properties (MVP) and the Monticello Mill Tailings Site (MMTS), collectively called the LM Monticello, Utah, Disposal and Processing Sites, for April 1 through June 30, 2022. The MVP and MMTS are regulated under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), as described in Title 42 *United States Code* Section 9601 et seq. (42 USC 9601 et seq.). Quarterly reports are submitted to EPA and UDEQ in February (for October through December), May (for January through March), August (for April through June), and November (for July through September).

LM assesses MVP and MMTS conditions and remedy protectiveness through (1) monthly, quarterly, and annual inspections of site infrastructure and operations as specified under the *Long-Term Surveillance and Maintenance Plan for Monticello NPL Sites* (DOE 2018a), also called the Long-Term Surveillance and Maintenance (LTS&M) Plan; (2) semiannual monitoring of groundwater and surface water under the *Record of Decision for the Monticello Mill Tailings* (USDOE) Site Operable Unit III, Surface Water and Ground Water, Monticello, Utah (DOE 2004); and (3) CERCLA Five-Year Reviews.

The primary LTS&M activities at the MVP and MMTS are conducted to (1) provide radiological control at properties where residual soil contamination from mill tailings remains in place (supplemental standards properties), (2) operate and maintain the mill tailings repository, (3) ensure that institutional controls (ICs) restricting the use of land and water remain effective, (4) monitor water quality restoration progress, and (5) operate the Operable Unit (OU) III pump-and-treat groundwater contingency remedy optimization system. This system, implemented in January 2015, focuses on groundwater remediation within a specified region of the alluvial aquifer called the Area of Attainment (AOA).

Annual groundwater reports present comprehensive data evaluation for the groundwater and surface water OU III remedy. LM has utilized the data presented in the most recent annual groundwater report to update the conceptual site model and develop a three-dimensional (3D) numerical fate and transport model to assess remedial time frames.

Project milestones and guiding documents are further described in the *Monticello Site Management Plan* (DOE 2003). Section 5.0 of that document is updated annually.

1.1 Quarterly Site Status

In summary, the activities and observations for this quarter consist of the following:

- The Groundwater Remedy Optimization (GRO) system operated as planned during this quarter (maintenance was performed on the system that necessitated shutting the system down for brief periods during the reporting period) and pumped approximately 590,000 gallons of water from the AOA.
- Comments from EPA and UDEQ on the *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Monticello, Utah, Disposal and Processing Sites* (LM-Plan-3-21-1.0, LMS/MNT/S27252), also called the QAPP, were addressed during this quarter.

- The previous period's Federal Facility Agreement (FFA) quarterly report was sent to EPA and UDEQ in May 2022.
- LM submitted the draft CERCLA Five-Year Review reports to EPA and UDEQ for review in January 2022. LM received comments from EPA and UDEQ in March 2022 and continued drafting responses during this quarter.
- Weekly site inspections were performed by site personnel to verify the integrity of the site's systems and monitor activities that might occur in supplemental standards properties (e.g., city of Monticello streets and utility corridors).
- Site personnel performed monthly and quarterly site inspections in accordance with the LTS&M Plan.
- Routine surveillance did not note any anomalous conditions for the MVP remedy.
- Routine surveillance did not note any violations of MMTS ICs that restrict land and groundwater use.
- Routine surveillance did not note any anomalous conditions for the surface features of the disposal cell and Pond 4, the engineered solar evaporation pond.
- Routine surveillance noted no operational deficiencies for the Temporary Storage Facility (TSF).
- A global navigation satellite system base station was installed on the cell cover at the lysimeter to provide data in support of a soil moisture study being conducted by the Applied Studies and Technology group.

2.0 MVP

LTS&M for the MVP consists of providing radiological control at excavations in Monticello roadway and utility corridors, in Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT) rights-of-way within city limits, and at property MS-00176-VL (privately owned supplemental standards property).

Surveillance results for this quarter are as follows:

- No anomalous conditions for the MVP remedy were noted.
- LM representatives continued to coordinate with city and UDOT officials via telecommunications regarding construction and excavation activities by the city, UDOT, and utility companies in roadway and utility corridors. LM follows the normal LTS&M protocol to provide radiological control in the affected roadways.
- Three excavations in the city streets were monitored this quarter. Scans at two of the excavations did not reveal any radioactive contamination. At the third, a small amount of surface soils exposed by Monticello city workers excavating a utility line were scanned and had a radium-226 value greater than 5 picocuries per gram above background. These soils (less than 2 cubic feet) were transported by site personnel to the TSF.

- Neither excessive erosion nor unauthorized excavations were observed at the U.S. Highway 191 embankment at Montezuma Creek (supplemental standards property).
- A surveillance of property MS-00176-VL identified no excessive erosion of supplemental standards material or violation of the land-use restriction on building construction.

3.0 MMTS

LTS&M activities for the MMTS consist of (1) maintaining the onsite repository and operating the associated Leachate Collection and Removal System (LCRS) and Leak Detection System (LDS) for the disposal cell and Pond 4, (2) surveillance of properties affected by groundwater- and land-use ICs on the former Monticello mill (mill site) and peripheral properties, and (3) operation and maintenance of the OU III groundwater remediation system.

3.1 OU I

OU I consists of the properties that contain the mill site and repository. Radioactively contaminated materials were removed from the MVP, the mill site, and peripheral properties (OU II) and encapsulated at the repository as a remedial action completed in 1999. LM owns and manages the repository; the city owns the former mill site and manages it as a public park.

