

NY.06-02

Vol. 129

WASHINGTON, TUESDAY, JUNE 28, 1983

No. 92

---

# Congressional Record



United States  
of America

PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES OF THE 98<sup>th</sup> CONGRESS, FIRST SESSION

---

United States  
Government  
Printing Office

SUPERINTENDENT  
OF DOCUMENTS  
Washington, D.C. 20402

OFFICIAL BUSINESS  
Penalty for private use, \$300  
Congressional Record  
(USPS 087-390)



Postage and Fees Paid  
U.S. Government Printing Office  
375

SECOND CLASS NEWSPAPER

H.J. Res. 273: Mr. BOLAND, Mr. WAXMAN, Mr. OBERSTAR, Mr. BEDELL, Mr. BONER of Tennessee, Mr. OWENS, Mr. DAUB, Mr. CONTE, Mr. RAHALL, Mr. GRAY, Mr. VANDER JAGT, Mr. TRAXLER, and Mr. VENTO.

H. Con. Res. 107: Mr. KASICH, Mr. AUCOIN, Mr. CARPER, and Mr. SCHEUER.

H. Con. Res. 118: Mr. FISH, Mr. LANTOS, Mr. KILDEE, Mr. SOLARZ, Mr. BRITT, Mr. BEDELL, Mr. RANGEL, Mr. DYMALLY, Mr. STUDDS, Mr. FOGLIETTA, Mr. BERMAN, Mr. CARR, Mr. TORRICELLI, Mr. McNULTY, Mr. SCHEUER, Mr. SWIFT, Mr. LOWRY of Washington, Mr. HERTEL of Michigan, Mr. MORRISON of Connecticut, Mr. PENNY, Mrs. KENNELLY, Mr. BONKER, Mr. DICKS, and Mr. PRITCHARD.

H. Res. 191: Mr. BEDELL and Ms. SNOWE.

#### PETITIONS, ETC.

Under clause 1 of rule XXII, petitions and papers were laid on the Clerk's desk and referred as follows:

150. By the SPEAKER: Petition of the Massachusetts Conference of the United Church of Christ, Framingham, Mass., relative to the Opera Company of Boston and the Philippines; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

151. Also, petition of the City Council, Cleveland, Ohio, relative to extended unemployment benefits; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

152. By Mr. JONES of North Carolina: Petition of the Mexico Beach Town Council, Fla., relative to the Outer Continental Shelf; jointly, to the Committees on Merchant Marine and Fisheries and Interior and Insular Affairs.

#### AMENDMENTS

Under clause 6 of rule XXIII, proposed amendments were submitted as follows:

H.R. 2688

By Mr. LEVITAS:

—Page 20, insert after line 6 the following:

##### APPROVAL OF RULES

SEC. 16. (a) No funds appropriated to the Consumer Product Safety Commission for fiscal year 1984, 1985, 1986, 1987, and 1988 under the amendment made by section 2 of this Act may be used to place in effect a consumer product safety rule under the Consumer Safety Act, a regulation under section 2(q)(1) or 3(e) of the Federal Hazardous Substances Act, or a regulation under section 4 of the Flammable Fabrics Act which rule or regulation is published in final form by the Commission after the date of the enactment of this subsection but before October 1, 1988, unless a joint resolution approving such rule or regulation has been enacted.

(b) To carry out subsection (a) the Consumer Product Safety Commission shall transmit to the Secretary of the Senate and the Clerk of the House of Representatives a copy of each consumer product safety rule promulgated by the Commission under section 9 of the Consumer Product Safety Act, each regulation promulgated by the Commission under section 2(q)(1) or 3(e) of the Federal Hazardous Substances Act, and each regulation promulgated by the Commission under section 4 of the Flammable Fabrics Act, which rule or regulation is published in final form by the Commission after the date of the enactment of this subsection but before October 1, 1988.

(c) For purposes of subsection (a), the term "joint resolution" means—

(1) in the case of a consumer product safety rule, a joint resolution of the Con-

gress which states the following after the resolving clause: "That the Congress approves the consumer product safety rule which was promulgated by the Consumer Product Safety Commission with respect to \_\_\_\_\_ and which was transmitted to the Congress on \_\_\_\_\_, with the blank spaces being filled in appropriately;

(2) in the case of a regulation promulgated under section 2(q)(1) or 3(e) of the Federal Hazardous Substances Act, means a joint resolution of the Congress which states the following after the resolving clause: "That the Congress approves the regulation which was promulgated by the Consumer Product Safety Commission under section \_\_\_\_\_ of the Federal Hazardous Substances Act with respect to \_\_\_\_\_ and which was transmitted to the Congress on \_\_\_\_\_, with the blank spaces being filled in appropriately; and

(3) in the case of a regulation promulgated under section 4 of the Flammable Fabrics Act, means a joint resolution of the Congress which states the following after the resolving clause: "That the Congress approves the regulation which was promulgated by the Consumer Product Safety Commission under section 4 of the Flammable Fabrics Act with respect to \_\_\_\_\_ and which was transmitted to the Congress on \_\_\_\_\_, with the blank spaces being filled in appropriately.

(To the Shelby amendment in the nature of a substitute.)

—At the end of the matter proposed to be inserted by the amendment insert the following:

##### APPROVAL OF RULES

SEC. 3. (a) No funds appropriated to the Consumer Product Safety Commission for fiscal year 1984, 1985, or 1986 under the amendment made by section 2 of this Act may be used to place in effect a consumer product safety rule under the Consumer Product Safety Act, a regulation under section 2(q)(1) or 3(e) of the Federal Hazardous Substances Act, or a regulation under section 4 of the Flammable Fabrics Act which rule or regulation is published in final form by the Commission after the date of the enactment of this subsection but before October 1, 1986, unless a joint resolution approving such rule or regulation has been enacted.

(b) To carry out subsection (a) the Consumer Product Safety Commission shall transmit to the Secretary of the Senate and the Clerk of the House of Representatives a copy of each consumer product safety rule promulgated by the Commission under section 9 of the Consumer Product Safety Act, each regulation promulgated by the Commission under section 2(q)(1) or 3(e) of the Federal Hazardous Substances Act, and each regulation promulgated by the Commission under section 4 of the Flammable Fabrics Act, which rule or regulation is published in final form by the Commission after the date of the enactment of this subsection but before October 1, 1986.

(c) For purposes of subsection (a), the term "joint resolution" means—

(1) in the case of a consumer product safety rule, a joint resolution of the Congress which states the following after the resolving clause: "That the Congress approves the consumer product safety rule which was promulgated by the Consumer Product Safety Commission with respect to \_\_\_\_\_ and which was transmitted to the Congress on \_\_\_\_\_, with the blank spaces being filled in appropriately;

(2) in the case of a regulation promulgated under section 2(q)(1) or 3(e) of the Federal Hazardous Substances Act, means a joint resolution of the Congress which states the following after the resolving clause: "That

the Congress approves the regulation which was promulgated by the Consumer Product Safety Commission under section \_\_\_\_\_ of the Federal Hazardous Substances Act with respect to \_\_\_\_\_ and which was transmitted to the Congress on \_\_\_\_\_, with the blank spaces being filled in appropriately; and

(3) in the case of a regulation promulgated under section 4 of the Flammable Fabrics Act, means a joint resolution of the Congress which states the following after the resolving clause: "That the Congress approves the regulation which was promulgated by the Consumer Product Safety Commission under section 4 of the Flammable Fabrics Act with respect to \_\_\_\_\_ and which was transmitted to the Congress on \_\_\_\_\_, with the blank spaces being filled in appropriately.

#### CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.R.

3132

Mr. BEVILL submitted the following conference report and statement on the bill (H.R. 3132) making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1984, and for other purposes.

##### CONFERENCE REPORT (H. REPT. NO. 98-272)

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 3132) making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1984, and for other purposes, having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the Senate recede from its amendments numbered 4, 5, 8, 11, 15, 19, 20, 33, 34, 38, and 39.

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendments of the Senate numbered 12, 28, 29, 31, 36, and 41, and agree to the same.

##### Amendment numbered 1:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 1, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert \$133,810,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

##### Amendment numbered 2:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 2, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert \$884,104,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

##### Amendment numbered 3:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 3, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

Restore the matter stricken by said amendment amended to read as follows: , of which \$5,200,000 shall be made available for the Miami Harbor, Bayfront Park project, Florida; and, in addition, notwithstanding any other provision of law, \$10,000,000, to remain available until expended, for the Yatesville Lake construction project; and the Senate agree to the same

##### Amendment numbered 6:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 6, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert \$300,480,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 7:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 7, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert \$1,184,492,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 9:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 9, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert \$33,831,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 10:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 10, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert \$33,161,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 13:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 13, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

Restore the matter stricken by said amendment amended to read as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert \$10,000,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 14:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 14, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert \$165,600,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 18:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 18, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert \$45,000,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 21:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 21, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert \$1,951,609,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 23:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment to the Senate numbered 23, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert \$638,250,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 24:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 24, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said

amendment insert \$6,547,875,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 27:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 27, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert \$366,056,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 30:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 30, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert \$156,437,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 32:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 32, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert \$194,630,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 35:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 35, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

Restore the matter stricken by said amendment amended to read as follows:

*Sec. 306. Not more than \$500,000 of funds available to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission shall be available for updating the comprehensive water resources analysis covering Merced county, Mariposa county, Madera county and Fresno county in California, in accordance with the provisions of sections 4(a) and 10(a) of the Federal Power Act including such public hearings as are necessary and appropriate for that purpose: Provided, That notwithstanding any other provision of law or regulation, the construction of any dam or hydroelectric facility on Whiskey Creek, Nelder Creek, and Lewis Fork of the Fresno River, all located in Merced county, Mariposa county, Madera county and Fresno county in California, shall be suspended and deferred until completion of the aforementioned updating of the comprehensive water resource analysis covering that area, and any permit, license, or exemption issued by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission shall be modified as necessary to be consistent with the results of that analysis.*

And the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 37:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 37, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert \$145,000,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 40:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 40, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert \$125,500,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

The committee of conference report in disagreement amendments numbered 16, 17, 22, 25, and 26.

TOM BEVILL,  
LINDY (MRS. HALE) BOGGS,  
BILL CHAPPELL,  
VIC FAZIO,  
WES WATKINS,  
BILL BONER,  
JAMIE L. WHITTEN,  
JOHN T. MYERS,  
VIRGINIA SMITH,  
ELDON RUDD,  
SILVIO O. CONTE  
(except amendments  
2, 3, 12).

Managers on the Part of the House.

MARK O. HATFIELD,  
JAMES A. MCCLURE,  
JAKE GARN,  
THAD COCHRAN,  
JAMES ABDNOR,  
ROBERT KASTEN,  
MACK MATTINGLY,  
PETE V. DOMENICI,  
J. BENNETT JOHNSTON,  
JOHN C. STENNIS,  
ROBERT C. BYRD,  
ERNEST F. HOLLINGS,  
WALTER D. HUDDLESTON,  
QUENTIN BURDICK,  
JIM SASSER,

Managers on the Part of the Senate.

JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT OF THE  
COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The managers on the part of the House and the Senate at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 3132) making appropriations for energy and water development for fiscal year ending September 30, 1984, and for other purposes, submit the following joint statement to the House and the Senate in explanation of the effects of the action agreed upon by the managers and recommended in the accompanying conference report.

Report language included by the House which is not changed by the report of the Senate, and Senate report language which is not changed by the conference is approved by the committee of conference. The statement of the managers, while repeating some report language for emphasis, does not intend to negate the language referred to above unless expressly provided herein.

TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—  
CIVIL

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY  
CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

General investigations

Amendment No. 1: Appropriates \$133,810,000 for general investigations instead of \$148,733,000 as proposed by the House and \$118,435,000 as proposed by the Senate.

In connection with the San Francisco Bay Shoreline, California, study, \$100,000 is included to continue the flood control investigation of the White Slough area in Solano County.

Of the funds appropriated for the Eastern South Dakota and Upper Big Sioux River,

SD&IA study, up to \$130,000 may be transferred to the U.S. Geological Survey for hydrologic investigation of the Big Sioux aquifer supported by the State of South Dakota.

The conferees recommend that the scope of the current Savannah Harbor, Comprehensive Study, Ga., be enlarged to consider the impacts of proposed upstream landside container facilities in conjunction with completion of the present study. In addition to the \$168,000 appropriated for this study, \$125,000 of available funds is to be used to investigate these impacts.

Because of the recent severe flooding in the Salt Lake metropolitan area, the corps is directed to use \$400,000 of available funds to update and confirm project data related to the Little Dell Lake in Utah. The Corps of Engineers is further directed to accelerate project planning and preparation in anticipation of construction.

The conference agreement includes \$300,000 to update the White River, Ark., authorization report. The updated study should include a review of improvements needed in the Black River, Ark., portion of the White River Basin, particularly stream-

bank erosion control in the Lawrence County, Ark., reach of the Black River.

The conference agreement includes an additional \$400,000 over the budget for the Ohio River Main Stem study to expedite completion of the interim report on the Montgomery Locks and Dam, Dashields Lock and Dam and Emsworth Locks and Dam.

The conference agreement includes \$50,000 for the Monroe County, Florida, study.

The funds appropriated are to be allocated as shown on the following table:

