

ASH 000003
ASH 505.20(A)

Task Order: LM-40 Mound/Ashtabula Site Transition (ST05-120)

Report Period: August 2005

WBS Number: 1.120

1.0 Status

1.1 Executive Summary

The Ohio Field Office (OFO) Director and the Mound LM 40 coordinator approved the Mound Turnover Packages on August 12. These packages represent mini-Memorandum of Agreement's addressing the scope, cost, and schedule associated with each of the functional areas being transferred from EM to LM. The contents of these turnover packages are reflected in the Task Order 220.

Discussions continue with CH2M Hill (HILL) regarding the plans for relocating those HILL functions that are transitioning to LM. A checklist has been drafted and has been transmitted to DOE and HILL for review and comments. The first move into Building 126 from HILL is scheduled for early September.

1.2 General

Ashtabula

Nothing significant to report.

Mound

The Stoller LM 40 Manager and the Mound Task Order Manager attended the Mound Reuse Committee public meeting on August 12. The Mound Task Order Manager addressed the group on two topics. The first discussion centered around comments due back to LM from the stakeholders on August 15 on the Long-Term Surveillance and Maintenance (LTS&M) Plan. The Community Involvement Plan (CIP) was also distributed to the stakeholders, again requesting comment back in 30 days. Both the LST&M Plan and the CIP will be issued by Stoller by fiscal year-end.

Migration on all Records Finding Aids have been moved to production at GJO. The migration on the environmental data migration also continues to progress. All transition milestones are being met.

The Stoller Building 126 Manager completed work with the Miamisburg Post Office personnel and mail delivery is now being delivered to the building. Efforts in support of the fire alarm monitoring system and interface with the Miamisburg City Planner concerning regulations for a street sign are ongoing. The Building Manager met with the City of Miamisburg Fire Department concerning the occupancy of Building 126. A follow-up with a Fire Alarm test will be in the near future.

ASH 505.20(A)



Property items were discussed with DOE-EM Contracting Officer. Identification continued on facility needs and requirements, and repairs. Janitorial services started August 15 as a weekly service. The 126 Building Manager completed interviewing Yards and Ground Service companies and a decision was made, work began mid-August. Heating, Ventilation, Air Conditioning service sub-contractor was interviewed and their maintenance proposal obtained.

The Building Manager identified areas of concern that will need to be addressed before the demolition of the neighboring Building 128. The end-state of Building 128 utilities located within Building 126 was identified to the Ohio Field Office Director and agreement was made that the decentralization would be made complete, thus satisfying the Stoller concerns.

The Ohio regulations and guidelines on institutional controls (ICs) and covenants at clean up sites were reviewed. The regulations were provided by Stoller Environmental Services. This makes a strong case for appropriateness of the current CERCLA ROD ICs at the MCP and the "under 18" age restrictions.

There was a request from LM-40 that Stoller perform an evaluation of LM risk associated with project transfer. To address this request, the Stoller Mound Environmental and Property team, prepared a table evaluating the potential risks on a programmatic level. CD-4 requirements were compiled and the affected site transition framework requirements and impacts were determined. These impacts were prioritized (low, medium, or high) and a mitigation action was determined. This is a relatively high level evaluation and the team did not feel that it captured all the functions or the risks that LM may take on when transferring activities. Copies of the three volumes of MCP Risk Management Plan were provided for posting on the LM portal.

The Stoller representative reviewed and provided comments to Mound Closure Project OFO on CH2M Hill's "Sanitary Package Plant Sewage Characterization Sample and Analysis Plan". Proving non-contamination of the new package plant and the ability to transfer the sewer lines to Miamisburg/Mound Community Improvement Corporation for hookup to the Miamisburg Sanitary System is of high importance to LM.

A working schedule utilizing Microsoft Project is in draft development by the Records Transition Coordinator. This application will be used to capture numerous tasks and deadlines associated with the various records activities to support the following sites: Mound, Fernald, Columbus and Ashtabula. A list of tasks to be scheduled has been created until training is completed.

The Records Transition Coordinator received blueprints of Building 126 to support Records Management space planning activities. Simple printouts including room dimensions are currently available for immediate use. The Records Transition Coordinator participated in a Space Planning team meeting to prepare for the upcoming records activities in Building 126. A physical inventory of records and equipment was completed. The 126 Building Manager

will prepare the space assignments to support the upcoming records staff and equipment needs.

The Records Management Transition Coordinator attended the EEOICPA Point of Contact Meeting on August 17 via teleconference and provided meeting notes to the LM Records Management Lead. Also the NATIONAL EMISSION STANDARDS FOR HAZARDOUS AIR POLLUTANTS records requirements were reviewed in preparation for future planning of work.

The final draft design of the Mound LM website was sent to Grand Junction where a website has been subcontracted for finalization. The draft website will be ready for LM/Stoller review by the end of August.

1.3 Issues

None.

2.0 Variance Analysis

2.1 Cost Variances

Reporting Criteria: Current Period $> \pm \$5,000$ and 10%; Cumulative $> \pm \$10,00$ and 10%

Task Management

The positive current period cost variance of \$11,091 is due to the LM40 manager and the Ohio Integration coordinator charging less than anticipated to the Mound task order. However the negative cumulative cost variances of -\$106K (46%) will reduce only slightly by year end. The negative variance is the result of early fiscal year issues associated with the underestimating of effort required for the Site Transition Plan and task management. This variance is expected to continue, but will be off set by a projected under runs elsewhere.

Records/IT

The current period and cumulative positive cost variances of \$3K and \$145K (36%) respectively are primarily the result of having overestimated the need for subcontracted support in the transitioning of applications. Initially, it was believed that 20 to 30 applications would be transitioned, but the actual number seems to be closer to 15. In addition, records and information technology labor budgeted to support work specific to the Ashtabula site has not been need, as there has been no site-specific activity. This variance is expected to continue.

Public/Intergovernmental

The current period and cumulative positive cost variances of \$1K and \$9K (25%) stems from having overestimated the scope and budget for this level-of-effort subtask. With stakeholder

activities increasing over the summer months, the year end variance is expected to end slightly positive.

Real & Personal Property

The current period and cumulative positive cost variances of \$26K and \$27K (31%) stems directly from underruns associated with improving the Building 126 facility. This is due to some efforts that were budgeted to be LM responsibilities have been supported by EM. The remainder of the underruns are due to overestimates of budgeted work and the phasing of planned work. The year end variance is expected to end slightly positive.

LTS&M

The current period and cumulative cost variances of \$3.K and \$22K (13%) respectively are still due to using less labor than planned to perform the primary task, preparation of the draft LTS&M Plan. Staff has provided the technical support using experience and source material from Weldon Spring. In addition, labor budgeted to support work specific to the Ashtabula site has not been need, as there has been no site-specific activity. While there will be some efforts on the Ashtabula project, the variance in this subtask is expected to continue to decrease over the remainder of the fiscal year, as updates/revisions to the LTS&M Plan are made.

2.2 Schedule Variances

Reporting Criteria: Current Period > ± \$5,000 and 10%; Cumulative > ± \$10,00 and 10%

Variances are below reporting thresholds.

3.0 FY Variance at Completion (VAC)

Reporting Criteria: VAC = >± 10%

None

4.0 Fiscal Year 2005 Performance Measures

None

5.0 Attachments

Format 1

Format 3

Performance Trend Chart

Bar Chart Schedule