3.1.1 Repository and Pond 4

Monthly, quarterly, and annual inspections of the repository ensure that remedy controls remain intact and the waste remains isolated from the environment.

Inspection observations and maintenance activities for the quarter consist of the following:

- No area of the repository cover showed settling, slumping, fracturing, seepage, ponding, or significant erosion.
- No anomalous surface feature conditions were observed at the disposal cell or Pond 4. Surveillance checklists for this quarter are attached as Appendix A. No further minor burrowing by voles and small ground squirrels was observed this quarter on the disposal cell and Pond 4 berm.
- The disposal cell LCRS and LDS were operated in accordance with the requirements specified in the LTS&M Plan. Findings for the disposal cell LCRS and LDS this quarter include the following:
 - Leachate production from the disposal cell was approximately 510 gallons per week combined for sumps LCRS 1 and LCRS 2. There is no action level for the disposal cell LCRS. See Appendix B for a graphical depiction of leachate production history.
 - The disposal cell LDS continues to receive no water; therefore, the disposal cell LDS action level was not exceeded. See Appendix B for a graphical depiction of leachate production history.
- Operation of the OU III GRO system resulted in increased water collection in the Pond 4 LCRS. Pond 4 LCRS and LDS action levels, approved by EPA and UDEQ, were formally developed in the *Repository and Pond 4 Groundwater Contingency Plan-Final* (DOE 1998)

and are also found in Section D5.0 of the LTS&M Plan. The leakage rate action level established for the Pond 4 LCRS is 851 gallons per acre per day (gpad) (2000 gallons per day), and the leakage rate action level for the LDS is 20 gpad (47 gallons per day), which is averaged over a 7-day period. These leakage rates are based on the area of the floor of Pond 4, which is 2.35 acres. Currently, the LCRS and LDS monitoring and pumping systems are functioning as designed to recirculate water back into Pond 4.

- Findings for the Pond 4 LCRS and LDS this period are as follows:
 - Water collection at the Pond 4 LCRS continued but did not exceed the action level this quarter (see Appendix B).
 - Water collection in the Pond 4 LDS remained below the action level (see Appendix B).

3.1.2 TSF

Routine surveillance of the TSF ensures that maintenance and radiological controls that govern access to and placement, storage, and transfer of contaminated material in the TSF are current and effective. Surveillance this quarter (see surveillance checklists in Appendix A) revealed that:

• The TSF cover, fencing, radiological controls, and signs have been maintained in accordance with the LTS&M Plan, and the TSF has been inspected and verified as ready to receive contaminated materials.

LM is required to initiate the transfer of TSF materials for permanent disposal at the Grand Junction, Colorado, Disposal Site when the contents reach a volume of approximately 75 cubic yards. In summary, recent TSF activity consists of the following:

• Approximately 2 cubic feet of soil excavated from a city street is stored in the TSF

3.1.3 Mill Site

LM conducts surveillance of the mill site (properties MP-00181-VL and MS-00893-VL) to ensure compliance with ICs implemented to preserve the OU I remedy for soil and groundwater. ICs applicable to the mill site include prohibitions on installing domestic-use wells in the alluvial aquifer, using the property for residential purposes, constructing habitable structures, and overnight camping, as well as preserving the properties for day use as a public park.

Surveillance results for this quarter revealed:

• No nonconformance with water- and land-use restrictions.

3.2 OU II

OU II consists of private and city-owned properties peripheral to the mill site. LM conducts surveillance of OU II properties to verify compliance with ICs implemented to preserve the OU II remedy for soil and groundwater.

Surveillance results for this quarter are summarized below for the different components of OU II.

- Montezuma Creek Restrictive Easement Area (supplemental standards properties, both city-owned and privately owned): No evidence of nonconformance with land-use restrictions (prohibitions on soil removal and construction of habitable structures in supplemental standards properties) was observed.
- **Groundwater-use restrictions:** These were applied to several OU II properties under the 2004 covenant by which DOE transferred selected properties to the city. No evidence of nonconformance with these restrictions (e.g., prohibition on installing domestic-use wells in the alluvial aquifer) was observed.
- **Property MP-00211-VL (city-owned):** No evidence of nonconformance with the land-use restriction on building construction was observed.
- **Pinyon-juniper supplemental standards properties (city-owned):** No evidence of nonconformance with land- and groundwater-use restrictions was observed.
- Excessive erosion: No storm events resulted in more than 2.8 inches of precipitation in 24 hours, which would require surveillance of supplemental standards cleanup properties for excessive erosion.

3.3 OU III

OU III consists of groundwater and surface water contamination resulting from operation of the mill site. Routine monitoring of OU III (water quality and water level) is normally performed semiannually in April and October; the next semiannual sampling event is scheduled for October 2022.

The contaminated groundwater is within the alluvial aquifer beneath the valley of Montezuma Creek; some sections of Montezuma Creek are contaminated by the discharge of contaminated groundwater. A portion of the aquifer is subject to ICs restricting use. Montezuma Creek is used for limited irrigation and livestock watering. There are no ICs restricting surface water use.

The current groundwater remedy includes (1) monitored natural attenuation (MNA) with ICs and (2) pump-and-treat remediation by evaporation that was implemented as the GRO system in January 2015. Operation and performance of the groundwater remedy are reported annually. Previous remediation efforts have included (1) treatment by a zero-valent iron (ZVI) in situ permeable reactive barrier (PRB) and (2) pump-and-treat remediation that used ex situ ZVI treatment. The ex situ ZVI treatment system was deactivated in December 2014 and replaced by the GRO system, which is described in greater detail in Section 3.3.2. The PRB remains a component of the GRO system as a groundwater flow barrier.

