4130 OFF<sub>c.1</sub>

i<br>Marie L

<u>era</u>

**Rockhol** 

ŗ

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}$ 

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 



BMI-171-147 Biology and Medicine

 $15950$ 

## AMCHITKA BIOENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAM

# BIOENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY STUDIES, AMCHITKA ISLAND, ALASKA CANNIKIN D+2 MONTHS REPORT

Compiled

**by** 

James B. Kirkwood and R. Glen Fuller

June, 1972

Prepared for the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission under Contract No. AT(26-1)-171

i.

**BATTELLE** Columbus Laboratories 505 King Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43201

IT LAS VEGAS LIBRARY

Printed in the United States of America. Available **from**  National Technical Information Service U.S. Department of Commerce Springfield, Virginia 22151

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Atomic Energy Commission, nor any of their employees, **nor** any of their contractors, sihcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes **any** Legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulnesa of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

-

 $\mathbb{R}^3$ 

劉毅

 $\mathcal{A}$ 

41  $\ddot{\phi}$  ,

ا<br>اس

经定量

 $\mathbb{Z}_4$  $\mathcal{A}$ 

H

ÿ

Price: Printed copy \$3.00; Microfiche \$0.65

Ż

# **AMCHITKA BLOENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAM**

# **BIOENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY STUDIES, AMCHITXA ISLAND, ALASKA CANNIKIN D+2 MONTHS REPORT**

# **Compiled**

**by** 

## **James 8. Kirkwood and R. Glen Fuller**

**June, 1972** 

**Prepared for the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission under Contract No. AT(2b- 1)- I71** 

ÿ.

**BATTELLE** 

**Columbus Laboratories 505 King Avenue Columbus, Ohio 4320 1** 

## **PREFACE**

 $\begin{array}{c} \n\mathbf{1} \\
\mathbf{2} \\
\mathbf{3}\n\end{array}$ 

3

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

 $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix}$ 

 $\mathcal{A}_\mathrm{A}$ 

 $\sim$   $\eta$ 

 $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\rm{eff}}$ 

ÚĴ

 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

隐

4

**This** report summarizes information submitted by all investigators marily during the period up to 2 months following the Cannikin  $$ detonation. Since technical reviewa and editing have required considerable time, some additional information obtained more recently **has** been incorporated to enhance the value of the report. **The** contributions of all program personnel are gratefully acknowledged.

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ 

Ż,

ż

**FORE** WORD

Project Cannikin, the underground nuclear test conducted in the fall of 1971, at Amchitka Island, Alaska, was originally expected to take place in September or early October, 1971. Many of the pretest background data were collected when it was believed the original plan could be adhered to. However, the test was unavoidably delayed until November, a delay that had some'disappointing consequences for the success of the field studies designed to identify and document the prompt bioenvironmental effects of the detonation.

November 6, 1971, the day on which the test was conducted, was preceded by several days of bad weather that hampered late pretest data collection, observations, and preparations for testtime experiments. On November 5, when the preparations for live-box experiments with selected test animals were to have been completed, Amchitka was swept by a violent storm that produced hurricane-force winds, rain, and fog. Precipitation totalled 0.47 inch, and wind gusts exceeded 80 knots (over 90 mph), Wind damage was extensive. While the weather had improved somewhat by the next day, with clearing skies and increased visibility, the storm on the day before the test forced the abandonment of all planned experiments with captive fish in live boxes in the marine environment. The storm also interfered to a lesser extent with the live-box experiments in freshwater lakes and streams. Also, because the shallow lakes on Amchitka are subject to stirring and mixing of bottom sediments by wind, the effects of Cannikin on turbidity, and suspended and dissolved organic matter in the lakes were difficult to distinguish from the effects of the November 5 storm winds. Intermittent bad weather, with poor visibility, heavy seas, and moderately strong winds continuing **after** the Cannikin detonation, seriously hampered efforts of field parties documenting the bioenvironmental effects of the test.

The delay of Cannikin until November meant that some oi the pretest data collected in anticipation of the earlier event date were less useful for comparison with post-Cannikin data. In view of this, and because of the unfavorable weather that prevailed before, during, and after the test, the present report cannot give as precise and welidocumented an assessment of the prompt bioenvironmental consequences of Cannikin as its authors and contributors would like to have submitted. Despite these adversities, a large amount of useful information was collected, certainly enough to enable an evaluation of the early bioenvironmental effects of Cannikin. Certain effects, such as those related to changes in surface drainage, cannot be fully assessed yet, and are the subject of continuing 'study.

All of the principal investigators involved in the Cannikin phase of the Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program (Appendix **F)** submitted input data and. analyses for this D+2 months report. These contributions varied considerably in format, in amount **df** factual detail provided, and in the degree of extrapolation made from the data presented. The compilers of this report have undertaken the task of integrating the reports from the various contribdtors into a single, unified report of Cannikin bioenvironmental effects. To the be st of their ability, they have presented the significant data and observations provided by **all** investigators. They have, however, exercised their judgment in determining the extent to which the data justify extrapolation regarding the long-term effects of the Cannikin test on Amchitka ecosystems, In general, they have followed a policy of caution, avoiding speculative judgments and projections. For adopting this policy, they accept full responsibility.

 $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ 

**J. B. K/R.** G. **F.**  Columbus, Ohio June, 1972

# ABBREVIATIONS **USED** IN **THE** TEXT

 $\sim$ 



# TABLE OF CONTENTS



 $\pm$  :

# LIST OF TABLES



# <u>eri</u>

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

# LIST OF FIGURES

 $\mathbf{r}$ 



 $\sim 10$ 

à,

 $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ 

 $\mathcal{A}_2$ 

 $\sim 10^{11}$ 

 $\overline{a}$ 

Page

# LIST OF FIGURES<br>(Continued)



 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ 

 $\bar{z}$ 

# $P$ age

l,

31

Ï

 $\frac{\epsilon_1}{\epsilon_2}$ 

 $\mathbb{R}^{n\times d}$  .

## BIOENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY STUDIES, AMCHITKA ISLAND, ALASKA CANNIKIN D+2 MONTHS REPORT

#### Compiled

by

James B. Kirkwood and R. Glen Fuller

#### June, 1972

#### **ABSTRACT**

Cannikin, an underground nuclear test of less than 5 megatons, was fired on November 6, 1971, at Amchitka Island, Alaska. Pre- and postevent studies were conducted by a number of investigators to assess the effects of Cannikin on the Amohitka ecosystems. This report gives the preliminary evaluation of those effects, based on analysis of data collected during the first 2 months after the test, supplemented in  $a$ few instances by more current information.

Individuals of several species of marine marmals, waterfowl, and marine and freshwater fish were killed by the test, but no animal population on or around the Island was jeopardized. The total numbers killed can only be estimated, and the reliability of the estimation is generally low because stormy weather around test time hampered observation and recovery of casualties, especially in the marine environment.

During posttest beach searches, 18 dead sea otters, 3 injured sea otters, 2 abandoned sea otter pups, and 4 dead harbor seals were found. This very probably represents only a fraction of the total number of sea otters and seals killed, but data for reliable estimations of total losses are not available.

Individuals representing at least 5 species of marine fish were killed, and about 300 specimens were recovered. Most of these were rock greenling found on an intertidal bench uplifted as a result of ground motion from Cannikin. Judging by comparison of pre- and postevent bottom-trawl catch data, investigators estimated that thousands of rock sole, an offshore bottom fish, were probably killed.

Intertidal communities of algae, invertebrates, and fish are being affected along a 2-km section of the Bering Sea coast, where the intertidal bench was permanently uplifted by as much as 1.1 m in some places. The extent of the bioenvironmental effects attributable to this uplift cannot be determined without further investigation..

Several hundred Dolly Varden and about 10,000 threespine stickleback were killed in freshwater lakes near Cannikin surface zero. About 70 percent of these fish were killed when the lakes they inhabited were drained by tilting, or through fissures that opened in the lake bottams.

Eighteen dead birds, representing ? species of waterfowl, were recovered post-Cannikin. The total number of birds killed cannot be precisely estimated, comparison of population counts made before and after Cannikin showed no significant decline in density of any species of birds.

No dead bald eagles or peregrine falcons were found, but six eagle nesting sites and three peregrine eyries were destroyed or damaged. Two of the eyries were among a group of three located fairly close together and used by a single nesting pair. The effect of the loss of 2 out of 3 alternative nest sites on the nesting behavior of this pair will not be known until the 1972 nesting season. The third eyrie, danaged by Milrou, sustained further danage from Cannikin.

The effects of Cannikin on geomorphic features were considerably greater than had been predicted. Coastal rockfalls and turf slides on the Bering Sea coast adjacent to Cannikin were extensive. A minimum of some 25,000 m<sup>3</sup> of rock and turf were dielodged along the Bering Sea coast, a large fraction of it along a 2-km section of coastline. Much smaller amounts of rock and turf were dislodged along the Pacific Ocean coast. Inland, 6 small lakes near Cannikin surface zero were completely drained and 10 were partially drained. New lakes are forming in the sink formed when the Cannikin-cavity collapse reached the surface. Some ponding is also occurring along streams where turf slides or bank caving dammed the stream flow. Stream flow in the lower region of the stream draining the Cannikin site has been greatly reduced; runoff from the upper portion of the drainage is now being intercepted by the collapse sink.

#### **BACKGROUND**

Amchitka Island, Alaska, was the site of an underground nuclear test, Project Long Shot, conducted in October, 1965, by the U.S. Department of Defense with the Atomic Energy Commission's (AEC) assistance. The bioenvironmental effects of that test are described by Seymour and Nakatani (1967),

Since the fall of 1967, after Amchitka was again selected as a potential site for underground nuclear testing, Battelle's Columbus Laboratories (BCL) has coordinated and conducted research on the marine, freshwater, and terrestrial ecosystems of Amchitka (Figure 1). These investigations are designed to predict, evaluate, and document the effects on the biota and environment from nuclear tests, to recommend measures for minimizing adverse effects, and to predict and evaluate the potential hazards to man that might result from the accidental release of radionuclides to the environment and their subsequent transport to humans via marine food chains. The studies are being conducted by BCL, BCL's subcontractors and consultants, and other contractors of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission's Nevada Operations Office (AEC-NVOO). All studies are sponsored by AEC-NVOO as part of its supplemental nuclear-test-site program. A list of all reports emanating from these studies to date is given in Appendix E.

្តា

Ñ

С,

ia<br>11

 $\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{b} \end{array} \end{array}$ 

計

Ą



FIGURE 1. MAP OF AMCHITKA ISLAND, ALASKA, SHOWING LOCATIONS REFERRED TO IN THIS REPORT

 $\mathbf{r}_1$ 

 $\mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{L}}$ 

By 1969 it had been tentatively concluded that nuclear devices of high yield (1 megaton or higher) could be detonated safely underground at Amchitka, but experimental verification of this conclusion was considered desirable. By the fall of 1969, preparations were completed for the detonation of a "calibration" nuclear test, Project Milrow, with a design yield of about 1 megaton. Preevent predictions were that an underground detonation of that magnitude, carried out during the autumn, would have only slight and transient effects on Amchitka's ecosystems. Among the objectives of Milrow was to test the reliability of these predictions, and to provide baseline information from which the effects of a somewhat higher yield shot at this site could be predicted.

劉約

्<br>हो

ž,

er<br>S

ł,

Extensive observations, experiments, and measurements were carried out in conjunction with Milrow. Late pre-and early posttest visual surveys, sample collections, and photography were used to identify and document effects of the calibration shot on the terrain and the biota. Sea otters, fish, and marine invertebrates were held in pens or live boxes at various distances from **SZ\*** to determine their responae to ground shock and the associated pressure puises in the freshwater and marine environments; these shock forces and water-pressure pulses were also recorded at a number of stations, some of which were located near the pens and boxes in which animals were held.

Milrow was detonated at **12:Ob** p.m. Bering Daylight Time, October 2, 1969. The ground- shock and water-pressure pulses generated by Milrow were reported by Merritt (1971), and the early bioenvironmental effects detected within the first few weeks-following the test were reported by Kirkwood (1970) and Merritt (1970). The effects noted were

- A few fish in the nearshore marine environment may have been injured or killed, hut no dead fish were recovered in postevent searches.
- Rock and soil slides that occurred in a few coastal areas near **SZ**  smothered some animals and plants in the intertidal and subtidal zones.
- Two freshwater lakes near **SZ** were partially drained; these lakes were not of major importance for fish or as bird-nesting areas.
- One freshwater lake about 3.5 **km** from **SZ** suffered a decrease in zooplankton.
- Numerous threespine sticklebacks were killed in two small lakes near SZ. This fish is an important link in the food chain of Dolly Varden and some bird species. (Natural reproduction of sticklebacks occurred in these lakes during the season following Milrow, and populations are expected to return to normal in  $l-3$  years.)
- Approximately 2900 and 7600  $m<sup>3</sup>$  of rock and peat materials fell along the Pacific Ocean and Bering Sea coasts, respectively. (This disturbance of the coastal cliff habitat did not appreciably affect the nesting of bald eagles, peregrine falcons, or other cliff- nesting birds in subsequent seasons. )

' **Surface zero: the poim on rhe land surface at the** top **of the device-emplacemenr hole.** 

As predicted, the immediate effects of Milrow on the bioenvironment were slight. Almost all of the animals held in live boxes survived the detonation, **and** data were collected on the ground- shock forces and pressure pulses to which these test animals had been exposed. Subsequent investigations have largely confirmed the early conclusions regarding the effects of Milrow, but one additional bioenvironmental disturbance was '' later detected. In April, 1970, beach reconnaissance during a period of daytime low' tides disclosed evidence of a recent uplift of  $\sim$ 12 cm in a section of the intertidal rock bench, about **1400-m** from Milrow SZ, on the Pacific Ocean shore. This vertical displacement is thought to have been due to Milrow (on the basis of indirect evidence). The shift, although slight in the vertical dimension, produced detectable changes in the species composition **of** algal and invertebrate communities over an estimated several thousand square meters of intertidal bench. These changes are still under investigation. **The** intertidal area affected is a very small portion of the total amount of intertidalbench habitat along the Isiand.

#### PREDICTIONS OF CANNIKIN BIOENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS

Following Milrow, plans were initiated for a larger-yield underground nuclear test on Amchitka. **This** test, Cannikin, was tentatively scheduled for the fall of 1971, at a site about 8 km NW of the Milrow site. The Cannikin device was designed for a yield of less than **5** megatons (AEC Environmental Statement, Cannikin, 197 **1).** The exact design yield is classified information and cannot be reported here. The focus of the Amchitka bioenvironmental studies was shifted to the locale of the proposed Cannikin test, and background data on which to base effects predictions, and document the ecological effects of Cannikin, were collected and analyzed.

Predictions of the probable effects of Cannikin on Amchitka ecosystems were reported in an Environmental Statement (AEC, 1971). These predictions were updated, on the basis of contributions from all investigators participating in the Amchitka **Bio**environmental Program, andpresented by Kirkwood dnd Fuller **1197** 1 ); **s** summary of the updated predictions is given in **the** abstract of that report.

> "The effects of Cannikin on the environment and biota in the terrestrial, freshwater, and marine ecosystems of Arnchitka are predicted to be of somewhat greater magnitude than the effects of Milrow. However, no plant or animal population is expected to be endangered. These predictions are based on the assumption that the Cannikin detonation will occur in the autumn, and that predictions of ground shock and underwater pressure changes supplied by AEC-NVOO are essentially correct.

Cannikin is expected to affect terrestrial habitats over a larger area **than** Milrow did; small rock falls and turf slides are predicted along several miles of coastline. However, the total amount of material involved is not considered likely to be of ecological consequence, since no single large falls are predicted.

Bald eagle nesting sites and peregrine falcon eyries occupied in 1971 are not likely to be destroyed, although one or two eagle nesting sites and one falcon nesting site may be rendered unusable. Population densities, distributions, and reproductive potentials of these species are not expected to be significantly affected. Other avian populations should not be threatened.

Some fraction **of** the fish in freshwater streams and lakes near Cannikin surface zero may be killed, but otherwise effects in the ireshwater ecosystem will be minor.

Combining the relevant estimates yields a prediction that perhaps 20 to 240 sea otters might be exposed to overpressures severe enough to rupture their eardrums and ultimately result in their death, but past observations on the effects of harvest and transplant removals from the populations suggest a loss of this magnitude would have no long-range effect on population. Populations of other marine mammals (Steller's sea lions and harbor seals) will also be unaffected.

Fish populations in the marine environment will not be endangered, although sizable numbers of individuals of Pacific cod and some rockfish species may be killed if the 'worst credible' predictions prove correct. Small intertidal bench areas may be disrupted, but succession and recolonisation will be expected to restore the biota of such areas, and effects on food webs in the marine environment will be minimal. No adverse effects of ecological consequence are expected in the marine ecosystem around Amchitka."

Ñ

L

ð).

K.

i)

Information furnished by AEC-NVOO indicated that the Cannikin test was designed for complete containment of radioactivity underground **(U.** S. Atomic Energy Commission, 1971). It was therefore anticipated that the bioenvironmental effects of Cannikjn would be confined to those associated with ground motion and pressure pulses in water.

ł,

Cannikin was detonated at 11 :00 a. m. Bering Standard Time, November **5, 197** 1, about a month later than had been anticipated previously. This report gives the results, through January 6, 1972, of the Cannikin-related biaenvironmental studies and analyses designed to identify and evaluate the immediate effects of Cannikin on Arnchitka ecosystems. Pretest baseline data were collected in terrestrial, freshwater, and marine ecosystems in the vicinity of Cannikin SZ. Live-box .testtime experiments were readied for the test. Comparable bioenvironmental data were collected posttest, and fishes exposed in the testtime experiments we re examined. Ground-motion and underwater pressure pulses were recorded at a number of stations by Sandia Laboratories (Appendix A) to provide data for interpreting effects observed on experimental animals and on free-living biotic populations. Terrain features within the area expected to be affected by the Cannikin detonation were photographed before and after the test, using Iargeformat photography and various film types (Appendix **D).** 

This report contains data collected and interpretations made by the many investigators who participated in the Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program [Appendix F), as compiled by the authors.

The emphasis of this report is focused on effects observed or measured during the early postevent period, but the potential Long-term significance of these effects **is**  discussed where practicable. In some instances, however, such a projection would necessarily be so speculative as to be unjustified. Posttest studies are continuing in order to document and quantify any long-term effects of Cannikin on the 1s land's ecosystems; results of these studies **will** be covered in subsequent reports.

This report addresses only the question of effects attributable to the detonation of the Cannikin device. Bioenvironmental disturbances resulting from construction, site preparation, drilling, and related AEC activities on Amchitka are beyond the ecope of the report, and will be dealt with as appropriate in other reports. (An example of such nonnuclear disturbance can be seen in Plate 1, **am** aerial photograph of Cannikin SZ taken before the detonation. Some 11 hectares (28 acres) of tundra vegetation have been destroyed at the drill site proper; this does not include access roads, cable runs, etc., which also appear in the photo\*.)

This D+2 months report differs from the Project Cannikin D+30 Day Report (U. S. Atomic Energy Commis aion, 1972) in a few particulars because it includes additional data and analyses that have become available since that report was released.

#### Marine Ecosystems

+.

Pre-Carnikin predictions were that detonation-generated ground-motion and pressure pulses in **the** ocean might kill or injure substantial numbers of marine mammals and fish in waters around Amchitka. A major portion of the Cannikin test-related studies was therefore focused on documenting effects of the test in the marine environment. The following activities provided information for assessing prompt effects of Cannikin on marine mammals and other marine biota, and on nearshore physical features of the marine environment:

**-.4Iea measured on BCL vertical ?hotography.** 

- Late pretest (D-2 and **D-1")** searches for dead animals were conducted on all beaches within about 7 km of SZ.
- Starting late in the afternoon of **D-day (as** soon as reentry parties could reach the area), and continuing for several days thereafter, the Bering Sea and Pacific Ocean beaches adjacent to Cannikin SZ were searched for dead or injured animals; whenever possible, such animals were recovered by the searchers and brought to the biological laboratories for examination.

Ñ

iż.

Ñ

្ព

y<br>S

During the beach searches obvious physicat changes in the coastal area affecting marine biota were also noted. The searches were conducted by personnel representing the Alaska Department of Fish and Game **(ADF&GI,** the **AEC-NVOO** Office of Effects Evaluation, BCL, the U. S. Department of the Interior's Fish and Wildlife Service **IFWS),**  the **U.** S. Department of Commerce's National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), the University of Arizona (UAz), the University of Washington's Fisheries Research Institute (FRI), and the University of Washington's Laboratory of Radiation Ecology **(LRE).** (Numerous helicopter overflights were also made along the coast during the early posttest period to assist in the search for and recovery of any dead or injured marine animals in this area. ) - -

Late pretest and early posttest visual counts of sea otters in the Bering Sea and Pacific Ocean adjacent to Cannikin SZ were made from a helicopter by personnel of BCL and FWS.

Postevent visual counts of sea otters along the adjacent Bering Sea coaat were also made by a **UAz** investigator from shore observation stations. **ADF&C** personnel also counted sea otters from a helicopter along the Bering Sea coast adjacent to Cannikin SZ on D+11 through D+13.

- Autopsies of mammals, birds, and fish collected immediately after Cannikin were made by a veterinarian from the Arctic Health Research Center, U. S. Public Health Service (AHRC). One otter collected on **Dt 16** was autopsied by a **UAz** biologist.
- Pre- **and** posttest sampling of offshore marine fish populations with bottom and midwater trawls and salmon longline was carried out from the **M/V** Commander by **FRI.**
- Pre- and posttest fish populations in nearshore waters were sampled with trammel nets by **FRI.** Pre- and posttest visual observations were also made by **FRI** biologists along marked transects established in .' the intertidal-bench area of the Bering Sea coast. Subtidal bottom transects were sampled pre- and postevent by biologist/divers of NMF5 to ascertain the effect of the test on green sea urchin (Strdhgylocentrotus polyacanthus) populations (sea urchins are one item in the sea otter diet).

**<sup>-</sup>day.** *the* **day when the device %as deronared:** D-1, oae **day hefore rhe dsr'ice was detonated; Dtl, one day after** *the*  **device was deronared.** 

Physical changes on the beach and in the nearshore waters (rock and tundra falls, turbidity near shore, etc.) were recorded on aerial photographs by **BCL** and were observed by FRI biologists and othera. **NMFS**  divers, searching for evidence of physical disturbance on the sea bottom, made a brief reconnaissance in **two** shallow subtidal areas in the Bering Sea off Cannikin *SZ.* Changes in the elevation of the intertidal bench were measured at a few locations by Holmes & Narver, Inc., surveyors, although completion of the surveys will not be possible until daytime low tides occur in spring 1972.

#### Marine Mammals

Marine mammal investigations at Amchitka were carried out by personnel of **UAz, ADFkG, BCL,** and **FWS;** many other bioenvironmental program personnel also assisted in testtime searches and observations. The objectives of the Cannikin-related marine mammal studies were: (1) to determine changes in Amchitka marine mammal populations attributable to Cannikin; (2) to locate and recover marine mammals injured or killed by the test; and **(3** to determine the cause of injury or death for animals recovered. Marine mammal studies were directed primarily, but not exclusively, to<br>effects on the sea otter (Enhydra lutris).

Before Cannikin it was predicted that perhaps 20 to **240** sea otters might be killed or fatally injured by underwater pressure pulses from the shot (Kirkwood and Fuller, 1971). For reasons given in that report, it was postulated that otters experiencing. underwater overpressures of 100 psi\* or more might be fatally injured. Isobars enclosing the areas where such overpressures were expected, at the ocean floor, were plotted for the Bering Sea and Pacific Ocean waters off Cannikin **SZ\*\*** (Figure **Z),** and these areas were taken into account in planning sea otter studies, and in predicting potential otter casualties. The wide range in number of casualties predicted indicates that many factors involved in the prediction were not precisely **known:** e. g. , the abaolute number of otters within the postulated area of hazard; their daily behavioral patterns of diving, resting, hauling out, etc.; and the influence of weather, sea state, and season on behavior.