June 28, 1983

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD — HOUSE

H 4581

TYPE OF PROJECT	GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS - STATE AND PROJECT	BUDGET INVESTIGATIONS	ESTIMATES PLANNING	CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS	ALLOWANCE PLANNING
ALABAMA					
(N)	ALABAMA-COOSA RIVER BELOW CLAIRBORNE L&D.....	459,000	---	459,000	---
(N)	BAYOU LA BATRE.....	---	---	80,000	---
(N)	MOBILE HARBOR DEEPENING (CP&E).....	700,000	---	900,000	---
(FC)	THREE MILE CREEK (CP&E).....	---	---	600,000	---
(N)	WILLIAM BACON OLIVER LOCK AND DAM (CP&E).....	---	---	1,300,000	---
ALASKA					
(SP)	BETHEL BANK STABILIZATION (CP&E).....	---	---	150,000	---
(FDP)	RIVERS AND HARBORS.....	300,000	---	400,000	---
(FDP)	SMALL HYDROPOWER.....	800,000	---	800,000	---
(N)	SOUTHCENTRAL DEEP-DRAFT NAVIGATION.....	200,000	---	300,000	---
ARIZONA					
(FC)	HOLBROOK, LITTLE COLORADO RIVER (CP&E).....	---	---	300,000	---
(FDP)	GILA RIVER & TRIBUTARIES, NOGALES WASH, AZ & NM.....	200,000	---	200,000	---
(FDP)	GILA RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, AZ & NM.....	530,000	---	630,000	---
ARKANSAS					
(FDP)	ARKANSAS RIVER BASIN, AR & OK.....	---	---	200,000	---
(FDP)	ARKANSAS RIVER VICINITY OF FT SMITH - VAN BUREN.....	142,000	---	142,000	---
(N)	ARKANSAS RIVER & TRIBS HYDROPOWER & OTHER PURPOSES, AR & OK.....	422,000	---	422,000	---
(FDP)	CLARKSVILLE.....	100,000	---	100,000	---
(FC)	FOURCHE BAYOU IN THE VICINITY OF LITTLE ROCK (CP&E)...	700,000	---	700,000	---
(FC)	MCKINNEY BAYOU, AR & TX.....	---	270,000	---	270,000
(FC)	NORTH LITTLE ROCK (DARK HOLLOW) (CP&E).....	---	---	100,000	---
(FDP)	OUACHITA RIVER BASIN, AR & LA.....	696,000	---	696,000	---
(COMP)	RED RIVER BASIN, AR, TX, LA & OK.....	---	---	250,000	---
(COMP)	RED RIVER BELOW DENISON DAM, AR, LA, OK & TX (AUTH RPTS).....	460,000	---	460,000	---
(N)	WHITE RIVER.....	---	---	300,000	---
(N)	RED RIVER WATERWAY, SHREVEPORT LA TO VICINITY INDEX AR	---	---	---	490,000
CALIFORNIA					
(FDP)	ALAMEDA CREEK, UPPER BASIN.....	175,000	---	175,000	---
(FDP)	ANTELOPE VALLEY.....	---	---	100,000	---
(FC)	CACHE CREEK (CP&E).....	300,000	---	300,000	---
(FDP)	CALIENTE CREEK INTERIM.....	---	---	500,000	---
(FDP)	CALLEGUAS CREEK.....	---	---	250,000	---
(SPEC)	COAST OF CALIF, STORM AND TIDAL WAVES.....	750,000	---	1,000,000	---
(FC)	COTTONWOOD CREEK.....	---	4,000,000	---	4,000,000
(FDP)	DRY CREEK INTERIM.....	---	---	300,000	---
(FC)	GOLETA AND VICINITY.....	---	350,000	---	350,000
(FDP)	GUADALUPE RIVER.....	170,000	---	265,000	---
(FDP)	LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAINAGE AREA REVIEW.....	820,000	---	820,000	---
(N)	LOS ANGELES AND LONG BEACH HARBORS (INCL SAN PEDRO BAY MODEL).....	256,000	---	256,000	---
(FC)	MORRISON CREEK STREAM GROUP (PHASE I).....	1,000,000	---	1,000,000	---
(N)	NOYO RIVER AND HARBOR (CHANNEL EXTENSION).....	---	91,000	---	91,000
(N)	NOYO RIVER AND HARBOR (BREAKWATER).....	---	184,000	---	184,000
(N)	OAKLAND INNER HARBOR, (CP&E).....	---	---	200,000	---
(FC)	REDBANK AND FANCHER CREEKS (CP&E).....	1,500,000	---	1,500,000	---
(N)	REDONDO BEACH HARBOR (KING HARBOR).....	---	---	85,000	---
(FDP)	SACRAMENTO RIVER & TRIBS (BANK PROT & EROS CONTROL)...	139,000	---	139,000	---
(N)	SACRAMENTO RIVER DEEPWATER SHIP CHANNEL (CP&E).....	---	---	1,700,000	---
(FDP)	SAN FRANCISCO BAY SHORELINE.....	600,000	---	600,000	---
(FDP)	SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN.....	---	---	250,000	---
(FDP)	SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY.....	---	---	150,000	---
(FDP)	SANTA ANA RIVER & ORANGE COUNTY.....	122,000	---	122,000	---
(FDP)	SANTA BARBARA COUNTY STREAMS.....	325,000	---	325,000	---
(FC)	SANTA ANA RIVER (CP&E).....	2,300,000	---	2,600,000	---
(N)	SUNSET HARBOR.....	---	---	50,000	---
(FDP)	WALNUT CREEK BASIN.....	---	---	100,000	---
COLORADO					
(FC)	ARK R & TRIBS ABOVE J.H. DAM, FOUNTAIN CREEK AT PUEBLO (CP&E).....	300,000	---	300,000	---
(FC)	ARK R & TRIBS ABOVE JOHN MARTIN DAM (PHASE I).....	140,000	---	140,000	---
(FDP)	FOUNTAIN CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES, NORTH OF PUEBLO.....	200,000	---	200,000	---

TYPE OF PROJECT	GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS - STATE AND PROJECT	BUDGET ESTIMATES		CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE	
		INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
MISSISSIPPI					
(FDP)	MISSISSIPPI GULF COAST AREA.....	---	---	300,000	---
(N)	MISSISSIPPI SOUND AND ADJACENT AREAS, MS & AL.....	76,000	---	76,000	---
(N)	PASCAGOULA HARBOR.....	253,000	---	253,000	---
(FDP)	PASCAGOULA RIVER BASIN, MS & AL.....	305,000	---	305,000	---
(FDP)	PEARL RIVER BASIN, MS & LA.....	900,000	---	1,200,000	---
(SPEC)	TENNESSEE-TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY SPECIAL STUDY, MS, AL, TN & KY.....	628,000	---	628,000	---
(N)	WOLF AND JORDAN RIVERS.....	41,000	---	41,000	---
(N)	YAZOO RIVER.....	---	100,000	---	100,000
MISSOURI					
(FC)	HANNIBAL.....	---	150,000	---	150,000
(FC)	MERAMEC RIVER BASIN (FLOOD CONTROL).....	---	---	---	800,000
(SPEC)	MERAMEC RIVER BASIN (WATER SUPPLY).....	---	---	200,000	---
(FDP)	OSAGE RIVER.....	---	---	100,000	---
(FDP)	ST LOUIS METROPOLITAN AREA, MO & IL.....	1,490,000	---	1,490,000	---
(FDP)	STE GENEVIEVE.....	100,000	---	100,000	---
(FC)	WEARS CREEK, JEFFERSON CITY (PHASE I).....	100,000	---	100,000	---
(FDP)	WHITE RIVER BASIN COUNTY LINE LAKE.....	250,000	---	250,000	---
MONTANA					
NEVADA					
(FDP)	TRUCKEE MEADOWS.....	100,000	---	100,000	---
(FDP)	LAS VEGAS WASH & TRIBUTARIES.....	---	---	100,000	---
(FDP)	VIRGIN RIVER & TRIBUTARIES, MOAPA VALLEY.....	---	---	100,000	---
NEW HAMPSHIRE					
(N)	PORTSMOUTH HARBOR & PISCATAQUA RIVER, NH & ME (CP&E).....	---	---	300,000	---
(FDP)	WINNIPESAUKEE RIVER.....	87,000	---	87,000	---
NEW JERSEY					
(N)	DELAWARE RIVER IN THE VICINITY OF CAMDEN.....	---	50,000	---	50,000
(N)	DELAWARE RIVER COMPREHENSIVE NAVIGATION STUDY, NJ, PA & DE.....	950,000	---	950,000	---
(FC)	PASSAIC RIVER BASIN STUDY, NJ & NY (PHASE I).....	1,535,000	---	1,535,000	---
(FC)	RAHWAY RIVER, ROBINSON'S BRANCH, CITY OF RAHWAY (CP&E).....	150,000	---	150,000	---
(FC)	RAHWAY RIV BASIN, RAHWAY & VAN WINKLES BR-SPRINGFIELD (CP&E).....	340,000	---	340,000	---
NEW MEXICO					
(FC)	ALBUQUERQUE NORTH DIVERSION CHANNEL STUDY.....	---	---	300,000	---
(FC)	RIO GRANDE & TRIBUTARIES (MIDDEL RIO GRANDE TO BELEN).....	---	---	500,000	---
(FDP)	RIO GRANDE AND TRIBUTARIES, NM & CO.....	150,000	---	150,000	---
(FC)	SANTA FE RIVER AND ARROYO MASCARAS.....	---	156,000	---	156,000
NEW YORK					
(N)	ARTHUR KILL CHANNEL, HOWLAND HOOK MARINE TERMINAL.....	155,000	---	155,000	---
(N)	BUFFALO HARBOR.....	335,000	---	335,000	---
(FDP)	DELAWARE RIVER BASIN, NY, PA & NJ (ICE JAMS).....	100,000	---	100,000	---
(N)	KILL VAN KULL & NEWARK BAY CHANNELS, NY & NJ (CP&E).....	---	---	1,000,000	---
(N)	JONES INLET AND FREEPORT LONG ISLAND.....	43,000	---	43,000	---
(FC)	MAHARONECK AND SHELDRAKE RIVERS, VILLAGE OF MAHARONECK (CP&E).....	250,000	---	250,000	---
(N)	MORICHES INLET.....	---	---	---	100,000
(N)	NY HARBOR AND ADJACENT CHANNELS, NY & NJ.....	1,300,000	---	1,800,000	---
(FDP)	OSWEGO RIVER WATERSHED.....	175,000	---	175,000	---
(N)	SAINT LAWRENCE SEAWAY, ADDITIONAL LOCKS.....	200,000	---	200,000	---
(N)	SHINNECOCK INLET.....	---	---	---	250,000
NORTH CAROLINA					
(N)	CAPE FEAR RIVER ACHE TO FAYETTEVILLE.....	110,000	---	110,000	---
(FDP)	NEUSE RIVER.....	325,000	---	325,000	---
(FDP)	ROANOKE RIVER SOUTH BOSTON AND VICINITY, NC & VA.....	340,000	---	340,000	---
(SP)	WEST DNSLOW BEACH AND NEW RIVER INLET.....	---	---	100,000	---
NORTH DAKOTA					
(FDP)	RED RIVER OF THE NORTH, ND & MN.....	761,000	---	761,000	---
(FC)	SHEYENME RIVER.....	---	200,000	---	200,000

June 28, 1983

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD — HOUSE

H 4585

TYPE OF PROJECT	GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS - STATE AND PROJECT	BUDGET ESTIMATES		CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE	
		INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
OHIO					
(FC)	CENTRAL OHIO AT NELSONVILLE (CP&E).....	---	---	200,000	---
(FDP)	CENTRAL OHIO SURVEY.....	120,000	---	120,000	---
(FC)	CENTRAL OHIO SURVEY AT LOGAN (CP&E).....	315,000	---	315,000	---
(N)	CLEVELAND HARBOR (PHASE I).....	125,000	---	125,000	---
(FDP)	CUYAHOGA RIVER BASIN.....	153,000	---	153,000	---
(N)	GALLIPOLIS LOCKS AND DAM, OH & WV (CP&E).....	---	---	70,000	---
(N)	GENEVA-ON-THE-LAKE HARBOR.....	---	---	---	50,000
(FDP)	MIAMI RIVER, LITTLE MIAMI RIVER AND MILL CREEK BASIN..	195,000	---	195,000	---
(FC)	MUSKINGUM RIVER BASIN SURVEY AT KILLBUCK (CP&E).....	100,000	---	100,000	---
(FC)	MUSKINGUM RIVER BASIN SURVEY AT MANSFIELD (CP&E).....	205,000	---	205,000	---
(FC)	RENO BEACH - HOWARD FARMS AREA.....	---	170,000	---	170,000
OKLAHOMA					
(COMP)	ARKANSAS RIVER & TRIBUTARIES, SOUTHCENTRAL AND SOUTHEAST AREAS OF OKLAHOMA.....	---	---	200,000	---
(FDP)	CANADIAN RIVER & TRIBUTARIES, OK, TX, & NH.....	---	---	250,000	---
(FC)	PARKER LAKE (CP&E).....	---	---	100,000	---
(FDP)	POTEAU RIVER, OK & AR.....	109,000	---	109,000	---
(SPEC)	ARK-RED RIVER CHLORIDE CONTROL SOLAR POND STUDIES OK & TX.....	---	---	200,000	---
OREGON					
(N)	BONNEVILLE NAVIGATION LOCK, OR & WA.....	---	---	2,400,000	---
(N)	COLUMBIA R COAL EXPORT ASTORIA.....	830,000	---	830,000	---
(N)	COQUILLE RIVER AND BAR.....	148,000	---	148,000	---
(MP)	MCHARY LOCK AND DAM (SECOND POWERHOUSE), OR & WA (CP&E).....	1,500,000	---	1,500,000	---
(COMP)	WILLANETTE RIVER BASIN (COMPREHENSIVE STUDY).....	120,000	---	120,000	---
PENNSYLVANIA-					
(FDP)	ALLEGHENY RIVER BASIN.....	65,000	---	65,000	---
(FC)	FRANCIS E. WALTER DAM (MODIFICATION).....	---	1,120,000	---	1,120,000
(FDP)	KISKIMINETAS RIVER BASIN.....	80,000	---	80,000	---
(FDP)	LEHIGH RIVER BASIN STUDY.....	440,000	---	440,000	---
(FDP)	HONONGAHELA-YOUGHIOGHENY RIVER BASIN, PA, MD & WV....	475,000	---	475,000	---
(BE)	PRESQUE ISLE (CP&E).....	---	---	500,000	---
(FC)	SUSQUEHANNA BASIN AT HARRISBURG (CP&E).....	300,000	---	300,000	---
(FC)	TAMAQUA.....	---	725,000	---	725,000
(FDP)	WEST BRANCH SUSQUEHANNA RIVER, WILLIAMSPORT & S. WILLIAMSPORT.....	120,000	---	120,000	---
PUERTO RICO					
(FDP)	LA PLATA RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES.....	212,000	---	212,000	---
(FDP)	RIO GRANDE DE LOIZA.....	90,000	---	90,000	---
SOUTH CAROLINA					
(FDP)	GILLS CREEK.....	452,000	---	452,000	---
(N)	CHARLESTON HARBOR (CP&E).....	---	---	150,000	---
(FDP)	LITTLE PEE DEE & PEE DEE RIVERS, SC & NC.....	300,000	---	300,000	---
(SP)	MYRTLE BEACH.....	168,000	---	168,000	---
SOUTH DAKOTA					
(FDP)	EASTERN SD AND UPPER BIG SIOUX RIVER, SD & IA.....	220,000	---	220,000	---
(MP)	GREGORY COUNTY HYDROELECTRIC PUMPED STORAGE FACILITY (CP&E).....	2,000,000	---	2,000,000	---
(FDP)	MISSOURI RIVER, SD, NE, ND & MT.....	745,000	---	745,000	---
(FDP)	WESTERN DAKOTAS REGION OF SD.....	150,000	---	150,000	---
TENNESSEE					
(FDP)	METROPOLITAN REGION OF NASHVILLE.....	95,000	---	255,000	---

TYPE OF PROJECT	GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS - STATE AND PROJECT	BUDGET ESTIMATES		CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE	
		INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
TEXAS					
(FDP)	BRAZOS RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES.....	250,000	---	250,000	---
(FDP)	BUFFALO BAYOU AND TRIBUTARIES TX.....	850,000	---	850,000	---
(FDP)	CAMERON COUNTY, MARLINGEN.....	---	---	100,000	---
(FC)	CLEAR CREEK.....	---	152,000	---	152,000
(FDP)	COLORADO RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES.....	300,000	---	300,000	---
(FC)	COLORADO RIVER & TRIBS, BOGGY CR AT AUSTIN (CP&E).....	100,000	---	100,000	---
(FDP)	DICKINSON BAYOU.....	---	---	270,000	---
(N)	GALVESTON BAY AREA NAVIGATION STUDY.....	168,000	---	168,000	---
(FDP)	JOHNSON CREEK, ARLINGTON.....	120,000	---	120,000	---
(FC)	LAKE WICHITA, HOLLIDAY CREEK AT WICHITA FALLS (CP&E).....	200,000	---	425,000	---
(FDP)	LITTLE CYPRESS CREEK, TX & LA.....	400,000	---	400,000	---
(FC)	MILLICAN LAKE.....	---	200,000	---	200,000
(N)	NECHES RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES SALT WATER BARRIER.....	---	---	---	200,000
(SP)	PLEASURE ISLAND AT PORT ARTHUR.....	---	---	140,000	---
(FDP)	PINE ISLAND BAYOU WATERSHED.....	---	---	125,000	---
(FDP)	RED RIVER & TRIBS ABOVE DENISON DAM TX, OK, NM.....	---	---	250,000	---
(FDP)	SAN JACINTO RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES.....	300,000	---	300,000	---
(CMP)	TOWN BLUFF HYDROPOWER.....	---	400,000	---	400,000
(FC)	TRINITY RIVER PROJECT.....	---	238,000	---	238,000
(FC)	UPPER WHITE OAK BAYOU & TRIBS, VICINITY OF HOUSTON (CP&E).....	400,000	---	400,000	---
UTAH					
(FDP)	COLORADO RIVER & TRIBS, ABOVE LEE FERRY, UT, AZ, CO, NM & WY.....	373,000	---	373,000	---
(FDP)	JORDAN RIVER BASIN.....	110,000	---	110,000	---
VIRGINIA					
(FDP)	CHOWAN RIVER, VA & NC.....	273,000	---	273,000	---
(N)	NORFOLK HARBOR (DEEPENING) (CP&E).....	2,000,000	---	2,000,000	---
(FC)	RICHMOND (CP&E).....	---	---	1,000,000	---
(N)	YORK AND PAMUNKEY RIVERS.....	207,000	---	207,000	---
WASHINGTON					
(N)	BLAIR & SITCUM WATERWAYS (CP&E).....	---	---	140,000	---
(FDP)	CHEHALIS RIVER & TRIBS.....	---	---	250,000	---
(FC)	CHEHALIS RIVER AT SOUTH ABERDEEN-COSMOPOLIS (CP&E).....	---	---	200,000	---
(N)	GRAYS HARBOR, CHEHALIS AND HOQUIAM RIVERS (CP&E).....	---	---	500,000	---
(FDP)	HILL CREEK BASIN STUDY.....	100,000	---	100,000	---
(COMP)	PUGET SOUND AND ADJACENT WATERS.....	350,000	---	500,000	---
(N)	SNOHOMISH RIVER (NAVIGATION).....	200,000	---	200,000	---
WEST VIRGINIA					
(FDP)	GUYANDOTTE RIVER BASIN, VICINITY OF LOGAN AND MULLENS.....	330,000	---	330,000	---
(COMP)	KANAWHA BASIN COMPREHENSIVE (AUTHORIZATION REPORTS), WV, VA & NC.....	275,000	---	275,000	---
(N)	KANAWHA RIVER.....	700,000	---	700,000	---
WISCONSIN					
(N)	GREEN BAY HARBOR.....	---	85,000	---	85,000
(FC)	STATE ROAD AND EBNER COULEES.....	---	350,000	---	350,000
(FDP)	WISCONSIN RIVER, PORTAGE.....	58,000	---	58,000	---
REVIEW OF AUTHORIZED PROJECTS					
	RE STUDY OF DEFERRED PROJECTS.....	200,000	---	465,000	---
	LUKFATA LAKE, OK (HYDROPOWER STUDY).....	---	---	(265,000)	---
	REVIEW OF COMPLETED PROJECTS.....	550,000	---	525,000	---
	MOJAVE RIVER, CALIF.....	(200,000)	---	(260,000)	---
	PINE CREEK LAKE, OK (HYDROPOWER STUDY).....	---	---	(265,000)	---
	REVIEW FOR DEAUTHORIZATION.....	150,000	---	150,000	---
	TOTAL.....	900,000	---	1,140,000	---
	COORDINATION STUDIES WITH OTHER AGENCIES.....	5,300,000	---	5,300,000	---