3.3.1 Groundwater Restricted Area (ICs)

During spring and fall, LM conducts surveillance of properties where groundwater contamination is present to ensure compliance with the groundwater-use restriction (i.e., no installation of domestic-use wells in the alluvial aquifer). The affected OU III properties constitute the Monticello Groundwater Restricted Area as defined and administered by the State of Utah Division of Water Rights. Surveillance found:

• No evidence of nonconformance with the groundwater-use restriction since its implementation in May 1999.

3.3.2 OU III Groundwater Contingency Remedy Optimization System

The GRO system includes eight vertical extraction wells strategically placed in the AOA to extract contaminated groundwater and an associated monitoring system. The water from the extraction wells is transmitted in buried pipelines to an aboveground holding tank in the groundwater transfer building; from there it is pumped through a buried water transmission line for about 1 mile to Pond 4 for evaporation.

The associated monitoring system consists of 22 wells installed in the AOA. Sixteen of the 22 wells were installed south of Montezuma Creek in 2014, and 6 wells were installed north of Montezuma Creek in 2017. These 22 monitoring wells are sampled recurrently following the extraction of approximately 1,000,000 gallons of water from the GRO system as stated in Section 1.5 of the *Remedial Action Completion Report for Operable Unit III Groundwater Contingency Remedy Optimization System, Monticello Mill Tailings Site, Monticello, Utah* (DOE 2016).

3.3.2.1 GRO System Quarterly Performance Summary

The GRO system performance for the quarter is summarized below.

- Groundwater extraction during the quarter was approximately 590,000 gallons, equivalent to an average flow rate of 4.50 gallons per minute (gpm). Assuming the concentration of extracted water throughout the quarter was equal to the uranium concentration of the tank effluent on March 2, 2022 (the date of the most recent sample collected), 2.5 pounds of uranium were removed during this quarter.
- During the quarter, the volume of water stored in Pond 4 decreased by approximately 850,000 gallons. The GRO system operates by balancing the extraction rate and the Pond 4 evaporation rate while maintaining the Pond 4 storage volume between 5,000,000 and 8,000,000 gallons (the maximum storage volume of Pond 4 is approximately 15,600,000 gallons).
- Water-level monitoring during the quarter consisted of:
 - Continuous water-level monitoring in AOA extraction and monitoring wells using pressure transducers and dataloggers (programmed to record at 5-minute intervals) connected to the LM System Operation and Analysis at Remote Sites (SOARS) system.

- Cumulatively, the system has removed 27,800,000 gallons of contaminated groundwater from the aquifer since system startup in January 2015 (Table 1). Assuming a minimum AOA uranium plume pore volume of 2,400,000 gallons and a maximum pore volume of 3,300,000 gallons, the GRO system has removed between 8.4 and 11.6 pore volumes since system startup.
- From January 2015 through March 2, 2022, the GRO system removed approximately 138 pounds of uranium from the AOA aquifer (Table 2). Estimates of cumulative uranium mass removed are updated only at sampling events.

Calendar Month	Approximate Volume Pumped (Millions of Gallons)	Effective Pumping Rate (gpm)	Approximate Cumulative Volume ^a (Millions of Gallons)		
April 2022	0.28	6.55	27.5		
May 2022	0.22	4.90	27.7		
June 2022	0.09	2.04	27.8		

 Table 1. GRO System Treatment: Monthly Volumes and Rates for This Quarter and

 Cumulative Volumes Since January 2015

Note:

^a Cumulative volume is based on the volume of groundwater extracted by the GRO system since system startup in January 2015.

Tank Effluent Sample Date ^a	Effluent Tank Uranium Concentration (μg/L)	Volume Removed Between Tank Samples (Millions of Gallons)	Uranium Removed (Pounds) ^ь	Cumulative Mass of Uranium Removed ^c (Pounds)
November 9, 2021	577	2.19	9.8	133
March 2, 2022	510	1.03	4.7	138

Notes:

^a Sampling occurs following the extraction of approximately 1,000,000 gallons.

^b Uranium removed since last sampling event. Estimate is based on the median concentration between sampling dates.

^c Since GRO system startup in January 2015. Estimates of cumulative mass removed are updated every sampling event.

Abbreviation:

µg/L = micrograms per liter

Monitoring and reporting guidelines for the GRO system are described in the *Final Groundwater Contingency Remedy Optimization Remedial Design/Remedial Action Work Plan for the Monticello Mill Tailings Site Operable Unit III, Monticello, Utah* (DOE 2014). Evaluation of water quality trends and whether remediation goals are being met, in the AOA and sitewide, is beyond the scope of this FFA quarterly report but is provided in annual groundwater reports submitted to EPA and UDEQ.

3.3.3 OU III Closure Strategy

Several scenarios are being evaluated to develop a closure strategy for OU III, and these are detailed in the *OU III Closure Strategy for the Monticello Mill Tailings Site, Monticello, Utah* (DOE 2018b). These scenarios include MNA and ICs, with remedy transition, decommissioning, and long-term monitoring (Scenario 1); GRO system termination based on asymptotic trends before transitioning to MNA and ICs (Scenario 2); and evaluation of alternative technologies and a technical impracticability waiver (Scenario 3). Efforts to determine the best possible closure strategy include hydrogeologic and geochemical characterization along with 3D numerical fate and transport modeling to forecast remedial time frames.

With regard to the OU III closure strategy, LM continued review of a draft Feasibility Study for OU III during this quarter.