Pre -Cannikin atudies included visual counta from shore stations and from helicopter overflights. Beach transects were surveyed monthly during the year prior to Cannikin to determine the pattern of natural mortality of sea otters and other marine mammals. Figure **2** shows the preevent transects. Post-Cannikin investigations involved **counts** from shore and helicopter, beach searches, and autopsies of dead or wounded animals recovered. Figure 3 shows the beaches surveyed intensively post-Cannikin. The areas searched post-Cannikin do not coincide exactly with the pre-Cannikin beach transects because of shottime weather conditions. The strong northwesterly winds that prevailed after Cannikin (Appendix B) caused animals affected by the shot to drift southeastward; thus, searches were focused in that direction. The dis**x.** tribution of the anlmals found, as shown in Figure 3 (particularly on the Pacific Ocean coast), demonstrates the effect of the winds on the distribution of casualties:

"Instruments used are marked for psi; metric equivalent 6, 89  $\times$  10<sup>3</sup> N/m<sup>2</sup>. **'!.I.** L. hterrirr, personal cammunication. 1970.

đ



# **FIGURE 2. BEACH TRANSECTS SEARCHED** PRE-CANNIKIN **TO ESTABLISH NATURAL MORTALITY OF SEA OTTERS AT AMCHITKA** and  $\alpha$  **R**

AT AMCHITKA<br>The results of the pre-Cannikin beach surveys and the post-Cannikin searches are combined in Figure 4. The post-Cannikin searches were more intenaive, and the areas searched were greater than those covered during other months of the year. However,  $\frac{556}{100}$  Figure 4 shows that a relatively large number of sea otter mortalities occurred at a Figure 4 shows that a relatively large number of sea otter mortalities occurred at a time when natural mortality in the area was probably at a low level; the autopsies performed on animals recovered, discussed below, support the conclusion that most of the samiliarity after the test were in fact casualties of Cannikin. animals found dead or injured shortly after the test were in fact casualties of Cannikin.

*.-:.I* 

S)<br>Si

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

劉列

ី|
|

Table **1** lists the dead or fatally injured sea otters, and abandoned otter pups, found during the post-Cannikin beach searches. Cause of death is given when possible. Autopsies ahow that 8 of the 13 autopsied were killed by pressure effects in water, 2 were crushed by rock falls, and 3 were killed by vertical acceleration (upthrust of the ground). Other otters recovered were so badly deteriorated, either through putrefaction or scavenging, that autopsies could not be made to determine cause of death.  $\cdot$ However, skulls of the sea otter skeletons found on **Dt20** showed fractures of the orbital part of the frontal bone, believed to be evidence of pressure pulse damage, so it was part of the frontal bone, believed to be evidence of pressure pulse damage, so it was  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  assumed that these animals were killed by pressure effects from Cannikin.

Animals killed by pressure pulse exhibited bleeding from the mouth and nose, and sometimes from the ears. The lungs and associated tissues were severely  $\Box$ 

 $10<sub>1</sub>$ 



# TABLE 1. SUMMARY OF OBSERVATIONS ON 23 SEA OTTERS BELIEVED TO HAVE BEEN AFFECTED BY CANNIKIN

and the state

(a) Autopsy performed.<br>(b) Lactating.

N.

hemorrhagic, sometimes with rupture of the lungs. Severe hemorrhage had also occurred along the vertebral column and within the spinal canal. Superficial hemorrhage was usually seen on the brain. The internal parts of the ears suffered varying degrees of<sub>-damage. The larger air passages in the lungs typically contained inspired</sub> stomach contents as well as blood. The abdominal viscera were little affected.



## **FIGURE** 3. BEACHES SEARCHED POST-CANNIKIN **AND** LOCATIONS OF **DEAD** MARINE **MAMMALS** RECOVERED AND ANIMALS **INJURED** OR ABANDONED **(PUPS)**

Sea otters killed by vertical acceleration showed thoracic and abdominal effects of trauma, and one animal had brokenribs and fractures of **the** skull. Of the two sea otters crushed by falling rocks, one had a crushed head, while the posterior part of the body of the other had been crushed, causing rupture of the abdominal viscera and severe hemorrhage in the muscles of the rear legs.

In summary, 23 sea otters were recovered or observed that could have been killed by Cannikin, 10 of which were killed by pressure pulses, 2 by rockfalls, and 3 **by**  vertical acceleration. Four died of causes that may have been related to Capnikin but this could not be determined with certainty, and 4 injured or abandoned animals probably died due to indirect causes related to Cannikin.

While it is assumed that the otter casualties reported in the foregoing discussion represent a fraction of the total number of otters killed by Cannikin, it is not realistic to guess what that fraction is. The assumption that not all dead and injured animals were accounted for by the post-Cannikin searches is based mainly on the following considerations:

 $\omega_{\rm S}$ 

弱

ý,

Ş, e.<br>E

ð.





Includes one dead otter recovered in **the cated death was due to pressure effects.**<br> **bottom trawl ~ 2.5 km offshore in Bering**  $\overline{ }$ 

- (1) During the early post-Cannikin period, wind direction and force were such that most animals killed on the Bering Sea side of the Island may have drifted away from shore and hence could not have been sighted or recovered, particularly under the unfavorable weather conditions.
- while diving may not have resurfaced, because of changes in relative buoyancy caused by the pressure effects. Such casualties of course would not have been found in the beach searches. Some support for this hypotheais is given by the €act that one **FIGURE 4. NUhlBERS OF SEA OTTERS €OW DEAD** dead otter was recovered in a bottom trawl **OR INJURED ON AMCHITKA BEACHES. at a depth of 30 fathoms in the Bering Sea** and 1970-1971 **1970 -1971 on Dtlb;** autopsy by the **UAz** biologist indi-

**Sea, ard two abadooed pup. (3)** The two animals crushed by fallen rocks were found only because the carcasses were

partially exposed; others may have been completely buried and hence nai seen.

**(4)** Comparison of pre- and post-Camikin observations and counts of sea otters along the coasts adjacent to Cannikin **SZ** suggests a sizeable population reduction in close-in areas in the early postshot period.

Viewing conditions shortly before and after D-day were unfavorable for censusing sea otters, but some counts were made. Many variables confound the results of these counts, **and** their usefulness as a basin for quantitatively assessing the sea otter losses due to Cannikin is clearly limited.

Post-Camikin sea otter counts were made by the **UAz** biologist, assisted by **ADFSrC** biologists; from selected cliffaide viewing points along a section of Bering Sea coast extending from Cyril Cove to Sea Otter Point, on **D+13** to D+15. Because of unfavorable weather conditions, no late pre-Cannikin counts were made in the same area by the same technique, ao **no** strictly comparablepretest data are available for comparison with the post-Cannikin counta . However, the **UAz** investigator reports that his observations made immediately post-Cannikin indicate that there were obviously fewer animals along the Bering Sea coast, between Crown Reefer Point and Sea Otter Point, than there were preevent. His observations along the Pacific coast indicated no large localized population reductions, but losses did occur in the Pacific, since 16 of the 23 casualties listed in Table I were found on the Pacific Ocean coast.

BCL and **FWS** observers made a series of pre- and post-Cannikin aea otter counts along the Bering Sea and Pacific Ocean coasts during helicopter overflights from D-16 through D-3 (16 counts) and from D-day through D+15 (7 counts). Since viewing conditions were generally unfavorable, the counts can be considered to reflect only relative abundance, rather than anything like a complete census. The areas covered in these counts were from Crown Reefer Point to Chitka Point on the Bering Sea coast, and from Rifle Range Point to ~1.6 km north of Mex Island on the Pacific (Figure 1).

The average numbers of sea otters counted during the post -Cannikin helicopter surveys were about half as large as the numbers counted before Cannikin.<sup>\*</sup> The observers believe that the counts show evidence of a real decline in sea otter population on both coasts adjacent to Cannikin **SZ** after the test. The size of the decline cannot be reliably estimated, in terms of absolute numbers of animals, from these counts.

On January 12, 1972, **AEC-NVOO** convened an Advisory Panel to review AEC activities relating to sea otters **and,** on the basis of this review, to recbmmend to AEC-NVOO the future scope of sea otter research activities at Amchitka Island. Members of the Panel were:

Leo K. Bustad Director Radiobiology Laboratory University of California Davis, California

Douglas **C.** Chapman Dean College of Fisheries University of Washington Seattle, Washington

Karl W. Kenyon Wildlife Biologist Bureau of Sport Fiaheries **and**  Wildlife Seattle, Washington

Charles M. Loveless Assistant Director-Research Bureau of Sport Fisherles and Wildlife Washington, D. C.

A.

T) ो

ě.

Ñ

Vincent Schultz Professor of Zoology Washington State University Pullman, Washington

Clayton S. White<br>Director Lovelace Foundation Albuquerque , **New** Mexico

The investigators involved in the Cannikin-related sea otter studies presented their data, and their judgments regarding the impact of Cannikin on the Amchitka sea otter population. Stressing the many factors that make preciae aasensment of the impact impossible, the Panel concluded: "Baaed on data presented to us, it is impossible to estimate reliably the number of sea otters killed by Cannikin. It is suggested that the data collected next aummer may reflect the general magnitude of the loss".

While available data are inadequate for a precise quantitative assessment of sea otter losses due to Cannikin, participants **m** the rnarlne mammal studies agree that these losses will have no long-term adverse affects on the Amchitka Island sea otter population. There was no clear evidence of habitat damage that would reduce carrying capacity of the area, and the population is expected to return to normal levels through natural reproduction.

The two other marine mammals commonly occurring in the nearshore habitat around the Island are Steller's sea lions (Eumetopias jubata) and harbor seals (Phoca vitulina). Ny dead sea lions were found after Cannikin, and there is no evidence that the Island population of these animals was affected. Four dead harbor seals were recovered after the test, two on the Pacific coast and two on the Bering Sea shore. Autopsies showed that these **four** seals were killed by pressure pulses in the water.

**<sup>\*</sup>Pscenr (May-lune. 1972) shore surveys along the Bering Sea** *corn* **off Camikin in2 counted less than half as many orren a! h.ere counted in the same sector in June. 1971.** 

All had severely hemorrhagic lungs and both lungs had ruptured in one animal. All showed some degree of damage to ears and eyes, **and** pressure had forced the eyes inward enough to bilaterally shatter the orbital bone.

The seal population of Amchitka has not been monitored closely, but its distribution appears to vary considerably from natural causes. There is no evidence that this population was adversely affected by Cannikin, although a few animals were killed.

Ť

## Other Marine Biota

To investigate the effects of the Cannikin test in the marine waters adjacent to **Cannikin** SZ, FRI investigators planned to (1) extrapolate from the reports of the Long Shot and Milrow tests and from literature sources, to predict both the lethal pressure thresholds for important marine fishes and the seawater pressure regimea that might be expected from the Cannikin shock wave, (2) design and conduct testtime experiments<sup>'</sup> to determine the effect of the detonation on representative fishes, **(3)** observe and record any fish kills attributable to Cannikin, (4) determine the mechanisms of any damage experienced by marine **fiah** from the test, **(5)** compare pre- **and** postevent fish catches in both nearshore and offshore waters, and *(6)* study the short- and long-term effects of intertidal displacement and subtidal bottom disruption. NMFS investigators collected data on the pre- **and** postevent population denaities and size distributions of sea itrchins in selected areas in the Bering Sea and Pacific Ocean off Cannikin **SZ.** 

Studies and experiments for Cannikin were planned by FRI with consideration for baseline data collected prior to the test, experience gained from the Milrow test, and predictions of possible Cannikin effects on the marine environment. Because of adverse weather conditions immediately before the test, important experiments with captive marine fish in live boxes could not be carried out; hence the evaluation of Cannikin effects on the marine ecosystem is limited. Observations of sea urchin populations were successfully completed by **NMFS** investigatora; preevent data were collected September 2 to 5, 1971, and postevent counts were made on November 11 to 16, 1971.

Although high winda **and** accompanying heavy seas on D- 1 inade it impractical to carry out the planned marine live box experiments and related water-pressure measurements, one "string" of two live boxes was set in Constantine Harbor in water 18 m **(1'0** fm) deep, approximately 7. 5 hr before thedetonation. One waa l'ocated near the bottom at 16 m (9 **fm), and** the other at 2 m (1 fm). The lower box contained *6* rock greenling (Hexagrammos lagocephalus), 4 Pacific cod (Gadus macrocephalus), 3 Pacific halibut (Hippoglossus stenolepis), 2 red Irish lord (Hemilepidotus presentations immediately before the test, important experiments with<br>marine fish in live boxes could not be carried out; hence the evaluation of C<br>effects on the marine ecosystem is limited. Observations of sea urchin po Studies and experiments for Cannikin were planned by FRI with consideratio<br>baseline data collected prior to the test, experience gained from the Milrow test,<br>predictions of possible Cannikin effects on the marine environm (Myoxocephalus polyacanthocephalus), and dusky rockflsh (Sebastes ciliatus). The upper box contained 10 rock greenling and *6* Pacific cod.

The boxes were retrieved at about **Ht3** and the fish were examined for evidence of test-related injury. Only one fish, a Pacific cod 67 cm long, from the upper box, exhibited any abnormality. This fish had a "bubble" in its right eye and appeared to have some difficulty in maintaining equilibrium. The fact that no such symptoms were shown by **any** of the other fish suggests that this one may have been affected by handling, probably during the setting or retrieval operations. No pressure-measurement gauges were exposed with the Constantine Harbor Iive boxes, so no data are available regarding the pressure changes to which the fish were exposed. The test does indicate that fish of the species included in the live boxes, in shallow water at distances of  $-15$  km or more from SZ were not adversely affected by Cannikin.

Starting at approximately H+4, biologists were able to survey sections of Bering Sea and Pacific Ocean beaches near Cannikin **SZ.** The survey was limited since the daylight remaining after the search began was brief. A few rock greenling, some still<br>alive, were found stranded in an uplifted intertidal area of the Bering Sea beach. In-<br>tensive beach searches began on D+1 and continued alive, were found stranded in an uplifted intertidal area of the Bering Sea beach. Intensive beach searches began on D+1 and continued through D+3; intermittent surveys continued for approximately **2** weeks more.

Ş

٢. ै।<br>प

i<br>N

i<br>Vi

ñ.

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

셨 έJ

Ú,

The searches yielded 277 rock greenling, 7 Pacific sandfish (Trichodon trichodon). the skull of an unidentified rockiish, Sebastes sp. All except the cod and rockiish re- :mains were recovered from the uplifted intertidal bench **on** the Bering Sea coast adjacent to Cannikin SZ, between Banjo Point and Sand Beach Cove\* (see Figure 51.



FIGURE *5.* BEACHES SEARCHED POST-CANNIKIN AND LOCATIONS OF DEAD, INJURED, AND STRANDED FISH AND SKELETONS OF **FISH** RECOVERED FROM MARINE AREAS POST-CANNIKIN

The lancetfish, an offshore pelagic species, is often driven ashore by storms, and its good physical condition suggests that this was what had happened to the specimen recovered. The Pacific sandfish commonly burrows upright into sand of the intertidal zone, but the fish recovered were stranded in the Sand Beach Cove area as a result of intertidal-bench uplifting. Several of the fish were found still alive, though high and dry in the sand of the upper part oi the beach.

 $\sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{2}}$  The uplifted bench region on the Bering Sea coast adjacent to Cannikin SZ is discussed in a later section of this report. **?reliminay and incomplete survey informarion indicares that the extent of uplift was on the order of 0.25 to 1.1 rn !see .+ppendix A).** 

Of the 277 rock greenling recovered, 23 were autopsied. About half of these exhibited hemorrhaging or other damage in the brain cavity and/or in the viscera. The others, exhibiting no evident injury, are assumed to have suffocated on being stranded by the uplifting of the intertidal bench. Thus, it appears that the greenling were killed either by stranding or by physical injury incurred from rapid vertical acceleration of the bench. Rock greenling characteristically feed over the intertidal bench at high tide (Simenstad, 1971).

The tide was at a slack high of about 1 m in Constantine Harbor at the time of the Cannikin detonation, so it is likely that a large number of greenlings were over the bench when it was uplifted. It is estimated, based on prior sampling, that several thousand greenlings may have been over the affected bench at testtime; thus, the 277 specimens recovered represent an unknown iraction of the total rock greenling mortality in this area. Some localized reduction in rock greenling abundance is also suggested by the trammel-net catch data discussed later in this section.

Eight Pacific cod skeletons and 1 rockfish skull **(all** freshly cleaned by amphipads) were found on Pacific Ocean beaches on **DtZ** and 3. As freshly cleaned fish skeletons on the beaches of Amchitka are uncommon (especially in such numbers), it is likely that these fish were kiHed **by** Cannikin. **Of** the 8 Pacific cod skeletons, 7 were found along the east shore of St. Makarius Bay about 14 km from Cannikin *CZ.* This is approximately the same distance from *SZ* as the fish (including Pacific cod) held in the live box in Constantine Harbor, which were unaffected by the event. It may be assumed that the fish were probably nearer SZ at testtime, and drifted to the recovery location under the influence of the northwesterly winds that prevailed at testtime and for several hours afterward (Appendix **B**).

The first post-Cannikin helicopter overflight began about **H+Z** and lasted for 1 hour. During this flight, weather and sea conditions were poor for observations but numerous gulls and sea otters were observed within about 5 km of SZ. All groups of gulls observed within this area were investigated from a distance of about 30  $m$  because it had been hypothesized by **FRI** biologists and others that concentrations of feeding gulls might indicate the location of fish kiHed by the test. No dead or injured fishes were observed floating on the nearshore sea surface during the post-Cannikin beach and helicopter surveys.

Direct evidence of mortalities in the nearshore region is limited to those fish recovered from the beaches. The number of fish recovered probably represent only a iraction of the fish killed because **(1)** dead or injured fish could have drifted away from the search areas under the influence of winds and currents, (2) fish could have been buried in kelp, cliff falls, and mud slides, **(3).** they could have been picked up by predators or scavengers, and **(4)** some may have been missed by ihe survey paqties. It is noteworthy that the only fishes found in shore searches on the Bering Sea side were on the uplifted section of the beach between Banjo Point and Sand Beach Cove. Others were probably affected in the Bering Sea waters (on the basis of predicted magnitude and areal extent of the pressure pulses), but drifted away from the Island under the influence of offshore wind and were not recovered.

Because trammel nets are highly efficient in shallow waters and produce minimal injury to the fish caught, they were selected as the gear type for sampling nearshore fish populations adjacent to Cannikin SZ to determine abundance of fishes. Nearshore sampling was concentrated along the Bering Seacoast because this area is closer to Cannikin *SZ* than the corresponding Xorth Pacific coast and was, therefore? expected to experience greater underwater pressure pulses.

Preevent sampling included two trammel net sets, **and** poatevent sampling, seven sets. **The** locations and catch data for these sets are given in Figure **6** and Table **2,**  respectively.



----- Broken lines near shore indicate coord

### FIGURE 6. TRAMMEL NET **SETS W** THE VICINITY OF **CANNIKIN SZ**

Broken lines near ahore indicate approximate intertidal bench areas. The 1,000-m **UTM** grid is shown on land.

The two diatinct inshore fish communities found in the nearshore Bering Sea waters off **Canaiki SZ** are aaaociated with rock-algae and sand-gravel habitata (Isaksoa, et al., 1971). **One** preevent set was made in each of these communities; of the postevent sets, four were in the rock-algae and three in the sand-gravel areas.

**Only** one species, the rock greenting, waa caught in sufficient numbera to provide a meaningful compariaon between preevent and poetevent catches. The data for this species show a considerable reduction in catch per unit of effort (fish/hour) in the first postevent aamplinga made on **D+5.** Catches in the sand-gravel area reniained very low throughout the 10-day post-Cannikin aampling period, but by **Dt15** the catch per unit of effort in the rock-algae habitat had returned nearly to pretest levels (Figure **7).** \* \*

**FRI** planned to utilize three methods to detect and evaluate the effects of Cannikin of effort in the rock-algae habitat had returned nearly to pretest levels (Figure 7).<br>
FRI planned to utilize three methods to detect and evaluate the effects of Cannikin<br>
in the offshore waters: live-box experiments, viau mander, and comparison of pre- and postevent catches made with standard fishing gear. Aa noted earlier, the live-box experiments planned for offshore locationa were abandoned because of adverse sea conditions.



**FIGURE 1. NUMBER OF ROCK GREENLING CAUGHT PER HOW, IN NEARSHORE BERING SEA WATERS ADJACENT TO CANNIKLN SZ, PRE- AND POSTEVENT** 

Direct observations for any floating dead fish were planned for the early posttest period, as soon as the vessel was permitted to move into the area off Cannikin **SZ.** It was anticipated that in the event of a large fish kill, some stunned or dead fish would float to the surface where they could be located and collected. However, weather and sea conditions at, and just after, testtime reduced the probability of seeing fish on the surface. A heavy, wind-driven chop superimposed on 6-9 m seas churned the sea surface into a white froth, and observers aboard the **M/V** Commander saw no dead or injured fish, birds, or marine mammals as they passed Cannikin SZ, 6 to 8 km offshore, at **Hi2** hours.

Sampling of offshore fish populations from. **the M/V** Commander began on August 27 and continued through November 21. Forty-four bottom trawl hauls, 40 midwater trawl hauls, and 14 salmon Iongline sets were made. Catches for the midwater trawls are not presented in this report because the catches have yet to be counted and identified. Hence, the only basis Catches from two fish communities are **available** at this time for evaluating the effects **presented.** of Cannikin on the offshore fish populations is the comparison of pre- and postevent catches by bottom trawls and salmon Longline sets.

Twenty-seven bottom trawl hauls were selected for comparison, based on proximity to **SZ** and similarity of location and depth (Figure 8). All were in the Bering Sea, at distances ranging from 3 to 11 km from Cannikin SZ. Table 3 gives the catch data for these 27 trawl hauls. **A** one-way analysis of variance was done-on the catch data of each species, or group of species, using **the** time periode (preevent and postevent) as treatments. Table. 4 presents the results of these analyses for the trawl hauls. These analyses show a statistically significant decline in catches of rock sole after the Cannikin event. The results for other bottom fiahes show no significant changes in catches, **but**  the catches were so small that comparison is hardly meaningful.

. *lt,* is believed that the catch data for rock sole provide the most reliable information available for assessing effects of Cannikin in the adjacent Bering Sea offshore marine environment. There was a marked decline in the catches of this species foltowing Cannikin. This decline could have resulted from a normal offshore movement, **but**  no reference to such offshore movement of rock sole during October has been found in the literature, in FRI catch data from previous years, or in data collected by the International Pacific Halibut Commission. It is concluded that the decline in catches of rock sole is probably an effect of Cannikin, i.e., evidence of a large mortality (the investigators believe a reasonable estimate would be thousands of fish).

Fishes other than rock sole may have suffered some mortality, but because of the small catches and large variance among samples, no significant changes in abundance were detected. However, some additional direct and indirect evidence of fish kill attributable to Cannikin is available: On **D+3** one dead dusky rockfish (Sebastes ciliatus) was taken in a bottom trawl (Haul 33) **in** the Bering Sea about b **km** from Cannikin SZ.

Also it is to be noted that before Cannikin, large schools of fish were detected (with the M/V Commander echo sounder) "hovering" around rock pinnacles. This behavior is characteristic of the rockfishes. These schools were not detected after Cannikin.

# TABLE 2. TRAMMEL NET SETS AND CATCHES IN BERING SEA NEARSHORE WATERS, IN VICINITY OF SZ. PRE-AND POST-CANNIKIN, 1971



(a) R-A = Inshore Rock-Algae Habitat.

S-G = Inshore Sand-Gravel Habitat.

Comparison of salmon longline data showed no significant change in salmon catches (Table 4). Five preevent and three postevent sets were chosen for this comparison on the basis of proximity to Cannikin SZ and to each other. The comparison indicates that salmon populations in the vicinity of Amchitka were not effected by the Cannikin event.