TYPE OF PROJECT	GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS - STATE AND PROJECT	BUDGET ESTIMATES		CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE	
		INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
COLLECTION AND STUDY OF BASIC DATA					
STREAM GAGING (U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY).....		470,000	---	470,000	---
PRECIPITATION STUDIES (NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE).....		295,000	---	295,000	---
INTERNATIONAL WATER STUDIES.....		100,000	---	100,000	---
FLOOD PLAIN MANAGEMENT SERVICES.....		6,500,000	---	6,500,000	---
HYDROLOGIC STUDIES.....		235,000	---	235,000	---
SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTERS.....		120,000	---	120,000	---
COASTAL DATA COLLECTION.....		1,580,000	---	1,580,000	---
TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS.....		200,000	---	200,000	---
ENVIRONMENTAL DATA STUDIES.....		200,000	---	200,000	---
TOTAL.....		9,700,000	---	9,700,000	---
=====					
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.....		22,000,000	---	22,250,000	---
=====					
SUBTOTAL.....		101,365,000	10,435,000	127,235,000	13,325,000
REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE.....		-1,400,000		-6,750,000	
=====					
TOTAL GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS.....		110,400,000		133,810,000	
=====					

TYPE OF PROJECT:

- (N) NAVIGATION
- (FC) FLOOD CONTROL
- (BE) BEACH EROSION CONTROL
- (MP) MULTIPLE-PURPOSE, INCLUDING POWER
- (FDP) FLOOD DAMAGE PREVENTION
- (SP) SHORELINE PROTECTION
- (SPEC) SPECIAL
- (COMP) COMPREHENSIVE
- (PHASE I) AUTHORIZED FOR PHASE I STAGE OF ADVANCE ENGINEERING AND DESIGN IN THE WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT ACT OF 1974 OR 1976
- (CP&E) CONTINUATION OF PLANNING AND ENGINEERING

*Construction, general*

Amendment No. 2: Appropriates \$884,104,000 for construction, general instead of \$882,829,000 as proposed by the House and \$885,779,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees are aware of the proposal to require increased cost sharing on the Blue River Channel Improvement, Mo., and Canaveral Harbor, Fla., projects. Congress has funded these projects for construction since 1979 and 1964, respectively, and the conferees reaffirm their past position that once the decision on construction is made, local cooperation is set in accordance with the authorizing document. Therefore, the Committee directs the Corps of Engineers to proceed with construction of these projects on that basis.

The conferees concur with the House and Senate Committee reports in funding the Tennessee-Tombigbee Water project from available funds. This action is not intended to show any decrease in the rate of activity leading to a scheduled September 1985 open-to-navigation date. During the House debate, it was stipulated that the remaining cost to complete the project for navigation and related features was \$202,000,000. Of that amount, \$180,000,000 is from the available deferred funds, and the remaining \$22,000,000, if needed, from unobligated balances. Further, the conferees are agreed to the following language which was included in the Senate Bill:

No funds under this heading shall be used for further study or construction or in any fashion for a federally funded waterway which extends the Tennessee-Tombigbee project south from the city of Demopolis, Alabama.

In approving this language, the conferees are in agreement that no funds from this appropriation shall be used to construct any expansion of the currently authorized channel dimensions of, or to build duplicate locks for, the existing Black Warrior-Tombigbee Waterway south of Demopolis, Ala., to accommodate traffic increases on the Black Warrior-Tombigbee Waterway anticipated to be generated by completion of the Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway. It is not the intent of the conferees to restrict necessary operation, maintenance, restoration, or rehabilitation on the existing, authorized Black Warrior-Tombigbee Waterway.

The conferees are aware of Congressional testimony on the need to construct access points and recreation facilities on the Ouachita and Black Rivers in the vicinity of the Felsenthal National Wildlife Refuge, Arkansas, in the Felsenthal Pool. Testimony indicates significant prior commitments to local interests to provide access roads, boat ramps, recreation and sanitary facilities. Accordingly, the conferees have included funds in the bill for the Corps to proceed with this work consistent with prior commitments.

In connection with the Pearl River project, the Committee is aware of possible economic, real estate, and induced drainage problems in other reaches associated with providing flood protection at the Jackson reach first. Therefore, in the development of the improvements for flood protection for the Jackson, Mississippi, area, the Corps shall carefully consider and minimize the adverse impacts of these works on other reaches of the Pearl River.

The funds included in the bill for the Sardis Lake, Okla., project include \$500,000

for a water intake structure and \$500,000 for recreation facilities.

The conference agreement includes \$700,000 for the Burns Waterway Small Boat Harbor, Indiana, and \$700,000 for the Cedar Island-Keaton Beach, Fla., projects under Section 107, Small Navigation Projects; \$2,000,000 for the Key Biscayne, Fla., project under Section 103, Small Beach Erosion Control Projects; \$1,300,000 for the Paauau Stream, Hawaii, project and \$500,000 for the Salyersville, Ky., project under Section 205, Small Flood Control Projects; \$125,000 for the Maple River, Mich., project under section 208, Small Snagging and Clearing Projects; \$5,200,000 for the Miami Harbor Bayfront Park, Fla., and \$300,000 for the John H. Bankhead, Ala., project under the Recreation at Completed Projects category.

The conferees direct that no upfront financing or cost sharing of projects under the small/continuing authority programs be implemented until Congress fully considers and addresses such "innovative financing".

Amendment No. 3: Restores language proposed by the House and stricken by the Senate amended to read as follows:

*of which \$5,200,000 shall be made available for the Miami Harbor, Bayfront Park project, Florida; and, in addition, notwithstanding any other provision of law, \$10,000,000, to remain available until expended, for the Yatesville Lake construction project*

Amendment No. 4: Deletes language proposed by the Senate relating to the Tennessee-Tombigbee project.

The funds appropriated are to be allocated as shown in the following table:

Type of Project	Construction, General - State and project	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
ALABAMA			
(N)	TENNESSEE TOBIGBEE WATERWAY, AL & MS.....	90,000,000	---
(N)	FRANKLIN FERRY BRIDGE, JEFFERSON COUNTY.....	---	1,800,000
ALASKA			
(FC)	CHENA RIVER LAKES.....	8,000,000	8,000,000
(N)	KAKE HARBOR.....	50,000	50,000
(MP)	SNETTISHAM 3RD POWER UNIT.....	---	4,000,000
ARIZONA			
(FC)	INDIAN BEND WASH.....	3,804,000	3,804,000
(FC)	PHOENIX AND VICINITY (STAGE 2 & REMAINING WORK).....	8,500,000	8,500,000
ARKANSAS			
(N)	MCCLELLAN-KERR ARK RIVER NAVIGATION SYSTEM LOCKS AND DAMS, AR & OK.....	5,570,000	5,570,000
(N)	OUACHITA & BLACK RIVERS, AR & LA.....	9,200,000	12,700,000
(FC)	RED RIVER EMERGENCY BANK PROTECTION, AR, LA, OK & TX..	---	6,800,000
CALIFORNIA			
(N)	BODEGA BAY.....	500,000	---
(FC)	CUCAMONGA CREEK.....	2,900,000	2,900,000
(FC)	DRY CREEK (WARM SPRINGS) LAKE AND CHANNEL.....	12,000,000	12,000,000
(FC)	FAIRFIELD VICINITY STREAMS.....	320,000	320,000
(BE)	IMPERIAL BEACH.....	---	2,000,000
(FC)	LACDA LOS ANGELES RIVER CHANNEL (REHAB).....	5,000,000	5,000,000
(FC)	LOWER SAN JOAQUIN RIVER.....	---	2,500,000
(FC)	MERCED COUNTY STREAMS.....	6,000,000	---
(MP)	NEW MELONES LAKE.....	7,300,000	7,300,000
(FC)	SACRAMENTO RIVER BANK PROTECTION.....	---	2,500,000
(FC)	SACRAMENTO RIVER, CHICO LANDING TO RED BLUFF.....	---	2,000,000
(FC)	SACRAMENTO RIVER & MAJOR AND MINOR TRIBUTARIES.....	200,000	200,000
(N)	SAN FRANCISCO BAY TO STOCKTON.....	8,000,000	9,000,000
(FC)	SAN JACINTO RIVER LEV & BAUTISTA CRK CHANNEL.....	6,573,000	6,573,000
(FC)	SAN LUIS REY RIVER.....	900,000	---
(BE)	SURFSIDE-SUNSET & NEWPORT BEACH.....	3,800,000	3,800,000
(FC)	SWEETWATER RIVER.....	---	2,000,000
(FC)	WALNUT CREEK.....	4,700,000	4,700,000
(FC)	WILDCAT & SAN PABLO CREEKS.....	320,000	320,000
COLORADO			
(FC)	CHATFIELD LAKE.....	2,000,000	2,000,000
CONNECTICUT			
(FC)	NEW LONDON HURRICANE BARRIER.....	825,000	825,000
DELAWARE			
(FC)	DELAWARE COAST BEACH EROSION CONTROL & HURRICANE PROT.	775,000	775,000
FLORIDA			
(BE)	BROWARD COUNTY (REIMB).....	4,600,000	4,600,000
(N)	CANAVERAL HARBOR.....	---	3,000,000
(FC)	CENTRAL & SOUTHERN FLORIDA.....	11,100,000	11,100,000
(FC)	DADE COUNTY.....	300,000	300,000
(BE)	DUVAL COUNTY.....	1,500,000	1,500,000
(FC)	FOUR RIVER BASINS.....	3,000,000	3,000,000
(BE)	PALM BEACH CO, MARTIN-LAKE WORTH, SO. LK NORTH-BROWARD (REIMB).....	2,300,000	2,300,000
(BE)	PINELLAS COUNTY.....	700,000	700,000
(N)	TAMPA HARBOR-MAIN CHANNEL.....	17,000,000	17,000,000
GEORGIA			
(MP)	HARTWELL LAKE UPPER AND LOWER DIVERSION DAMS, GA & SC (MAJOR REHAB).....	2,600,000	2,600,000
(MP)	RICHARD B. RUSSELL DAM AND LAKE, GA & SC.....	51,500,000	51,500,000
(MP)	WALTER F. GEORGE LOCK AND DAM (MAJOR REHAB), GA & AL..	10,000,000	10,000,000

Type of Project	Construction, General - State and project	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
HAWAII			
(N)	BARBERS POINT HARBOR, OAHU.....	18,000,000	18,000,000
(FC)	KAHOHA STREAM.....	5,000,000	---
(N)	KAHULUI HARBOR, MAUI (REHAB).....	660,000	660,000
IDAHO			
(MP)	DWORSHAK DAM AND RESERVOIR.....	4,000,000	4,000,000
(MP)	LEWISTON - CLARKSTON BRIDGE, ID & WA.....	---	640,000
ILLINOIS			
(FC)	EAST ST. LOUIS AND VICINITY.....	2,600,000	2,600,000
(N)	ILLINOIS WATERWAY, LOCKPORT L&D (REHAB).....	9,000,000	9,000,000
(N)	ILLINOIS WATERWAY, BRANDON ROAD L&D (REHAB).....	6,000,000	6,000,000
(FC)	KASKASKIA ISLAND DRAINAGE AND LEVEE DISTRICT.....	3,500,000	3,500,000
(N)	KASKASKIA RIVER NAVIGATION.....	5,500,000	5,500,000
(N)	LOCK AND DAM NO 26, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, ALTON, IL & MO.	53,800,000	53,800,000
(N)	LOCKS AND DAM 53, IL & KY (REHAB).....	500,000	500,000
(N)	LOCKS AND DAM 52, IL & KY (REHAB).....	1,029,000	1,029,000
(FC)	MCGEE CREEK DRAINAGE AND LEVEE DISTRICT.....	5,600,000	5,600,000
(FC)	MILAN.....	4,830,000	4,830,000
(FC)	ROCKFORD.....	1,500,000	1,500,000
(N)	SMITHLAND LOCKS AND DAM, IL, IN & KY.....	820,000	820,000
(FC)	WOOD RIVER DRAINAGE AND LEVEE DISTRICT.....	1,500,000	1,500,000
INDIANA			
(FC)	EVANSVILLE.....	2,100,000	2,100,000
IOWA			
(FC)	BETTENDORF.....	3,800,000	3,800,000
(FC)	CORALVILLE LAKE.....	3,000,000	3,000,000
(FC)	DAVENPORT.....	1,200,000	---
(FC)	LITTLE SIOUX RIVER.....	300,000	300,000
(FC)	MISSOURI RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM, IA, NE, KS & MO.....	1,100,000	1,100,000
(FC)	SAYLORVILLE LAKE.....	1,860,000	5,000,000
(FC)	WATERLOO.....	2,000,000	2,000,000
KANSAS			
(FC)	EL DORADO LAKE.....	6,800,000	6,800,000
KENTUCKY			
(FC)	BIG SOUTH FORK NATIONAL RIVER & RECREATION AREA, KY & TN.....	10,500,000	10,500,000
(FC)	CAVE RUN LAKE.....	1,360,000	1,360,000
(MP)	LAUREL RIVER LAKE.....	700,000	700,000
(FC)	PAINTSVILLE LAKE.....	3,300,000	3,300,000
(FC)	SOUTHWESTERN JEFFERSON COUNTY.....	6,000,000	6,000,000
(FC)	TAYLORSVILLE LAKE.....	4,400,000	4,400,000
(FC)	YATESVILLE LAKE.....	---	10,000,000
LOUISIANA			
(FC)	BAYOU BOUCAU AND TRIBUTARIES.....	400,000	400,000
(FC)	LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN AND VICINITY (HURRICANE PROTECTION)	16,800,000	16,800,000
(FC)	LAROSE TO GOLDEN MEADOW (HURRICANE PROTECTION).....	5,700,000	5,700,000
(N)	LELAND BOWMAN LOCK (REPLACEMENT).....	10,325,000	10,325,000
(N)	MISSISSIPPI RIVER - GULF OUTLET.....	500,000	500,000
(N)	MISSISSIPPI RIVER, BATON ROUGE TO THE GULF OF MEXICO..	800,000	800,000
(FC)	MORGAN CITY AND VICINITY (HURRICANE PROTECTION).....	280,000	280,000
(FC)	NEW ORLEANS TO VENICE (HURRICANE PROTECTION).....	4,400,000	4,400,000
(N)	RED RIVER WATERWAY - MISSISSIPPI RIVER TO SHREVEPORT..	63,700,000	83,000,000
MAINE			
(N)	JONESPORT HARBOR.....	25,000	25,000
MICHIGAN			
(FC)	SAGINAW RIVER.....	---	500,000