4.0 Schedule of Activities and Deliverables

Table 3 summarizes the completion dates of recently completed and near-term planned activities and deliverables for the Monticello National Priorities List sites.

Activity or Deliverable	Schedule					
Recent						
Revising QAPP (LM-Plan-3-21-1.0, LMS/MNT/S27252)	Comments received from EPA March 15, 2022					
Monticello, Utah, National Priorities List (NPL) Sites Federal Facility Agreement (FFA) Quarterly Report: October 1–December 31,2021 (DOE 2022b)	Submitted to EPA and UDEQ February 2022					
Monticello, Utah, National Priorities List (NPL) Sites Federal Facility Agreement (FFA) Quarterly Report: October 1–December 31, 2021 (DOE 2022b)	Submitted to LM January 24, 2022					
Sixth CERCLA Five-Year Reviews for the MVP and MMTS	Submitted to EPA and UDEQ January 26,2022					
Draft Feasibility Study Operable Unit III, Monticello Mill Tailings Site, Monticello, Utah	Submitted to LM March 12, 2022					
N	ear-Term					
Monticello, Utah, National Priorities List (NPL) Sites Federal Facility Agreement (FFA) Quarterly Report January 1–March 31, 2022 (DOE 2022a)	Started April 2022					

Table 3. Monticello Sites Recent and Near-Term Activities and Deliverables

5.0 References

42 USC 9601 et seq. "Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act" as amended, *United States Code*.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 1998. *Repository and Pond 4 Groundwater Contingency Plan-Final*, MAC-MRAP 3.5.8, February.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2003. *Monticello Site Management Plan*, GJO-2003-493-TAC, Section 5.0 (this section is continually updated), Grand Junction Office, Grand Junction, Colorado, October.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2004. *Record of Decision for the Monticello Mill Tailings (USDOE) Site Operable Unit III, Surface Water and Ground Water, Monticello, Utah*, DOE-LM/GJ629-2004, Office of Legacy Management, May.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2014. *Final Groundwater Contingency Remedy Optimization Remedial Design/Remedial Action Work Plan for the Monticello Mill Tailings Site Operable Unit III, Monticello, Utah*, LMS/MNT/S10629, Office of Legacy Management, May.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2016. *Remedial Action Completion Report for Operable Unit III Groundwater Contingency Remedy Optimization System, Monticello Mill Tailings Site, Monticello, Utah*, LMS/MNT/S13373, Office of Legacy Management, May.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2018a. *Long-Term Surveillance and Maintenance Plan for Monticello NPL Sites*, LMS/MNT/S00387, Office of Legacy Management, June.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2018b. *OU III Closure Strategy for the Monticello Mill Tailings Site, Monticello, Utah*, LMS/MNT/S18146, Office of Legacy Management, May.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2022a. *Monticello, Utah, National Priorities List (NPL) Sites Federal Facility Agreement (FFA) Quarterly Report: January 1–March 31, 2022,* LMS/MNT/40611, Office of Legacy Management, April.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2022b. *Monticello, Utah, National Priorities List (NPL) Sites Federal Facility Agreement (FFA) Quarterly Report: October 1–December 31, 2021*, LMS/MNT/S38141, Office of Legacy Management, January.

Quality Assurance Project Plan, Monticello, Utah, Disposal and Processing Sites, LM-Plan-3-21-1.0, LMS/MNT/S27252, continually updated, prepared by the LMS contractor for the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Legacy Management.

Appendix A

Monthly and Quarterly Surveillance Checklists



Monthly Pond 4 Surveillance Checklist

Level of water in Pond 4 _____7.79

Inspection Item	Accepta	able	Comments and Recommendation					
	Yes	No						
Condition of:								
Fences, gates, and locks	\boxtimes							
Roads	\boxtimes							
Signs	\boxtimes							
Visible piping	\boxtimes							
Visible liner and anchors	\boxtimes							
Rescue equipment	\boxtimes		Boat remains at pond.					
Evidence of erosion of:								
Top of Pond 4 berm	\boxtimes							
Pond 4 sideslopes	\boxtimes							
Ditches	\boxtimes							
Surrounding area	\boxtimes							
Seepage from Pond 4	\boxtimes							
Overtopping of Pond 4	\bowtie							
Evidence of:								
Vandalism	\boxtimes							
Intrusion by wildlife	\boxtimes							
Intrusion by humans	\boxtimes							
Accumulation of trash	\boxtimes							

Additional comments: Things appear to be in good condition.

Monticello LM Representative: Gary L. McKinnor	Digitally signed by Gary L. McKinnon Date: 2022.04.29 11:05:29 -06'00'	Date:	4/29/2022
Monticello City and Repository Site Routine Inspection, Surveillance, and Monitoring Procedures, Monticello, Utah (LMS/MNT/S10320) LMS 5501 MNT	Page 1 of 1		April 19, 2021