The intertidal study areas IA-2, IA-3, and the intertidal region off Banjo Point (Figure 6) were inspected during the period December 1-8, 1971. Preevent sampling was conducted at the IA-2 and IA-3 areas in September and these beaches were walked by various investigators during the late pre-Cannikin period. It is by comparing the post-Cannikin status of the beach areas with the condition of the same areas as observed pre-Cannikin that the intertidal disturbances observed can be attributed to Cannikin. Of 45 plots established in the IA-2 and IA-3 areas, 7 were completely buried by cliff falls and 3 others were partially buried. The remaining plots were sampled for algae and 19 were sampled for invertebrates during a survey in December, 1971. The detailed plot data will be presented in a later report. This report is limited to visual observations.

 $\equiv$ 



**FIGURE 8. LOCATIONS AND DIRECTIONS OF BOTTOM TRAWL HAULS** 



# TABLE 3. BOTTOM TRAWL SETS AND CATCHES IN THE BERING SEA OFF AMCHITKA, PRE- AND POST-CANNIKIN

 $\mathbb{R}$ 

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

<sup>(</sup>See Figure 8 for locations)

# TABLE 4. STATISTICAL COMPARISONS OF **PRE-** AND **POSTEVENT** BOTTOM-**TRAWL** AND SALMON LONGLINE CATCHES

Preevent Postevent Species or Group Mean  $\pm$  Std. Dev. (a) Mean  $\pm$  Std. Dev. d. **f.** (b) **F(c)**  $d, f, (b)$ .Rock sole 154.46 79.92 21.57 29.78 1, 25 33.75, **p<.** 01 Pacific halibut 16.77 + 16.18 33.64 + 45.35 1, 25 1.61, N.S.<br>Pacific cod 3.77 + 6.57 5.21 + 12.61 1.25 0.14 N.S. Rock sole 154, 46 ± 79, 92 21, 57 ± 29, 78 1, 25 33, 75, p<, 0<br>
Pacific halibut 16, 77 ± 16, 18 33, 64 ± 45, 35 1, 25 1, 61, N, S,<br>
Pacific cod 3, 77 ± 6, 57 5, 21 ± 12, 61 1, 25 0, 14, N, S,<br>
Southing 1, 27 + 7, 12 7, 03, Sculpins 4.77 ± 7.12 7.93 ± 14.37 1.25 0.51 N.S. Poachers 6.31 \* 8.08 1.64 **3.** 10 **L,** 25 4.04. N.S. Salmon  $10.20 \pm 7.63$   $3.00 \pm 2.65$   $1, 6$   $2.36, N.S.$ . 01<br>S.<br>S.<br>S.<br>S.<br>S.<br>S.

The trawl catches are given as number of fish caught per hour of fishing effort and the salmon catch as actual numbers caught since there is little difference in longline set duration.

**(a) Srd. Dev.** = **Standard deviation or deviation** of **the observation\$.** 

 $\mathfrak i$ 

**Ib)** d,f. = **Degree1 of freedom** (in **this case. one less than the number** of obrervarion% **made).** 

*Ic)* **F** = **Tat lor significance. pc. 01 tlaere is lets than 1 prcent chance of being wrong; N.S.** = nor **sigoi(icant.** 

Early post-Cannikin visual observations by **FRI** biologists suggest that the intertidal bench on the Bering Sea shore was noticeably uplifted along a section of coastline some 2 km long, extending from just southeast of Banjo Point to Sand Beach Cove.<sup>-</sup> Preliminary survey data (Appendix A, Table  $A-5$  and Figure A-5) indicate that the maximum uplift of about 1. 1 **rn** occurred off the point immediately east of Sand Beach Cove, with a secondary maximum of about 0.9 **rn** near the mouth of White Alice Creek. The uplift diminished gradually from the White Alice Creek effluence toward Banjo Point, where an upward displacement of about 0.25 m was recorded **by** the survey.

Some species of algae are dying in the uplifted intertidal section. On the shoreward portions of the benches, the populations of Fucus distichus, Clathromorphum circumscriptum, and C. loculosum are dead or dying. Corallina spp. throughout the area are exhibiting some die-off. The species most severely affected throughout the uplifted area are Iridaea cornucopiae and Halosaccion glandiforme. For the kelp, a mixed picture results from the complicated physiography of the benches. On the inner channels that were previously washed by wave action but are now dry, Hedophyllum sessile and Laminaria longipes are dying off. This is less apparent on the seaward faces of the benches. These effects are primarily evident at **L4-2;** IA-3 appeared to have little die -off.

Studies of the Milrow-uplifted **IA-1** area in Duck Cove (Figure 1) which was lifted about .l3 cm, have shown that algal communities were radically altered and the process continues (Burgner et al., 1971). Despite the fact that the uplifting at IA-2 and IA-3 is considerably greater, a pattern of die-off and change in the intertidal algal community similar to that observed at the IA-1 area is expected.

The uplifting at IA-2 has also affected invertebrate communities. Certain species are dying off relatively rapidly, whereas others have either moved down out of those areas no longer suitable for habitation or are remaining but are not yet severely affected. Those showing early die-off are the sessile species found in the Hedophyllum and Laminaria zones such as the solitary tunicates, Styela spp., and a particularly

widespread green encrusting sponge. The major sessile species of the upper intertidal 24<br>
widespread green encrusting sponge. The major sessile species of the upper intertida<br>
zone, Mytilus edulis (bay mussel) and Balanus glandula (the acorn barnacle), have not<br>
shown extensive die-off. Evidence of movement shown extensive die-off. Evidence of movement of an upper intertidal species into areas formerly not occupied by that species was shown by Littorina aleutica (a periwinkle). Eleven individuals of this species were recorded in a  $0.25$ - $m^2$  plot formerly in the Laminaria zone, which is not normally occupied by this species. There is also evidence of increased predation on invertebrates by gulls and oystercatche rs . This is particularly noticeable with the limpets, Acmaea spp. Uplifting has resulted in limpets being exposed for longer times at low tide. Oystercatchers feed on limpets, and are now afforded a greater opportunity to search out and consume their prey. Increased . oystercatcher predation was indicated by the large number of limpet shells overturned and empty on the bench, and by the sighting of large flocks of oystercatchers and gulls near **IA-L** after Cannikin. No invertebrates at IA-3 were visibly affected by uplifting at the time of the initial postshot survey.

The reduction in populations of intertidal macroinvertebrates, due to uplifting of' portions of the intertidal bench, is likely to have an indirect eifect on nearahore fish populations, particularly on rock greenling, which feed on the benches at high tide. Such reduction in the feeding habitat of nearshore fish species will be limited to some area in the vicinity of Cannikin SZ. The size of the area that may be affected has not been determined, but it will be relatively small compared to the total area of intertidal bench habitat around Amchitka.

XMFS diver/biologists conducted pre- and post-Cannikin sampling in the shallow waters off Amchitka to detect effects of Cannikin on the biota **and** habitat in this zone. Sampling was carried out at four sites (Figure **91,** of which two (Sites 2 **and** 3) are adjacent to **SZ,** and two (Sitea **I** and **4)** are beyond the predicted influence of Cannikin and serve as controls. The quantitative sampling was focused on determining densities and size compositions of the green sea urchin (Strongylocentrotus polyacanthus) populations at each site. The pre-Cannikin sampling was done September  $2 \text{ to } 5$ , 1971, while the post-Cannikin sampling was done November 11 to 16, 1971 (D+5 to D+10). Qualitative observations included a cursory visual inspection of the subtidal bottom environment at the sea urchin sampling sites **and** at two supplemental sites (Figure 9) in the Bering Sea off Cannikin SZ. The object of these observations was to note any massive changes in the bottom topography, or any evidence of adverse effects on the biota.

Observations at the four established sampling sitea indicated few effects of Cannikin in the benthic environment. Pre- and posttest data on urchin population densities are presented in Table 5. No reductions in the densities or changes in the size compositions of the urchin populations could be attributed to the test. The apparent drop of 33 percent in the density of urchins at Site 4 is probably related solely to urchin behavior and the morphology of the substrate at this site. Much of the bottom is a sand-silt mixture into which the urchins often burrow, especially during peri6ds of heavy wave surge. The rough seas before, during, and following the test probably caused more urchins than usual to be buried in the substrate and thus not observable by the diversiluring the posttest sampling. Qualitative inspections at the sea urchin **<sup>4</sup>**ampling sites disclosed no dead or injured organisms; the only damage seen was at Site 2 where small pieces of live coralline algae had broken from the edges of large patches of this algae.

Observations at two supplemental sites in the Bering Sea near SZ disclosed some slight-to-moderate substrate disruption and associated biological damage. The most extensive damage was found in the area about 1.8 **km** from SZ, which was apparently

烈烈

ą

经财政 医心腹炎 医皮肤

高速

薬)

Ã,

 $\mathcal{Q}_\parallel$ 

ΠI i<br>Sa

 $\sim 1$ 8.

near an active fault line. Numerous large blocks of rock were broken from the bedrock outcrop and **had** been tumbled. The new positions of these rocks were evidenced by the freshly fractured surfaces exposed and the presence of yet -living algae (Laminaria sp. **and** others) on the underside of some of the largest fragments.



## FIGURE 9. **SEA URCHIN** SAMPLING **SITES** AT AMCHITKA





**(a) Average number of** urchinr **coliected in rwentg 0.25-meter-square** plea.

(b) **Range in mmkr of urchim per plot.** 

Ŋ 2)  $\frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$ e<br>S 

These post-Cannikin underwater observations were extremely limited, and the divers were able to inspect carefully only two very small areas, in addition to the pre selected sampling sites. Subsequent field trips will include more-extensive underwater surveys to determine if there are other areas of substrate disruption, and to assess possible biological effects.

#### Physical Changes

Rockfalls and turf slides, especially on the Bering Sea coast from just east of Banjo Point to just west of Petrel Point, smothered some marine organisms in localized areas of the upper intertidal zone, but there is no indication that this will seriously affect **the** marine ecosystem. There were also some changes in elevation of coastal areas on the Bering Sea side (Figure 10) that will produce changes in the intertidal communities. (The area involved is described in Appendixes A and C. ) The biological consequences of this phenomenon will be monitored and described in future reports.  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

Underwater substrate disruption was noted during very limited surveys by NMFS biologist/divers at two sites in the Bering Sea near SZ. Areas of such disruption are probably more extensive, especially in areas of offahore extensions of active faults. The biological effects of these substrate breaks may be locally severe, but are probably of only short-term and very localized significance. Future underwater surveys will be designed to measure the extent of such bottom damage and to monitor recovery of disturbed areas.

Turbidity may have adversely affected marine biota in localized areas of nearshore habitat (Figure 11). The turbidity was evident soon after the detonation  $-$  mostly along the Bering Sea coast in areas of extensive cliff spalling and turf falls. Most of the turbidity resulted from dispersion of fine soil and rock particles (derived from the erosion of soil and rock thrown.onto the beach and into the intertidal zone by ground shockl. Some marine organisms may have been smothered as the particles settled to the bottom, but **any** such effect on benthic populations is likely to be transient. For several days after Cannikijn high turbidity was evident, in some places even extending out into the kelp beds normally frequented by feeding and resting sea otters. The effect of such turbidity on sea otter feeding behavior and distribution is not known. Temporary periods of high turbidity are to be expected in the nearshore waters along the Bering coast for several months whenever heavy rains or unusually high seas erode and disperse particles from the material thrown from the cliffs by Cannikin.

For several hours post-Cannikin a plume of muddy water was visible in the Pacific Ocean off the mouth of Falls Creek, which drains the Drill Site D area. This resulted from a detonation-induced breach of the dike around a drilling-mud pond, from which an estimated 30,000 bbl (4800 **m3)** of mud and water escaped before the breach was closed. The mud flowed down the stream from the site, and some of'it was discharged into the Pacific Ocean on the extensive intertidal bench at the mouth of the stream (Figure 12). The effects, if any, of this material on the marine ecosystem in that area have not yet been determined.




b. Photographed on 0-5.

ELEURE 10. JAN CUTAPTIDAL BELAN AREA AT APPROXICATELY THE SAME TIDE STAJE, BEFORE AN OVETET A CANNE IN 1982ING COAST, 115 KM, AZIMUTH 14' FROM CANNIKIN SZ. TAKEN WITH PHERBEIT COLOR FILM WHICH DEPICTS LIVING VEGET ATION AS RED. THE BENCH AREA IS UPLIFTED AND MUCH OF IT IS NOT COVERED BY WATER IN THE POST HIMMIKIN PHOTO ERAPH

(BCL Photograph 1.03, 4A-21 and 43-103)



FIGURE 11. TURBIDITY IN NEARSHORE WATERS OFF THE BERING COAST ON D-DAY, J. L. L. ENI, J. TMUTH BBC FROM CANNIKIN SZ (BCL Photograph No.  $6B-10$ ) and  $\sim$  $\sim 10^7$ 



**a. Holding Ponds at Site D** on **Dtl, After Repair of bike** 



b. Pacific Ocean at Mouth of Falls Creek, on D+1

÷,

**FIGURE** 12. 'DRILLING MUD HOLDING PONDS AND RESULTS OF SPILL IN NEARSHORE PACIFIC OCEAN WATERS AT MOUTH OF FALLS **CREEK, WHICH** DRAINS THE SITE D AREA

> The Falls Creek effluence is **-7** km, azimuth 295', from **Cannikin** SZ. (BCL photograph numbers 2B-98 and **ZB-** 108.1

#### $F$ reshwater Ecosystems

..,

.

. ..

'I

ň

ester<br>19

3

Septembre

The freshwater ecosystems of Amchitka were studied by investigators from BCL and Utah State University (USU). Physical changes were documented with aerial photography by BCL. **9.'** .: . .

#### Limnology

The objectives of the testtime limnology studies conducted by BCL were to detect short-term effects of Cannikin, including the detection of changes in abundance among phyto- and zooplankton genera, and to monitor any gross chemical or physical changes : . . . . . . . . . . . .<br>occurring in the lakes as a result of Cannikin. The data were evaluated in the perspective of normal seasonal trends in these parameters.

Data were collected between September 16 and 21, and between October 13 and November 17, 1971. Twenty-seven lakes were studied to detect possible changes produced by Cannikin (Figure 13). These lakes were selected on the basis of (1) morphology and relative permanence, (2) location with respect to Cannikin SZ, (3) accessibility, **(-1)** existence of prior sampling data, and (3) relationship to the freshwater fisheries investigations.



FIGURE 13. CANNIKIN INTENSIVE-STUDY LAKES, LIMNOLOGICAL STUDIES

Five criteria were selected as indicators of immediate test-related disturbance of the study lakes: **(1 1** partial or complete drainage of a lake due to fracture of the bottom seal or to a breach of the peat retaining dam, (2) increase in alkalinity due to the rupture  $\sim$  of the peat mat with resulting exposure of surface drainage water to alkaline subsurface of the peat mat with resulting exposure of surface drainage water to alkaline subsurface mineral soil or bedrock, **(3)** decrease in primary productivity as detected **by** a reduction

of pH, (4) reduction in plankton populations due to direct or indirect effects of the ground shockwave, and (5) increase in suspended-silt load due to disturbance of sediments. These types of changes were anticipated to be subtle changes in short-term evaluation. These potential effects were generally masked by the severe storm which struck Amchitka on D-1.

Analyses of samples from the intensive - study Lakes during preevent sampling pro duced water-chemistry values generally similar to those obtained during the previous autumn. Values of pH in most of the lakes were either decreasing or remaining relatively stable during the preevent sampling period. This was, no doubt, a reflection of decreasing primary production in the lakes with the advancing season and also dilution of lake water with slightly acidic rainwater during periods of increased precipitation. Preevent alkalinity values in most of the lakes we re essentially unchanged from those measured in autumn, 1970. About **22** percent were slightty decreased and another ? percent were slightly increased. In about half the lakes alkalinities were increasing during October and November, **1971,** while none of the intensive-study lakes were decreasing in alkalinity.

Changes in pH post-Cannikin ranged from -0, **35** to **to. 54** pH units. The largest positive changes occurred in Lakes 30, 31b, and 58b. The greatest negative changes were observed in Lakes 9, 42e, DH and **49.** There was no apparent relationship between the changes in pH and the distance of the lakes from SZ. -

The only increases in alkalinity noted in the intensive-study lakes post-Cannikin were in two lakes within I. 4 **krn** of **SZ.** Alkalinity in Lake DH increased from 3. 0 **rug/ I**   ${CaCO_3}$  equivalent) pretest to 6.0 mg/1 posttest; the corresponding change in Lake DO ivas from 0. *5* **mg/** I pretest to **1.** I mg/ **1** posttest. Lake DH was almost completely drained as a result of Cannikin, and the sample analyzed was obtained from a small pool of water remaining in the lake bottom. Shoreline banks slumped into Lake DO at testtime. Alkalinity decreased in Lakes 26, 31b, 33a, DN, 44b, DP, **50c,** and 58b. These decreases ranged from 0.5 mg/ I in Lake 58b to 8. 7 mgl **l** in Lake **jOc.** Most of these lakes have a natural high variance in alkalinity. The decreases in alkalinity observed were probably caused **by** the effects of the storm on D-1, and not by Cannikin.

Organic matter in Amchitka lakes is derived from several sources. Principal allochthonous inputs include organic matter flowing into the lakes in incoming streams, materials eroded from the shoreline by wave action, and excretory products of waterfowl. Slight contributions, no doubt, result from windblown matter entering the lakes. Autochthonous organic matter is contributed by primary production of plants and bacteria, decomposition processes, resuspension of organics from surface sediments, and excretion of organic matter by aquatic biota. Human occupancy and man -made disturbances would be expected to increase the concentration of organic matter in some Iakes in iocalized areas.

Of the 26 lakes sampled for organic matter during mid-October, I4 were resampled during mid-November following Cannikin. For the 14 lakes treated as a single group, the mean value ior dissolved organic matter was 32 percent higher than in the lakes posttest, as compared to the mid-October samples. A t-test showed this difference to be significant at the 95 percent confidence level. Pre- and postevent means for total and particulate organic matter were not significantly different by this test.

The significant increase in dissolved organic matter was probably not related to Cannikin, but was due primarily to the decomposition of plankton organisms with

decreasing water temperatures. The variance in the amount of particulate organic matter indicates a considerable difference in standing crops of plankton in these lakes in mid-October. This was confirmed by microscopic examination of plankton samples.<br>As water temperatures decreased in November, the more-abundant plankton populations  $\frac{35}{20}$ decreased, resulting in a considerable decrease in the variance of the particulate organic matter concentrations in the mid-November samples.

While the preceding analysis indicates no widespread effects of Cannikin on organic-  $^{[3]}$ matter concentrations in Amchitka lakes, a localized test-related effect on lakes near **SZ**  while the preceding analysis indicates no widespread effects of Cannikin on organic-<br>matter concentrations in Amchitka lakes, a localized test-related effect on lakes near SZ<br>can be shown by treating the data in a differen . , SZ, the **14** lakes may be partitioned into two groups: Seven "close-in" lakes (44a, DK, DO, DJ, DP, 41b, and 491 are within 2.2 **km** from SZ: the other 7 (30, 52a, 53, 26, 9, LO, and 58b\ are at distances of 6. 2 to 9.8 **krn** from SZ, and may be considered as  $\blacksquare$  controls".

The mean particulate organic matter in the close-in lakes increased from 3.4 **mg-/L**  preevent to 9.9 mg/l postevent. In contrast, particulates in the control lakes decreased from 14. **0** mg/l preevent to 6. 5 mg/l postevent. The increase in particulate organic matter in the postevent samples from the close-in lakes no doubt resulted from increas-<br>ing amounts of particulates entering the lakes from their watersheds, from bank slump-<br>ing, and from resuspension of bottom sediments ing amounts of particulates entering the lakes from their watersheds, from bank slumping, and from resuspension of bottom sediments by ground motion. - -

There is no reason to believe that the considerable decrease in particulate organic matter in the control lakes was test-related. Two of the lakes in this group that showed substantial reduction in particulate organic matter had high zooplankton and phytoplankton populations during the October sampling period. It is probable that the normal seasonal  $\mathbb{R}^3$  decline in these populations was responsible for the reduction in particulates in the condecline in these populations was responsible for the reduction in particulates in the control lakes between the pre- and postevent sampling periods. This assumption is supported by the fact that in the control group of lakes, dissolved organic matter increased ... 53 percent from 3.2 mg/ml to 4.9 mg/ml between the two sampling periods. Dissolved  $\frac{1}{2}$ organic matter in the close-in lakes increased only slightly  $-$  from 3. I mg/l preevent to 3.5 mg/l postevent (an increase of 13 percent).

Any event-related changes that may have occurred in phytoplankton populations or in water clarity would have been obscured by the effects of the severe storm on D-1.<br>Hence no effects of Cannikin on these parameters were measured. Hence no effects of Cannikin on these parameters were measured. Any event-related changes that may have occurred in phytoplankton populations or<br>in water clarity would have been obscured by the effects of the severe storm on D-1.<br>Hence no effects of Cannikin on these parameters were me

Effects of Cannikin on natural fish populations, on penned individuals, and on salmon eggs artificially emplaced in stream gravel, were monitored in the freshwater streams and lakes.

The distribution and approximate numbers of fish were documented in 12 lakes and 4 streams within 2 km of SZ before and after Cannikin (Figure 14). This area was selected as the anticipated zone of major effects (on the basis of the Milrow experience and shock predictions supplied by Sandia). In eggs artificially emplaced in stream gravel, were monitored in the fresh ms and lakes.<br>The distribution and approximate numbers of fish were documented in 12<br>ams within 2 km of SZ before and after Cannikin (Figure 14).

4 streams; threespine stickleback (Gasterosteus aculeatus) occurred in 10 of the lakes but in none of the streams. Pink salmon (Oncorhynchus gorbuscha) were not found within

:

:- i

. . **C:** 

75



FIGURE 14. LAKE AND STREAM STATIONS NEAR CANNIKIN USED IN FRESHWATER VERTEBRATE AND INVERTEBRATE **STUDIES** 

**Grid is UMT 1000-meter, Zone 60.** 

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ 

35

2 **km** of **SZ** during pretest studies, although they spawned in one stream (Station BR) .'I during late August, 1970. During 1971, spawning salmon were observed no nearer to Cannikin SZ than Fumarole Cove stream, about 17 km from SZ.

Testtime experiments during Cannikin involved Dolly Varden, threespine stickleback, and live, eyed pink salmon eggs. They were held in pens in lakes and streams varying in distance and direction from SZ **to** evaluate effects of ground motion and pres - <sup>1</sup> sure pulses on -individual organisms at **known** water depths and over known bottom types. Many of these live pens were accompanied by pressure gages and accelerometers intended to measure physical forces generated by the detonation (see Appendix **A).** 

**The** exact locations of the Iive pens, a description of each type, and the species and numbers of fish held are presented in Table **6.** Each type of pen was field tested with appropriate species and numbers of fish before Cannikin to determine how long they could be held without harm. Live fish could be held in a healthy state in all pens for a minimum of 7 days, and up to 14 days except during very adverse weather conditions. Fish used in all experiments were captured from lakes and streams on Amchitka.



# **TABLE 6.** LOCATIONS AND DESCRIPTIONS OF LIVE PEXS IN LAKES AND STREAMS

(b) . Refer to Figure 14 for exact locations of stations.

 $\ddot{\hat{z}}$  $\mathbf{r}_\mathrm{c}$ 

έć

 $\mathcal{I}_\infty$ è.

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

In addition to the penned fish described above, approximately 8,000 live eyed pink. salmon eggs were held in containers in the four streams nearest Cannikin **SZ** (Table 6). An additional 2, 000 live eggs were retained at the base camp, about **13 km** from SZ, to serve as experimental controls. The eggs, provided by the NMFS Biological Laboratory, Auke Bay, Alaska, were from a natural population of pink salmon from Sashin Creek, near the southern tip of Baranof Island, southeastern Alaska. These eyed eggs had been fertilized about 60 days prior to their shipment and were in a life-history stage relatively immune to shock and other environmental stresses. Eggs from Amchitka stocks of salmonids were not used for testtime experiments because pink salmon spawners on Amchitka were too few and scattered to provide adequate numbers of eggs, and the spawning period of Doily Varden on the island occurred later in the fall than the Cannikin test date.