Type of Project	Construction, General - State and Project	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
MARYLAND			
(N)	BALTIMORE HARBOR & CHANNELS, MD & VA (50 FT PROJECT)..	1,200,000	1,200,000
MINNESOTA			
(FC)	BASSETT CREEK.....	6,000,000	---
(FC)	BIG STONE LAKE, WHETSTONE RIVER, MN & SD.....	1,750,000	1,750,000
(FC)	MANKATO & NORTH MANKATO.....	7,200,000	7,200,000
(FC)	ROSEAU RIVER.....	---	250,000
(FC)	WINONA.....	2,600,000	2,600,000
MISSISSIPPI			
(FC)	PEARL RIVER VICINITY OF JACKSON, MISS.....	---	16,000,000
(FC)	TOHBIGBEE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, MS & AL.....	1,400,000	1,400,000
MISSOURI			
(FC)	BLUE RIVER CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT.....	---	2,000,000
(MP)	CLARENCE CANNON DAM AND MARK TWAIN LAKE.....	11,630,000	11,630,000
(MP)	HARRY S. TRUMAN DAM AND RESERVOIR.....	8,000,000	8,900,000
(FC)	LITTLE BLUE RIVER CHANNEL.....	3,700,000	3,700,000
(FC)	LITTLE BLUE RIVER LAKES.....	9,900,000	9,900,000
(FC)	LONG BRANCH LAKE.....	300,000	300,000
(N)	MISS RIVER BTWN THE OHIO & MO RIVERS (REG WORKS), MO & IL.....	2,200,000	2,200,000
MONTANA			
(FC)	GREAT FALLS.....	2,000,000	2,000,000
(MP)	LIBBY ADDITIONAL UNITS.....	2,600,000	2,600,000
NEBRASKA			
(FC)	PAPILLION CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES LAKES.....	4,400,000	4,400,000
NEW JERSEY			
(N)	BARNEGAT INLET.....	---	210,000
(FC)	ELIZABETH RIVER FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT.....	4,800,000	4,800,000
NEW YORK			
(BE)	EAST ROCKAWAY INLET TO ROCKAWAY INLET AND JAMAICA BAY.	3,200,000	3,200,000
(FC)	ELLCOTT CREEK.....	600,000	---
(FC)	FIRE ISLAND INLET TO MONTAUK POINT, LONG ISLAND.....	---	500,000
(N)	IRONDEQUOIT BAY.....	110,000	110,000
(N)	NY HARBOR COLLECTION & REMOVAL DRIFT PROJECT, NY & NJ.	1,300,000	3,000,000
NORTH CAROLINA			
(N)	AIWV-REPLACEMENT OF FEDERAL HIGHWAY BRIDGES.....	1,500,000	1,500,000
(FC)	B. EVERETT JORDAN DAM AND LAKE.....	8,200,000	8,200,000
(FC)	FALLS LAKE.....	4,300,000	4,300,000
(N)	MANTED (SHALLOWBAG) BAY.....	---	500,000
(FC)	RANDLEMAN LAKE.....	2,700,000	---
NORTH DAKOTA			
(FC)	LAKE DARLING, SOURIS RIVER.....	1,000,000	1,000,000
OHIO			
(FC)	MILL CREEK.....	10,000,000	10,000,000
(FC)	MUSKINGUM RIVER LAKES (DAM SAFETY ASSURANCE).....	3,200,000	3,200,000
(FC)	MUSKINGUM RIVER LAKES (REHAB).....	1,300,000	1,300,000
(FC)	NEWARK.....	300,000	300,000
(FC)	POINT PLACE.....	2,500,000	2,500,000
OKLAHOMA			
(FC)	ARCADIA LAKE.....	8,300,000	8,300,000
(FC)	SARDIS LAKE.....	---	1,000,000
(FC)	SKIATOOK LAKE.....	11,000,000	11,000,000

Type of Project	Construction, General - State and Project	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
OREGON			
(MP)	BONNEVILLE SECOND POWERHOUSE, OR & WA.....	18,500,000	18,500,000
(MP)	JOHN DAY LOCK AND DAM, LAKE UMATILLA, OR & WA.....	4,500,000	13,800,000
(FC)	LOWER COLUMBIA RIVER BASIN BANK PROTECTION, OR & WA...	2,000,000	2,000,000
(N)	SIUSLAW RIVER AND BAR (CONTINUATION).....	---	5,600,000
(FC)	WILLOW CREEK LAKE HEPPNER.....	2,944,000	2,944,000
(FC)	WILLAMETTE RIVER BASIN BANK PROTECTION.....	700,000	700,000
PENNSYLVANIA			
(N)	EMSWORTH LOCKS AND DAM, OHIO RIVER (MAJOR REHAB).....	7,400,000	7,400,000
(FC)	KINZUA DAM AND ALLEGHENY RESERVOIR, PA & NY (MAJOR REHAB).....	3,200,000	3,200,000
(N)	MONTGOMERY LOCKS AND DAM, OHIO RIVER (MAJOR REHAB)....	3,700,000	3,700,000
(BE)	PRESQUE ISLE.....	1,240,000	990,000
(FC)	WYOMING VALLEY, PA (REMEDIATION WORK) (DEF COR).....	2,800,000	2,800,000
PUERTO RICO			
(N)	PONCE HARBOR.....	25,000	25,000
(FC)	PORTUGUES AND BUCANA RIVERS.....	25,000,000	25,000,000
SOUTH CAROLINA			
(N)	COOPER RIVER, CHARLESTON HARBOR.....	9,800,000	9,800,000
(N)	LITTLE RIVER INLET, SC & NC.....	1,761,000	1,761,000
TEXAS			
(FC)	ARKANSAS-RED RIVER BASINS CHLORIDE CONTROL (AREA VIII)	3,329,000	3,329,000
(FC)	COOPER LAKE AND CHANNELS.....	---	250,000
(N)	CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL (1968 ACT).....	9,200,000	9,200,000
(BE)	CORPUS CHRISTI BEACH (RESTORATION PROJECT).....	995,000	995,000
(FC)	EL PASO.....	4,550,000	4,550,000
(N)	FREEPORT HARBOR.....	75,000	75,000
(N)	FREEPORT HARBOR (RELOCATION OF NORTH JETTY).....	3,350,000	---
(FC)	HIGHLAND BAYOU.....	100,000	100,000
(FC)	JOE POOL LAKE (FORMERLY LAKEVIEW LAKE).....	22,800,000	22,800,000
(N)	MOUTH OF COLORADO RIVER.....	---	2,500,000
(FC)	RAY ROBERTS LAKE.....	42,000,000	42,000,000
(FC)	RED RIVER LEVEES & BANK STAB. BELOW DENNISON DAM TX, AR & LA.....	---	1,600,000
(FC)	SAN ANTONIO CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT.....	6,500,000	6,500,000
(FC)	SAN GABRIEL RIVER.....	250,000	250,000
(FC)	TAYLORS BAYOU.....	2,600,000	3,100,000
(FC)	VINCE AND LITTLE VINCE BAYOUS.....	3,000,000	3,000,000
(FC)	WALLISVILLE LAKE.....	---	100,000
VIRGINIA			
(FC)	VIRGINIA BEACH STREAMS, CANAL NO TWO.....	1,211,000	---
(BE)	VIRGINIA BEACH, VA (1962 MODIFICATION) (REIMB).....	430,000	430,000
WASHINGTON			
(MP)	CHIEF JOSEPH DAM ADDITIONAL UNITS.....	16,700,000	16,700,000
(N)	LAKE WASHINGTON SHIP CANAL (REHAB).....	3,720,000	3,720,000
(MP)	LOWER GRANITE DAM, WA.....	---	1,000,000
(MP)	LOWER SNAKE RIVER FISH AND WILDLIFE COMPENSATION, WA, OR & ID.....	18,700,000	20,000,000
(FC)	MILL CREEK LAKE (REHAB).....	1,500,000	1,500,000
WEST VIRGINIA			
(FC)	BEECH FORK LAKE.....	2,000,000	2,000,000
(FC)	BURNSVILLE LAKE.....	750,000	750,000
(FC)	LEVISA & TUG FORKS OF BIG SANDY RVR & CUMBERLAND RVR, WV, VA & KY.....	600,000	3,600,000
(FC)	R D BAILEY LAKE.....	2,300,000	2,300,000
(FC)	STONEWALL JACKSON LAKE.....	26,000,000	26,000,000

Type of Project	Construction, General - State and project	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
MISCELLANEOUS			
SMALL NAVIGATION PROJECTS (SECTION 107).....		2,300,000	3,000,000
MITIGATION OF SHORE DAMAGES ATTRIBUTABLE TO NAV PROJ (SECTION 111).....		550,000	550,000
SMALL BEACH EROSION CONTROL PROJECTS (SECTION 103)....		1,800,000	3,800,000
SMALL PROJECTS FOR FLOOD CONTROL & RELATED PURPOSES (SECTION 205).....		16,400,000	17,300,000
EMERGENCY STREAMBANK & SHORELINE PROTECTION (SECTION 14).....		4,000,000	4,000,000
SMALL SNAGGING & CLEARING PROJECTS (SECTION 208).....		250,000	375,000
RECREATION FACILITIES AT COMPLETED PROJECTS.....		8,000,000	13,500,000
AQUATIC PLANT CONTROL (1965 ACT).....		5,000,000	5,000,000
EMPLOYEES COMPENSATION.....		9,400,000	9,400,000
REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE.....		-139,446,000	-110,446,000
FUNDS AVAILABLE FROM FY 1983 DEFERRAL.....		-40,000,000	---
		=====	=====
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL.....		820,700,000	894,104,000
		=====	=====

TYPE OF PROJECT:

- (N) NAVIGATION
- (FC) FLOOD CONTROL
- (BE) BEACH EROSION CONTROL
- (MP) MULTIPLE-PURPOSE, INCLUDING POWER

Revolving Fund

Amendment No. 5: Appropriates \$9,500,000 as proposed by the House for a Corps of Engineers' learning facility and restores the House language.

Flood Control, Mississippi River and Tributaries, Arkansas, Illinois, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, and Tennessee

Amendment No. 6: Appropriates \$300,480,000 for Flood Control, Mississippi River and Tributaries, Arkansas, Illinois, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, and Tennessee instead of \$310,330,000 as proposed by the House and \$297,960,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The Committee is aware of the value of the lower lake at Sardis Lake and that such lake could be maintained for fishing and boating without retarding the flow from the reservoir. Therefore, the Corps is directed to plan, design, and construct the works necessary to maintain desirable water levels in the lower lake without adversely impacting the drainage of flood water from the upper lake. The Committee is also aware of sedimentation problems occurring in parts of the lower lake and Upper Little Tallahatchie River and directs the Corps to determine if removal of such material is feasible at this time to fulfill the purposes of the project.

The funds appropriated are to be allocated as shown in the following table:

Type of Project	Flood Control, Mississippi River and Tributaries	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS			
SURVEYS:			
GENERAL STUDIES:			
(FDP)	BOEUF-TENSAS BASIN, AR & LA.....	185,000	985,000
(FDP)	LARTO LAKE-SALINE AREA, LA.....	---	240,000
(FDP)	ST. FRANCIS RIVER BASIN BELOW WAPPAPELLO LAKE, AR & MO.....	585,000	585,000
(FDP)	MAYFIELD CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES, KY.....	320,000	320,000
(FDP)	ATCHAFALAYA BASIN (WATER & LAND RESOURCES), LA...	235,000	350,000
(FDP)	YAZOO RIVER BASIN, MS.....	225,000	900,000
(FDP)	MISSISSIPPI AND LOUISIANA ESTUARINE AREAS.....	---	60,000
(SPEC)	MISSISSIPPI RIVER, EAST BANK NATCHEZ AREA.....	---	150,000
(COMP)	EASTERN ARKANSAS REGION COMPREHENSIVE STUDY.....	---	100,000
	COLLECTION AND STUDY OF BASIC DATA.....	260,000	260,000
ADVANCE ENGINEERING AND DESIGN:			
(FC)	EASTERN RAPIDES & SOUTH CENTRAL AVOYELLES.....	---	280,000
(FC)	L'ANGUILLE RIVER BASIN, AR.....	300,000	500,000
(FC)	MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION SALINITY CONTROL STRUCTURE, LA.....	800,000	1,200,000
	SUBTOTAL, GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS.....	2,910,000	5,930,000
CONSTRUCTION			
(FC)	CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN.....	52,000,000	52,000,000
(FC)	MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO, & TN	27,000,000	27,000,000
(FC)	ST. FRANCIS BASIN, AR & MO.....	4,400,000	4,400,000
(FC)	TENSAS BASIN, AR & LA:	(14,840,000)	(14,840,000)
	BOEUF AND TENSAS RIVERS, EXCEPT LAKE CHICOT PUMPING PLANT.....	800,000	800,000
	BOEUF AND TENSAS RIVERS, LAKE CHICOT PUMPING PLANT	11,950,000	11,950,000
	RED RIVER BACKWATER AREA, EXCEPT TENSAS COCODRIE PUMPING PLANT.....	1,300,000	1,300,000
	RED RIVER BACKWATER AREA, TENSAS COCODRIE PUMPING PLANT.....	790,000	790,000
(FC)	TENSAS WILDLIFE REFUGE.....	---	15,000,000
(FC)	REELFOOT LAKE - LAKE NO. 9, KY & TN.....	30,000	30,000
(FC)	ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, LA.....	23,800,000	23,800,000



Type of Project	Flood Control, Mississippi River and Tributaries	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
(FC)	BAYOU COCODRIE AND TRIBUTARIES, LA.....	300,000	300,000
(FC)	LOWER RED RIVER-SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA.....	600,000	600,000
(FC)	OLD RIVER, LA.....	65,940,000	65,940,000
(FC)	YAZOO BASIN, MS:	(14,340,000)	(26,800,000)
	BIG SUNFLOWER RIVER.....	3,324,000	3,324,000
	GREENWOOD.....	290,000	290,000
	MAIN STEM.....	613,000	613,000
	TRIBUTARIES:		
	EXCEPT ASCALMORE-TIPPO AND OPOSSUM BAYOUS.....	3,032,000	5,792,000
	ASCALMORE-TIPPO AND OPOSSUM BAYOUS.....	1,698,000	4,898,000
	UPPER YAZOO PROJECTS (FORMERLY AUXILIARY CHANNEL).....	4,236,000	5,836,000
	YAZOO BACKWATER.....	1,147,000	4,047,000
	YAZOO BACKWATER PUMPING PLANT.....	---	2,000,000
(FC)	WEST TENNESSEE TRIBUTARIES, TN.....	2,700,000	2,700,000
	SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION.....	205,950,000	233,410,000
MAINTENANCE			
(FC)	BOEUF-TENSAS BASIN, AR AND LA.....	273,000	273,000
(FC)	WHITE RIVER BACKWATER, AR.....	640,000	640,000
(FC)	MAPPING, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN.....	90,000	90,000
(FC)	MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN.....	16,886,000	16,886,000
(FC)	NORTH BANK, ARKANSAS RIVER, AR.....	177,000	177,000
(FC)	SOUTH BANK, ARKANSAS RIVER, AR.....	290,000	290,000
(FC)	ST. FRANCIS RIVER BASIN, AR & MO.....	3,920,000	3,920,000
(FC)	ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, LA.....	4,795,000	4,795,000
(FC)	BAYOU COCODRIE & TRIBUTARIES, LA.....	53,000	53,000
(FC)	BONNET CARRE SPILLWAY, LA.....	242,000	242,000
(FC)	LOWER RED RIVER, SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA.....	264,000	264,000
(FC)	OLD RIVER LA.....	4,772,000	4,772,000
(FC)	RED RIVER BACKWATER AREA, LA.....	195,000	195,000
(FC)	ARKABUTLA LAKE, MS.....	1,663,000	1,663,000
(FC)	BIG SUNFLOWER RIVER, MS.....	147,000	147,000
(FC)	ENID LAKE, MS.....	1,996,000	1,996,000
(FC)	GREENWOOD, MS.....	403,000	403,000
(FC)	GRENADA LAKE, MS.....	2,399,000	2,399,000
(FC)	YAZOO BASIN, MAIN STEM, MS.....	1,083,000	1,083,000
(FC)	SARDIS LAKE, MS.....	2,618,000	2,618,000
(FC)	YAZOO BASIN, TRIBUTARIES, MS.....	894,000	894,000
(FC)	WHITTINGTON AUXILIARY CHANNEL, MS.....	236,000	236,000
(FC)	YAZOO BACKWATER, MS.....	202,000	202,000
(FC)	YAZOO CITY, MS.....	247,000	247,000
(FC)	WAPPAPELLO LAKE, MO.....	1,460,000	1,460,000
(FC)	REVETMENT AND DIKES.....	29,000,000	29,000,000
(FC)	DREDGING.....	20,000,000	20,000,000
(FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS.....	400,000	400,000
	SUBTOTAL, MAINTENANCE.....	95,345,000	95,345,000
	REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS, SLIPPAGE, AND UNOBLIGATED BALANCES.....	-14,205,000	-34,205,000
	TOTAL, FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER & TRIBUTARIES.....	290,000,000	300,480,000
TYPE OF STUDY OR PROJECT:			
(FC)	FLOOD CONTROL		
(FDP)	FLOOD DAMAGE PREVENTION		

## Operation and Maintenance

Amendment No. 7: Appropriates \$1,184,492,000 instead of \$1,215,032,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,170,620,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 8: Restores language proposed by the House and stricken by the Senate earmarking \$319,000 for the Dismal Swamp Canal, Virginia and North Carolina.