epository Area Su	rveil	lanc	e Checklist
<u></u>			rveillance: 🔲 February 🗌 May 🗌 August 🗌 November
Storm event triggered surv	eillanc	e due t	o inches of rainfall over the past 24 hours.
Inspection Item	Accep Yes	ntable No	Comments and Recommendation
Condition of:			
Fences, gates, and locks	\boxtimes		
Roads ^a	\boxtimes		
Signs	\boxtimes	Ω.	
Site monuments	\boxtimes	Π.	
Drainage ditches ^a	\boxtimes		
Manholes	\boxtimes		
Vegetation	\boxtimes	\Box .	
Evidence of erosion of:			
Top of disposal cell ^a	\boxtimes		
Disposal cell sideslopes ^a	\boxtimes		
Ditches	\boxtimes		
Surrounding area	\boxtimes		
Evidence of:			
Vandalism	\boxtimes		
Intrusion by livestock	\boxtimes		
Burrowing animal damage	\boxtimes		
Intrusion by humans	\boxtimes		
Accumulation of trash	\boxtimes		
Additional Quarterly Surve	illance	e Requi	irements
Note: All transects, shown in Fi	gure 3-	1, must i	be walked during this inspection.
Condition of:			
Settlement plate structures			
Manholes ^b			
Sediment ponds			
Evidence of:			
Structural instability			
Additional comments: Thi	ngs ap	opear to	be in good condition.
Signature: Gary L. N	ЛсКі	nnor	Digitally signed by Gary L. McKinnon Date: 2022.04.29 10:58:53 -06'00' Date: 04/29/2022 icello LM Representative
^a Inspections required following ^b Open to inspect quarterly	a signif		

MONTHLY CLIMATOLOGICAL SUMMARY for APR. 2022

NAME:	Montic	cell	o CI'	ΓY:	S	PATE	:					
ELEV:	7070	ft	LAT:	37°	48'	00"	Ν	LONG:	109°	18'	00"	W

TEMPERATURE (°F), RAIN (in), WIND SPEED (mph)

HEAT	COOL	AVG

	MEAN					HEAT DEG	COOL DEG		AVG WIND			DOM
DAY	TEMP	HIGH	TIME	LOW	TIME	DAYS				HIGH	TIME	DIR
1	43.0	55.1	4:30p	32.3	7:30a		0.0		6.1		10:00a	NW
2	50.4	61.4	4:30p		4:00a	14.6	0.0		7.5	32.0	3:00p	
3	49.0	54.6		44.2	8 : 30a	16.0	0.0		6.9	28.0	10:00a	NNW
4	50.3	61.2		35.3	7:30a	14.7	0.0		5.1	24.0	3:00p	SSW
5	50.5	62.2	2:00p	34.8	12:00m	14.5	0.0	0.00	10.8	35.0	5:00p	S
6	36.0	45.1	4:00p	27.7	5:00a	29.0	0.0	0.00	11.6	40.0	2:00p	NW
7	40.9	54.6	5:00p		3:00a	24.1	0.0	0.00	6.1	24.0	3:30p	WNW
8	49.0	64.6	4:30p		6:30a	16.0	0.0		4.7	17.0	3:00p	NNW
9	54.9	67.1	4:00p		6:00a	10.2	0.1	0.00	10.3	33.0	5:30p	S
10	39.9	54.4	12:30a	33.3	10:30p	25.1	0.0		9.3	28.0	3:00a	NW
11	47.7	60.1	6:30p	31.2	6:00a	17.3	0.0	0.00	14.1	47.0		
12	31.8	50.3	12:30a	21.1	12:00m	33.2	0.0	0.00	6.9	42.0	3:00a	S
13	29.7	41.5	4:30p	17.3	7:00a	35.3	0.0	0.00	5.3	24.0	12:30p	WNW
14	39.2	52.0	6:00p	23.7	2:30a	25.8	0.0	0.00	6.7	27.0	11:30a	SSE
15	48.4	61.2	3:00p	35.9	3:30a	16.6	0.0	0.00	6.8	39.0	5:00p	S
16	51.4	64.2	5:00p	36.8	6:30a	13.6	0.0	0.00	9.8	36.0	8:00p	S
17	51.1	64.8	5:00p	35.6	3:00a	13.9	0.0	0.00	5.6	28.0	4:30p	
18	56.3	70.4	5:00p	41.7	6:30a	9.3	0.6	0.00	7.9	27.0	5:00p	SW
19	57.7	70.4	3:30p	45.2	7:00a	7.9	0.6	0.00	10.7	40.0	3:30p	SSW
20	55.8	67.5	4:30p	38.9	7:00a	9.4	0.2	0.00	7.4	30.0	1:30a	S
21	56.0	68.9	4:30p	41.6	7:00a	9.5	0.5	0,00	12.9	37.0	5:30p	SSE
22	46.4	55.9	12:30a	33.0	11:30p	18.6	0.0	0,09	13.7	45.0	11:30a	S
23	40.0	48.1	3:00p	33.2	10:00p		0.0	0.00	9.0	27.0	1:00p	WNW
24	38.4	47.6	1:30p	30.3	5 : 30a		0.0	0.00	9.3	29.0	2:30p	NW
25	43.9	58.1	4:30p	29.4	6:30a		0.0	0,00	3.8	23.0	5:30p 3:00p	SW
26	51.9	68.1	4:00p	34.3	3:00a	13.3	0.2	0.00	8.9	33.0	3:00p	SW
27	56.6	68.1	3:00p	46.0	5:30a	8.8	0.4	0.00	8.2	32.0	2:30p	
28	54.3	66.1	4:00p	36.8	6:00a		0.0	0.00	8.4	34.0	4:00p	SSE
29	47.8	56.0	12:30a	37.3	11:30p	17.2	0.0	0.00	10.1	39.0	2:00a	NW
30	48.9	63.6	4:30p	34.2	7:00a	16.1	0.0	0.00	7.0	24.0	3:00a	NNW
	47.2	70.4	18	17.3	13	535.4	2.6	0.09	8.4	47.0	11	S
Мах	< >=	90.0:	0									
	x <=		0									
	1 <=		8									
Mir	n <=	0.0:	0									