All of the pink salmon eggs used were thoroughly mixed to help insure that all lots (control and experimental) were comparable. Two types of containers were used: plastic cylinders with 3-mm-diameter holes to permit water circulation, and rectangular, flexible, small-mesh screen containers fabricated on the island. Before the salmon eggs were introduced, the plastic cylinders were weighted with small stones to overcome their buoyancy. The mesh containers were filled with gravel, as from a natural redd, into which the eggs were mixed. Both were buried in the stream gravel.

Post-Cannikin studies began on D-day and continued through D+3, during which time all accessible lakes and streams were resurveyed by similar seining and electrofishing techniques as used pretest. The shoreline of each lake and stream suspected to have been affected was surveyed by walking its perimeter and wading through its waters. Numbers and locations of dead fish found were recorded, and samples were taken for later examination. Estimates of numbers of fish killed were on the basis of previous seining results and extrapolation of numbers from dead fish actually collected. Additional surveys were made on D+31 to D+39.

**A** summary of observations on lakes and streams affected by Cannikin from D-day to D+3 is presented in Table 7. After Cannikin, fish were found stranded on mud flats and in small puddles of those lakes drained by the detonation fBO, DF, DH, and 42h). In these and other Iakes up to 1,800 m from **SZ** (DH, DL, DM, and DN) some fish were also tossed onto the shoreline by the rapid upward movement of the ground. In additional Iakes (DK, DM, and DN), seine hauls and shoreline walks revealed dead and moribund stickleback in the water. When examined these fish exhibited extensive internal damage: hemorrhaging, ruptured air bladders, and disrupted kldneys. On the basis **of** these necropsy findings, it is believed that death was due to pressure pulses.

. On the basis of preevent seining results and postevent observed mortalities, an estimated 10, 000 threespine stickleback and about 700 Dolly Varden were killed by Cannikin. A breakdown of these numbers by cause of death is presented in Table 8.

Strong winds on the eve of D-day (see Appendix B) severely hampered live-pen experiments. Erobably all of the threespine stickleback in 7 of 15 live pens containing stickleback died before Cannikin as a result of being beaten against pens by galeforce winds. Only 9 **of** the 25 fish in an eighth pen in Lake DJ were alive after the test; necropsy indicated the other 16 probably died before the test. A ninth pen was found upside down with the lid off and the fish gone. The 23 stickleback in the bottom pen in Lake DK were also found dead post-Cannikin. Evidence indicated they had been smothered **by** bottom silt probably stirred by storm winds on D-I.



#### TABLE 7. POST-CANNIKIN FRESHWATER FISH SURVEY

(a) Lake drained after subsidence crater formed at H+38.

(b) Second pen not checked.

(c) Internal hemorrhage, air bladder mprured, kidney disrupted.

(d) Smothered by bottom silt; believed due to stirring by storm winds on D-1.

# TABLE 8. ESTIMATE OF STICKLEBACK AND DOLLY VARDEN KILLED BY CANNIKIN IN LAKES



(Exclusive of mortality of fish held in experimental pens)

(a)  $Stb = stickleback$ 

DV = Delly Varden,

Death of some stickleback in five of the live pens can be attributed to the effects of Cannikin. In Lake DK, 1210 m from Cannikin SZ, all 25 fish in the floating pen were found dead. Necropsies showed that these fish had extensive internal hemorrhage, ruptured air bladders, and disrupted kidneys; these are characteristic symptoms of pressure effects. In Lake DN, 1800 m from SZ, one dead fish and 24 live ones were found in the floating pen; the bottom pen contained two dead and 23 live fish. Examination of the dead fish indicated that only the two from the bottom pen were killed by pressure effects of Cannikin. One pen in Lake DF was not found and is presumed to have been lost through a fissure that opened in the lake bottom. All stickleback in both live pens in Lake BO survived the D-1 storm winds and the detonation, but were killed by stranding when the lake drained during subsidence.

Of the 69 Dolly Varden held in live pens during Cannikin, 55 survived the test unharmed. Twelve were killed by stranding when Lake BO drained. In Lake DP, two fish were found dead post-Cannikin, but cause of death could not be ascertained; it is not thought to be test related.

Four of the six plastic cylinders used to hold eyed pink salmon eggs during Cannikin were damaged by ground motion. The spring pins holding the top of the two containers in stream DE were jerked loose and a portion of eggs in one cylinder and all those in the other were lost. Both cylinders in White Alice Creek were cracked by the event but no eggs were lost. The two mesh bags holding eggs in White Alice Creek were buried by a rock slide. All the other containers were recovered without damage.

No dead eggs were found in any of the 12 containers recovered when they were examined on D+1 and D+3. A portion of the eggs from each of the four experimental

streams was removed D+3, placed in shipping trays, and returned to Logan, Utah. .The control eggs, held in the shipping tray during Cannikin, were also returned. All egg groups, control and experimental, were placed in separate hatching trays for observation<br>of hatching and mortality. Facilities for these observations were provided through the of hatching and mortality. Facilities for these observations were provided through the  $\frac{2}{3}$ . courtesy of Mr. Ron Goede of the Utah Experimental-Hatchery in Logan, and the Utah Division of Wildlife Resources. Data on hatching and mortality of these salmon eggs while held at the Logan Hatchery are presented in Table 9.

# TABLE-9. HATCHING SUCCESS FOR **FIVE GROUPS** OF **PINK** SALMON EGGS HELD DURING CANNIKIN ON AMCHITKA, ALASKA



. .

 $\frac{1}{2}$  $\sim$ 

:;,

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

Data were taken after eggs were held **32** days in hatching troughs.

Next to the control, highest hatching success and lowest egg mortality was observed in the two egg groups held in Stream BR, the station farthest from SZ (Figurel4). Lowest hatching success was observed in one of the groups closest to SZ .<br>(Station BQ), in the stream which received most physical damage. While under observation in the hatching troughs, numerous larvae in **this** latter group died attempting to hatch or were hatched deformed. Many of the symptoms were typical of eggs affected by shock.  $\mathbb{R}^n$  shock.

The initial hatching rate was considerably lower for groups at Station BQ than at the other locations, although after D+29 it accelerated over that of the other groups.

A Chi<sup>2</sup> test of significance between each experimental group of eggs and the control group shows that the hatching success of eggs in only one stream (Station **BQ)** differed .,.. from the control, and then only at the 30 percent level of significance (70 percent confidence limits). Because the control eggs were handled differently from the experimental eggs '(the experimental eggs were subjected to more handling during transport to streams, placement in containers, burial in gravel in streams, retrieval, and transfer hack to camp), it is difficult to relate the cause of any mortality of eggs to Cannikin.

Additional groups of eggs were left in each stream after Gannikin to determine survival and hatchability in the field. The two groups of eggs in Stream BR, the station farthest from SZ, were recovered on **Dt37** and **only** five dead eggs were found **in** one group. Fifteen eggs in each group had hatched and the remaining eggs were all alive (about 200 per group). The cylinder in Stream AH was partly filled with mud and silt and all but **34** eggs and 14 hatched fry were dead (about **280** egga). All remaining eggs in White Alice Creek (Station **BQ)** were found dead. There was no silt in the cylinder and the reason for loss of these eggs cannot be determined conclusively. The stream had earlier

been contaminated by drilling wastes from the Cannikin site, and residual materials irom this contamination may have been released by ground shock at testtime. It should also be noted that after subsidence, the flow rate in the lower section of the stream where the eggs were located was drastically reduced.

To summarize, about 10,000 threespine stickleback and 700 Dolly Varden were killed by Cannikin. **Xo** adult or immature salmon were near Cannikin *SZ* during the event, and no salmon kills were recorded. Threespine stickleback and/or Dolly Varden were found dead in eight lakes or ponds, 350-1800 m from *SZ.* Fish kills in these lakes and ponds resulted from the following: fish were stranded'as a result of lake drainage by tilting or cracking of lake beds, fish were killed by detonation-generated pressure pulses that ruptured air bladders and blood vessels, and a few fish were stranded when ground motion tossed the lakewater ashore. Only stickleback were killed by pressure effects and only a fraction of the stickleback populations in the three lakes where this occurred were kitled. Most of the fish killed by Cannikin, about 70 percent, were killed by stranding \\,hen four lakes, 1000 **m** or less from SZ, drained.

#### Physical Changes

Physical alteration of lake and stream beds occurred in two phases of ground motion: the first resulted from the detonation, and the second from the formation of the subsidence crater at **Ht38** hours.

Resulting fissures and scarps, described elsewhere in this report, drained six freshwater lakes within 1.2 km of SZ. Four of the lakes (BO, DF, DH, and an unnamed lake) were among a cluster of seven lakes located about 1 km east of SZ (Figures **I4** and 15). A fifth (44a) was about 1.2 km southwest of SZ, and the sixth (an unnamed lake) was located about 0.9 **km** west of SZ. Ten other lakes were changed because of water loss and slumping of banks.

Lakes BP, BO, and DH, at the periphery of the anticipated collapse crater, were noticeably tilted away from SZ on D+1. However, on D+3, after crater subsidence, these three lakes were noticeably tilted toward SZ. A large fracture developed on the west shore of Lake **30** through which the lake completely drained. Tilting and draining apparently occurred quite rapidly, because a "slosh" zone on the shoreline on the downward side **was** apparent after drainage.

Lake **BP,** 0.74 **km** northeast of SZ, had a decreased water level following Cannikin. This probably resulted from water splashing out of the lake at testtime and during the storm on D-1. No cracks in the bottom seal were observed.

Only White Alice Creek (Figure **14)** sustained major channel disruption. Flows in the two tributaries of this creek on either side of Cannikin **SZ** were severely altered, both by blockage of the stream channels and by the terrain changes that took place on iormatian of the,subsidence crater {Appendix C). In many places, stream banks caved in and mounds of turf temporarily blocked the channels. The most notable blockage resulted from a large tundra slide into White Alice Creek below the confluence of the two branches, about 1. **1 km** northeast of *SZ* (Figure 16). Flow was interrupted and temporary ponding occurred behind the slide. A long scarp resulting from Cannikin formed a 1.2-meter-high bank across the south branch of White Alice Creek just east of SZ, and a new lake promptly formed behind it. The lake shows up prominently in Plate 2, taken on D+3, and was still there when USU investigators visited the site on D+31.



**a. Photographed on D-53** - -



**12 b.** Photographed on D+5

# **FIGURE 15. FRESHWATER LAKES BEFORE AXD AFTER CANXIKIN**

**The center of the photo is about 0.9 km from** SZ, **azimuth 110". Note cracks in lake bottoms, and long scarp in tundra, in the post-Cannikin photograph. (BCL photograph numbers 13A-74 and 1B-87.)** 

42

Žγ. i.

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{4}}$ 

 $\begin{array}{c} \frac{13}{4} \\ 1 \end{array}$ 

Ħ

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

 $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda}$ 



#### Photographed on D+5

### **FIGURE** 16. A TUNDRA SLIDE INTO THE CHANNEL OF WHITE ALICE CREEK, 1.1 KM FROM SZ, **AZIMUTH** 69"

Note ponding in stream channel above slide (BCL Photograph No. 78-148)

Stream discharge at the mouth of White Alice Creek was markedly altered. **U.** *S.*  Geological Survey (USGS) personnel reported that flow at the stream gage in the lower reach of the stream stopped'immediately after the event, but resumed at a much reduced rate on Iate D-day and early **Dtl** (Appendix *C).* Throughout the lower section of the stream, rock and turf slides created many small temporary dams, through which the stream has carved a meandering course (observed on D+34).

Temporary high turbidity was observed by USCS personnel in Clevenger Creek and Constantine Spring on D+1. And, as noted earlier, water and drilling wastes escaped through a broken mud pond dike at Site D and flowed down Falls Creek into the Pacific Ocean until the break was repaired on  $D+1$  (Figure 12).

A notable effect of Cannikin on the freshwater ecosystem of Amchitka is expected to be the formation of a new lake in the subsidence crater (Plate 2 and Appendix C). Three shallow ponds now in the crater will probably coalesce as the sink fills, forming one of the largest and deepest lakes on the Island. >

In summary, the Cannikin detonation and subsequent formation of a collapse crater drained six lakes and modified 10 others by changing water levels and/or slumping of shore materials. The course and flow rate of one stream, White Alice Creek, was drastically altered, and a new lake is forming in the collapse sink, which intercepts the upper drainage of this creek. Falls Creek was contaminated throughout most of its course by drilling wastes from a holding pond at Site D. The long-term effects of these changes on freshwater fishes and other freshwater biota are yet to be determined.

#### Terrestrial Ecosystems

Most ptudies of terrestrial ecosystems of Amchitka were designed to measure the long-term effects of Cannikin. Major emphasis in these studies was placed on avian ecology; studies were also conducted on soils and plant ecology. The avifaunal studies were carried out by investigators from the Chesapeake Bay Environmental Center, Smithsonian Institute (SI) with assistance from a scientist from Brigham Young University (BYU). Soils studies were conducted by a geomorphologist from The Ohio State University (OSU); and plant ecology studies were conducted by a scientist from the University of Tennessee (UT).

#### Avian Ecology

Studies on the avifauna of Amchitak during late October and early November of 1971 were centered around Cannikin. The principle objectives were to document testrelated avian mortality, changes in avian population denaitiea, and damage to habitats (especially nesting sites used by bald eaglea and peregrine falcons).

Personnel in the avian ecology program were on the Island October 18 through October 25, and November 9 through November 15, 1971. Thus, testtime observations on avian populations ended 11 days before, and began again three days after, the test. The census counts discussed below must be interpreted in light of the 14-day period between the last pretest counts and the first posttest censuses. Sixteen dead waterfowl {Table 10 and Figure 17) were found during the early posttest searches. These birds were autopsied by a veterinarian of **AHRC.** His report is as follows:

"Findings indicated that eight birds [seven harlequin ducks and one pelagic cormorant) had been killed by vertical acceleration while they rested on rocks. The force was transmitted in such a way as to cause fractures of the legs, ribs, and spine, and the lungs were macerated in all.

"Seven birds were evidently killed by overpressures in water. These animals had no broken bones, and the abdominal viscera were little affected. All had severely hemorrhagic lungs, and some were bleeding from the ears. The scaup had extensive, encapsulated intraabdominal and intrathoracic abscesses, but it was fat and in excellent condition.

"The thick-billed murre had died from natural causes. Depletion of the pectoral muscle and lack of fat indicated a chronic disorder of undetermined nature. The lungs and internai organs of this bird were normal in gross characteristics. "

.In addition to these 16 birds, two more harlequin ducks were found later. One appeared to have been killed by vertical acceleration. The other exhibited symptoms of both pressure pulse and acceleration effects; this suggests that the bird may have been in a dive and close to the bottom upon arrival of the shockwave.

The number of dead birds recovered along the coasts should not be construed as representing the total test -related mortalities among avian populations residing in the

marine littoral waters. It is believed likely that an undetermined number of birds were killed along the Bering Sea coasts, but were never recovered because of the strong offshore wind blowing on that side of the Island after the test.





k,



#### FIGURE 17. LOCATIONS OF DEAD WATERFOWL RECOVERED POST-CANNIKIN

Wo dead terrestrial birds were found. Two or three small dead birds were reported to have been seen near **SZ** after Cannikin, **but** a search of the area failed to confirm the report. Rock ptarmigan and snow buntings were common around SZ following the test and this, combined with the unsuccessful searches for dead birds, suggests that testrelated mortality among the tundra-dwelling birds was low.

Pre- and posttest visual censuses of avian populations in the marine littoral waters i.i were made during helicopter flights between Kirilof Point and Chitka Cove on the Bering Sea side and between St. Makarius and Andesite Points on the Pacific Ocean side of the<br>Island. The results (Table 11) show that the number of birds seen on the posttest census Island. The results (Table 11) show that the number of birds seen on the posttest census  $\frac{53}{20}$  was about **I** 0 percent less than that seen on the pretest census. This difference was mainly a result of a high pretest count of glaucous-winged gulls. The gull is a highly mobile species whose movement from area to area is dictated by weather conditions and local food supplies. Consequenty, it is believed that the difference between the pre- and posttest counts of this species was not test related.

TABLE 11. HELICOPTER CENSUSES OF BIRDS ON THE BEACHES AND IK NEARSHORE WATERS BETWEEN KIRILOF POINT AND CHITKA COVE AND BETWEEN ST. MAKARIUS AND ANDESITE POINTS, **BEFORE** AND AFTER CANNIKIN

<b>Species</b>	<b>Pretest Count</b> October 21	Posttest Count November 11
Cormorant, red-faced and pelagic, combined	390	457
(Phalacrocorax urile and Phalacrocorax pelagicus)		
Emperor goose (Philacte canagica)	75.	10
Mallard (Anas platyrhynchos)	18	23
Pintail (Anas acuta)		n
Common teal (Anas crecca)	40	
Bufflehead (Bucephala alberola)	o	
Oldsquaw (Clangula hyemalis)	0	
Harlequin duck (Histrionicus histrionicus)	1147	1250
Common eider (Somateria mollissima)	65.	
Red-breasted merganser (Mergus serrator)	Ω	
Black oystercatcher (Haematopus bachmani)	36.	30
Glaucous-winged gull (Larus argentatus glaucescens)	712.	457
Common raven (Corvus corax)		
Totals	2484	2238

The common eider also declined in the posttest census; but, as these birds normally  $\hat{\mathbb{S}}$ move well offshore at about this time of year, the decline is considered normal. The common teal-usually inhabits the freshwater lakes in the autumn, but occasionally small  $\frac{3}{2}$ .<br>flocks are seen in the marine littoral waters. One flock of 40 was seen on the pretest The common eider also declined in the posttest census; but, as these birds normally<br>move well offshore at about this time of year, the decline is considered normal. The<br>common teal usually inhabits the freshwater lakes in census, but none was seen on the posttest count, even though the numbers present on the freshwater lakes appeared to be normal. The decline in the numbers of emperor geese in the marine littoral waters cannot be explained; their numbers should be increasing at this time as the winter birds arrive. The numbers of this species **will** be estimated during a future winter field trip.

 $\mathcal{L}$ . Aerial censuses of bald eagle and peregrine falcon populations were made around the perimeter of the Island before (October 21) and after (November 11) the test. On the pretest flight, 234 bald eagles and 18 peregrine falcons were counted, and on the posttest census 203 bald eagles and seven peregrine falcons were recorded. Weather conditions for the posttest census were poor, and high winds forced the helicopter to fly a considerable distance out from the cliffs. Consequently, the reduction in numbers of raptors counted posttest was probably weather related. Special emphasis will be placed upon ~..

ng.

future studies of the peregrine falcon and bald eagle populations to ascertain if **any** population decline has occurred as a result of the test.

Habitat changes resulting from the test consisted of soil and overlying vegetation slipping into the ocean from banks along both sides of the Island. They are described in another part of this report. This area is unimportant for the nesting of any avian species (Williamson and Emison, 1969), but is utilized by foraging winter wrens, Lapland longspurs, snow buntings, and rosy finches at certain times of the year. As the *6* ponds in the vicinity of Cannikin **SZ** that were drained and about 10 others that partially drained have never been noted to harbor any concentrations of aquatic birds, these habitat changes are unlikely to affect avian populations.

The effects of the Cannikin detonation on sea stacks and rocky cliffs were of particular concern, since such structures are used as nesting sites by bald eagles and peregrine falcons. At least three bald eagle nesting sites along the Bering coast (and a fourth that is occasionally used) and two bald eagle nesting sites on the Pacific coast were lost in cliff **and** stack falls (Figures 18 and 19). Xo peregrine falcon nesting sites used in 1971 were lost, but one used in 1969 and another used in 1970 were destroyed. The sites destroyed were in a group of three sites located fairly close together on Petrel Point (Figure 20). **Only** one of these sites was used in any given year, hence only a single pair of peregrine falcons appears to have been involved in their use. Whether the **loss** of two sites **will** affect the use of the remaining site cannot be determined until **the**  1472 nesting season. A peregrine falcon eyrie at Stone Beach Cove [Figure 18) that was damaged by Milrow was further damaged by Cannikin. The Cannikin-induced damage appears to be more extensive than that produced **by** hlilrow.



FlCURE 18. BALD EAGLE AND PEREGRINE FALCON XESTING SITES KEAR CAXNIKIN SZ



**a. Photographed on D- 17** 



**b. Photographed on D** + **<sup>5</sup>**

FIGURE 19. A SEA STACK USED AS A BALD EAGLE NESTING SITE, **BEFORE AND AFTER** CANSIKIN

 $\ddot{\cdot}$ 

**Located on Pacific Ocean coast -3.4 km, azimuth 236', from Caqnikin** SZ. **(SI photographs)** 

 $\frac{3}{2}$ 

ali<br>Po

ų,

i<br>M

e<br>M

Ŀ,



**a. Photographed on D-12** 



**b** Photographed on D+1

FIGURE **10. PETREL POINT, WHERE PEREGHNE FALCONS HAVE NESTED DURING THE PAST 3 YEARS, BEFORE AND AFTER CANNIKIN** 

> **Two of the three nest sites utilized in different years by a single pair were destroyed. Petrel Point is located on the Bering Sea coast -2.5 km, azimuth** *-336",* **from Cannikin** *SZ.* **iBCL photograph numbers 4A- 26 and 2B-72.** )

The six bald eagle nest sites destroyed by Cannikin represents about 10 percent of the number of sites used in any given year by the 55-60 breeding pairs of eagles that inhabit the Island. However, eagles have a low degree of nest-site tenacity and there are numerous suitable alternative sites that can be utilized. At most, 19 breeding pairs of **y**;<br>numerous suitable alternative sites that can be utilized. At most, 19 breeding pairs of peregrine falcons are on Amchitka, and this species exhibits strong nest-site tenacity. Only further observations during the next breeding season will determine whether the losses of bald eagle nest sites and peregrine falcon eyries have had any adverse effects on the reproductive success of these raptors. Certainly, there is no reason to believe that the Amchitka raptor population as a whole has been jeopardized.

The immediate test-related damage to avian populations appeared to be greatest among the diving birds inhabiting the marine littoral waters within 3. 3 km of SZ. The total number of birds killed could not be determined precisely, but comparison of postand pretest censuses indicated relatively little change in population numbers of most species. No evidence of mortality to tundra-dwelling birds waa found, although an unconfirmed report of two or three small dead birds near **SZ** was received. Because of low counts in the posttest census, the population densities of emperor geese and peregrine falcons will be estimated during future field trips. The long-term effects of Cannikin, particularly those that might result should there be any subsequent release of radio-<br>nuclides into the environment, can be determined only through future studies and com-------------------------nuclides into the environment, can be determined only through future studies and com-<br>parison of pre- and posttest data. -

The damage to sea stacks, cliffs, and beach ridges was considerable near SZ. Follow-up studies on the nesting success and density of the raptors and colonial cliffnesting birds are necessary to determine how disruptive the loss of nesting sites may be to the Amchitka populations of these species.

#### Geomorphology

Two slope -movement grids were installed in April, 1971, to monitor movements in the tundra which might be induced by Cannikin (Figure 21). One grid was located near the  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}$  mouth of Ultra Basin in an area of known, natural slope movements, about 2 km southmouth of Ultra Basin in an area of known, natural slope movements, about 2 km southeast of SZ. The second was established on a potentially unstable slope approximately 1 km northeast of SZ. These grids were placed in areas believed most likely to be affected by Cannikin, and **that had maximum expression of the soils and vegetation range** for that part of the Island. A survey of these and the two similar grids established prior to Milrow was completed in April, 1971. A preevent survey of all grids was completed in September, 1971. These 4 grids are also being used to study the effects on plant  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

In late October, soil-moisture levels were determined for each of the soils involved in each grid at **4** depths, one always at the mineral soil-organic interface, i. e. , zone of potential sliding. It was observed that prior to Cannikin the soils were quite wet: however, all values were within the range previously established for each soil type, although they were frequently near the high end of the range. Except at the Ultra Basin site, moisture levels increased with depth toward the mineral soil-organic contact. **This** and several other lines of evidence suggest that soil-moisture generally was somewhat above normal just prior to the event; statistical evidence to support this is lacking.