The funds are to be allocated as shown in the following table:

Type of Project	Operation and Maintenance - State and project	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
ALABAMA			
(N)	ALABAMA-COOSA RIVERS.....	4,443,000	5,500,000
(N)	BAYOU CODEM.....	225,000	225,000
(N)	BAYOU LA BATRE CHANNEL.....	---	500,000
(N)	BLACK WARRIOR & TOMBIGBEE RIVERS.....	9,814,000	13,000,000
(N)	BON SECOUR.....	---	300,000
(N)	FLY CREEK.....	---	189,000
(N)	GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY (MOBILE DISTRICT).....	2,695,000	5,000,000
(N)	HILLERS FERRY LOCK & DAM-WILLIAM "BILL" DANNELLY LAKE.....	2,963,000	3,500,000
(N)	MOBILE HARBOR.....	3,893,000	5,000,000
(N)	PERDIDO PASS.....	---	1,000,000
(N)	REMOVAL OF AQUATIC GROWTH.....	60,000	60,000
(MP)	ROBERT F. HENRY LOCK & DAM.....	2,423,000	3,000,000
(N)	TENNESSEE-TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY, AL & MS.....	7,201,000	7,201,000
ALASKA			
(N)	ANCHORAGE HARBOR.....	1,790,000	1,790,000
(FC)	CHENA RIVER LAKES.....	708,000	708,000
(N)	DILLINGHAM SMALL BOAT HARBOR.....	495,000	495,000
(N)	HOMER HARBOR.....	370,000	370,000
(N)	KING COVE HARBOR.....	920,000	920,000
(N)	MINILCHIK SMALL BOAT HARBOR.....	345,000	345,000
(N)	NOME HARBOR.....	883,000	883,000
(N)	STIKINE RIVER SNAGGING.....	18,000	18,000
ARIZONA			
(FC)	ALAMO LAKE.....	632,000	632,000
(FC)	PAINTED ROCK DAM.....	622,000	622,000
(FC)	WHITLOW RANCH DAM.....	645,000	645,000
ARKANSAS			
(MP)	BEAVER LAKE.....	1,908,000	1,908,000
(MP)	BLAKELY MOUNTAIN DAM, LAKE OUACHITA.....	2,769,000	2,769,000
(FC)	BLUE MOUNTAIN LAKE.....	533,000	533,000
(MP)	BULL SHOALS LAKE.....	2,975,000	2,975,000
(MP)	BARDANELLE LOCK & DAM.....	2,927,000	3,927,000
(MP)	DEGRAY LAKE.....	2,648,000	2,648,000
(FC)	DEQUEEN LAKE.....	515,000	515,000
(FC)	BIERKS LAKE.....	527,000	527,000
(FC)	GILLHAM LAKE.....	414,000	414,000
(MP)	GREERS FERRY LAKE.....	2,238,000	2,368,000
(N)	HELENA HARBOR.....	330,000	330,000
(N)	MCCLELLAN-KERR ARK RIVER NAVIGATION SYSTEM LOCKS & DAMS, AR & OK.....	14,866,000	14,866,000
(FC)	MILLWOOD LAKE.....	1,253,000	1,253,000
(MP)	NARROWS DAM - LAKE GREESON.....	2,315,000	2,315,000
(FC)	NIMROD LAKE.....	589,000	589,000
(MP)	NORFORK LAKE.....	1,874,000	1,874,000
(N)	OSCEOLA HARBOR.....	650,000	650,000
(N)	OUACHITA & BLACK RIVERS, AR & LA.....	3,675,000	3,675,000
(MP)	OZARK-JETA TAYLOR LOCK & DAM.....	4,026,000	4,026,000
(N)	WHITE RIVER.....	2,090,000	2,090,000

Type of Project	Operation and Maintenance - State and project	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
CALIFORNIA			
(FC)	BLACK BUTTE LAKE.....	1,530,000	1,530,000
(FC)	BUCHANAN DAM - H. V. EASTMAN LAKE.....	1,073,000	1,073,000
(FC)	COYOTE VALLEY DAM (LAKE MENDOCINO).....	1,497,000	1,497,000
(N)	SAN FRANCISCO HARBOR & BAY (DRIFT REMOVAL).....	1,543,000	1,543,000
(FC)	DRY CREEK (WARM SPRINGS) LAKE & CHANNEL.....	1,553,000	1,553,000
(FC)	FARMINGTON DAM.....	133,000	133,000
(FC)	HIDDEN DAM - HENSLEY LAKE.....	1,037,000	1,037,000
(N)	HUMBOLDT HARBOR & BAY.....	3,503,000	3,503,000
(FC)	ISABELLA LAKE.....	2,704,000	2,704,000
(FC)	LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAINAGE AREA.....	2,708,000	2,708,000
(N)	LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH HARBORS MODEL.....	125,000	704,000
(N)	LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH HARBORS.....	70,000	720,000
(FC)	MERCED COUNTY STREAM GROUP.....	135,000	135,000
(FC)	MOJAVE RIVER DAM.....	542,000	542,000
(N)	MORRO BAY HARBOR.....	---	750,000
(N)	MOSS LANDING HARBOR.....	676,000	676,000
(FC)	NEW HOGAN LAKE.....	998,000	998,000
(MP)	NEW MELONES LAKE (DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL).....	531,000	531,000
(N)	NOYO RIVER & HARBOR.....	413,000	413,000
(N)	OAKLAND HARBOR.....	1,929,000	1,929,000
(N)	OCEANSIDE HARBOR.....	800,000	800,000
(N)	PETALUMA RIVER.....	1,000,000	1,000,000
(FC)	PINE FLAT LAKE.....	1,527,000	1,527,000
(N)	RICHMOND HARBOR.....	1,912,000	1,912,000
(N)	SACRAMENTO RIVER (30 FT PROJECT).....	2,543,000	2,543,000
(N)	SACRAMENTO RIVER SHALLOW DRAFT CHANNEL.....	93,000	93,000
(N)	SACRAMENTO RIVER & TRIBS (DEBRIS CONTROL).....	721,000	721,000
(N)	SAN DIEGO HARBOR (ZUNIGA JETTY).....	500,000	500,000
(N)	SAN FRANCISCO BAY -- DELTA MODEL STRUCTURE.....	1,141,000	1,141,000
(N)	SAN DIEGO RIVER AND MISSION BAY.....	545,000	545,000
(N)	SAN FRANCISCO HARBOR.....	2,332,000	2,332,000
(N)	SAN LEANDRO BAY.....	---	400,000
(N)	SAN PABLO BAY & MARE ISLAND STRAIT.....	6,633,000	6,633,000
(N)	SAN JOAQUIN RIVER.....	200,000	200,000
(FC)	SANTA ANA RIVER BASIN.....	2,197,000	2,197,000
(N)	SANTA CRUZ HARBOR.....	519,000	519,000
(N)	SANTA BARBARA HARBOR.....	1,110,000	1,110,000
(FC)	SUCCESS LAKE.....	986,000	986,000
(N)	SUISUN BAY CHANNEL.....	732,000	732,000
(FC)	TERMINUS DAM (LAKE KAWEAH).....	1,158,000	1,158,000
(N)	YUBA RIVER.....	25,000	25,000
COLORADO			
(FC)	BEAR CREEK LAKE.....	351,000	351,000
(FC)	CHATFIELD LAKE.....	456,000	456,000
(FC)	CHERRY CREEK LAKE.....	955,000	955,000
(FC)	JOHN MARTIN RESERVOIR.....	761,000	761,000
(FC)	TRINIDAD LAKE.....	493,000	493,000
CONNECTICUT			
(FC)	BLACK ROCK LAKE.....	216,000	216,000
(FC)	COLEBROOK RIVER LAKE.....	177,000	177,000
(N)	CONNECTICUT RIVER BELOW HARTFORD.....	1,452,000	1,452,000
(FC)	HANCOCK BROOK LAKE.....	106,000	106,000
(FC)	HOP BROOK LAKE.....	347,000	347,000
(FC)	MANSFIELD HOLLOW LAKE.....	206,000	206,000
(N)	NEW HAVEN HARBOR.....	2,629,000	2,629,000
(FC)	NORTHFIELD BROOK LAKE.....	159,000	159,000
(N)	STAMFORD HURRICANE BARRIER.....	101,000	101,000
(FC)	THOMASTON DAM.....	257,000	257,000
(FC)	WEST THOMPSON LAKE.....	309,000	309,000
DELAWARE			
(N)	INDIAN RIVER INLET & BAY.....	35,000	35,000
(N)	INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, DELAWARE RIVER TO CHESAPEAKE BAY, DE & MD.....	8,126,000	8,126,000
(N)	IWM CHINCOTEAGUE BAY TO DELAWARE BAY.....	12,000	12,000
(N)	WILMINGTON HARBOR.....	1,627,000	1,627,000
DIST OF COLUMBIA			
(N)	POTOMAC & ANACOSTIA RIVERS (DRIFT REMOVAL).....	572,000	572,000

Type of Project	Operation and Maintenance - State and Project	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
FLORIDA			
(N)	APALACHICOLA BAY.....	103,000	103,000
(N)	CANAVERAL HARBOR.....	2,607,000	2,607,000
(N)	CENTRAL & SOUTHERN FLORIDA.....	4,145,000	4,145,000
(N)	CHARLOTTE HARBOR.....	1,245,000	745,000
(N)	CROSS-FLORIDA BARGE CANAL.....	239,000	1,200,000
(N)	EAST PASS CHANNEL.....	626,000	626,000
(N)	FERNANDINA HARBOR.....	1,810,000	1,810,000
(N)	INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, JACKSONVILLE TO MIAMI.....	1,619,000	1,619,000
(N)	JACKSONVILLE HARBOR.....	2,711,000	2,711,000
(N)	OKEECHOBEE WATERWAY.....	1,732,000	1,732,000
(N)	PANAMA CITY HARBOR.....	---	1,000,000
(N)	PENSACOLA HARBOR.....	880,000	880,000
(N)	PORT ST. JOE HARBOR.....	1,731,000	1,731,000
(N)	REMOVAL OF AQUATIC GROWTH.....	2,102,000	2,102,000
(N)	TAMPA HARBOR.....	8,225,000	8,225,000
GEORGIA			
(MP)	ALLATOONA LAKE.....	4,001,000	4,001,000
(N)	APALACHICOLA, CHATTAHOOCHEE & FLINT RIVERS, GA, AL & FL..	4,050,000	4,050,000
(N)	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY (SAVANNAH DISTRICT)....	712,000	712,000
(N)	BRUNSWICK HARBOR.....	3,462,000	4,131,000
(MP)	BUFORD DAM & LAKE SIDNEY LANIER.....	4,408,000	4,408,000
(MP)	CARTERS LAKE.....	2,012,000	2,012,000
(MP)	CLARKS HILL LAKE, GA & SC.....	3,831,000	3,831,000
(MP)	HARTWELL LAKE.....	3,759,000	3,759,000
(MP)	JIM WOODRUFF LOCK & DAM, GA & FL.....	3,031,000	3,031,000
(MP)	RICHARD B. RUSSELL DAM & LAKE, GA & SC.....	944,000	944,000
(N)	SAVANNAH HARBOR.....	8,211,000	8,911,000
(N)	SAVANNAH RIVER BELOW AUGUSTA.....	166,000	166,000
(MP)	WALTER F. GEORGE LOCK & DAM, GA & AL.....	3,340,000	3,340,000
(MP)	WEST POINT LAKE, GA & AL.....	3,452,000	3,452,000
IDAHO			
(MP)	ALBENI FALLS DAM.....	2,013,000	2,013,000
(MP)	DWORSHAK DAM & RESERVOIR.....	5,282,000	5,282,000
(FC)	LUCKY PEAK LAKE.....	939,000	939,000
ILLINOIS			
(N)	CALUMET HARBOR & RIVER (DIKED DISPOSAL), IL & IN.....	4,000,000	4,000,000
(FC)	CARLYLE LAKE.....	2,180,000	2,180,000
(N)	CHICAGO HARBOR (DIKED DISPOSAL).....	700,000	700,000
(N)	CHICAGO RIVER (DIKED DISPOSAL).....	770,000	770,000
(N)	CHICAGO RIVER.....	380,000	380,000
(FC)	FARM CREEK RESERVOIRS.....	102,000	102,000
(N)	ILLINOIS WATERWAY, IL & IN (NCD).....	10,329,000	10,329,000
(N)	ILLINOIS WATERWAY (LMVD PORTION).....	1,135,000	1,135,000
(N)	KASKASKIA RIVER NAVIGATION.....	910,000	910,000
(FC)	LAKE SHELBYVILLE.....	2,685,000	2,685,000
(N)	MILL CREEK & SOUTH SLOUGH.....	35,000	35,000
(N)	MISS RIV BTWN MISSOURI RIV & MINNEAPOLIS, IL, MN, WI, & IA (NCD).....	44,620,000	44,620,000
(N)	MISSISSIPPI RIVER BTN OHIO & MISSOURI RIVERS.....	8,766,000	8,766,000
(N)	MISS RIV BTWN MO RIVER & MINNEAPOLIS (LMVD).....	5,545,000	5,545,000
(N)	MOUTH OF SANGAMON RIVER.....	23,000	23,000
(N)	NORTH BRANCH CHICAGO RIVER DEBRIS REMOVAL.....	---	150,000
(FC)	REND LAKE.....	1,740,000	1,740,000
(N)	WAUKEGAN HARBOR (DIKED DISPOSAL).....	374,000	374,000
(N)	WAUKEGAN HARBOR.....	659,000	659,000
INDIANA			
(FC)	BROOKVILLE LAKE.....	461,000	461,000
(FC)	CAGLES MILL LAKE.....	384,000	384,000
(FC)	CECIL M. HARDEN LAKE.....	591,000	591,000
(FC)	HUNTINGTON LAKE.....	545,000	545,000
(N)	INDIANA HARBOR (DIKED DISPOSAL).....	400,000	400,000
(N)	MICHIGAN CITY HARBOR.....	21,000	21,000
(FC)	MISSISSINAWA LAKE.....	461,000	461,000
(FC)	MONROE LAKE.....	424,000	424,000
(FC)	PATOKA LAKE.....	449,000	449,000
(FC)	SALAMONIE LAKE.....	387,000	387,000