Max Rain: 0.09 ON 04/22/22 Days of Rain: 1 (>.01 in) 0 (>.1 in) 0 (>1 in)

Heat Base: 65.0 Cool Base: 65.0 Method: Integration



Monthly Pond 4 Surveillance Checklist

Level of water in Pond 4 _____7.34

Inspection Item	Acceptable		Comments and Recommendation
	Yes	No	
Condition of:			
Fences, gates, and locks	\boxtimes		
Roads	\boxtimes		
Signs	\boxtimes		
Visible piping	\boxtimes		
Visible liner and anchors	\boxtimes		
Rescue equipment	\boxtimes		Boat remains at pond.
Evidence of erosion of:			
Top of Pond 4 berm	\boxtimes		
Pond 4 sideslopes	\boxtimes		
Ditches	\boxtimes		
Surrounding area	\boxtimes		
Seepage from Pond 4	\boxtimes		
Overtopping of Pond 4	\boxtimes		
Evidence of:			
Vandalism	\boxtimes		
Intrusion by wildlife	\boxtimes		
Intrusion by humans	\boxtimes		
Accumulation of trash	\boxtimes		

Additional comments: The vegatation is very healthy and things appear to be in good condition.

Digitally signed by Gary L. McKinnon Date: 2022.05.31 16:00:59 -06'00' Monticello LM Representative: Gary L. McKinnon Date: 5/31/2022 Monticello City and Repository Site Routine Inspection, Surveillance, and Monitoring Procedures, Monticello, Utah (LMS/MNT/S10320)

LMS 5501 MNT

Page 1 of 1

April 19, 2021



Repository Area Surveillance Checklist

Monthly surveillance ☐ Storm event triggered s	urveilland	e due	urveillance: February May August November to inches of rainfall over the past 24 hours. Comments and Recommendation				
Inspection Item	Yes	otable No	Comments and Recommendation				
Condition of:							
Fences, gates, and locks	\boxtimes						
Roads ^a	\boxtimes						
Signs	\boxtimes						
Site monuments	\boxtimes						
Drainage ditches ^a	\boxtimes						
Manholes	\boxtimes						
Vegetation	\boxtimes						
Evidence of erosion of:							
Top of disposal cell ^a	\boxtimes						
Disposal cell sideslopes ^a	\boxtimes						
Ditches	\boxtimes						
Surrounding area	\boxtimes						
Evidence of:							
Vandalism	\boxtimes						
Intrusion by livestock	\boxtimes						
Burrowing animal damage	\bowtie		5				
Intrusion by humans	\boxtimes						
Accumulation of trash	\boxtimes						
Additional Quarterly Surv	eillance	Requi	rements				
	Figure 3-1	must t	be walked during this inspection.				
Condition of:							
Settlement plate structures							
Manholes ^b	\boxtimes						
Sediment ponds	\boxtimes						
Evidence of:							
Structural instability	\boxtimes						
Additional comments: The condition.	ne vegata	tion or	and around the cell looks very healthy and things appear to be in good				
Signature: Gary L. Mck	Kinnon	1.000	Digitally signed by Gary L. McKinnon Date: 2022.05.31 16:05:51 -06'00' Date: 5/31/2022				
^a Inspections required following ^b Open to inspect quarterly	Monticello LM Representative ^a Inspections required following a significant storm event ^b Open to inspect quarterly						

NAME: Monticello CITY: STATE: ELEV: 7070 ft LAT: 37° 48' 00" N LONG: 109° 18' 00" W

TEMPERATURE (°F), RAIN (in), WIND SPEED (mph)

HEAT COOL AVG MEAN DEG DEG WIND DAY TEMP HIGH TIME LOW TIME DAYS DAYS RAIN SPEED H	HIGH TIME	DOM DIR
1 53.0 68.1 4:30p 39.3 7:00a 12.1 0.1 0.00 9.9 3	37.0 2:00p	SW
	27.0 4:00p	S
	10.0 1:30p	SSE
	27.0 3:30p	NW
	20.0 4:00p	S
6 63.0 77.3 6:00p 45.1 6:30a 5.3 3.2 0.00 8.1 2	29.0 2:00p	S
7 64.2 76.8 4:00p 48.8 6:30a 3.8 3.0 0.00 12.5 3	37.0 12:30p	S S
	17.0 3:30p	S
9 55.1 64.3 4:30p 45.4 6:30a 9.9 0.0 0.00 14.0 4	12.0 12:30a	S
10 57.3 69.2 5:30p 44.3 6:30a 8.3 0.6 0.00 16.1 3	39.0 1:00p	S
11 58.3 69.0 3:00p 48.8 5:30a 7.3 0.6 0.00 16.5 4	13.0 5:00p	S
12 47.1 60.2 4:30p 32.1 6:30a 17.9 0.0 0.00 7.9 5	54.0 4:00p	S
13 53.9 67.8 3:30p 37.7 2:00a 11.4 0.3 0.00 4.6 2	20.0 4:30p	WSW
14 61.9 76.7 4:30p 45.6 6:30a 6.4 3.3 0.00 4.9 2	26.0 4:00p	WSW
15 66.4 81.0 4:30p 51.9 6:00a 3.8 5.3 0.00 5.5 2	25.0 4:00p	WSW
16 66.6 78.0 4:30p 54.9 3:00a 2.2 3.8 0.00 7.8 2	25.0 10:30a	S
17 66.5 79.6 5:30p 50.4 6:00a 3.1 4.6 0.00 4.8 2	20.0 12:00m	SW
	28.0 3:30p	WNW
	34.0 4:30p	SSW
20 48.6 63.0 1:30a 40.0 12:00m 16.4 0.0 0.00 11.7 3	32.0 12:30p	NNW
	.9.0 2:30p	WNW
	9.0 11:00a	WNW
	9.0 3:30a	WNW
24 52.9 62.4 6:30p 41.3 6:00a 12.1 0.0 0.00 12.8 3	35.0 5:30p	NW
25 56.6 69.6 5:30p 41.5 6:00a 9.1 0.7 0.00 8.8 2	26.0 4:00p	NW
	21.0 3:30p	WSW
27 69.3 81.1 3:30p 53.4 6:00a 1.9 6.2 0.00 7.6 2	27.0 3:00p	SW
28 66.5 75.9 2:00p 56.3 7:00a 1.8 3.3 0.00 9.5 3	32.0 12:00p	S
29 56.4 69.2 1:00p 42.8 9:30p 9.0 0.4 0.00 13.0 3	3:30p	NW
30 48.4 61.3 4:30p 35.1 6:30a 16.6 0.0 0.00 7.9 3	3.0 1:00a	WNW
31 51.4 63.5 5:30p 35.8 4:30a 13.7 0.0 0.00 5.3 1	.8.0 5:30p	NNW
57.2 81.1 27 28.7 4 294.0 50.5 0.01 9.4 5	i4.0 12	S
Max >= 90.0: 0		
Max >= 30.01 0 Max <= 32.01 0		
$Min \le 32.0: 2$		