The inland surface effects of Cannikin were, as expected, more severe than those of the lower-yield Milrow event. Cannikin produced numerous examples of differential movement along faults. The surface expression of these movements was linear scarps

.?

...

**:i** 

 $\ddot{\tilde{z}}$ 

 $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$  .

or cracks (Plate 2 **and** Figure **15).** Of these, major displacements or cracks are oriented obIiquely to the topographic grain, i.e., approximately N70-80E following generally the trend of the major drainage, or are oriented parallel to or subparallel to the topographic grain, i.e., N30E. Displacements along these trends do not appear great, except where they may coincide with arcuate fractures produced by chimney collapse where differential movement may exceed 2. **5** m. It seems likely that the fault control has strongly influenced the pattern of collapse fractures. Continuation of the major faults south and west of the main road show little surface effects of the event This is consistent with the relatively minor cliff destruction at the termini of these faults on the Pacific **Ocean** cliffs.



Kilometers

## FIGURE **2** 1. APPROXIMATE LOCATION OF **MAXIMUM** SEA CLIFF TURF AND **ROCKFALLS INDUCED** BY CANNIKIN

Fault lineaments taken from USGS Bathymetric and Geologic **,Map** of Amchitka Island, **USGS-474-74,** von Huene et al., 1971, are approximate, inferred from physiographic **and**  seismic evidence, pre-Cannikin. )

An important, although generally not apparent, effect of Cannikin involves the valleyward shift over relatively low slopes (to 8 degrees) of sizable slabs of turf. Many of these slabs may be more than  $10 \text{ m}^2$  and involve thickness of about 1.5 m of a<sub>2</sub> or b soil (description of soil types **in** Everett, 197 I). The slides occur at or just below the mineral'soil-organic interface, **and** lateral movement may range up to 20 cm. Cracks often formed by Such slab movement between bh and a2 or b soils but sometimes occurred entirely in the a<sub>2</sub>-b. soil. Because of the thick spongy mat of vegetation, the crack is seldom seen. The crack may be filled with water to within about 0.5 m of the surface. Where such shifts took place near the valley bottom or along restricted segments of the streams, ponding occurred.

In some topograpiic depressions surface water flow suggests collapse of the subsurface drainage that forced the flow to the surface. Not every ''turf glide" has resulted in damming of surface flow or collapse of the subsurface drainage. Opening of the cracks along the slape may result in some drainage of the immediately adjacent peat, but low lateral hydraulic conductivity will minimize this effect.

One of the most common and obvious forms of surface disruption is the tearing of the organic mat and some ejection of mineral soil and rocks just off ridge crests. Such areas are noticeable east of Teal Creek Fault (Figure 21) and on ridges, especially those parallel to a major fault or topographic alignment. Such cracks have occurred because 'of the proximity of bedrock, thin cover of organic material, and abrupt change in slope. The breaks probably resulted in a shift downslope of the steepiy sloping organic cover, such as has occurred at the movement grid established east of White Alice Creek. Postevent surveys of this grid should document the extent of movement.

Associated **with** the crack systems that contributed to the drainage of the small ponds just east of the *SZ* perimeter fence is a tundra thrust sheet, i. e., a sheet of tundra approximateiy 1 m thick, thrust eastward from a shallow basin up and over (0. 5- **1.** 0 mi adjacent undisturbed tundra. The thrust plane was at the peat-bedrock (rubble) interface. In some places water was also ejected. In other areas of this basin "turf glides" occurred. This combination of disturbances has brought about ponding.

- Subsidence and collapse of underground drainage channels will result in ponding and related changes in vegetation which may be extensive, especially east of **SZ.** The full impact of this will not be fully apparent until the summer of 1972. Changes in soil moisture and vegetation associated with faults, thrusts, "turf glides", etc., will 6e localized and may not be fully complete for several seasons.

Significant soil eruptions produced by hydrostatic pressure were noted approximately 1.4 km eastsoutheast of SZ, another at the northwest corner of SZ pad, and a third in the beach sands of Sand Beach Cove, approximately 1. 2 **krn** north of SZ. All eruptions are associated with major fault traces.

Cannikin produced substantially more coastal rockfalls and turf slides than Milrow. These effects are most apparent along the sea cliffs facing the Bering Sea, from a point just east of **Banjo** Point to just west of Petrel Point (Figures 21 and 22). Except for the rockfall at Petrel Point, all the major rockfalls occurred in the eastern half of this sector, as did the principal uplift of intertidal bench referred to earlier. Preliminary calculations of the principal rock and turf falls along this coastal segment indicate that a minimum of some 25,000  $m^3$  of rock and turf were dislodged by Cannikin. This value was determined from pre- and postevent high-quality oblique air photographs. T'nis minimum value exceeds the latest pretest estimation by anorder of magnitude . . (Kirkwood and Fuller, 1971).

Several-factors contributed to the large amount of damage in this relatively short segment of coast: (1) the uplift and major-rock-fall area is bounded by two major cross-Island faults; one crosses the Bering Sea coastline just east of Banjo Point and the other, the Teal Creek Fault, lies between Petrel Point and **SZ** and crosses the Bering Sea coast at Sand Beach Cove. Pending geologic confirmation, it appears that numerous small faults and joint.systems for which no surface or outcrop evidence existed occur between the two major faults. Differential movement on these small faults, coupled with the general uplift between the two major faults, served to focus energy in this area; **(2)** the

in<br>Si

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

en<br>Si

Ċ.

k.  $\ddot{\cdot}$ 

 $\cdot$  . 



a. Photographed on **D-** 14



**b. Photographed on** Dil

FIGURE 22. SEA CLIFFS ALONG THE BERING SE4 COAST, BEFORE 4A CEIFF*O* ADONG IAE<br>VD 4FTER CANNIKIN

> **Banjo Point, -2. 2 km, azimuth TO', from** Cannikin SZ. (BCL **photograph numbers +A- 12** and **2B-53.** )

highly jointed and apparently more-resistant Banjo Point formation forms most of the Bering Sea cliff between these major faults. Natural erosion of this formation produced numerous narrow, projecting headlands. The extent of deep weathering and joint separation on these headlands contributed to the rockfall from the upper portions of these features; (3) turf falls and slides were numerous. The Teal Creek fault is the boundary, on the Bering Sea coast, between the Banjo Point formation to the east and the Chitka Point formation to the west. Cliff segments composed of the less resistant Chitka Point formation do not generally erode to free-standing headlands. They frequently support ancient sand dunes or are veneered with sandy soils. As a consequence, turf falls and slides were the dominant forms of masa movement produced by Cannikin in these areas. Such movements contributed a minimum of 5000  $m^3$  to the total for this coast segment. For the most part they involved thin sheets of turf which moved over bedrock on the steep (to 43 degrees) slopes, such as those just east of Banjo Point. Other smaller slides were channeled in natural erosion chutes. Many of the turf siides, especially between SZ and west of Petrel Point, showed evidence of fluid flow, as shown by their bulbous termini as well as by the semiliquid condition of their surfaces several days after the event. Such flows were characteristic of areas capped by ancient sand dunes or where the sand content of the soil was high (Group b soils). Sands whose moisture exceeds a critical value are easily fluidized by vibration.

Damage to sea cliffs on the Pacific side was not as great as expected. Estimates based on comparison of pre- and post-Cannikin photographs place the combined rock and turf fall at 2000 m<sup>3</sup>, compared to the preevent maximum prediction of 3170 m<sup>3</sup>. Damage was heaviest eastward from Teal Creek, for a distance of about **2 km** along the coast. Several small sea stacks were toppied in this area. In local areas further east toward Ultra Creek, several turf and/or rockfalls occurred. Just as on the Bering Sea coast, the location of maximum damage was strongly fault controlled.

Rain wash and freeze-thaw, especially during the winter of 1971-72, will produce additional minor rockfall along both coasts.

#### Terrestrial Vegetation

Four grid plots described under the Geomorphoiogy Section (Figure 2 **1)** were chosen for plant-community studies because they are located in areas where the degree of drainage restriction was well defined by soil differences, and the composition of the plant communities varied greatly. Vegetation maps were prepared for each of the plots, and preshot aerial and ground photographs were taken of each.

Because a notable effect of ground shock produced by Milrow had been the explosive disruption of moss (turf) mounds (Kirkwood, **1970),** an effort was made to determine the cause of this phenomenon. In a crude attempt to estimate internal pressures gener ated in turf mounds by the detonation, 10 sealed cans were planted -0. **3-rn** deep in four turf mounds approximately **1. 3 km** from Cannikin SZ. (Similar mounds close to Milrow **SZ** had ex'ploded during that detonation in a way that suggested increased hydrostatic oressure was responsible.) Sone of the cans planted in the turf mounds were crushed or completely ejected, but some movement occurred in two of the mounds. The two mounds appeared to have been compacted by the shock, while the tundra around them had a "fluffed" appearance.

The number of turf mounds fractured by the shock produced by Cannikin was much smailer than anticipated. Only 7 definitely fractured mounds were found, all just east of, and within 1 **km** of SZ. Therewere fewer of these features close to Cannikin **SZ** 

 $\mathcal{L}_\mathrm{c}$ 

鑽剂

j.  $\ddotsc$ 

ą

德司

 $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ 

Ŀ,  $\mathbb{R}_2$ 

ζ,  $\sim 10^7$ 

أيد<br>أبي

ia<br>I

than to Milrow **SZ,** but even some mounds in relatively similar locations did not visibly fracture as a result of the Cannikin shock.

Changes in drainage resulting from Cannikin can be expected to cause shifts in the composition of the plant communities in affected areas. It seems likely that the relatively mesic crowberry meadow community, which is most widespread in the Cannikin locality, will be most severely altered, with the shifts either to wetter sedge-lichen meadow or toward drier crowberry-stripe communities.

Effects of Cannikin on plant-community structure will not become apparent until after one or more growing seasons, but it is now possible to predict the kinds and, with some reliability, the magnitude of changes that are likely to occur: (1) plant communities that will he inundated by the new lakeis) will be lost: (2) terrestrial plant communities will develop on the exposed bottoms of lakes that drained and do not refiIl with water (the first stages of this succession will be dominated by sedges); (3) plant communities will eventually grow on surfaces newly exposed by rockfalls and turf slides [this succession will begin with bryophytes and be followed by graminoid species (sedges and grassesl] ; **(4)** two plant communities along the sea coast near **SZ** will be relocated because of the change in soil moisture (this will result as a consequence of the turf slides along the bench-like terraces just inland from the cliff tops). The drier grass community (primarily ELymus, Festuca, and Calamagrostis, **spp.)** will be located further inland from its pre -Cannikin location, and the sedge -lichen community will, as before, be inland from the grass community. Although this shift will vary greatly<sup> $\bar{=}$ </sup> according to terrain and distance from **SZ,** it is expected to involve a 2000 to  $3000$ -m<sup>2</sup> area. The area where the shift will be most pronounced will be between Banjo Point and Petrel Point. In this area the extension inland of the grass community may be as much as **10** m, though averaging only about 1 to 2 m.

It will be some time before a complete assessment can be made of the changes to the vegetative cover of Amchitka caused by Cannikin. Ecological processes on Amchitka differ greatly from those in more moderate climates at similar latitudes, and recovery from disturbance will be slow.

#### Sioenvironmental Radioactivity

The nuclear tests at Amchitka were designed to'contain all radioactivity underground. However, biological and environmental samples were collected for radionuclide analyses since the inception of the current bioenvironmental research program in 1967 (see Vogt et al., 1968; Isakson and Seymour, 1968; and Held, **1971).** Objectives of this program are to obtain and interpret data on the kinds and amounts of radionuclides in the Amchitka ecosystems, and to differentiate between radioactivitiea that may be of Arnchitka origin and those originating from worldwide fallout.

During the period covered in this report, the radionuclide analyses and resultant conclusions were the responsibility of the Laboratory of Radiation Ecology, University of Washington **(LREI.** The samples analyzed were collected by **LRE, BCL** and its subcontractors, and by FWS, ADF **&G,** and UAz personnel: On-site radiological monitoring was also conducted by Eberline Instrument Corporation, and an off-site radiological surveillance and public safety program was carried out **by** the Environmental Protection Agency, Western Environmental Research Laboratory.

Samples from the terrestrial, freshwater, and marine ecosystems at Amchitka ... and its environs were collected and analyzed. Seafoods and radionuclides potentially available to man through food webs were emphasized. However, organisms other than seafoods were also collected and analyzed in a search for indicator organisms (species that concentrate one or more radionuclides). Concentrations of some radionuclides besides those potentially hazardous to man were measured to provide clues to the origin of radionuclides found at Amchitka; the detection of unexpected radionuclides or unexpected ratios of radionuclide concentrations would be an alert to the possibility of a local release of radioactivity.

The samples collected near Cannikin, Milrow, and Long Shot test sites over a period of 14 months before Cannikin were analyzed for various radionuclides (Figure 23 shows locations and type of samples collected). With the exception of tritium in water samples taken near Long Shot **SZ:',** all of the radionuclides detected were from worldwide atmospheric fallout. Radionuclides in this category and identified in various samples were: <sup>47</sup>Sc, <sup>54</sup>Mn, <sup>55</sup>Fe, <sup>60</sup>Co, <sup>65</sup>Zn, <sup>95</sup>Zr-<sup>95</sup>Nb, <sup>103</sup>Ru, <sup>106</sup>Ru-<sup>106</sup>Rh, <sup>108m</sup>Ag,  $110\text{mAg}$ ,  $125\text{Sb}$ ,  $137\text{Cs}$ ,  $140\text{Ba}$ - $140\text{La}$ , and  $144\text{Ce}$ - $144\text{Pr}$ . The concentrations of the radionuclides found were within the range of values reported for similar samples from other parts of the northern hemisphere (see Held, 1971).

These baseline data will enable identification of any local release of radionuclides at Amchitka by either qualitative or quantitative changes in the radionuclide content of biological or environmental samples collected post-Cannikin. No significant differences were found between the pre-Cannikin baseline samplea and post-Cannikin samples collected in November and December, 1971. Some samples remain to be analyzed, but priority in analysis was given to kinds of samples most likely to concentrate radionuclides and to samples from areas believed to be most susceptible to release of radionuclides by seepage near the faults at Duck Cove and Sand Beach Cove (Figure 21). It is therefore unlikely that the results of analyses of the other samples collected in November and December will change the conclusion that there was no release of radionuclides following Cannikin.

Snow and particulates filtered from air at Amchitka during the first week of December, 1971, contained 12-day half-life  $140$ Ba- $140$ La, not detected in samples collected early in November, and a higher concentration of  $95Zr - 95Nb$  than did samples collected pre- and post-Cannikin in November. The <sup>140</sup>Ba-<sup>140</sup>La and increases in  $95Zr-95Nb$  were from worldwide fallout generated by the Communist Chinese atmospheric nuclear detonation on November 18, 1971; they were also seen in rainwater from Seattle, Washington:

<sup>\*</sup>Slow seepage **ol** rIirium ro the surface from Roiecr tong Shat is a welldocumenred special case. Ahve-backqrcund Ievelr of r~irium in ru~iace and subsurface water samples coilecred near **Long** Shor **SZ (Long** Shot mud **pond: and** drainage ditch::. mall natural ponds adjacent to SZ, and hydrologic test holes located 180 meters or less from SZ) have been reported by Castagnola. 1969; Essington, Forslow, and Castagnola, 1970; and Held, 1971. The highest tritium concentration reported in these Long Shot surface-wate $\dot{r}$ samples was about 1.4 x 10<sup>-5</sup> µCi/ml, some 30 times as high as that in background samples collected at Amchitka locations distant from the Long Shot site. However, the highest tritium levels in surface water from the vicinity of Long Shot SZ were still well below the Concentration Guide of 1 x 10<sup>-3</sup> µCi per ml of water, accepted by the U. S. Atomic Emrgy Comrnisricn as a radiarion-p~otecricn srandard for continuous exposure ol ppularions in **an** uncontrolled area **(USAEC**  Manual, Chap. 0524, Standards for Radiation Protection).

Analyses of Amchirka seawater and freshwater samples for tritium are now being carried out by the U. S. Geological Survey. Tritium in commercial seafood products from the North Pacific and Bering Sea fisheries is being monitored by the Environmental ::: . Protection Agency, Western Environmental Research Laboratory. That laboratory is also measuring the tritium content of certain biological samples collected in the marine environment around the Island.



# FIGURE 23. LOCATION OF SAMPLING SITES FOR RADIOACTIVITY STUDIES

The limits of detection for each analysis depends on the size of the sample available and the concentrations and kinds of other radionuclides present in the sample. In general, the limit of detection for gamma-emitting radionuclides in biological samples was less than 30 pCi/kg of fresh tissue, or approximately 1/100 of the concentration of naturally occurring <sup>40</sup>K in fish flesh. The limit of detection for gamma-emitting radio-. nuclides in seawater separated with a large-volume water sampler (see Silker, Perkins, and Rieck, 1971) is approximately  $10^{-2}$  pCi/1 water, or approximately 1/30,000 of the concentration of naturally occurring  $40K$  in oceanic waters.

An in situ gamma probe (Riel, 1966) was also used aboard the M/V Pacific Apollo during the testtime period. With this instrument, gamma-emitting radionuclide concentrations at specific marine locations can be measured within a few minutes or hours of release, depending on the limit of detection being sought. The gamma probe is a lesssensitive method than the large-volume water sampler; for example, the limit of detection for  $95Zr$  in seawater with the probe is approximately 1 pCi/1 water for a 1-hr count. On D+1, four sampling locations in the Bering Sea (Figure 21) near SZ showed no detectable gamma activity other than that from naturally occurring  $40K$ . This result is consistent with the results of analyses of large-volume water samples collected on D+3 at Duck Cove and Sand Beach Cove; concentrations of <sup>95</sup>Zr-<sup>95</sup>Nb were 1 x 10<sup>-3</sup> pCi/1

57

ů,

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}$  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{a}}}$  $\mathcal{L}^{(1)}_{\mathcal{L}^{(1)}}$  $\frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{G}) \end{aligned}$  $\mathcal{H}$  $\mathcal{A}$  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{P}}$  $\mathcal{P}_{\zeta}$ Ş - 98  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$ i.<br>Se y.

k)<br>S

뼃

and  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  pCi/1, respectively. The concentrations of  $95Zr - 95Nb$  measured at both stations on D+28 with the large-volume water sampler were  $4 \times 10^{-2}$  pCi/l; the increased concentrations also reflect the increase of worldwide fallout from the Chinese nuclear detonation. .

No radionuciides detected at Amchitka are attributable to a release from Cannikin or Milrow. However, sampling and analyses of a broad spectrum of biological and environmental samples wiiL continue quarterly until June, 1972, then sampling will be conducted less frequently unless radionuclides that can be related to either Cannikin or Milrow are discovered.

The on-site monitoring for Cannikin, conducted by EIC, involved the use of a Remote Area Monitoring System (RAW), which meaaures gross gamma intensity, Air Sampling Units (ASU's), and Thermoluminescent Dosimeters **{TLD's).** RAMS units (18) were located in a circle of -760 m **(2500** it) radius around SZ, at SZ **(2),** and at the Recording Trailer Park **(2).** Two TLD's were placed at each RAMS station. Battery operated ASU's (9) were located at alternate RAMS stations in the arc. Gasoline-powered ASU's were operated at the Main Camp, at the Control Point **(NW** Camp), and at two sites near Infantry Road, one  $\sim$ 1740 m ( $\sim$ 5700 ft) eastsoutheast of SZ, the other  $\sim$ 1920 m (-6300 ft) northwest of **SZ.** 

On D-day all RAMS units functioned properly up to zero time. As a result of the detonation, one unit at **SZ,** one at the Recording Trailer Park, and one unit in the arc failed because of wire breakage. The other RAMS units operated reliably until **they** were shut down on D+4. No radiation levels in excess of that from the 2mR/hr check source located on each probe was observed or recorded.

Air-sampling units located with the RAMS units in the arc were started automatically at zero time by a seismic switch and were operated through **H+48** hours. Analysis of the filters and charcoal cartridges from these air samplers, by gross gamma counting, showed no event-related activity; the limit of detection was  $10^{-12}$   $\mu$ Ci/cc of air.

The gasoline-powered ASU's were operated from D-1 through **Dt4.** The filters and cartridges from these samplers showed no event-related activity.

The 36 TLD units (two located at each of the 18 **RAMS** units on the 820-m arc) were recovered and read at approximately  $D+10$ . The readings indicated no exposure above the preshot background accumulation rate of *6* mrad/month.

EIC also carried out an environmental sampling program designed to determine the l'evels of various radionuclides in vegetation, soil, and bottom mud from streams, in the immediate area around Cannikin SZ, the postshot drilling pad, and the surface drainage systems nearest SZ. Collection of samples was initiated in August, 1971, and continued through D+8. Analysis of postdetonation environmental samples showed no evidence of any contamination resulting from Cannikin.

An extensive off-site radiological surveillance and public safety program for Cannikin was conducted by the Western Environmental Research Laboratory (WERL) of the Environmental Protection Agency. The WERL program included a wide range of air sampling, dosimetry, and environmental and foodstuff sampling and analysis, at stations in the Aleutian chain, the Alaskan Peninsula, and the Alaskan mainland. This off-site radiological surveillance has indicated no change in environmental radioactivity background level s as a re suit of Cannikin. **{U.** S. Environmental Protection Agency, Western Environmental Research Laboratory, December, 1971. )

#### **SUMMARY**

Concerning the bioenvironmental consequences of the Cannikin nuclear test, the most important findings made during the period covered by this report can be summarized as follows:

- **<sup>a</sup>**During posttest beach searches, 18 dead sea otters, three injured sea otters, two abandoned sea otter pups, and four dead harbor seals were found, The number of marine mammals killed by Cannikin cannot be determined precisely.
- **a** Individuals representing at least five species of marine fishes were killed. Of the -300 dead fish recovered, most were rock greenling found on an uplifted intertidal bench area. The number ol fish killed in offshore waters is not known, but the investigators believe that thousands of bottom fish may have been killed, as indicated by the reduced catch per unit of effort of rock sole in the Bering Sea adjacent to **SZ.**
- Invertebrate animals and plants were affected locally in an intertidal bench area on the Bering Sea coast that **wan** uplifted. Part of the area was buried by cliff falls, and the uplift of the bench is causing **die**off of some algae, and die-off or migration of invertebrates that cannot adjust to the change. The affected area is about **2** km Iong, and comprises only a small fraction of the total intertidal bench area of Amchitka.

-

- Six lakes were drained, ten were partly drained, and one large new lake is forming. It is expected to become one of the largest and deepest lakes on Amchitka.
- **a** Several hundred Dolly Varden, and perhaps 10,000 threespine stickleback fishes were killed in the freshwater lakes near SZ. **Live,** eyed pink salmon eggs emplaced in stream gravels within 15G0 m of SZ survived the detonation with little reduction in hatchability.
- **a** Eighteen dead birds representing seven species of waterfowl were recovered during the early post-Cannikin surveys. While the total number of birds killed is not known, comparison of pre- and postevent counts produced no evidence that Cannikin affected the population density of any species.
- $\bullet$  No dead bald eagles or peregrine falcons were found. Six eagle nesting sites were destroyed, two of three peregrine falcon eyries located at Petrel Point were destroyed and one eyrie damaged by Milrow was further damaged by Cannikin. The six eagle nesting sites represent about one tenth of the sites occupied in any one year by the 55 -60 nesting pairs of bald eagles, but numeroua suitable alternative nesting sites exist. About 18 nesting pairs of peregrine falcons are on Amchitka. Since peregrine falcons exhibit a high degree of nest-site tenacity, it is not as yet known how the loss or

damage of three eyries will affect reproductive success in succeeding seasons.