Type of Project	Operation and Maintenance - State and project	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
IOWA			
(FC)	CORALVILLE LAKE.....	2,303,000	2,303,000
(N)	MISSOURI RIVER, KENSLERS BEND, NE TO SIOUX CITY, IOWA	57,000	57,000
(N)	MISSOURI RIVER - SIOUX CITY TO MOUTH, IA & NE.....	9,447,000	9,447,000
(FC)	RATHBUN LAKE.....	1,312,000	1,312,000
(FC)	RED ROCK DAM - LAKE RED ROCK.....	2,646,000	2,646,000
(FC)	SAYLORVILLE LAKE.....	2,315,000	2,417,000
KANSAS			
(FC)	CLINTON LAKE.....	1,092,000	1,092,000
(FC)	COUNCIL GROVE LAKE.....	604,000	604,000
(FC)	EL DORADO LAKE.....	311,000	311,000
(FC)	ELK CITY LAKE.....	530,000	530,000
(FC)	FALL RIVER LAKE.....	538,000	538,000
(FC)	HILLSDALE LAKE.....	421,000	421,000
(FC)	JOHN REDMOND DAM & RESERVOIR.....	608,000	608,000
(FC)	KANOPOLIS LAKE.....	1,072,000	1,072,000
(FC)	MARION LAKE.....	585,000	585,000
(FC)	MELVERN LAKE.....	1,094,000	1,094,000
(FC)	MILFORD LAKE.....	1,100,000	1,100,000
(FC)	PEARSON - SKURITZ BIG HILL LAKE.....	503,000	503,000
(FC)	PERRY LAKE.....	1,424,000	1,424,000
(FC)	POMONA LAKE.....	1,304,000	1,304,000
(FC)	TORONTO LAKE.....	252,000	252,000
(FC)	TUTTLE CREEK LAKE.....	1,155,000	1,155,000
(FC)	WILSON LAKE.....	1,025,000	1,025,000
KENTUCKY			
(MP)	BARKLEY DAM - LAKE BARKLEY.....	3,825,000	3,825,000
(FC)	BARREN RIVER LAKE.....	872,000	872,000
(FC)	BUCKHORN LAKE.....	761,000	761,000
(FC)	CARR FORK LAKE.....	781,000	781,000
(FC)	CAVE RUN LAKE.....	497,000	497,000
(FC)	DEWEY LAKE.....	965,000	965,000
(FC)	FISHTRAP LAKE.....	710,000	710,000
(FC)	GRAYSON LAKE.....	915,000	915,000
(N)	GREEN AND BARREN RIVERS, KY & TN.....	1,276,000	1,276,000
(FC)	GREEN RIVER LAKE.....	902,000	902,000
(N)	HICKMAN HARBOR.....	300,000	300,000
(N)	KENTUCKY RIVER.....	960,000	980,000
(MP)	LAUREL RIVER LAKE.....	743,000	743,000
(FC)	MARTINS FORK LAKE.....	463,000	463,000
(FC)	MIDDLESBORO.....	37,000	37,000
(FC)	NOLIN LAKE.....	979,000	979,000
(N)	OHIO RIVER OPEN CHANNEL WORK.....	8,210,000	8,210,000
(N)	OHIO RIVER LOCKS & DAMS.....	12,053,000	12,128,000
(FC)	PAINTSVILLE LAKE.....	530,000	530,000
(FC)	ROUGH RIVER LAKE.....	946,000	946,000
(FC)	TAYLORSVILLE LAKE.....	375,000	375,000
(MP)	WOLF CREEK DAM - LAKE CUMBERLAND.....	3,386,000	3,386,000
LOUISIANA			
(N)	ATCHAFALAYA RIVER & BAYOUS CHENE, BOEUF & BLACK.....	7,000,000	7,000,000
(N)	BARATARIA BAY WATERWAY.....	3,000,000	3,000,000
(FC)	BAYOU BOUCAU RESERVOIR.....	815,000	815,000
	BAYOU RIGOLETTE.....	---	500,000
(N)	BAYOU TECHE.....	210,000	210,000
(FC)	CADDO LAKE.....	245,000	245,000
(N)	CALCASIEU RIVER & PASS.....	13,762,000	13,762,000
(N)	FRESHWATER BAYOU.....	2,020,000	2,020,000
(N)	GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY.....	12,470,000	12,470,000
(N)	HOUMA NAVIGATION CANAL.....	3,000,000	3,000,000
(N)	LAKE PROVIDENCE HARBOR.....	343,000	343,000
(N)	MADISON PARISH PORT.....	398,000	398,000
(N)	MERMENTAU RIVER.....	700,000	700,000
(N)	MISSISSIPPI RIVER GULF OUTLET.....	8,480,000	8,480,000
(N)	MISSISSIPPI RIVER OUTLETS AT VENICE.....	1,000,000	1,000,000
(N)	MISSISSIPPI RIVER, BATON ROUGE TO THE GULF.....	26,428,000	28,000,000
(N)	PEARL RIVER.....	170,000	170,000
(N)	RED RIVER WATERWAY MISSISSIPPI RIVER TO SHREVEPORT....	1,075,000	1,075,000
(N)	REMOVAL OF AQUATIC GROWTH.....	1,200,000	1,200,000
(FC)	WALLACE LAKE.....	185,000	185,000
(N)	WATERWAY FROM IWW TO BAYOU DULAC.....	640,000	640,000

Type of Project	Operation and Maintenance - State and project	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
MARYLAND			
(N)	BALTIMORE HARBOR (DRIFT REMOVAL).....	241,000	241,000
(N)	BALTIMORE HARBOR & CHANNELS.....	17,459,000	17,459,000
(N)	BALTIMORE HARBOR (PREVENTION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS).....	481,000	481,000
(FC)	BLOOMINGTON LAKE, MD & WV.....	770,000	770,000
(N)	CHESTER RIVER.....	391,000	391,000
(N)	CUMBERLAND, MD AND RIDGELEY, WV, MD & WV.....	52,000	52,000
(N)	OCEAN CITY HARBOR & INLET AND SINEPUXENT BAY.....	1,400,000	1,400,000
(N)	WICOMICO RIVER.....	880,000	880,000
MASSACHUSETTS			
(FC)	BARRE FALLS DAM.....	219,000	219,000
(FC)	BIRCH HILL DAM.....	275,000	275,000
(FC)	BUFFUMVILLE LAKE.....	267,000	267,000
(N)	CAPE COD CANAL.....	6,806,000	6,806,000
(N)	CHARLES RIVER NATURAL VALLEY STORAGE AREA.....	32,000	32,000
(FC)	CONANT BROOK DAM.....	62,000	62,000
(FC)	EAST BRIMFIELD LAKE.....	195,000	195,000
(N)	FALL RIVER HARBOR, MA & RI.....	1,722,000	1,722,000
(FC)	HODGES VILLAGE DAM.....	246,000	246,000
(FC)	KNIGHTVILLE DAM.....	774,000	774,000
(FC)	LITTLEVILLE LAKE.....	252,000	252,000
(FC)	NEW BEDFORD, FAIRHAVEN & ACUSHNET HURRICANE BARRIER... ..	1,339,000	1,339,000
(FC)	TULLY LAKE.....	232,000	232,000
(FC)	WESTVILLE LAKE.....	226,000	226,000
(FC)	WEST HILL DAM.....	200,000	200,000
MICHIGAN			
(N)	ARCADIA HARBOR.....	35,000	35,000
(N)	AU SABLE HARBOR.....	19,000	19,000
(N)	BIG BAY HARBOR.....	17,000	17,000
(N)	BLACK RIVER PORT HURON.....	31,000	31,000
(N)	BLACK RIVER HARBOR.....	17,000	17,000
(N)	CHANNELS IN LAKE ST. CLAIR.....	1,415,000	1,415,000
(N)	CHARLEVOIX HARBOR.....	24,000	24,000
(N)	CHEBOYGAN HARBOR.....	114,000	114,000
(N)	CLINTON HARBOR.....	26,000	26,000
(N)	DETROIT RIVER.....	5,286,000	5,286,000
(N)	DETROIT RIVER (DIKED DISPOSAL).....	829,000	829,000
(N)	EAGLE HARBOR.....	17,000	17,000
(N)	FRANKFORT HARBOR.....	222,000	222,000
(N)	GRAND HAVEN HARBOR & GRAND RIVER.....	272,000	272,000
(N)	GRAND TRAVERSE BAY HARBOR.....	276,000	276,000
(N)	HAMMOND BAY HARBOR.....	39,000	39,000
(N)	HARRISONVILLE HARBOR.....	34,000	34,000
(N)	HOLLAND HARBOR.....	2,371,000	2,371,000
(N)	INLAND ROUTE.....	84,000	84,000
(N)	KAWKAWLIN RIVER.....	---	250,000
(N)	KEWEENAW WATERWAY.....	52,000	52,000
(N)	LELAND HARBOR.....	20,000	20,000
(N)	LEXINGTON HARBOR.....	22,000	22,000
(N)	LITTLE LAKE HARBOR.....	46,000	46,000
(N)	LUDINGTON HARBOR.....	464,000	464,000
(N)	MANISTIQUE HARBOR.....	22,000	22,000
(N)	MANISTEE HARBOR.....	290,000	290,000
(N)	MENOMINEE HARBOR (DIKED DISPOSAL).....	84,000	84,000
(N)	MENOMINEE HARBOR.....	568,000	568,000
(N)	MONROE HARBOR.....	1,154,000	1,154,000
(N)	MONROE HARBOR (DIKED DISPOSAL).....	9,000,000	9,000,000
(N)	MUSKEGON HARBOR.....	556,000	556,000
(N)	NEW BUFFALO HARBOR.....	67,000	67,000
(N)	ONTONAGON HARBOR.....	42,000	42,000
(N)	PENTWATER HARBOR.....	38,000	38,000
(N)	POINT LOOKOUT HARBOR.....	32,000	32,000
(N)	PORT SANILAC HARBOR.....	233,000	233,000
(N)	PORT AUSTIN.....	21,000	21,000
(N)	PRESQUE ISLE HARBOR.....	237,000	237,000
(N)	ROUGE RIVER (DIKED DISPOSAL).....	334,000	334,000
(N)	ROUGE RIVER.....	868,000	868,000
(N)	SAGINAW RIVER.....	143,000	143,000
(N)	SAGINAW RIVER (DIKED DISPOSAL).....	238,000	238,000
(N)	SAUGATUCK HARBOR.....	38,000	38,000
(N)	SEBEWAING RIVER.....	20,000	20,000

Type of Project	Operation and Maintenance - State and Project	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
(N)	SOUTH HAVEN HARBOR.....	279,000	279,000
(N)	ST. JOSEPH HARBOR (DIKED DISPOSAL).....	82,000	82,000
(MP)	ST. MARYS RIVER.....	9,008,000	9,008,000
(N)	ST. JOSEPH HARBOR.....	846,000	846,000
(N)	ST. CLAIR RIVER.....	1,948,000	1,948,000
(N)	WHITE LAKE HARBOR.....	44,000	44,000
MINNESOTA			
(FC)	BIG STONE LAKE AND WHESTONE RIVER, MN & SD.....	113,000	113,000
(N)	DULUTH-SUPERIOR HARBOR, MN & WI.....	2,171,000	2,171,000
(N)	GRAND MARAIS HARBOR.....	21,000	21,000
(FC)	LAC QUI PARLE LAKE.....	271,000	271,000
(N)	MINNESOTA RIVER.....	200,000	200,000
(FC)	ORWELL LAKE.....	158,000	158,000
(FC)	RED LAKE RESERVOIR.....	51,000	51,000
(N)	RESERVOIRS AT HEADWATERS OF MISSISSIPPI RIVER.....	1,329,000	1,329,000
(N)	ST. CROIX RIVER, MN & WI.....	150,000	150,000
MISSISSIPPI			
(N)	BILOXI HARBOR.....	753,000	753,000
(N)	GULFPORT HARBOR.....	1,890,000	1,890,000
(FC)	OKATIBBEE LAKE.....	684,000	684,000
(N)	PASCAGOULA HARBOR.....	2,874,000	2,874,000
(N)	ROSEDALE HARBOR.....	303,000	303,000
(N)	WOLF AND JORDAN RIVERS.....	---	-350,000
MISSOURI			
(N)	CARUTHERSVILLE HARBOR.....	275,000	275,000
(MP)	CLARENCE CANNON DAM & RESERVOIR.....	1,925,000	1,925,000
(FC)	CLEARWATER LAKE.....	1,200,000	1,200,000
(MP)	HARRY S. TRUMAN DAM AND RESERVOIR.....	3,684,000	3,684,000
(FC)	LONG BRANCH LAKE.....	439,000	439,000
(FC)	MERAMEC PARK LAKE.....	465,000	465,000
(N)	NEW MADRID HARBOR.....	12,000	12,000
(FC)	POMME DE TERRE LAKE.....	1,314,000	1,314,000
(FC)	SMITHVILLE LAKE.....	553,000	553,000
(MP)	STOCKTON LAKE.....	1,929,000	1,929,000
(MP)	TABLE ROCK LAKE.....	2,467,000	2,467,000
MONTANA			
(MP)	FORT PECK LAKE.....	2,677,000	2,677,000
(MP)	LIBBY DAM (LAKE KOOCANUSA).....	2,926,000	2,926,000
NEBRASKA			
(MP)	GAVINS POINT DAM - LEWIS AND CLARK LAKE, NE & SD.....	3,350,000	3,350,000
(FC)	HARLAN COUNTY LAKE.....	1,158,000	1,158,000
(FC)	PAPILLION CREEK & TRIBUTARIES LAKES.....	208,000	208,000
(FC)	SALT CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES LAKES.....	431,000	431,000
NEVADA			
(FC)	MARTIS CREEK LAKE, NV & CA.....	821,000	821,000
(FC)	PINE & MATHEWS CANYONS LAKE.....	240,000	240,000
NEW HAMPSHIRE			
(FC)	BLACKWATER DAM.....	196,000	196,000
(FC)	EDWARD MACDOWELL LAKE.....	237,000	237,000
(FC)	FRANKLIN FALLS DAM.....	335,000	335,000
(FC)	HOPKINTON-EVERETT LAKES.....	584,000	584,000
(FC)	OTTER BROOK LAKE.....	351,000	351,000
(N)	PORTSMOUTH HARBOR & PISCATAQUA RIVER.....	497,000	497,000
(FC)	SURRY MOUNTAIN LAKE.....	589,000	589,000
NEW JERSEY			
(N)	ABSECON INLET.....	125,000	288,000
(N)	BARNEGAT INLET.....	485,000	485,000
(N)	COHANSEY RIVER.....	---	300,000
(N)	COLD SPRING INLET.....	350,000	350,000
(N)	DELAWARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA TO THE SEA, NJ, PA & DE..	22,246,000	22,246,000