Min <= 32.0: 2
Min <= 0.0: 0
Max Rain: 0.01 ON 05/03/22
Days of Rain: 0 (>.01 in) 0 (>.1 in) 0 (>1 in)
Heat Base: 65.0 Cool Base: 65.0 Method: Integration



Monticello Long-Term Surveillance and Maintenance Temporary Storage Facility (TSF) Record Book Inspection Report

Are t	hese	areas acceptable?
Yes	No	
\boxtimes		Was the gate locked upon arrival?
\boxtimes		Are signs posted in accordance with 10 CFR 835.602[a]?
\boxtimes		Are all postings legible?
\boxtimes		Are enclosures on the concrete bin and stored drum containers tight?
\boxtimes		Are containers in good physical condition (no rust, no holes, no bulges, etc.)?
\boxtimes		How much radiologically-contaminated material is in the concrete bin? Note: the material should be shipped when the volume in storage approaches 75 percent of the storage capacity.
\square		Is the surface area of the TSF in good physical condition (no erosion, no flood damage, no excessive vegetation growth, etc.)?
\boxtimes		Has radiological monitoring been conducted in accordance with 10 CFR 835.405[d]?
\boxtimes		Is the security fence in good condition?
Com	ments	

There is no radiologically contaminated material in the concrete bin.

Signature of Monticello LM Representative

5/27/2022 Date of Inspection

NAME: Monticello CITY: STATE: ELEV: 7070 ft LAT: 37° 48' 00" N LONG: 109° 18' 00" W

TEMPERATURE (°F), RAIN (in), WIND SPEED (mph)

DAY	MEAN TEMP	HIGH	TIME	LOW	TIME	HEAT DEG DAYS	COOL DEG DAYS	RAIN	AVG WIND SPEED	HIGH	TIME	DOM DIR
1	58.6	72.5	5:30p	45.9	6:30a	7.7	1.2	0.00	9.8	25.0	3:00a	WNW
2	64.2	78.5	3:30p	47.8	5:00a	5.2	4.3	0.00	5.3	27.0	3:30p	WSW
3	66.3	78.4	4:30p	53.2	2:30a	2.7	4.0	0.00	7.5	32.0	2:00p	S
4	67.7	77.4	4:00p	52.2	6:30a	1.8	4.5	0.00	7.6	29.0	1:00p	SW
5	69.2	77.3	5:00p	62.0	7:00a	0.4	4.5	0.00	6.3	24.0	11:30a	WNW
6	68.7	79.9	5:00p	56.4	6:30a	1.5	5.2	0.00	4.5	23.0	7:00p	NŴ
7	71.5	84.3	5:00p	56.4	6:00a	1.5	8.1	0.00	5.7	25.0	6:30p	WNW
8	73.6	87.2	4:30p	58.1	6;30a	0.7	9.3	0.00	5.8	22.0	7:00p	WNW
9	75.6	89.0	4:30p	60.1	6:30a	0.3	10.9	0.00	8.1	24.0	10:30a	S
10	77.9	91.0	4:30p	60.4	5:30a	0.5	13.4	0.00	6.3	27.0	1:30p	WSW
11	78.3	90.1	1:00p	61.1	5:30a	0.1	13.4	0.00	6.4	32.0	3:00p	SSW
12	76.0	87.3	3:00p	62.6	7:00a	0.2	11.1	0.00	9.3	40.0	3:00p	S
13	72.4	84.7	5:00p		6:30a	1.0	8.5	0.00	13.4	48.0	2:30p	S
14	64.4	75.4	5:00p	51.3	6:30a	3.5	2.9	0.00	10.0	28.0	3:30p	NW
15	66.3	81.8	5:00p	51.5	3:30a	3.8	5.1	0.00	6.4	21.0	11:00a	WNW
16	73.6	88.0	6:00p	56.7	6:00a	1.9	10.6	0.00	6.8	28.0	4:00p	WSW
17	74.5	84.8	5:30p	63.1	6:00a	0.1	9.6	0.00	9.7	35.0	11:00p	SE
18	62.5	77.0	12:30p	55.2	8:00p	4.1	1.7	0.45	8.8	30.0	12:30p	SSE
19	62.8	75.9	4:00p	54.3	11:00p	4.6	2.4	0.01	12.9	41.0	5:30p	SE
20	61.5	74.3	4:00p	46.8	6:00a	5.9	2.5	0.00	5.9	25.0	1:00p	SE
21	67.0	81.3	3:30p	48.9	6:00a	4.0	6.1	0.00	4.5	21.0	2:30p	W
22	64.2	77.1	2:00p		12:00m		1.9	0.00	7.4	29.0	2:30p	S
23	63.3	75.3	1:00p		3:30a	3.1	1.4	0.05	6.0	24.0	12:30p	SSE
24	65.3	75.8	7:00p	56.2	2:30a	2.4	2.8	0.11	4.0	24.0	12:00p	SE
25	70.0	80.1	6:00p	56.9	5:30a	1.6	6.7	0.00	5.3	37.0	2:30p	WSW
26	62.9	70.1	1:30p	55.7	10:00p	2.5	0.4	0.04	5.9	18.0	9:00a	S
27	66.2	81.3	5:30p		5:00a	4.0	5.2	0.00	4.9	17.0	2:30p	SSE
28	69.7	82.4	3:30p		6:00a	0.8	5.5	0.00	6.7	20.0	7:30a	S
29	73.0	86.0	4:30p		6:30a		8.2	0.00	8.6	24.0	2:00p	SSE
30	69.9	78.5	5:00p	61.8	6:00a	0.4	5.3	0.00	5.3	25.0	1:00p	WNW
	68.6	91.0	10	45.9	1	69.2	176.7	0.66	7.2	48.0	13	S
Max	>= 9	0.0:	2									
			0									
			0									
Min	<=	0.0:	0									

