UNDUREUNEUNE

1 X. 9

Files

April 23, 1951

A. B. Babcock, dr.

AIR TABLUS TESTS AT SUTTOM, STEELE & STRELE, INC., DALLAS, TEXAS, APRIL 9 - 11, 1951

BOX 20 - MED HISTORY - NYDO FOLDER: CHIEFE THE FROCKES

SYMBOL: Addinb

L. Attendance

S. E. Wood W. H. Peterson A. B. Babcock, Jr. C. Pfeiffer L. Pulton, Jr. O. L. Clson

II. Purpose

C-liner and C-Special were air tabled to determine the feasibility of separating unreacted fused dolomite from the magnesium fluoride slag and uranium portions of liner wastes. If an efficient separation were possible, the dolomitis portions could probably be reused as bomb liner after refusing the recycle material. Furthermore, the separation would reduce the scrap recovery costs by reducing the quantities of acid-consuming materials handled in the scrap circuit.

This memorandum presents the qualitative results of the tests and some general conclusions. No samples have been analysed yet so that no quantitative results are available now. A supplemental memorandum will be written when these results are available.

III. Qualitative Results

Five table runs were made. The first run was on C-liner as received to determine how the materials would handle. The remaining runs were made on -28 mesh C-liner in which the table middlings were combined, on a 60/40 mixture of C-liner and C-Special (simulating plant product) using a -65 mesh screen fraction, on the -100 mesh screen fraction of the mixture, and on the -100/200 mesh screen fraction. Products from the last four runs will be sent to MCM for evaluation as a bomb-liner material as out-lined in a previous memorandum.

The table tailings were somewhat grayish indicating that some fluoride was present. Dust collector samples were whiter than table products indicating a more pure dolomitic material is possible in the finer particle sizes. This was also shown by the color of the samples of liner (as received)

OFFICIAL USE GNEY

Files

A. B. Babcock, Jr.

AIR TABLING TRUTS AT SUTTON, STEELE & STEELE, INC., DALLAS, TEXAS, APRIL 9 - 11, 1951

which were classified by laboratory screens. It appeared that screening could accomplish approximately the same degree of delowitic purification as tabling.

According to material balance data, about 137 pounds of material were lost as dust (part of this was probably recovered in sweepings) through the dust collector vent and screen ventilating system. A count on some typical dust samples, however, showed that the dust was relatively low in uranium, as would be expected. A strong wind was blowing during all tests so that the dust lost outdoors was rapidly diluted. Dust masks were worn by people working in the test areas.

All equipment and floors, except dust collection and ventilating equipment, were vacuum cleaned after the tests to about twice background or less, or to about 0.05 mr/hr or less. Isolated areas under pieces of equipment were about 0.07 mr/hr. A beta detector was used throughout the survey. This residual activity is generally considered to be a safe level. None of the food products tested by Sutton are used for food after testing, so that no danger would result from ingestion of any residual uranium.

IV. Conclusions

From qualitative results only, it is concluded that:

- A. Air tabling, as a means of recycling used, fused dolomite, does not appear promising.
- B. No health hazard remains at Sutton, Stoels & Steels, Inc. from conteminated tables, screens, or floor areas.

V. Letailed Results

A. Accountability:

| • | Received | | Used | | Umaod | | |
|----------------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------|--|
| | Ret Lb. | Est. Lb. U | Het Lb. | Est. Lb. | Not Lb. | Not. Lb. | |
| G-Liner G-Special | 2073 2400 | 18.1 28.3 | 1045 480 | 9.1 5.7 | 1028 1920 | 9.0 22.6 | |
| Total | 4473 | 46.4 | 1525 | 14.8 | 2948 | 31.6 | |





Files

April 23, 1951

730.0

9.7

A. H. Babecck, dr.

AIR TABLING TRESTS AT SUTTON, STRELE & STEELE, INC., DALLAS, TEXAS, APRIL 9 - 11, 1951

B. Shipmente:

| 1. TO MCH - | | Net. Lh. 8 | Sst. Lb. U |
|-------------|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| han Ho. | 2 (Products 3 and 4) 3 (Products 3, 4, 5, 6) 4 (Products 2,3,4,5,6) 5 (Products 3,4,5,6, and -200 m fra | 123.0 67.5 134.5 ation) 128.0 tal 453.0 lb. (2 drops) | 0.7 0.4 0.7 0.7 2.5 Lb. |

2. To Vitro -

| | Runs 1 through 5, table products | Total | | lb. | 2.0 | lb. |
|----|---------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|-----|------|-----|
| | Floor Sweepings (not included in net) | | (2 drums (115.8 | | 0.1 | 1b. |
| 3. | Samples, all rune: | Let. | 17.2 | 1b. | 0.2 | lb. |
| 4. | Losses anaccounted for (dust, etc.) | | 136.5 | lb. | 0.2 | 1b. |
| | Total Acce | ounted fo | -1508.7 | 1b. | 14.7 | lb. |

Total Unaccounted for - 16.3 1b. 0.1 1b.

Bereening Rejects, Compacial & Coldmer

C. Counter Headings

A Model 2610 survey meter was used for all readings with the shield open. Samples were placed in 1/4" d. by 3" celluloid sample vials and held at the probe surface. These readings should only be considered as a rough indication of uranium content,

| Background | | 0.025 - 0.05 mr/hr |
|------------|----------------|--|
| hun No. 31 | Pood | 1.0 - 1.25 |
| | Concentrate | 3.0 - 4.0 |
| | Tailings | 1.0 - 1.25 |
| | Dust Collector | 1.0 - 1.25 |
| Run 80. 41 | Youd | |
| | Concentrate | 0.5 - 0.75 |
| | Tailings | 0.6 - 0.7 |
| | Dust Collector | 0.4 - 0.5 |
| hun ho. 54 | | 0.6 - 0.7 0.4 - 0.5 0.7 - 0.8 1.1 - 1.2 0.6 - 0.7 0.8 - 0.7 |
| | Concentrate | 1.1 - 1.2 |
| | Tailings | 0.6 - 0.7 |
| | Just Collector | 0.6 - 0.7 |



A. B. Babcock, Jr.

AIR TABLING TESTS AT SUTTOM, STEELE & STEELE, INC., DALLAS, TEXAS, APRIL 9 - 11, 1951

Screen Fraction: (Runs 4 & 5) -200 mesh 0.5 - 0.6 Mise: Dust collected near screens 0.1 - 0.2 Dust collected from screen less than 0.1 Must collected near blown less than 0.1 Dust collected from top of Collector 0.3 - 0.4 All equipment after cleaning 0.05 or less Floors after cleaning 0.05 or less (isolated spots - 0.07)

St. Louis Area
Vitro Manufacturing Company
Kellex Corporation
Gatalytic Construction Company (Mr. Weirrich)
Engineering Division, MYOO (Mr. Moore)
Process Development Branch, MYOO