Type of Project	Operation and Maintenance - State and Project	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
(N)	DELAWARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA TO TRENTON, NJ & PA.....	3,712,000	3,712,000
(N)	KEYPORT HARBOR.....	---	830,000
(N)	MATAWAN CREEK.....	---	210,000
(N)	NEW JERSEY IWW.....	240,000	740,000
(N)	NEWARK BAY, HACKENSACK & PASSAIC RIVERS.....	9,373,000	9,373,000
(N)	RARITAN RIVER.....	3,163,000	3,163,000
(N)	SALEM RIVER.....	---	500,000
NEW MEXICO			
(FC)	ABIQUIU DAM.....	837,000	837,000
(FC)	COCHITI LAKE.....	994,000	994,000
(FC)	CONCHAS LAKE.....	772,000	772,000
(FC)	GALISTEO DAM.....	105,000	105,000
(FC)	JEMEZ CANYON DAM.....	200,000	200,000
(FC)	SANTA ROSA DAM & LAKE.....	463,000	463,000
(FC)	TWO RIVERS DAM.....	146,000	146,000
NEW YORK			
(FC)	ALMOND LAKE.....	200,000	200,000
(FC)	ARKPORT DAM.....	133,000	133,000
(N)	BAY RIDGE & RED HOOK CHANNELS.....	736,000	736,000
(N)	BLACK ROCK CHANNEL & TONAWANDA HARBOR.....	945,000	945,000
(N)	BUFFALO HARBOR.....	2,220,000	2,220,000
(FC)	EAST SIDNEY LAKE.....	243,000	243,000
(N)	HUDSON RIVER.....	1,060,000	1,060,000
(N)	LAKE MONTAUK HARBOR.....	240,000	240,000
(FC)	MT. MORRIS LAKE.....	915,000	915,000
(N)	NARROWS OF LAKE CHAMPLAIN.....	28,000	28,000
(N)	NEW YORK HARBOR.....	8,392,000	8,392,000
(N)	NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY CHANNELS, NY & NJ.....	5,450,000	6,000,000
(N)	NEW YORK HARBOR DRIFT REMOVAL.....	1,890,000	1,890,000
(FC)	NEW YORK HARBOR (PREVENTION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS)..	462,000	462,000
(N)	OSWEGO HARBOR.....	390,000	390,000
(N)	ROCHESTER HARBOR.....	905,000	905,000
(FC)	SOUTHERN NEW YORK FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS.....	383,000	383,000
(FC)	WHITNEY POINT LAKE.....	287,000	287,000
NORTH CAROLINA			
(N)	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY (WILMINGTON DISTRICT)..	3,889,000	3,889,000
(FC)	B. EVERETT JORDAN DAM & LAKE.....	852,000	852,000
(N)	BEAUFORT HARBOR.....	293,000	293,000
(N)	CAPE FEAR RIVER ABOVE WILMINGTON.....	412,000	412,000
(N)	CHANNEL FROM BACK SOUND TO LOOKOUT BIGHT.....	359,000	359,000
(FC)	FALLS LAKE.....	823,000	823,000
(N)	LOCKWOODS FOLLY RIVER.....	20,000	20,000
(N)	MANTEO (SHALLOWBAG) BAY.....	2,116,000	2,116,000
(N)	MOREHEAD CITY HARBOR.....	3,731,000	3,731,000
(N)	SILVER LAKE HARBOR.....	480,000	480,000
(FC)	W. KERR SCOTT DAM & RESERVOIR.....	969,000	969,000
(N)	WILMINGTON HARBOR.....	3,614,000	3,614,000
NORTH DAKOTA			
(FC)	BOWMAN - HALEY LAKE.....	167,000	167,000
(NP)	GARRISON DAM, LAKE SAKAKAWEA.....	5,333,000	5,333,000
(FC)	HOMME LAKE AND DAM.....	69,000	69,000
(FC)	LAKE ASHTABULA & BALDHILL DAM.....	888,000	888,000
(FC)	PIPESTEM LAKE.....	307,000	307,000
OHIO			
(FC)	ALUM CREEK LAKE.....	430,000	430,000
(N)	ASHTABULA HARBOR.....	920,000	920,000
(N)	ASHTABULA HARBOR (DIKED DISPOSAL).....	1,400,000	1,400,000
(FC)	BERLIN LAKE.....	1,126,000	1,126,000
(FC)	CAESAR CREEK LAKE.....	516,000	516,000
(FC)	CLARENCE J. BROWN DAM.....	468,000	468,000
(N)	CLEVELAND HARBOR.....	4,740,000	4,740,000
(N)	CONNEAUT HARBOR.....	665,000	665,000
(FC)	DEER CREEK LAKE.....	740,000	740,000
(FC)	DELAWARE LAKE.....	510,000	510,000
(FC)	DILLON LAKE.....	485,000	485,000
(N)	FAIRPORT HARBOR.....	655,000	655,000
(N)	HURON HARBOR.....	915,000	915,000



Type of Project	Operation and Maintenance - State and Project	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
(N)	LORAIN HARBOR.....	1,240,000	1,240,000
(FC)	MASSILLON.....	15,000	15,000
(FC)	MICHAEL J. KIRWAN DAM & RESERVOIR.....	605,000	605,000
(FC)	MOSQUITO CREEK LAKE.....	643,000	643,000
(FC)	MUSKINGUM RIVER RESERVOIRS.....	3,635,000	3,635,000
(FC)	NEWARK.....	15,000	15,000
(FC)	NORTH BRANCH OF KOKOSING RIVER LAKE.....	135,000	135,000
(FC)	PAINT CREEK LAKE.....	665,000	665,000
(FC)	ROSEVILLE.....	15,000	15,000
(N)	SANDUSKY HARBOR.....	745,000	745,000
(N)	TOLEDO HARBOR.....	3,580,000	3,580,000
(FC)	TOM JENKINS DAM.....	265,000	265,000
(FC)	WEST FORK OF MILL CREEK LAKE.....	309,000	309,000
(FC)	WILLIAM H. HARSHA LAKE.....	481,000	481,000

OKLAHOMA

(FC)	BIRCH LAKE.....	559,000	559,000
(MP)	BROKEN BOW LAKE.....	1,043,000	1,043,000
(FC)	CANTON LAKE.....	879,000	879,000
(FC)	COPAN LAKE.....	407,000	407,000
(MP)	EUFULA LAKE.....	2,680,000	2,680,000
(FC)	FORT SUPPLY LAKE.....	561,000	561,000
(MP)	FORT GIBSON LAKE.....	2,703,000	2,703,000
(FC)	GREAT SALT PLAINS LAKE.....	309,000	309,000
(FC)	HEYBURN LAKE.....	425,000	425,000
(FC)	HUGO LAKE.....	842,000	842,000
(FC)	HULAH LAKE.....	737,000	737,000
(FC)	KAW LAKE.....	1,126,000	1,126,000
(MP)	KEYSTONE LAKE.....	2,414,000	2,414,000
(FC)	ODOGAH LAKE.....	1,107,000	1,107,000
(FC)	OPTIMA LAKE.....	537,000	537,000
(FC)	PENSACOLA RESERVOIR - LAKE OF THE CHEROKEES.....	36,000	36,000
(FC)	PINE CREEK LAKE.....	607,000	647,000
(MP)	ROBERT S. KERR LOCK, DAM & RESERVOIR.....	2,110,000	2,110,000
(FC)	SARDIS LAKE.....	440,000	440,000
(MP)	TENKILLER FERRY LAKE.....	2,490,000	2,490,000
(FC)	WAURIKA LAKE.....	711,000	711,000
(MP)	WEBBERS FALLS LOCK & DAM.....	2,061,000	2,061,000
(FC)	WISTER LAKE.....	537,000	537,000

OREGON

(FC)	APPLEGATE LAKE.....	506,000	506,000
(FC)	BLUE RIVER LAKE.....	157,000	157,000
(FC)	BONNEVILLE LOCK & DAM.....	10,365,000	10,365,000
(N)	CHETCO RIVER.....	383,000	383,000
(N)	COLUMBIA RIVER AT THE MOUTH, OR & WA.....	6,547,000	6,547,000
(N)	COOS BAY.....	2,283,000	2,283,000
(N)	COOS & MILLICOMA RIVERS.....	148,000	148,000
(N)	COQUILLE RIVER.....	431,000	431,000
(FC)	COTTAGE GROVE LAKE.....	655,000	655,000
(MP)	COUGAR LAKE.....	1,037,000	1,037,000
(N)	DEPOE BAY.....	128,000	128,000
(MP)	DETROIT LAKE.....	1,407,000	1,407,000
(FC)	DORENA LAKE.....	500,000	500,000
(FC)	FALL CREEK LAKE.....	295,000	295,000
(FC)	FERN RIDGE DAM & LAKE.....	678,000	678,000
(MP)	GREEN PETER-POSTER LAKES.....	1,685,000	1,685,000
(MP)	HILLS CREEK LAKE.....	630,000	630,000
(MP)	JOHN DAY LOCK AND DAM, LAKE UMATILLA, OR & WA.....	9,999,000	9,999,000
(MP)	LOOKOUT POINT LAKE.....	2,426,000	2,426,000
(MP)	LOST CREEK LAKE.....	2,700,000	2,700,000
(MP)	MCNARY LOCK & DAM, LAKE WALLULA, OR & WA.....	11,240,000	11,240,000
(N)	PORT ORFORD.....	163,000	213,000
(N)	ROGUE RIVER HARBOR AT GOLD BEACH.....	503,000	503,000
(N)	SIUSLAW RIVER.....	1,372,000	1,372,000
(N)	SKIPANON CHANNEL.....	430,000	430,000
(N)	TILLAMOOK BAY & BAR.....	218,000	218,000
(N)	UMPOUA RIVER.....	770,000	770,000
(N)	WILLAMETTE RIVER BASIN BANK PROTECTION.....	45,000	45,000
(FC)	WILLOW CREEK LAKE.....	95,000	95,000
(N)	WILLAMETTE RIVER AT WILLAMETTE FALLS.....	423,000	423,000
(N)	WILLAMETTE RIVER ABOVE PORTLAND & YAMHILL RIVER.....	6,000	6,000
(N)	YAQUINA BAY AND HARBOR.....	1,175,000	1,175,000
(N)	YAQUINA RIVER.....	755,000	755,000

Type of Project	Operation and Maintenance - State and Project	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
PENNSYLVANIA			
(N)	ALLEGHENY RIVER.....	3,274,000	3,274,000
(FC)	ALVIN R. BUSH DAM.....	296,000	296,000
(FC)	AYLESWORTH CREEK LAKE.....	112,000	112,000
(FC)	BELTZVILLE LAKE.....	368,000	368,000
(FC)	BLUE MARSH LAKE.....	989,000	989,000
(FC)	CONEMAUGH RIVER LAKE.....	716,000	716,000
(FC)	COWANESQUE LAKE.....	608,000	608,000
(FC)	CROOKED CREEK LAKE.....	1,290,000	1,290,000
(FC)	CURWENSVILLE LAKE.....	408,000	408,000
(FC)	EAST BRANCH CLARION-RIVER LAKE.....	666,000	666,000
(N)	ERIE HARBOR.....	635,000	635,000
(FC)	FOSTER JOSEPH SAYERS DAM & RESERVOIR.....	463,000	463,000
(FC)	FRANCIS E. WALTER DAM.....	346,000	346,000
(FC)	GENERAL EDGAR JADWIN DAM & RESERVOIR.....	102,000	102,000
(FC)	JOHNSTOWN.....	21,000	21,000
(FC)	KINZUA DAM & ALLEGHENY RESERVOIR, PA & NY.....	1,107,000	1,107,000
(FC)	LOYALHANNA LAKE.....	1,138,000	1,138,000
(FC)	MAHONING CREEK LAKE.....	534,000	534,000
(N)	MONONGAHELA-YOUGHIOGHENY RIVER BASIN, PA, WV & MD.....	10,574,000	10,574,000
(N)	OHIO RIVER LOCKS & DAMS.....	8,303,000	8,303,000
(FC)	PROMPTON LAKE.....	181,000	181,000
(FC)	PUNXSUTAWNEY.....	7,000	7,000
(FC)	RAYSTOWN LAKE.....	1,615,000	1,615,000
(N)	SCHUYLKILL RIVER.....	1,721,000	1,721,000
(FC)	SHENANGO RIVER LAKE.....	1,281,000	1,281,000
(FC)	STILLWATER LAKE.....	173,000	173,000
(FC)	TIOGA - HAMMOND LAKES.....	942,000	942,000
(FC)	TIONESTA LAKE.....	958,000	958,000
(FC)	UNION CITY LAKE.....	545,000	545,000
(FC)	WOODCOCK CREEK LAKE.....	836,000	836,000
(FC)	YORK, INDIAN ROCK DAM.....	335,000	335,000
(FC)	YOUGHIOGHENY RIVER LAKE.....	1,440,000	1,440,000
SOUTH CAROLINA			
(N)	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY (CHARLESTON DISTRICT)..	2,250,000	2,250,000
(N)	CHARLESTON HARBOR.....	5,950,000	5,950,000
(N)	COOPER RIVER, CHARLESTON HARBOR.....	250,000	250,000
(N)	FOLLY RIVER.....	130,000	130,000
(N)	GEORGETOWN HARBOR.....	2,600,000	2,600,000
(N)	LITTLE RIVER INLET, SC & NC.....	1,200,000	1,200,000
(N)	MURRELLS INLET.....	230,000	230,000
(N)	SHIPYARD RIVER.....	600,000	600,000
SOUTH DAKOTA			
(MP)	BIG BEND DAM - LAKE SHARPE.....	3,515,000	3,515,000
(FC)	COLD BROOK LAKE.....	142,000	142,000
(FC)	COTTONWOOD SPRINGS LAKE.....	141,000	141,000
(MP)	FORT RANDALL DAM, LAKE FRANCIS CASE.....	6,689,000	6,689,000
(FC)	LAKE TRAVERSE AND BOIS DE SIOUX, SD & MN.....	194,000	194,000
(MP)	GAHE DAM, LAKE GAHE, SD & ND.....	5,488,000	5,488,000
TENNESSEE			
(MP)	CENTER HILL LAKE.....	2,747,000	2,747,000
(MP)	CHEATHAM LOCK & DAM.....	2,299,000	2,299,000
(MP)	CORDELL HULL DAM & RESERVOIR.....	2,327,000	2,327,000
(MP)	DALE HOLLOW LAKE.....	2,084,000	2,084,000
(MP)	J. PERCY PRIEST DAM & RESERVOIR.....	1,726,000	1,726,000
(FC)	OLD HICKORY LOCK & DAM.....	4,453,000	4,453,000
(N)	TENNESSEE RIVER.....	5,013,000	5,013,000
(N)	WOLF RIVER HARBOR.....	530,000	530,000
TEXAS			
(FC)	AQUILLA LAKE.....	516,000	516,000
(FC)	ARKANSAS-RED RIVER BASINS CHLORIDE CONTROL (AREA B)...	263,000	263,000
(FC)	BARDWELL LAKE.....	498,000	498,000
(FC)	BELTON LAKE.....	1,123,000	1,123,000
(FC)	BENBROOK LAKE.....	704,000	704,000
(N)	BRAZOS ISLAND HARBOR.....	20,000	20,000
(FC)	BUFFALO BAYOU & TRIBUTARIES.....	807,000	807,000
(FC)	CANYON LAKE.....	638,000	638,000
(N)	CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL (1968 ACT).....	4,746,000	4,746,000
(MP)	DENISON DAM - LAKE TEXOMA, TX & OK.....	5,191,000	5,191,000
(FC)	FERRELLS BRIDGE DAM - LAKE O' THE PINES.....	1,259,000	1,259,000
(N)	FREEMPORT HARBOR.....	3,299,000	3,299,000