Max Rain: 0.45 ON 06/18/22

Days of Rain: 4 (>.01 in) 2 (>.1 in) 0 (>1 in)

Heat Base: 65.0 Cool Base: 65.0 Method: Integration



Monthly Pond 4 Surveillance Checklist

Level of water in Pond 4 6.91

Inspection Item	Acceptable		Comments and Recommendation
	Yes	No	
Condition of:			
Fences, gates, and locks	\boxtimes		
Roads	\boxtimes		Mowed and sprayed this month.
Signs	\boxtimes		Two rad-signs were replaced.
Visible piping	\boxtimes		
Visible liner and anchors	\boxtimes		
Rescue equipment	\boxtimes		Boat remains at pond.
Evidence of erosion of:			
Top of Pond 4 berm	\boxtimes		Mowed and sprayed this month.
Pond 4 sideslopes	\boxtimes		
Ditches	\boxtimes		
Surrounding area	\boxtimes		
Seepage from Pond 4	\boxtimes		
Overtopping of Pond 4	\boxtimes		
Evidence of:			
Vandalism	\boxtimes		
Intrusion by wildlife	\boxtimes		
Intrusion by humans	\boxtimes		
Accumulation of trash	\boxtimes		

Additional comments: Two sections of rad-rope and two rad-signs were replaced other than that things appear to be in good condition.

Monticello LM Representative: Gary L. McKinnon Digitally signed by Gary L. McKinnon Date: 2022.06.30 08:16:40 -06'00'

Date: 6/30/2022

Monticello City and Repository Site Routine Inspection, Surveillance, and Monitoring Procedures, Monticello, Utah (LMS/MNT/S10320) LMS 5501 MNT

Page 1 of 1

April 19, 2021



Repository Area Surveillance Checklist

⊠ Monthly surveillance □ Storm event triggered su			urveillance: February May August November to inches of rainfall over the past 24 hours.			
Inspection Item	Acce Yes	otable No	Comments and Recommendation			
Condition of:	105	INC				
Fences, gates, and locks	\boxtimes		Repaired north east corner of repository fence.			
Roads ^a	\boxtimes	П	Mowed and sprayed this month.			
Signs	\boxtimes					
Site monuments	\boxtimes					
Drainage ditches ^a	\boxtimes					
Manholes	\boxtimes	П				
Vegetation	\boxtimes					
Evidence of erosion of:						
Top of disposal cell ^a	\boxtimes					
Disposal cell sideslopes ^a	\boxtimes					
Ditches	\boxtimes					
Surrounding area	\boxtimes					
Evidence of:						
Vandalism	\boxtimes					
Intrusion by livestock	\bowtie					
Burrowing animal damage	\bowtie					
Intrusion by humans	\boxtimes					
Accumulation of trash	\bowtie					
Additional Quarterly Surv	eillance	Requ	irements			
Note: All transects, shown in F	igure 3-1	, must	be walked during this inspection.			
Condition of:						
Settlement plate structures			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Manholes ^b						
Sediment ponds						
Evidence of:						
Structural instability						
Additional comments: Ot	her than	one fe	ence repair things appear to be in good condition.			
Signature: Gary L. McKinnon Digitally signed by Gary L. McKinnon Date: 6/30/2022						
Signature: Gary L.	mu		IOII Date: 2022.06.30 08:19:15 -06'00' Date: 6/30/2022 cello LM Representative Date: 6/30/2022 Date: 6/30/2022			
^a Inspections required following a significant storm event ^b Open to inspect guarterly						

Appendix **B**

Graphs Showing Performance History for Disposal Cell and Pond 4 LCRS and LDS