- Rockfalls and turf slides of about ten times greater magnitude than predicted occurred along about 5 km of the Bering Sea coast adjacent to SZ. It is estimated that at least  $25,000$  m<sup>3</sup> of rock and turf were dislodged along this section of coast. in all other coastal areas'the effecte were minor.
- Numerous cracks and low scarps were formed in the tundra at the time of the detonation and when the subsidence crater formed.
- No increase in background radiation levels attributable to Cannikin were detected in posttest sampling.
- No animal, bird, or fish population on or around Amchitka fsland was jeopardized by the Cannikin detonation.

the control

ψŚ,

 $\lambda_{\rm{B}}$ 

εņ. Į

ų, ्

έg,

#### REFERENCES

Burgner, R. L., J. S. Isakson, and P. A. Lebednik. 1971. Observations on the External our gner, K. L., J. S. Isakson, and P. A. Lebednik. 1971. Observations on the effect of the Milrow nuclear test on marine organisms at Amchitka. BioScience effect of the Milrow nuclear test on marine organisms at Amchitka. BioScience in 21(12): 671-673.

Castagnola, D. C. 1969. Tritium anomalies on Amchitka Island, Alaska, Part I. Teledyne Isotopes, Pa10 Alto Laboratories. U.S. AEC reportNV0-1229-113, Pt. I. 41 **PP.** 

Essington, E. H., E. J. Forslow, and D. C. Castagnola, 1970. Interim summary of tritium data for **STS** "A", Amchitka Island, Alaska, July 1, 1969 through June 30, 1970. Teledyne isotopes, Palo Alto Laboratoriea. U. S. AEC report **NVO-** 1229-157. 91 pp.

Everett, K. **R.** 1971. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Report. Geomorphology and pedology, of Arnchitka Island, Alaska. Battelte, Columbus Laboratories, U. S. AEC report BhlI-171-140. 82 pp.

Held, E. E. 1971. Amchitka Radiobiological Program. Progress report, July, 1970, to April, 197 **1.** Univ. of Washington, Laboratory of Radiation Ecology, **U.** S. AEC report NVO-269-11. 40 pp.

Isakson, J. S., and A. H. Seymour. 1968. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program. Radiometric **and** elemental analyses of marine organisms from Amchitka Island, Alaska, Annual progress report July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1968. Battelle Memorial  $\pm$ Institute, Columbus Laboratories, **U.S.** AEC report BMI-171- 113. 27 pp.

Isakson, J. S., C. A. Simenstad, **and** R. L. Burgner. 197 1. Fish communities and food chains in the Amchitka area. BioScience Zl(12): 666-670.

Kirkwood, J. B. 1970. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program. Bioenvironmental safety studies, Amchitka Island, Alaska; Milrow D+2 months report. Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laboratories, **U.** S. AEC report BMI-171- 126. 44 pp.

Kirkwood, J. B., and R. G. Fuller. 1971. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program. **Bioenvironrnental-Effects** predictions for the proposed Cannikin underground nuclear detonation at Amchitka Island, Alaska. Battelle, Columbus Laboratoriea, U. S. AEC report BMI-171-141. 31 pp.

Merritt, M. L. 1970. Physical and biological effects, Milrow event. U. S. Atomic Energy Commission, Nevada Operations Office, NVO-79, 119 pp.

Merritt, M. L. 1971. Ground shock and water pressures from Milrow. BioScience Z1( 12): . 696-700.

- Reil, G. K. 1966. Concentration of radioactive isotopes in environmental water **measured** by underwater gamma spectrometry. Naval Ordnance Laboratories, White **Oak,** Md., NOL technical report 66-231. 20 Dec. 1966. **V. P.** charts, tables. XOL **cask** FR-43.

Seymour, A. H. , and R. A. Nakatani. 1967. Long Shot Bioenvironmental Safety Program. Final report. **Univ.** of Washington, Laboratory of Radiation Ecology, Report RL-1385-1, U.S. AEC report TID-24291. 49 pp.

62

Silker, W. B., R. W. Perkins, and H. G. Rieck. 1971. A sampler for concentrating  $\frac{1}{2}$ radionuclide s from natural waters. Ocean Engineering **Z(2):** 49-55.

Simenstad,-C. A. 1971. The feeding ecology of the rock greenling, Hexagrammos in the inshore waters of Amchitka Island. Alaska. M.S. Thesis lagocephalus, in the inshore waters of Amchitka Island, Alaska. M.S. Thesis, University of IVashington, Seattle, Washington. 140 pp.

U.S. Atomic Energy Commission. 1971. Environmental Statement; Cannikin. U.S. Government Printing Office **(PB-200** 23 1-F). **98 pp.** 

U.S. Atomic Energy Commission. 1972. Project Cannikin D+30 day report; preliminary operational and test results summary. **U.S.** Atomic Energy Commission, Nevada Operations Office, **XVO-** 108. **32 pp.** 

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Western Environmental Research Laboratory, 1971. Preliminary report, off-site radiological surveillance and public safety for the Cannikin event. 10 pp.

Vogt, J. R., J. E. Howes, and R. A. Ewing. 1968. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program. Radionuclide and stable element analyses of environmental samples from  $\Box$ Arnchitka Island, Alaska. Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laboratories, HIREIRIKA ISTANO, ATASKA, BATELLO MOMOTRA INSERTICI SOCIALISMO ELISOTLICITOR, -<br>U.S. AEC report BMI-171-110. 44 pp.

U.S. AEC report BMI-171-110. 44 pp.<br>von Huene, R., W. J. Carr, D. McManus, and M. Holmes. 1971. Marine geophysical study around Amchitka Island, Western Aleutian Islands, Alaska. U.S. Geological **... ...**<br>Survey, USGS-474-74. 30 pp.

Williamson, F.S. L., and W. B. Emison. 1969. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program. Studies of the avifauna on Amchitka Island, Alaska; annual progress report for FY **..............................**<br>1969. Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laboratories, U.S. AEC report ................................. 1969. Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laboratories, U.S. AEC report BMI-171-125. 75 pp. .. . .-. ..,

ż

**BERING SEA** 

PACIFIC OCEAN

visit Sa



PLATE 1. SURFACE ZERO AREA ON NOVEMBER 4, 1971, TWO DAYS BEFORE THE CANNIKIN **UNDERGROUND NUCLEAR TEST [Looking South-East]** 

(Battelle Columbus Laboratories Photograph No. 2A-33)

للاسداني

ik Li

 $\langle\mathcal{P}\rangle=11$ 

 $\omega \equiv \Delta^2$ 

 $\sim 10^{11}$ 

 $\sim 10$ 



Plate H. SURFACE ZERO AREA ON NOVEMBER 11, 1971, FIVE DAYS AFTER THE CANNIKIN UNDERGROUND NUCLEAR TEST AND AFTER FORMATION OF THE COLLAPSE CRATER [Looking South-East]

(Battelle Columbus Laboratories Photograph No. 7B-71)
## $A - 1$

#### **APPENDIX A**

#### **FRELIMINARY REPORT**

#### CANNIKIN GROUND MOTION AND WATER PRESSURES

by

#### M. L. Merritt Sandia Laboratories

Four projects are relevant to biological experimenters' mood for information on ground motion and water pressures resulting from Cannikin. Sandia, as part of the Lawrence Livermore Laboratory tochoical program, measured underground and surface accelerations and velocities. Relevant data from this project are tabulated in Table A-1, The Earth Sciences Laboratory of NOAA (formerly the Coast and Geodelic Survey) measured ground motion with seismic instruments as far away as Shemya and Adak (West and Christie, 1971), " Relevant data from this project are given in Table A-2. Sandia, as part of the NVOO effects program, installed a number of active and passive accelerometers and pressure gages at points coordinated with experimenters of the bioenvironmiontal program. (Active gages are gages and recording systems that vield the whole history of the phenomenon being measured; passive gages are self-recording gages that indicate only the peak values,) Relevant accelerations from this project are given in Table A.3, and pressure data in Table A.4. The Holmes and Narvey survey crew measured the heights of a great number of points on land before and after Cannikin, to obtain information on uplift or subsidence of those points. A selection of such data, including all coastal data now in hand, is given in Table A-5; the focations of a number of the survey points are shown on Figure A-8.

The Sandia surface measurements and active measurements of water pressure gave limited coverage because generator power was lost  $1, 8$  seconds after the detenstion. As a result all data from station 533 were lost, and slap-down pulses were lost on several other stations, including SB4 on the Bering Sea boach. (Experience says that the largest acceleration at surface zero is usually the first pulse, AV-1 in Table A-1, The loss of measured slap-down pulses, AV-2 in Table A-1, at station SO and SO-1 is probably thus not critical.) Typical vertical acceleration and velocity records (those for station SF5S) are shown in Figures A. 1 and A-2. The acceleration pulse of 10 g at 0,55 seconds is at shock arrival. Velocity jumps to 520 cm/sec, then decreases at the 1-g rate symptomatic of spall, i.e., failure of rock in tension below the surface. When the spalled material hits bottom again at 1, 55 seconds, there is a second or slap-down acceleration pulse log, and velocity returns to hear zero.

Peak values of these vertical accelerations (AVg), as well as those from instruments which measured only peaks, are plotted versus slant range (R) from the underground shot point in Figure A-3. The statistically best linear fit to these data is

 $AV = 96.5 R_{kin}^{+1.91}$ .

K. Christie, 1971 - "Observed Cromad Motion Data, Cannikin Event", FRC, NVO-1163-230

 $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{v}$ 

#### APPENDIX A

#### **PRELIMINARY REPORT**

#### CANNIKIN GROUND MOTION AND WATER PRESSURES

Data from Sandia Laboratories, Albuque rque, New Mexico

## $A - Z$

#### TABLE A-1, SURFACE MOTION

 $(Preliminary)$ 

 $\mathbb{R}^2$ 

 $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N}$ 

 $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}$ 



Source: W. R. Perret, Sandia, private communication.

 $\mathcal{R}^{\text{L}}$  ,  $\mathcal{R}^{\text{L}}$ 

Coordinates are relative to N 5, 700, 000 E 640, 000,

Numbers in parentheses are results of raw integration.

 $0.8, 0.8$ 

»denotes signal or integral did not reach peak.



 $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})$ 

TABLE A-2. ERC/ESL SURFACE MOTION

 $\mathbf{r}_{\rm eff}$ 

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}$ 

 $\mathbf{r}$ 

 $\geq 2d$ 

 $\mathbf{V}^{(n)}$  ,  $\mathbf{V}^{(n)}$  ,  $\mathbf{V}^{(n)}$ 

Lista.



Source: West and Christie, NVO-1163-230.

Notation: SR - slate range

 $\theta$  - a month

 $\mathbf{H}$ 

 $\mathbf{H}$  .

 $\frac{1}{2}$  ,  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

 $\sim 10^{27}$  ).

DI N

 $t_a$  first arrival time.  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\bar{V}}$  - amplitude of vertical acceleration

 $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}$ 

IIV- amplitude of vertical velocity

dV - amplitude of venical transport displacement.

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}$ 

 $A - 3$ 

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

 $\Delta \hat{\Sigma} = 1$ 

#### TABLE A-3. PEAK-READING ACCELEROMETERS



Notation HR . horizonial range

SR = stant cange

- $\theta$  = aztmath
- $\mathbf{t}_\mathbf{a}$  first arrival time.

AV - amplitude of ventual acceleration,

Gage type: AD - accelerometer from Dynasciences Corp. AG · accelerancter from Teledyne Geotech-

number is minimal gage range.



 $A - 5$ 



A particles such as 50-300 means 50 can prodict, 30 possibles,

Notations P<sub>1</sub> imagedade of two pressure patie.

- $\Gamma_{\rm c}$  , any of time of second possing palse.  $\Gamma_{\rm c}$  is magnitude of second possing palse (for active gages).
- or of peak presente (for passive gages).
- The Tangomeal range.
- SR plata tange
- 

 $=$ 

j.

- $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{h}$
- 
- The class arrest time.<br>The class arrest time

 $\mathbb{R}^n$ 

Y.

٠.  $\sim$ 





 $\mathcal{L}$ 

 $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q} \times \mathbf{q}$ 

 $\chi_2^2 \gtrsim 10$ 

 $\langle \Delta \rangle$ 

老师等

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{M}}$  ,  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{M}}$ 

 $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{R})$ 

 $\frac{1}{N}$  ,  $\frac{N}{N}$  ,  $\alpha$ 

 $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{G}}$  ,  $\mathcal{A}$ 

 $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ 

Source: O. Sammons, Holmes and Narver Surveyors, private communication.

 $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{angle}$ 

-289

 $\theta = \text{aximath},$ 

(See Figure A-8.)

 $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{E}})$  ,  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E})$ 

机空气炉



FIGURE A-1. VERTICAL ACCELERATION, STATION SF5S (HORIZONTAL RANGE 1. 1 KM, AZIMUTH 308°)

 $\alpha$ 

 $\mathcal{F}$ 



 $\frac{11}{10}$  FIGURE A-2. VERTICAL VELOCITY, STATION SESS (HORIZONTAL RANGE 1.3 KM, AZIMUTH 308)

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}(\mathcal{E}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}})$ 

1995 - VIII

 $M_{\rm{max}}$  .

 $\sim 100$ 

 $\left\langle \frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle$ 

 $A - 7$ 

 $\epsilon$ 



FIGURE A-3. PEAK ACCELERATIONS VERSUS SLANT RANGE





 $A - 9$ 

This fitted curve is about 50 percent above preshot predictions available to biological program investigators. Individual points vary by a factor of two about this fitted curve.

Similarly peak values of vertical velocity (UV<sub>cm/sec</sub>) are plotted versus slant range (R) in Figure A-4. The statistically boat linear fit is

UV em/sec  $\leq$  2005  $R_{\rm km}^{+1,61}$  .

This fitted curve agrees well with preshot predictions.

Pressure measurements were made with time-resolved and with peak-mossuring instruments, but only in shallow ponds and atreams on land, Instruments were not installed on FRI's (ish-holding pens to measure pressures at sea because had weather kept the pens from being deployed. Mounts of instruments in ponds and streams consisted of stakes driven into the bottom or weights resting on the bottom, holding gages with their sensitive elements looking sideways (the so-called side-on position, with diaphragm vertical) so as to avoid reading dynamic pressures. Five time-resolved records resulted, two each in lakes DP and DK, and one in lake  $BP^2$ . These are shown in Figure A-5. All records show an initial slowly rising and falling pulse, the one in Figure  $A \cdot 5a$  with an amplitude of about 0.3 atmosphere. They then fall to a constant level of about -0,05 atmosphere, and remain there until a second signal, unless power tails lirst. (The extra spike at  $1.7$  seconds is a power transient progent on all records, and is to be (gnored.) In Figure A-5a the second signal is a very sharply rising signal that reached an apparent amplitude of 2.1 atmosphere. The first pressure signal is interpreted as being the initial ground shock wave coming into the water from the rock below. limited to low pressures by reflection in tension from the upper water surface. Water is thrown up into the art at this time, and thereafter both ground and the water over it are in free fall. The gage prelieved of the pressure of the water over it, reads a steady -0,05 atm. Photography of DK lake and a nearby unnamed lake indicates that during this time the surface of the water rises laster than the ground, and lakes on a white fourny appearance. It is thus possible that the gage is out of water, but if so the steadings, of the pressure observed implies that air has ontered the space around the gage. The agoond pulse is not well explained. Photography indicator that it occurs at the time of slap-down (spall closure) in the bedrock underlying the lake. The surface of the water continues to rise. Laster than before and with a more irregular and spiked appearance.

There are two possible explanations of the second pulse. One possibility is that the overlying water has been thrown free of the gage and the obsorved signal is a reaponse to mud and other bottom materials thrown up by the slap-down acceleration pulse. In this case the oscillations of the second pulse seen in expanded time scale in Figure A-6 might be due to the trregular nature of the bottom coming up. Figure A-6a, on the other hand, has only a single spike. The field engineer reports that lake BP had a hard bottom about 15 cm (6 inches) thick with soft material below that, and that this layer was amarently underturbed after Cannikin, whereas lakes DP and DK had soft macky bottoms. The difference in bottoms is consistent with the difference in wave shapes, and lends weight to the hypothosis that the second pressure pulse is a response to bottom materials.

2. See Frouric 14 of hady of the report for because of these fakes





 $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ 

 $\frac{2}{\sqrt{N}}\frac{M}{\sqrt{N}}$ 

 $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ 

 $\sim 100$ 

 $\mathcal{A}$ 

 $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{S}}$  .





FIGURE A-6, EXPANDED VIEWS OF SECOND PULSE

 $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{2})$ 

 $\frac{p_{\rm max}}{p_{\rm max}}$ 

 $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ 

소문 교

 $\sim$ 

 $\sim 1^{\rm N}$ 

 $\mathbf{H}$ 

 $\mathbf{u}_\mathrm{in}$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}$ 

巷

 $\mathbf{A}\cdot\mathbf{H}$ 

#### $A - 12$

The second possibility is that the second pulse observed in the pressure records is real. Simple theory (Newton's Second Law of Motion) indicates that the average pressure in shallow ponds should be related to the accelerations of the bottoms of these ponds a s

 $\triangle P = \rho h a$ 

where

 $\Delta P$  pressure

 $p =$  density

h = depth of water

a acceleration.

A more complicated theory that accounts for reflections within the layer of water (Merritt, 1971)<sup>6</sup>, applied to shallow water, indicates that for waves whose accelerations rise to their peak in times shorter than the reflection time in the water, pressure in the water overshoots and oscillates about what the simple theory would prodict; whereas for waves whose rise times are longer than reflection times in the water, pressure follows what the simple theory would predict. Under this hypothesis the initial pressure pulse observed on each of these five gages is a nonoscillatory response to the elowly applied first acceleration pulse, and the second pulse is the oscillatory result of a very sharp slap-down acceleration pulse. If this be true, these pressure records can also be interpreted as acceleration records. Two of the five happen to be near acceleration gage installations. The pressure record at BP interpreted as acceleration vields 23  $g$ . the acceleration there measured directly was 22.5 g. Similarly the results at DK-2 are  $37 g$  and  $> 30 g$ . On the other hand, comparison at seven positions between accelerations derived from peak pressures measured by passive gages (P<sub>v</sub> in Table A-4) and accelerations read by peak-reading accelerometers (AV in Table A-3) are much less satisfactory, differing generally by a factor of two. This discrepancy could, however, be due to unsatisfactory gages,

Perhaps the tell-tale observation about those second pressure pulses is that derived from the biological experiments. Postshot, many stickleback were observed killed by apparently Cannikin-related injuries. Those fish, considered as biological pressure gages, imply that the second pressure pulses measured in freshwater ponds were indeed real.

In Table A-4, the apparent pressures due to slap-down are (abulated in a column headed Ps; those due to the first pulse in a column headed P1. There is every reason to believe that if the active gages, whose operating principle was a metal diaphragm. actuating a variable reluctance pickup, responded to the slap-down; then the passive gages also responded similarly to the slap-down, since their operating principle was a diaphragm pushing an indenter cutting soft metal. The passive gages were not affected by the 1.8-second power loss, so that their results are an upper limit to the pressure where they were.

It has been noted by Everett of O.S.U., by the U.S. Geological Survey, and others that the pattern of permanent uplifts and subsidences around Cannikin is far from symmetric (with the lowest point being displaced from surface zero) and that the greatest

\*Merritt, M. L. (1971, "Groond Shock and Water Pressure from Milrow", BioScience 21(12): 696-700,

 $A - 13$ 

coastal disturbances were between adjacent faults to the north and south of Cannikin + not that there was no disturbance beyond, just inuch less. Uplift on the Bering coast (Table A-5) is 2 to 4 tunes the 30 cm predicted there. Increased accelerations, however, were not localized to this region but as Figure A-3 indicates were general out to a distance of 6 km. That most of these high-acceleration measurements were made on passive gages suggests the presence of a systematic error. However, the further coincidence that all the time-resolved or active measurements to this distance have wave shapes indicative of an underlying spull suggests that these high readings are roal. At this point in time the issue cannot be resolved.

Finally, what were the pressures in the sea to either side of the island? And in: particular, were there sharply rising pulses of pressure there? On Milrow direct measurements were made of underwater pressure and of sea floor motion (Merritt, 1969).<sup>2</sup> Four out of five Milrow powerwroment stations  $(W8, 13, 16, 20)$  were in a vegion of possible spall; two (W8, 20) were in a region of cavitation. There is no indication in the Milrow records of a spall-induced pressure spike or bottom spall signal such as we have construed on land in Cannikin. In addition, the records show no sharp spikes at the end of cavitation, which is the water equivalent of slap-down. At each of the five Milrow underwater stations one of the two bottom pressure gages was recorded on IRIG channel 12, which is effectively a low-pass system with a cutoff frequency of 220 Hz; similarly a gage at each station at partial depth was on IRIG channel 13, with a cutoff frequency of 330 Hz. These gages would have recorded any spike with rise time of 3 to 5 milliseconds or longer. I conclude that there was no such pressure spike in doop water on Milrow, and none either on Gannikin.

It remains only to estimate the underwater pressures at Canockin at sea in the absence of any direct measurement. Since the Milrow underwater pressure wave shapes are well accounted for as the superposition of waves, reverberating in the water layer, each similar to the velocity of the sea floor, and since the vertical velocities measured on Cannikin are very close to those predicted (Figure A+4), the preshot predictions of deep-sea pressures remain as good estimates of what happened as can be made at this time. These estimates are repeated in Figure A-7.

Ocean-bottom overpressure and the region within which all underpressures are equal to complete pressure release or cavitation are given in Figure A-7. The most widespread effect is cavitation; its areal coverage is greater than the 100 psi  $(6.8 \text{ atm})$ contour.

"Mellitt, M. L. (1968). "Underwater Motion and Overpressures, Million gyent", Sandia Labs, SC-1 M-c2+17-1,

 $A - 14$ 



FIGURE A-7. PREDICTED OVERPRESSURE CONTOURS (AT OCEAN BOTTOM) AND LIMIT OF CAVITATION IN BERING SEA, FOR CANNIKIN

 $\sim$   $\mu$ 

 $\sim 3.2$ 

 $\frac{1}{2}$  ,  $\frac{1}{2}$  ,  $\frac{1}{2}$  .

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}$ 

 $\mathbb{R}^3$  .



n ger

 $\mathbf{r}_\mathrm{f}$ 

85

 $\chi\in\mathcal{P}_{\text{reg}}$ 

 $\sim 2\%$ 

 $\sim 2.5$ 

 $\langle \rangle$ 

Numbers in parentheses show amount of vertical uplift in meters,

87.KB

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ 

 $\mathcal{L}_\mathrm{f}$ 

 $\mathbf{A}-1.5$ 

#### $A-16$

#### CONCLUSIONS

وكالمراجع

 $\sim$ 

Measured peak vertical accelerations on land were in the order of 50 percent. larger than the Cannikin predictions available to biological invostigators. Measured peak vertical velocities were as prodicted. Permanent uplifts in a section of the Bering Sea coast were  $0.25$  to 1.1 m = considerably larger than predicted; coastal uplifts elsewhere have not yet becaudetermined.

Pressures in shallow on-shore waters consisted of a short duration pulse of no great amplitude - generally less than 0.7 atm after which the waters and any from swimming fish in them were thrown upwards in a state of pressure relief. On slap-down of spalled rock a hundred meters or more beneath lake and stream beds, sharp second pulses of 1 to 2 atmosphere amplitude resulted.

In the absence of direct measurements, and because surface velocities were as predicted, underwater overpressures and underpressures at sea are construed to have been as predicted preshot.

V

A.

 $\mathbf{a}$ 

## **APPENDIX B**

## **METEOROLOGICAL CONDITIONS AT AMCHITKA FROM D- 2 THROUGH D+7**

**National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Air Reaourcea Laboratories, Las Vegas, Nevada** 

## $B - 1$

## APPENDIX B

## METEOROLOGICAL CONDITIONS ON AMCHITKA FROM D-2 THROUGH D+7

## National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Air Resources Laboratories, Las Vegas, Nevada

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Air Resources Laboratory - LV (ARL-LV) collected meteorologicai data on Amchitka in support of the Cannikin test. Since the local weather conditions prevailing before, during, and after shottime are believed to have had a considerable iniluence on the testtime findings of the Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program, the ARL-LV data are included here. The direction and force of the winds during and after shottime are particularly important, since they undoubtedly influenced the distribution of marine mammals, **bf** rds, and fishes killed or injured by the detonation. ARL-LV has concluded, on the basis of a ... .. review of all weather data available from the area, that the best information on the  $\frac{1}{2}$ direction and velocity of the winds over the southeastern half of the Island, where Cannikin SZ is located, is that recorded by the sensors on Tower 8, an 88-ft. Tower located at the Main Camp. Data were collected at this station only on D-1, D-day, and D+1.

This Appendix presents, in addition to the Tower 8 data, the weather data collected at the Amchitka, Alaska, airstrip. However, the reader is adviaed that wind directions as given at the airstrip consistently differ by 20-30 degrees from the directions recorded by the Tower 8 instrument. Concurrent wind data from military ships  $\frac{1}{2}$  steaming in the vicinity of the southeast end of Amchitka Island corroborate the steaming in the vicinity of the southeast end of Amchitka Island corroborate the Tower 8 data.

ARL-LV.also collected meteorological data at the Northwest Camp. However, for the purposes of **this** report, it is believed that the Tower 8 data and the airfield data (with due allowance for the difference discussed above) most nearly represent the weather conditions prevailing in the vicinity of Cannikin SZ during the period of  $\begin{bmatrix} \vdots \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$ 



 $\overline{1}$ 

TABLE B-1. RECORDED SURFACE WINDS

(a) 20 to 30 degrees should be added to all values in this column to compensate for a consistent inaccuracy in the instrument.

(b) Variable  $190$  to  $230$ .

(c) Variable  $200$  to  $240$ .

(d) Variable  $210$  to  $250$ .

(c) Variable 220 to  $260$ .

 $\sim$   $\sim$ 

A.



 $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}^{\text{L}}_{\text{L}}(t)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}^{\text{L}}_{\text{L}}(t)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}^{\text{L}}_{\text{L}}(t)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}^{\text{L}}_{\text{L}}(t)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}^{\text{L}}_{\text{L}}(t)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}^{\text{L}}_{\text{L}}(t)]$ 

 $\cdot$ 

 $\mathcal{R}_1$  ,  $\mathcal{R}_2$ 一部分科

 $\sim$ 

 $\sim$ 

 $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ 

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D})$  ,  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D})$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}$  ,  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}$ 

 $\sim$   $\sim$   $\sim$ 

 $\sim 2.2$ 

 $\mathscr{A}(\mathcal{T})$ 

 $\sim 10^{11}$  eV.

 $\sim 10^{11}$ 

 $\sim 1.8\,M_{\odot}$ 

altri Svo



<u> 1982 - Johann Johann Stein, marking and de Brasilian (b. 1983)</u>

the control of the state of the

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$ 

Time. <b>BST</b>	Sky Condition <sup>(a)</sup>	Visibility. mi	Weather and <b>Obstructions</b> to Vision	Sea Lovel Pressure. mb	Temp. F	Dew Point Temp, F	Wind Direction <sup>(b)</sup> deg	<b>Wind</b> Speed $\Theta$ . kt
			November 9, 1971 (D+3); Solar Radiation Total 78 Langleys; 24-Hour Precipitation Trace				÷	
0700	200 obscured	1/2	Moderate drizzle & fog	996.1	42	42	280	12
0800	200 obscured	1/2	Very light rain & fog	996.7	42	42	280	10
0900	100' scattered							
	500 scattered							
	2000' overcast	6	Fog	997.1	42	42	240	10
1000	200' thin broken							
	1800' overcast	6	Fog	997.4	42	42	220	13
1100	300° overcast	6	Fog	997 1	42	42	220	15
1200	600' broken							
	1500' overcast	7		996.7	43	42	220	16
1300	500' overcast	7		996.4	43	42	220	19
1400	500' broken							
	2000' overcast	7		996.1	44	$\sim$ 2	230	20
1500	500' scattered							
	2000 scattered	7		995.7	44	42	220	20
1600	900' scattered							
	1500' broken	$\mathbf 7$		995.7	43	41	210	17
1700	900' scattered							
	2000' broken	7		995.4	42	40	200	18626
			November 10, 1971 (D+4); Solar Radiation Total 112 Langleys; 24-Hour Precipitation 0.04 Inch					
0700	2000 broken	10		998.8	39	32	240	25635
0800	2000' broken	10		999.1	37	32	230	20 G30
0900	2000' broken	10		999.9	36	31	240	20G30
1000	2000 broken	10		1000.1	31	32	240	25638
1100	2000' broken	10		1000.5	37	35	240	22G34
1200	2000' broken	10		1000.8	31	35	240	24636
1300	1500 scattered	15		1000.1	40	31	240	24636
1400	1500' broken	15		1000.1	$\boldsymbol{\omega}$	31	240	<b>25G38</b>
1500	1500' broken	15		1000.1	40	31	240	24G39
1600	2000' broken	10		1000.5	39	29	240	<b>25G40</b>
1700	2000' broken	${\bf 10}$		1000 5	39	29	240	23632

TABLE B-2. (Continued)

×П

 $\sim 1.2$  % .

 $\sim$ 

V.

A 4

 $\mathcal{L}$ 

 $\mathcal{F}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{max}}$  .

 $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ 

 $\chi_{\rm max}$  .  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{G})\subset\mathbb{R}^2$ 

 $\mathcal{F}$ 

્મ દુઃખ્યો

 $\mathcal{A}$ 

 $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{C}$  $\sim 10$  ÷.

 $\sim 122$  $\mathcal{M}_{\rm eff}$ 

in M



(a) Clear = <0, 1 sky cover; scattered = 0, 1-<0, 6 sky cover; broken = 0.6-0.9 sky cover; overcast = >0, 9 sky cover; obscured = 1.0 sky hidden by precipitation or obstruction to vision (fog).

 $\mathbf{L}$ 

 $\mathbf{r}$ 

(b) Correct wind direction can be obtained by adding 20-30 deg to value given.

 $\mathcal{L}$ 

 $=$ 

 $\frac{1}{2}$  .

 $\sim 200$  km s

 $\cdot$ 

(c) The notations 24G35, etc., mean average wind speed of 24 knots, with gusts up to 35 knots.

المتاري

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$  ,

 $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{A}$ 

**APPENDIX C** 

#### GEOLOGIC AND HYDROLOGIC INVESTIGATIONS OF THE CANNIKIN SITE

Data from the U.S. Geological Survey, Denver, Colorado,

## $C - 1$ APPENDIX C

 $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$  ,  $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ 

÷.

#### GEOLOGIC AND HYDROLOGIC INVESTIGATIONS OF THE CANNIKIN SITE

bv.

U.S. Geological Survey

#### Introduction

The U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) of the Department of the Interior has principal responsibility for defining and interpreting the geologic and hydrologic environment of underground nuclear explosions.

Most of the geologic and hydrologic investigations on Amchitka were directed toward preparation for and completion of Milrow in 1969 and Cannikin in 1971.

#### Definition of the Geologic Environment

Geologic investigations enhanced by aerial photography, an infrared survey, an aeromagnetic survey, gravity surveys, and marine geophysical surveys were completed and evaluated prior to Milrow in 1969. Further studies in preparation for Cannikin included studies of the tectonics of the Aleutian arc and marine-terrace studies which were completed to provide background information to aid in assessing the possibility of triggering large earthquakes and tsunamis.

#### Site Selection and Evaluation

The working point for Cannikin was selected on the basis of depth, predicted suitability of rock for chambering, and minimal water inflow.

#### Geologic and Tectonic Effects of Nuclear Explosions.

Explosion-produced geologic and tectonic effects were predicted and documented for both Milrow and Cannikin. These included such effects as fractured rock, collapse sinks, ground deformation, and fault movement.

Geologic effects of Cannikin were similar to those of Milrow but of anticipated larger magnitude. Chill apall along the Bering coast was greater than anticipated and is estimated to be some 25,000 cubic meters of rock and turf. The Bering coastline was uplifted 0.25 to 1.1 m  $\{0, 8, 6, 3, 5, 6\}$  along about 2 km  $\{1 - 1/4 \text{ miles}\}$  of coastline nearest to the site.

Nearly all visible geologic effects were confined between two east-trending faults which are 760 m  $(2, 500 \text{ ft})$  south and  $(0.68 \text{ m})$  (3, 500 ft) north of the site. The northern fault was offset at the surface a maximum of 60 cm (2 ft) vertically along 460 m (1,500 ft) of strike at shot time. The south fault was offset a maximum of 60 cm vertically along  $\psi$  about 1,430 m (4,700 ft) of strike. Most of the movement on the latter fault did not

occur until the collapse sink was formed. Precise surveys show that a line 2 km  $(11/4 \text{ miles})$  long trending northeast across SZ extended 1.2 m (4 ft).

Calculated strains decrease with slant distance from SZ as the minus 3 power out to a distance of 6 km (3, 7 miles). At that distance the strain was  $2 \times 10^{-5}$ , about the limit of detection for the method used. Principal strains show northeast-southwest extension,

The collapse sink appears from preliminary surveys to have an oval shape about 915 by 1.270 m  $(3,000 \text{ by } 4,500 \text{ ft})$  in diameter with a maximum depth of about 20 m  $(50-60 \text{ ft})$ . It is asymmetrical in that the maximum subsidence is 366 m (1,200 ft) east-southeast of  $SZ$ , Subsidence at  $SZ$  was only 5 m (16 ft). The asymmetry of the collapse sink is probably related to geologic structure. The chimney appears to have stoped upward normal to the dip of the beds. When the chimney reached the surface, the bedrock dropped as discrete blocks broken along small faults and joints. Some of these fractures were offset as much as 3 m (10 ft).

#### Definition of the Hydrologic Environment

Groundwater studies were designed to determine (1) the groundwater flow system,  $(2)$  the chemical and radiochemical quality of water,  $(3)$  the hydraulic characteristics of specific rock units and intervals, and (4) the acceptability of sites selected for emplacement holes.

Automatic continuous-recording gages were mstalled on five streams to determine the base flow of the streams and to measure storm runoff. These gages were also used to monitor effects of the underground tests on the stream flow. Streams selected for gaging stations include streams that drain the Milrow and Cannikin test sites and one area between the two sites. Water levels were monitored in 28 holes on the Island to evaluate changes in water level, both natural and man-made,

Precipitation records were acquired by two automatic precipitation gages and daily precipitation records were collected at the air terminal,

#### Hydrologic Effects of Explosions

The hydrologic effects of Cannikin were similar to those of Milrow. At both sites flow of the streams draining the site was reduced. This was caused by capture of part of the stream flow by collapse of the sinks. Another effect of the tests is the creation of a cone of depression in the groundwater in the chimney area.

After Cannikin the discharge of White Alice Creek, which drains the test site, was reduced to about 4 percent of normal. It is estimated that the Cannikin chimney will be filled in about 9 months by the return flow of the groundwater toward the chinney and the addition of surface runoff. At that time the closed depression formed by the sink will start to fill and form a lake as much as 6 m (20 ft) deep. Only after the chimney and lake fill will White Alice Creek resume normal discharge,

#### Long-Term Hydrologic Monitoring Program.

A long-term hydrologic monitoring program was established on Amchitka in 1967. As part of the monitoring program a water-sampling network was established. The

#### $C-3$  and  $C-4$

sampling network of 60 stations presently includes 23 lake, 15 stream, 5 seep and spring, 7 well, 1 precipitation, and 9 ocean water-sampling locations. Samples from these locations are analyzed routinely for chemical constituents and to establish radiological background levels of tritium and of gross alpha and gross beta contents.

Sampling frequency immediately after Cannikin has been bimonthly, and will be guarterly after the end of the first year. Starting approximately 1-1/2 years after the event, sampling will be on an annual basis. Current analyses show no measurable increase in radioactivity over preshot data.

The Cannikin reentry hole has been developed as a hydrologic monitoring hole. Water samples will be obtained from various levels in the chimney to determine the distribution of radioactivity with time, and the water level will be monitored to determine the rate of chimney filling.

All samples collected after Cannikin will be analyzed for tritium using the liquid scintillation method with a lower limit of about 200 tritium units (TU). All samples will be analyzed for gross alpha, and gross beta/gamma, Any sample collected from critical or suspect areas or that contains greater than background concentrations of grows alpha or gross beta/gamma will be reanalyzed using low-level tritium techniques with a lower limit of about 20 TU. The samples also will be analyzed for specific radionachdes including strontium 90 to differentiate the source of the radioactivity from worldwide fallout.

Routine reports of the long-term monitoring results will be prepared annually, and prompt, special reports will be prepared if above-background concentrations of event-related radioactivity are found.

 $\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{C}}$  :

## **APPENDIX D**

 $D - 1$ 

#### PHOTOGRAMMETRY

Approximately 5000 acrial photographs were taken over Amchitka prior to and after Cannikin by a BCL photogrammetriat. The photographs were taken to support all bioenvironmental studies and other contractors of AEC-NVOO, such as USGS. An Alloutte III helicopter provided a suitable platform for two Fairchild K-17B aerial carnerss  $(9-1/2)$  by  $9-1/2$ -inch film format). Intensive oblique and vertical photographic coverage with color film\* and infrared color film\*\* was obtained for the areas within 3-km radii around Cannikin and Milrow SZ, and the portion of the Island lying between these sites. Additional but less intensive coverage was obtained for that portion of the Island extending from Cannikin northwestward to about D Site (Figure D-1). The total area covered by this aerial photography is approximately 80  $km^2$ .

Photographa were taken up to 2 days before teattime, and photo missions were resumed on D-day. The large-format photography lends itself readily to a precise comparison of terrain and nearshore features, pre- and posttest. It clearly reveals changes in lakes  $(e, g)$ , figures in lake bottoms and changes in water level), and the creation of new lakes and ponds. It shows rock falls and tundra slides around the Bering Sea and Pacific Ocean coastal cliffs in sufficient detail to permit good ostimation of volumes of material displaced. Cracks and scarps in the inland terrain around S2 can be measured, and caved portions of stream banks and turbidity in nearshore marine waters are clearly delineated. The photography is detailed and extensive enough to be used for systematic evaluation of Cannikin-related changes in the terrain and nearshore foatures. It has been indexed and keyed to 1:25,000 Army Map Service map sheets # 2023 J NW, 2024 III NE, 2024 III SE, and 2024 II SW, Some 1500 frames have been copied on 35-mm slides for quick reference and side-by-side comparison of photographic data.

Specifically, the following photographic coverage was obtained between August 31 and November 12, 1971:

- $\bullet$  The area within 3-km radius of Cannikin and Milrow SZ and the area in between (Oblique infrared color and vertical color imagery; average photographic scale  $-1:4000$ ).
- . The sea cliffs and sea stacks on the Bering and Pacific Coast (Obtique infrared color and color imagery; average photographic scale  $-1:10001$ .
- **a** Test lakes within 3.5-km radius of Cannikin SZ (Vertical color and oblique infrared color imagery; average photographic scale = 1:1000).
- . Three streams within 4-km radius of Cannikin SZ (Vertical color and oblique infrared color imagery; avarage photographic scale  $-1:1000$ ).

Figuethome color film, Kodak Type SO-197. = infrared color film, Kodak Type 2443.  $\mathbf{H}$ 

 $\overline{1}$ 

APPENDIX D

PHOTOGRAMMETRY

 $\epsilon_{\rm m}$ 



CSI.

2 Y.

Six plant-ecology transects within 8.5-km radius of Cannikin SZ (Vertical color and oblique infrared color, average photographic scale  $-1.2000$ .

 $D-1$  and  $D-4$ 

- . Peregrine falcon syries and bald eagle nesting sites within 10-km radius of Cannikin SZ (Oblique color imagery; average photographic scale  $-1.500$ .
- . Portions of Bering Sea and Pacific Ocean coastlines within 8-km radius of Cannikin SZ during low tides (Vertical infrared color imagery; average photographic scale = 1:1500).
- 6 SZ area within 1.5 km (Vertical color, oblique color and infrared color imagery, average photographic scale = 1:4000).

 $\mathbf{q}_1$ 

In addition to the large-format photographic coverage, about 750 color or infrared color, 35-mm and 70 mm photographs were taken of selected features.

ل ۱۹۸۰ کې<br>د مسئول

ΩÝ,

## $16 - 1$ **APPENDIX E**

#### AMCHITKA BIOENVIRONMENTAL PUBLICATIONS

Abrams, J. P., H. T. Kemp, and J. B. Kirkwood, 1968. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program, Commercial fisheries related to Amchitka Island. Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laboratories, U.S. AEC report BMI 171-109. 70 pp.

Allton, W. H. 1968. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program, Sea otter natural mortality at Amchitka Island, Alaska. Battelle Memorial Institute. Columbus Laboratories, U. S. AEC report BMI-171-101, 5 pp.

Amundsen, C. C. 1972. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program. Plant ecology of Amchitka Island. Final report. Battelle, Columbus Laboratories, U. S. AEC report BMI-171-139, 34 pp.  $+$  plant community map.

Amundsen, C. C., and E. E. C. Clebsch, 1971. Dynamics of the terrestrial ecosystem vegetation of Amchitka Island, Alaska, BioScience 21(12); 619-623.

Armstrong, R. H. 1971. Physical climatology of Amehitka Island, Alaska. BioScience  $21(12): 607-609.$ 

Barr, L. Studies of populations of sea urchins, Strongylocentrolus sp., in relation to underground nuclear testing at Amchitka Island, Alaska, 1971. BioScience 21(12):  $614 - 617$ .

Bell, D. E. 1969. Amehitka Broenvironmental Program. Posticide residues in birds from Amchitka Island, Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laboratories, U. S. AEC report BMI-171-121, 7 au.

Best. E. A., and P. J. Eldridge. 1969. Range extension of flag rockfish (Sebastodes rubrivinctua) to Aleutian Islands, J. Fish, Res. Bd. Canada 26: 1955-1956.

Birch, T. J. 1972. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program. Limnology of Amchitka Jaland, Alaska, Annual Progress Report, July 1, 1970-June 30, 1971. Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laboratories, U. S. AEC report BMI-171-145, 38 pp.

Bloom, S. G., J. E. Howes, R. A. Ewing, and G. E. Raines, 1972, Preshot estimates of hypothetical internal radiation doses from the Cannikin event via marine food chains. Battelle, Columbus Laboratories, U. S. AEC report BMI-171-143 (in proparation).

Bloom, S. G., and G. E. Raines, 1969. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program. A preliminary mathematical model for predicting the transport of radionuclides in the marine environment. Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laboratories, U. S. AEC report BMI-171-123, 23 pp.

Bloom, S. G., and G. E. Raines, 1971. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program. Simulation studies as related to the ecological effects of underground testing of nuclear devices on Amchitka Island, Annual Progress Report, July 1, 1969-June 30, 1970. Battelle, Columbus Laboratories, U.S. AEC report BMI-171-138, 24 pp.

#### **APPENDIX E**

#### AMCHITKA BIOENVIRONMENTAL PUBLICATIONS

Bloom, S. G., and G. E. Raines. 1971. Mathematical models for predicting the transport of vadionuclides in a marine environment. BioScience 21(12): 691-696.

 $\sim 10$ 

Burgner, R. L., and Associates, 1968. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program. Research program on marine ecology and oceanography, Amchitka. Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laboratories, U. S. AEC report BMI-171-114, 79 pp.

Burgner, R. J.,, and Associates, 1969. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program. Marine ecology and oceanography, Amchitka Island. Annual Progress Report for FY 1969. Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laboratories, U. S. AEC report BMI-171-128. 81 pp.

Burgner, R. L., and Associates, 1971, Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program, Research program on marine ecology, Amchitka Island, Alaska, Annual Progress Report for FY 1970, Battelle, Columbus Laboratories, U.S. AEC report ВМІ-171-137, 104 рр.

Burgner, R. L., and J. S. Isakson, 1970, Amchitka Research, 1969 Research in Fisheries, College of Fisheries, Fisheries Research Institute, University of Wash. Contribution No. 320, March, 1970, 18 pp.

Burgner, R. L., J. S. Isakson, and P. A. Lebednik. 1971. Observations on the effect of the Milrow nuclear test on marine organisms at Amchitka. BioScience  $21(12)$ :  $671 - 673$ 

Burgner, R. L., and R. E. Nakatani. 1972. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program. Research program on marine ecology, Amchitka Island, Alaska, Annual Progress Report, July 1, 1970-June 30, 1971. Battelle, Columbus Laboratories, U.S. AEC report BMI-171-144 80 pp.

Clebsch, E. E. C. 1968. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program. The plant ecology of Amchitka Island, Alaska. Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laboratories, U. S. AEC report BMI-171-107. 18 pp.

Davis, J. J. 1971. The Atomic Energy Commission's interest in bioenvironmental research, BioScience 21(12):600-602

Emison, W. B., F.S.L. Williamson, and C. M. White, 1971. Geographical affinities and migrations of the avifauna on Amchitka Island, Alaska, BioScience  $21(12) : 627-631$ 

Everett, K. R. 1968. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program, Geomorphology and pedology of Amchitka Island, Alaska, Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laboratories, U. S. AEC report BMI-171-106. 80 pp.

Everett, K. R., and G. F. Hall, 1969. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program. The organic soils of Amchika Island, Alaska. American Society of Agronomy, Abstracts of Annual Meeting, 106 pp.

Everett, K. R. 1971. Geomorphology and Pedology of Amchitka Island, Alaska. Battelle, Columbus Laboratories, U.S. AEC final report BMI-171-140. 78 pp. + soils map.

Everett, K. R. 1971. The structure and origin of the organic soil cover of Amchitka. Island, Alaska. BioScience 21(12): 618.

Everett, K. R. 1971. Composition and genesis of the organic soils of Americka Island, Aleutran Islands, Alaska, Arctic Alpine Res. 3(1): 1-16,

Fuller, R. G. 1969 Ano hitka Bioenvironmental Program. Amchitka biological information summary. Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laboratories, U. S. AEC report. 9 pp.

Fuller, R. G. 1971. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program. Amchitka biological information summary. Battelle, Columbus Laboratories, U. S. AEC report  $BMI-171-132$ , 17 pp.

\*Gordon, K. R. 1969. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program. Primary productivity and limiting factors in four freahwater ponds on Amchitka Island, Alaska. Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laboratories, U.S. AEC report BMI-171-117, 40 pp.

Held, E. E. 1971. Amchitka Radiobudogical Program. Progress Report, July, 1970 to April, 1971. Univ. of Wash., Laboratory of Radiation Ecology, NVO-269-11, 36 pp.

Isakson, J. S., and A. H. Seymour, 1968. Amehitka Bioenvironmental Program, Radiometric and elemental analyses of marine organisms from Amchitka Island, Alaska, Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laboratories, U. S. AEC report BMI-171-113, 27 pp.

Isakson, J., S., C. A. Simenstad, and R. L. Burgner. 1971. Fish communities and food chains in the Amchitka area. BroScronce 21(12): 666-670.

Kazmaier, H. E. 1968. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program, Revegetation of disturbed areas of Amchitka Island, Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laboratories, U.S. AEC report BMI-171-105, 29 pp.

Kirkwood, J. B. 1969. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program, Bioenvironmental safety studies, Amchitka Island, Alaska. Progress Report for FY 1968, Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laboratories, U. S. AEC report BMI-171-116, 67 pp.

Kirkwood, J. B. 1969, Amchitka Biocnvironmental Program. Dioenvironmental safety studies, Amchitka Island, Alaska; Milrow D+7 days report. Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laboratories, U.S. AEC report BMI-171-122, 20 pp. 1

Kirkwood, J. B. 1970 Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program. Bioenvironmental safety studies, Amchitka Island, Alaska; Milrow D+2 months roport. Battelle Momorial Institute, Golumbus Laboratories, U.S. AEC report BMI-171-126. 44 pp.

Kirkwood, J. B. 1971. Introduction to symposium. BioScience 21(12): 599.

Kirkwood, J. B. (971. Biocavironmental studies at Amchitka Island, Alaska, , BioScience 21(12): 602-606.

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}$ 

\* Report also submitted and accepted as M. S. Thesis

Kirkwood, J. B. 1971. Summary of ecological effects of Milrow. BioScience 21(12): 707-711.

Kirkwood, J. B., and R. G. Fuliar, 1971. Amchilka Bioenvironmental Program, Bioenvironmental-effects predictions for the proposed Cannikin underground nuclear detenation at Amchitka Island, Alaska, Battelle, Columbus Laboratories, U. S. AEC report BMI-171-141 31 pp.

Koob, D. D. 1968, Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program. The freshwater ecology of Amerika Island. Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laboratories, U. S. AEC report BMI-171-103. 67 pp.

Koob, D. D. 1969. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program, Limnology studies, Amchitka Island, Alaska, Annual Progress Report for FY 1969. Battelle Memorial Institute. Columbus Laboratories, U. S. AEC report BMI-171-124, 33 pp.

Koob, D. D. 1971. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program. Limnology studies. Amchitka Island, Alaska, Annual Progress Report for FY 1970, Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laboratories, U. S. AEC report BMI-171-129. 39 pp.

Koob, D. D. 1971. Changes in the ecology of the plankton communities on Amchitka Island, Alaska, BioScience 21(12): 631-636.

Lebednik, P. A., F. C. Weinmann, and R. E. Norris, 1971. Spatial and seasonal distributions of marine algal communities at Amchitka Island, Alaska, BioScience  $21(12): 656.660.$ 

Mathisen, O. A., and M. A. M. Peck, 1971. The coastal zooplankton around Amchitka Island, Alaska, BioScience 21(12): 652-655.

McAlister, W. B. 1971. Oceanography in the victnity of Amchitka Island, Alaska, BioScience 21(12): 646-651.

McAlister, W. B., C. Mahnkon, R. C. Clark, Jr., W. J. Ingraham, J. Larrance, and D. Day. 1968. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program, Oceanography and marine ecology in the vicinity of Amehitka Island, Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laboratories, U. S. AEC report BMI-171-112, 156 pp.

Merrell, T. R. 1971. Marine fishery resources in the vicinity of Amchitka Island, Alaska. Biobeience 21(12): 610-613.

Merritt, M. L. 1970, Physical and biological effects of Milrow event. U.S. AEC-NVOO, NVO 79. Dec., 1970. 113 pp.

Merritt, M. L. 1971. Ground shock and water pressures from Milrow. BioScience  $21(12)$ : 696-700,

Neuhold, J. M., and W. T. Helm. 1968. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program. Freshwater vertebrate and invertebrate ecology of Amchitka Island. Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laboratorius, U.S. AEC report BMI+171-104, 60 pp.

. Neuhold, J. M., and W. T. Helm. 1970. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program. Freshwater vertebrate and invertebrate ecology of Amchitka Island. Annual Progress Report for FY 1969. Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laboratories, U.S. AEC report BMI-171-127, 48 pp.

Nouhold, J. M. 1971. The lowland stream ocosystem of Amchitka Island, Alaska, BiuScience 21(12): 683-686

Neuhold, J. M., and W. T. Helm. 1971. Freshwater vertebrate and invertebrate ecology of Amchitka Island. Annual Progress Report for FY 1970. Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laboratories, U.S. AEC report BMI-171-133.' 16 pp.

Neuhold, J. M., W. T. Helm, and R. A. Valdez, 1971. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program. Freshwater vertebrate and invertebrate ecology of Amchitka Island. Annual Progress Report, July 1, 1970-June 30, 1971. Battelle, Columbus Laboratories, U. S. AEC report BMI-171-142. 25 op.

O'Clair, C. E., and K. K. Chew. Transoct studies of littoral macrofauna, Amchitka Island, Alaska, BioScience 21(12); 661-665.

Palmisano, J. F. 1970. Freshwater food habits of Salvelinus malina (Walbaum) on Amchitka Island, Alaska, M.S. Thesis, Utah State University, Logan, Utah. 75 pp.

Palmisano, J. F., and W. T. Helm. 1971. Freshwater food habits of Salvolinus malma (Walbaum) on Amchitka Island, Alaska, BioScience 21(12): 637- $\overline{641}$ .

Peck, M.A.M. 1969. Amchilka Bioenvironmental Program. Description and distribution of the Neritic Calanoida (Crustacea: Copepoda) off Amchitka Island, Alaska in September, 1967. M.S. Thesis. University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, 105 on.

Raines, G. E., and S. G. Blcom. 1969. Amchilka Bioenvivonmental Program. Simulation studies as related to the ecological effects of underground testing of nuclear devices on Amchitka [sland, Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laboratories, U.S. AEC report BMI-171-118. 50 np.

Raines, G. E., S. G. Bloom, F. A. McKee, and J. C. Bell, 1971, Mathematical simulation of sea otter population dynamics, Amchitka Island, Alaska, BioScience 21(12): 686-691.

Seymour, A. H., and R. A. Nakatani. 1967. Long Shot Bioenvironmental Safety Program. Final report. Univ. of Wash., Laboratory of Radiation Ecology, Report RL-1385-1, Oct. 27, 1967. 47 pp.

Simenstad, C. A. 1971. The feeding ecology of the rock greening, Hoxagrammos lagocephalus, in the mshore waters of Amchitka Island, Alaska, M.S. Thosis, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, 140 no.

Spencer, D. L. 1969. Amchirka Dioenvironmental Program. Sea otter survey. Amchitka Jaland, Alaaka. August 27-October 6, 1968. Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laboratories, U.S. AEC report BMI-171-120, 7 pp.

Stephan, J. G. 1968. Amchitka Broenvironmental Program. The feasibility test to determine the Amelutka sea offer population through photogrammetric techniques. Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laboratories, U. S. AEC report BMI-1714108, 19 pp.

 $K - 5$ 

#### $E-7$  and  $E-8$

Williamson, F.S.L., and W. B. Emison. (971). Variation in the finning of breeding and molt of the Lapland Longspur (Calcarius Iapponicus) in Alaska with relation to differences in latitude. BioScience 21(12): 701-707.

Williamson, F.S.L., W. B. Emison, and C. M. White, 1971. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program. Studies of the avitauna on Amchitka Island, Alaska. Annual Progress Report for FY 1970. Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laboratories, U. S. AEC report  $BMI-171-131$ . 46 pp.

Williamson, F.S.L., W. B. Emison, and C. M. White, 1972. Amchitka Bioenvironmented Program. Studies of the avifauna on Amchitka Island, Alaska, Annual Progress Report, July 1, 1970-June 30, 1971. Bettelle, Columbus Laboratories, U. S. AEC report, BMI-171-144. 34 pp.

Wright, R. A. 1968. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program. Effects of underwater overpressures on the sea offer and its principal tood species. Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laboratories, U. S. AEC report BMI-171-111, 26 pp.

Wright, R. A. 1971. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program. Sea ofter studies during "Milrow". Final Progress Report. Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laborafories, U. S. AEC report BMI-171-136, 19 pp.

Wright, R. A. 1971. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program. Effects of underwater overpressures on sea offers and other aquatic animals. Battelle, Columbus Laboratories, U. S. AEC report BMI 171-130. 39 pp.

Wright, R. A., and W. H. Allron, 1971. See otter studies in the vicinity of Amchitka Island, BioScience 21(12) 673-677

Wynne, M. J. 1969. Observations on a new genus of Bonnemaisoniaceae (red algae) from Amchitka Island, Aleutians, Internet, Bot, Congr. 11 (Abstr.); 244.

Wynne, M. J. 1970. Marine algae of Amchitka Island (Aleutian Islands), I. Delesseriaceae, Syesis 3 95-144,

Wynne, M. J. 1970. Marine algae of Amchitka Island (Aleutian Islands), II. Bonnemaisoniaceae. Pacific Science 24(4) 433-438.

Yarbrough, C. G. 1970. The development of endothermy in nestling gray-crowned rosy finches, Leucosticte tephrocotis griseonucha. Comp. Biochem, Physiol. 34:  $917 - 925$ 

Youger, J. D., Jr. 1970. Amchika Bioenvironmental Program, The effects of supplemental nutrients on the primary productivity of eight tundra lakes. Amchitka Island, Alaska. M.S. Thesis. The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, 56 pp.

л,

ī.

Stephan, J. G. 1969. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program. Evaluation of photogrammetric techniques for consusing sea otters; interim report for FY 1969, Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laboratories, U. S. AEC report BMI-171-119. Zi pp.

Stephan, J. G. 1971. Ecological surveillance employing photogrammetric techniques. BioScience 21(12): 677-682.

Stephan, J. G. 1972. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program. Acrial and groundtruth photography for environmental surveillance of Amchitka Island. Alaska. Annual Progress Report, July 1, 1970-June 30, 1971. Battelle, Columbus Laboratories, U. S. AEC report BMI-171-146. 18 pp.

Stephan, J. G., and I. M. Mercier, 1972. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program, Application of photogrammetric techniques for environmental aurvoillance of Amchitka Island, Alaska. Annual Process Report, July 1, 1969-June 30, 1970. Battelle, Columbus Laboratories, U. S. AEC report BMI-171-135, 42 pp.

U. S. Atomby Energy Commission. 1972. Project Cannikin D+30 day report, preliminary operational and test results summary. U.S. AEC-NVOO Feb. 1972. NVO-108, 28 pp.

Valdez, R. A. 1971. Ecology of the threespine stickleback Gasterosteus aculeatus Linnaeus, on Amchitka Island, Alaska, M.S. Thesis, Utah State University, Logan, Utah, TID-25712, 79 pp.

Valdez, R. A., and W. T. Helm, 1971. Ecology of threespine stickleback Gasterosteus aculeatus Linnaeus, on Amchitka Island, Alaska, BioScience 21(12).  $641 - 645$ 

Vogt, J. R., J. E. Howes, Jr., and R. A. Ewing, 1968. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program. Radionuclide and stable element analyses of environmental samples (rom Amchitka Island, Alaska, Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laboratories, U. S. AEC report BMI-171-110, 44 pp.

\*Weinmann, F. C. 1969. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program. Aspects of benthic algal ecology at Amchitka Island, Alaska. Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laboratories, U. S. AEC report BMI-171-115. 53 pp.

White, C. M., W. B. Emison, and F.S.L. Williamson, 1971. Dynamics of raptor populations on Amchitka Island, Alaska. BioScience 21(12): 623-627.

Williamson, F.S.L. 1968. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program. Studies of the avifauna on Amchitka Island, Alaska, Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laboratories, U. S. AEC report BMI-171-102. 53 pp.

Williamson, F.S.L., and W. B. Emison, 1969. Amchitka Bioenvironmental Program, Studies of the avifauna on Amchitka Island, Alaska. Annual Progress Report for FY 1969. Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Laboratorios, U. S. AEC report BMI-171-125, 75 pp.

"Report also submitted and accepted as M.S. thesis.

 $\mathbf{A}^{(n)}$ 

AFPENDIX F

#### ORGANIZATIONS AND INDIVIDUALS PARTICIPATING IN THE AMCHITKA BIOENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES

## $V - 1$

#### APPENDIX F

#### ORGANIZATIONS AND INDIVIDUALS PARTICIPATING IN THE AMCHITKA BIOENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES

(Also given is the period of time principal participants have been involved with the studies.)

#### General Program Planning and Coordination

Battelle's Columbus Laboratories Dr. R. S. Davidson (Program Director) July 1, 1967-present 300Dr. J. B. Kirkwood (Technical Coordinator) January 1, 1968-present Dr. D. E. Bell July 1, 1967-September, 1971 ##Mr. R. G. Fuller ###Mr. I. M. Mercier

#### **Photogrammetry**

Battelie's Columbus Laboratories 350Mr. J. G. Stophan (Principal Investigator) January 1, 1968-prosent ###Mr. I. M. Mercier.

#### Sea Otter Response to Overpressure

Battello's Columbus Laboratories ODr. R. A. Wright (Principal Investigator) January 1, 1968-July 1, 1971 #Mr. W. H. Allton

\*\*\*Mr. I. M. Marcier

#### With assistance from

44\*Mr. K. Schneider, State of Alaska, Department of Fish and Game

\*\*\*Mr. C. E. Abegglen, U. S. Bureau of Sports Fisheries and Wildlife

44\*Mr. B. Cater, U. S. Bureau of Sports Fisheries and Wildlife

\*Mr. L. W. Sowl, U. S. Bureau of Sports Fisheries and Wildlife

#### Sea Otter Abundance

-11

 $\rightarrow$ 

U. S. Bureau of Sports Fisheries and Wildlife.

- Mr. D. L. Spencer (Principal Investigator) July, 1968-December, 1968 227Mr. B. Cater
	- \*Mr. L. W. Sowl

"Personnel involved in the Milrow testimo studies doong the period from September 4 through November 30, 1969, "Personnel involved in Canalkin texturie studies during the period August 15 through December 20, 1971. "Personnel involved in half Milnow restime studies (September 1 theoryh November 30, 1969) and Cannikin lemining wides (August 15 Huough Docember 20, 1971),

# $F - 2$

#### Sea Otter Behavior Studies

October, 1970-present University of Arizona Dr. N. Smith (Principal Investigator) \*\*Mr. J. A. Estes

#### Sea Otter Mortality Studies 44

Battelle's Columbus Laboratories \*Mr. W. H. Allton (Principal Investigator) January 1, 1968-July 1, 1971

With ausistance from

Mr. J. Hakala, U. S. Bureau of Sports Fisheries and Wildlife \*Mr. L. W. Sowl, U. S. Bureau of Sports Fisheries and Wildlife

#### University of Arizona

- October, 1970-present \*\* Mr. J. A. Estes (Principal Investigator)
- U. S. Public Health Service \*\*Dr. R. Rausch (Conducted Autopsies) November, 1971-present

#### Oceanography

U. S. Bureau of Commerical Fisheries (Presently National Marine Fisheries Servicel Dr. Bruce McAlister (Principal Investigator) July, 1968-June 30, 1969 Mr. R. C. Clark, Jr. Mr. D. Day Mr. W. J. Ingraham Mr. J. Larrance Mr. C. Mahnken

. . . . . . . . . **.** 

#### Marine Ecology and Oceanography

and the state of the state



"Personnel involved in the Milnow (entrine studies during the period from September 1 through November 30, 1969, "Personnel involved in Cannikin restring studies during the period August 15 (hrough December 20, 1971, "Personnet involved in both Milrow test(ime studies (September 1 through November 30, 1969) and Caunikin test(ime studies (August 15 dirough December 20, 1971).

క్ష్మిక్ 50క

医囊肿

 $\sim$ 

#### $F - 3$ Marine Ecology and Oceanography (Continued) Mr. D. L. Mayer Dr. R. E. Norris \*\*\*Mr. C. E. O'Clair Dr. R. J. Paine Mr. J. F. Palmisano Mrs. M. M. Peck Mr. K. Robertson Dr. E. O. Salo Mr. R. L. Schneider \*\*\*Mr. C. A. Simenstad \*\*\*Mr. P. N. Slattery 444Mr. G. J. Tutmark Mr. F. C. Weinmann Dr. M. J. Wynne

#### Subtidal Biological Studies

National Marine Fisheries Service \*\*\*Mr. T. R. Merrell (Principal Investigator) September, 1969-present \*\*\*Mr. L. Barr \*\*Mr. R. Budke \*\*Mr. R. Dewey \*Mr. R Ellis \*Mr. W. Heard \*Mr. J. Helle \*\*Dr. D. Hoopes \*\*\*Mr. R. Williamson

#### Freshwater Ecology

 $\sim$   $\sim$ 

 $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{F}}$ 



Personnel divolved in the Milrow restring studies during the period from septenties a through November 30, 1969. <sup>13</sup> "Personae) membed in Canockin testrine studies during the policit August 15 through December 20, 1974;

empersonnel involved in both Milton teatrone studies (september 1 through November 30, 1969) and Camikin testrion studies (August 15 through December 20, 1971).

主要

 $\omega$  and  $\omega$ 

Geomorphology The Ohio State University January, 1968-present \*\*\*Dr. K. R. Everett (Principal Investigator)

**Plant Ecology** 

University of Tonnessee Dr. E.E.C. Clebsch (Principal Investigator) September, 1967-present \*\*\*Dr. C. C. Amundsen (Principal Investigator September, 1968-present since July 1 1970)

#### Avian Ecology

Smithsonian Institution

\*\*\*Dr. F.S.L. Williamson (Principal Investi- January, 1968-present gator)

\*\*\*Mr. W. B. Emison Mr. R. E. Johnson

With assistance from

. Dr. D. W. Johnson, University of Florida

Dr. C. G. Yarbrough, University of Florida

999Dr. C. M. White, Brigham Young University (formerly of Cornell University)

Dr. H. E. Childs (Consultant to Battelle from Cerritos College).

#### Terrestrial Invertebratos

University of Tennessee Dr. R. R. Schmoller (Principal Investigator) June, 1969-June, 1970

#### **Revegetation Studies**

Battelle's Columbus Laboratories Dr. H. E. Kazmajer (Principal Investigator) January, 1968-July, 1968

University of Alaska

Dr. W. Mitchell (Principal Investigator)

Ground Shock and Overpressure

#### Sandia Corporation

###Dr. M. L. Merritt (Principal Investigator) July, 1967-present

#### Source-Term Predictions (Radionuclide and Physical Shock)

Battelle's Columbus Laboratories

Mr. R. A. Ewing (Principal Investigator) January, 1968-present Mr. J. E. Howes, Jr.

- Dr. G. F. Raines
- Dr. J. R. Vogt
- "Personnel involved in the Milrow testfine studies during the period from September 1 through November 30, 1980. "Percouncil involved in Cambikin testrino: studies doring the perical August 15 through December 20, 1971.

"Personnel mynlyed in both Milnoe textune studies (September 1 through November 30, 1989) and Canalkin teatinos studies (August 15 through December 20, 1971).

Chemical and Radiochemical Analyses Rattelle's Columbus Laboratories Dr. G. E. Raines (Principal Investigator) January, 1968-present Dr. J. R. Voet University of Washington Dr. A. H. Seymour (Principal Invostigator) January, 1968-present Dr. E. Held "Mr. R. Eagle 200 Mr. J. S. Isakson. Mathematical Simulation Studies Battelle's Columbus Laboratories Dr. G. E. Raines (Principal Investigator) January, 1968-present Dr. S. G. Bloom Research Observations and Goordination for the U. S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Sports Fisheries and Wildlife ##\*Mr. C. E. Abegglen-Research Observations and Coordination for the U. S. Department of Commerce National Marine Fisheries Service 000Mr. T. R. Merrell Refuge Management Liaison for the U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Sports Fisheries and Wildlife ###Mr. B. Cater \*Mr. L. W. Sowl Mr. J. Hakala #Mr. C. Hardy

"Personnel Involved in the Miltow testume studies during the period from September through November 30, 1969, Tersonnel involved in Cannibuctural international stating the period August 15 (hrough December 20, 1971, equerasing modued in both Milrow testume studies (bepleinber 1 Hirough November 30, 1969) and Camillelatestime studies (August 15 through December 20, 1971).

## Distribution

U. S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D. C.

Major General E. B. Giller, Assistant General Manager for Military Application (35) **J. R. Totter, Director, Division of Biology and Medicine (3)** M. B. Biles, Director, Division of Operational Safety

- R. F. Pigeon, Division of Technical Information
- J. A. Harris, Jr., Director, Division of Public Information (40)
- J. **J.** Davis, Directorate of Regulatory Standards
- R. L. Ballard, Division of Radiological and Environmental Protection
- R. 3. Catlin. Director of Environmental Xffairs (3)

## U. S. Atomic Energy Commission, Nevada Operations Office, Las Vegas, Nevada

- R. E. Miller, Manager
- R. H. Thalgott, Test Manager
- E. M. Douthett, Office of Effects Evaluation
- P. B. Dunaway, office of Effects Evaluation
- If. *G.* Vermillion, Office of Public Affairs **(50)**
- W. D. Smith, Jr., Assitant Manager for Engineering and Logistics
- **W.** R. Cooper/R. **IV.** Taft, Office of Assistant Manager for Plans and Budgets
- R. R. Loux, Technical Information Branch (20)
- D. **1** Hamel, Technical Library *(20)*
- D. **W.** Hendricks, Radiological Operations Division **(3)**
- E. D. Campbell, Bioenvironmental Branch ( 120)
- Roger Ray, Assistant Manager for Operations
- P. N. Halstead, Seismology Branch
- T. M. Humphrey, Geology/Hydrology Branch
- **U.** *S.* Atomic Energy Commission, Oak Ridge, Tennessee

Technical Information Center (292)

U. S. Atomic Energy Commission, Amchitka, Alaska

Site Manager

## U. S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association

- P. M. Roedel, Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Washington, **D. C**
- **T.** R. Merrell, Jr., National Marine Fisheries Service, Auke Bay, Alaska
- H. L. Rietze, National Marine Fisheries Service, Juneau, Alaska
- G. Y. Harry, Jr., National Marine Fisheries Service, Seattle, Washington
- : H. F. Mueller, Air Resources Laboratory, Las Yegas, Nevada (2)
- **U. S. Department of the Interior**  $\blacksquare$

Assistant Secretary, National Park Service, Washington, D. C.

Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Washington, D. **C.** 

- **R.** E. Johribon, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife Service, Washington, D. C.
- G. W. Watson, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Fish and Wildlife Service, Anchorage, Alaska **(3)**
- C. E. Abegglen, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Fish and Wildlife Service. Anchorage, Alaska
- D. L. Spencer, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Fish and Wildlife Service Anchorage, Alaska
- Ed Bailey, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Fish and Wildlife Service, Cold Bay, Alaska

## U. S. Department of the Interior (Continued)

J. D. Findlay, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Fish and Wildlife Service, Portland, Oregon

W. S. Twenhofel, Geological Survey, Denver, Colorado (2)

H. T. Shacklett, Geological Survey, Denver, Colorado

U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, Anchorage, Alaska

District Engineer

**U.** S. Environmental Protection Agency

Regional Director, Pollution Control Administration, Seattle, Washington M. W. Carter, Director, Western Environmental Research Laboratory, Las Vegas, Nevada ( **5)** 

;\dvanced Research Projects Agency, Washington, **D.** C.

S. R. Ruby

## **Alaska** Cooperative Wildlife Research Unit, College, Alaska

D. **R.** Klein

Alaska Department of **Fish** and Game, Juneau, Alaska

W. H. Xoerenberg (2)

Battelle Memorial Institute, Las Vegas, Nevada

R. *C.* Fuller

Eberiine Instrument Corporation, Santa Fe, New Mexico

W. S. Johnson **(2)** 

A. E. Doles (2)

Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico

W. E. Ogle **(2)** 

Sandia Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico

**A\$.** L. Merritt

C. F.. **Bild** 

Smithsonian Institution, Chesapeake Bay Center for Field Biology, Edgewater, Maryland

F. S. L. Williamson

The Ohio State University, Institute of Polar Studies, Columbus, Ohio K. R. Everett

Brigham Young University, Department of Zoology and Entomology; Provo, Utah

C. M. White

University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona

. S. Smith

## University of California, Davis, Radiobiology Laboratory, **Davis,** California

**L.** K. Bustad, Director

University **of** California, Berkeley, Lawrence Livermore Laboratory, Livermore, California

R. E. Batzel

J. E. Carothers

P. E. Coyle

B. **V** Shore

'University of Nevada, Desert Research Institute, Reno, Nevada

P. **R.** Fenske

University of Tennessee, Graduate Program in Ecology, Knoxville, Tennessee

**C.** C. Arnundsen

University of Washington, Seattle, Washington

R. L. Burgner, Fisheries Research Institute

A. H. Seymour, Laboratory of Radiation Ecology

Utah State University, Logan, Utah

**I, 'I.** Keuhold, Center of Ecology **(2)** 

D. D. Koob, Department of Wildlife Resources

Washington State University, Department of Zoology, Pullman, Washington

V. Schultz