Type of Project	Operation and Maintenance - State and project	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
(N)	GALVESTON HARBOR & CHANNEL.....	3,978,000	3,978,000
(FC)	GRANGER LAKE.....	495,000	495,000
(FC)	GRAPEVINE LAKE.....	1,550,000	1,550,000
(N)	GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY.....	13,993,000	13,993,000
(FC)	HORDS CREEK LAKE.....	687,000	687,000
(N)	HOUSTON SHIP CHANNEL.....	12,962,000	12,962,000
(FC)	LAKE KEMP.....	336,000	336,000
(FC)	LAVON LAKE.....	1,598,000	1,598,000
(FC)	LEWISVILLE DAM.....	2,915,000	2,915,000
(N)	MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL.....	1,007,000	1,007,000
(FC)	NAVARRO MILLS LAKE.....	807,000	807,000
(FC)	NORTH SAN GABRIEL LAKE.....	523,000	523,000
(FC)	O. C. FISHER DAM & LAKE.....	500,000	500,000
(FC)	PAT HAYSE LAKE.....	507,000	507,000
(FC)	PROCTOR LAKE.....	763,000	763,000
(N)	SABINE-NECHES WATERWAY.....	9,922,000	9,922,000
(MP)	SAM RAYBURN DAM & RESERVOIR.....	2,257,000	2,257,000
(FC)	SOMERVILLE LAKE.....	866,000	866,000
(FC)	STILLHOUSE HOLLOW DAM.....	672,000	672,000
(N)	TEXAS CITY CHANNEL.....	2,350,000	2,350,000
(MP)	TOWN BLUFF DAM & B.A. STEINHAGEN LAKE.....	688,000	688,000
(N)	TRINITY RIVER & TRIBS-CHANNEL TO LIBERTY.....	7,000	620,000
(FC)	WACO LAKE.....	1,297,000	1,297,000
(FC)	WALLISVILLE PROJECT - TRINITY RIVER.....	65,000	65,000
(MP)	WHITNEY LAKE.....	1,136,000	1,136,000
(FC)	WRIGHT PATHAN DAM & LAKE.....	893,000	893,000
VERMONT			
(FC)	BALL MOUNTAIN LAKE.....	369,000	369,000
(FC)	NORTH SPRINGFIELD LAKE.....	300,000	300,000
(FC)	NORTH HARTLAND LAKE.....	366,000	366,000
(FC)	TOWNSHEND LAKE.....	396,000	396,000
(FC)	UNION VILLAGE DAM.....	275,000	275,000
VIRGINIA			
(N)	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY.....	1,399,000	1,399,000
(N)	CHINCOTEAGUE INLET.....	907,000	907,000
(FC)	GATHRIGHT DAM AND LAKE MOOHAW.....	877,000	877,000
(N)	HAMPTON ROADS, NORFOLK & NEWPORT NEW HARBOR (DRIFT REMOVAL).....	180,000	180,000
(N)	JAMES RIVER.....	3,049,000	3,049,000
(FC)	JOHN W. FLANNAGAN DAM & RESERVOIR.....	630,000	630,000
(MP)	JOHN H. KERR DAM & RESERVOIR, VA & NC.....	4,003,000	4,003,000
(N)	NORFOLK HARBOR.....	7,278,000	7,278,000
(N)	NORFOLK HARBOR (PREVENTION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS)...	256,000	256,000
(FC)	NORTH FORK OF POUND LAKE.....	445,000	445,000
(MP)	PHILPOTT LAKE.....	1,354,000	1,354,000
(N)	WATERWAY ON THE COAST OF VIRGINIA.....	147,000	147,000
WASHINGTON			
(MP)	CHIEF JOSEPH DAM.....	4,975,000	4,975,000
(N)	COLUMBIA RIVER AT BAKER BAY.....	127,000	127,000
(N)	COLUMBIA AND LWR WILLAMETTE RIVS BELOW VANCOUVER & FORT, OR & WA.....	11,858,000	21,178,000
(N)	COLUMBIA RIVER BETWEEN VANCOUVER, WA & THE DALLES.....	701,000	701,000
(N)	COLUMBIA RIVER BETWEEN CHINOOK & SAND IS, WA & OR.....	249,000	249,000
(N)	EVERETT HARBOR & SNOHOMISH RIVER.....	850,000	850,000
(N)	GRAYS HARBOR & CHEHALIS RIVER.....	3,755,000	3,755,000
(FC)	HOWARD A. HANSON RESERVOIR.....	407,000	407,000
(MP)	ICE HARBOR LOCK & DAM.....	4,021,000	4,021,000
(N)	LAKE WASHINGTON SHIP CANAL.....	2,478,000	2,478,000
(MP)	LITTLE GOOSE LOCK & DAM, LAKE BRYAN.....	4,027,000	4,027,000
(MP)	LOWER GRANITE LOCK AND DAM, WA & ID.....	5,078,000	5,078,000
(MP)	LOWER MONUMENTAL LOCK & DAM.....	3,233,000	3,233,000
(FC)	MILL CREEK LAKE.....	365,000	365,000
(FC)	MUD MOUNTAIN DAM.....	800,000	800,000
(N)	PT TOWNSEND BOAT BASIN & WW CONNECT PT TOWNSEND & OAK BAY.....	240,000	240,000
(N)	PUGET SOUND & ITS TRIBUTARY WATERS.....	658,000	658,000
(N)	QUILLAYUTE RIVER.....	380,000	380,000
(N)	SEATTLE HARBOR.....	715,000	715,000

Type of Project	Operation and Maintenance - State and Project	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
(N)	STILLAGUMISH RIVER.....	100,000	100,000
(N)	SWINONISH CHANNEL.....	330,000	330,000
(N)	TACOMA - PUYALLUP RIVER.....	60,000	60,000
(FC)	THE DALLES LOCK & DAM, LAKE CELILO, WA & OR.....	8,110,000	8,110,000
(N)	WILLAPA RIVER & HARBOR & NASELLE RIVER.....	186,000	186,000
(FC)	WYNOOCHEE LAKE.....	732,000	732,000
WEST VIRGINIA			
(FC)	BEECH FORK LAKE.....	595,000	595,000
(FC)	BLUESTONE LAKE.....	898,000	898,000
(FC)	BURNSVILLE LAKE.....	760,000	760,000
(FC)	EAST LYNN LAKE.....	810,000	810,000
(FC)	ELKINS.....	6,000	6,000
(N)	KANAWHA RIVER.....	5,631,000	5,631,000
(N)	OHIO RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS.....	9,821,000	9,821,000
(FC)	R. D. BAILEY LAKE.....	885,000	885,000
(FC)	SUMMERSVILLE LAKE.....	1,043,000	1,043,000
(FC)	SUTTON LAKE.....	1,118,000	1,118,000
(N)	TYGART LAKE.....	754,000	754,000
WISCONSIN			
(N)	ASHLAND HARBOR.....	423,000	423,000
(FC)	EAU GALLE RIVER LAKE.....	311,000	311,000
(N)	FOX RIVER.....	826,000	826,000
(N)	GREEN BAY HARBOR.....	1,160,000	1,160,000
(N)	GREEN BAY HARBOR (DIKED DISPOSAL).....	81,000	81,000
(N)	KENOSHA HARBOR.....	173,000	173,000
(N)	KEWAUNEE HARBOR.....	519,000	519,000
(N)	LAFARGE LAKE.....	21,000	21,000
(N)	MANITOWAC HARBOR.....	419,000	419,000
(N)	MILWAUKEE HARBOR.....	553,000	553,000
(N)	OCONTO HARBOR.....	21,000	21,000
(N)	PORT WING HARBOR.....	19,000	19,000
(N)	PORT WASHINGTON.....	378,000	378,000
(N)	RACINE HARBOR.....	71,000	71,000
(N)	SHEBOYGAN RIVER.....	181,000	181,000
(N)	STURGEON BAY (DIKED DISPOSAL).....	7,000	7,000
(N)	STURGEON BAY.....	57,000	57,000
(N)	TWO RIVERS HARBOR.....	27,000	27,000
MISCELLANEOUS			
	ENVIRONMENTAL AND WATER QUALITY OPERATIONAL STUDIES...	3,385,000	3,385,000
	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS.....	6,296,000	6,296,000
	SCHEDULING FLOOD CONTROL RESERVOIR OPERATIONS.....	2,042,000	2,042,000
	MONITOR COMPLETED COASTAL PROJECTS.....	900,000	900,000
	RIVER ICE MANAGEMENT.....	1,500,000	1,500,000
	REPAIR, EVALUATION, MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION RESEARCH (REMR).....	910,000	910,000
PROTECTION OF NAVIGATION			
	REMOVAL OF SUNKEN VESSELS AND OBSTRUCTIONS.....	2,130,000	2,130,000
	PROTECTION, CLEARING AND STRAIGHTENING CHANNELS (SECTION 3).....	100,000	100,000
	GENERAL REGULATORY FUNCTIONS.....	49,500,000	49,500,000
	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS.....	6,214,000	6,214,000
	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS.....	1,850,000	1,850,000
	MOBILIZATION PLANNING.....	5,000,000	5,000,000
	REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE.....	---	-10,000,000
TOTAL.....		1,161,300,000	1,184,492,000
TYPE OF PROJECT:			
(N)	NAVIGATION		
(FC)	FLOOD CONTROL		
(MP)	MULTIPLE-PURPOSE, INCLUDING POWER		

TITLE II--DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

General Investigations

Amendment No. 9: Appropriates \$33,831,000 instead of \$34,431,000 as proposed by the House and \$31,981,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 10: Provides that \$33,161,000 is to be derived from the reclamation fund instead of \$33,761,000 as proposed by the House and \$31,361,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 11: Deletes language proposed by the Senate relating to the reimbursement of costs of advanced planning studies.

The funds are to be allocated as shown in the following table:

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE
-----		
GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS		
ARIZONA		
LOWER COLORADO RIVER WATER CONSV. EFFICIENT USE PROG..	400,000	400,000
CALIFORNIA		
ALL AMERICAN CANAL RELOCATION.....	135,000	135,000
CENTRAL VALLEY:		
DELTA DIVISION, KELLOGG UNIT REFORMULATION.....	353,000	353,000
FISH AND WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT STUDY.....	350,000	350,000
NEW MELONES CONVEYANCE SYSTEM.....	150,000	150,000
SHASTA DAM ENLARGEMENT.....	---	1,850,000
SANTA MARGARITA.....	180,000	180,000
LOWER COLORADO WATER SUPPLY STUDY.....	150,000	150,000
COLORADO		
PROGRAM RELATED ENGINEERING AND SCIENTIFIC STUDIES....	4,765,000	4,765,000
IDAHO		
BOISE PROJECT POWER AND MODIFICATION STUDY.....	300,000	300,000
MINIDOKA PROJECT, NORTH SIDE PUMPING DIVISION EXTEN....	225,000	225,000
PALISADES PROJECT, PALISADES POWERPLANT ENLARGEMENT...	50,000	50,000
MONTANA		
CROW CREEK INDIAN RESERVATION AREA.....	150,000	150,000
HUNGRY HORSE POWERPLANT ENLARGE. & REREGULATING RESV...	145,000	145,000
MARIAS-MILK PROJECT.....	150,000	150,000
NEBRASKA		
PLATTE RIVER WATER USE OPTIONS.....	150,000	150,000
PRAIRIE BEND UNIT (P-SMBF).....	250,000	250,000
REPUBLICAN RIVER BASIN WATER MANAGEMENT STUDY(P-SMBF).	130,000	130,000
NEVADA		
DEEP-CARBONATE AQUIFER INVESTIGATION.....	30,000	30,000
NEW MEXICO		
LAKE HEREDITH SALINITY CONTROL.....	300,000	300,000
OREGON		
FARMERS IRRIGATION DISTRICT.....	215,000	215,000
SILETZ BASIN.....	---	200,000
ROGUE RIVER BASIN, GRANTS PASS DIVISION.....	5,000	5,000
UMATILLA BASIN.....	260,000	260,000
UPPER JOHN DAY.....	150,000	---

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE
SOUTH DAKOTA		
LAKE ANDES-WAGNER UNIT.....	150,000	300,000
CENTRAL SOUTH DAKOTA WATER STUDIES (P-SHBP).....	450,000	450,000
RAPID CITY WATER SUPPLY.....	75,000	75,000
SOUTH DAKOTA WATER DELIVERIES STUDY (P-SHBP).....	400,000	400,000
TEXAS		
TEXAS BASIN, BEDIAS RESERVOIR.....	150,000	150,000
TEXAS BASIN, BIG SANDY.....	150,000	150,000
TEXAS BASIN, BON WEIR.....	70,000	70,000
TEXAS BASIN, COLUMBUS BEND M&I WATER SUPPLY STUDY.....	350,000	350,000
TEXAS BASIN, SAN JACINTO RIVER BASIN.....	150,000	150,000
UTAH		
CRSP POWER PEAKING CAPACITY.....	660,000	660,000
WASATCH FRONT TOTAL WATER MANAGEMENT.....	450,000	450,000
WASHINGTON		
YAKIMA, CLE ELUM AND TIETON POWERPLANTS.....	80,000	80,000
YAKIMA RIVER BASIN WATER ENHANCEMENT.....	1,025,000	1,025,000
WYOMING		
BLUE HOLES RESERVOIR.....	100,000	100,000
GUERNSEY DAM POWERPLANT ENLARGEMENT.....	60,000	60,000
NORTH PLATTE RIVER HYDROELECTRIC STUDY (P-SHBP).....	195,000	195,000
WIND HYDROELECTRIC ENERGY PROJECT.....	1,200,000	1,200,000
VARIOUS		
COLORADO RIVER WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.....	4,410,000	4,410,000
CRWQIP, LOWER GUNNISON WATER SYSTEMS.....	530,000	530,000
GENERAL ENGINEERING AND RESEARCH:		
ATMOSPHERIC WATER RESOURCES MANAGEMENT PROGRAM.....	4,715,000	5,365,000
GENERAL PLANNING STUDIES.....	420,000	420,000
NATIONAL WATER RESEARCH.....	470,000	470,000
SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS:		
ENVIRONMENTAL AND INTERAGENCY COORD. ACTIVITIES.....	3,165,000	3,165,000
INVESTIGATIONS OF EXISTING PROJECTS.....	672,000	672,000
MINOR WORK IN CONNECTION WITH COMPLETED PROJ. INVEST.	460,000	460,000
PRINT REPORTS.....	35,000	35,000
TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO STATES.....	1,550,000	1,550,000
PROJECTS NOT YET IDENTIFIED.....	35,000	35,000
PAY RAISE ALLOWANCE.....	661,000	661,000
GENERAL REDUCTION DUE TO SLIPPAGE, SAVINGS AND CARRYOVER BALANCES.....	---	-700,000
TOTAL, GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS.....	31,831,000	33,831,000

*Construction program*

Amendment No. 12: Appropriates \$683,818,000 for the construction program as proposed by the Senate instead of \$696,538,000 as proposed by the House.

The conference report includes a total of \$45,360,000 for the McGee Creek, Okla., project, including \$5,000,000 for roads associated with the project.

Amendment No. 13: Appropriates \$10,000,000 for the Tucson Division instead of \$15,000,000 as proposed by the House and restores House language stricken by the State.

Amendment No. 14: Provides that \$165,600,000 shall be available for transfers to the Lower Colorado River Basin Development Fund instead of \$179,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$155,600,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 15: Deletes language proposed by the Senate relating to Garrison Diversion Unit.

While the conferees have agreed to delete the Senate bill language, the conferees direct that:

No appropriation, fund, or authority under this heading shall be used for construction of features of the Garrison Diversion Unit in North Dakota affecting waters flowing into Canada through chemical pollution, introduction of foreign biota, destructively increasing or decreasing quantity and/or rate of flow, or in any other harmful way.

Amendment No. 16: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate which provides that not to exceed \$20,000 shall be available to initiate a rehabilitation and betterment program with the Twin Falls Canal Company.

Amendment No. 17: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed by said amendment, insert the following:

*: Provided further, That of the amount herein appropriated \$3,000,000 shall be*

*available to enable the Secretary of the Interior to begin work on rehabilitating the Velarde Community Ditch Project, New Mexico, in accordance with the Federal Reclamation Laws (Act of June 17, 1902, 32 Stat. 788, and Acts amendatory thereof or supplementary thereto) for the purposes of diverting and conveying water to irrigated project lands. The principal features of the project shall consist of improvements such as the installation of more permanent diversion dams and headgates, wasteways, arroyo siphons, and concrete lining of ditches in order to improve irrigation efficiency, conserve water, and reduce operation and maintenance costs. The cost of the rehabilitation will be nonreimbursable and constructed features will be turned over to the appropriate entity for operation and maintenance*

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The funds are to be allocated as shown in the following table:

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE
CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM		
CONSTRUCTION AND REHABILITATION		
ARIZONA		
HEADGATE ROCK HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT (BIA).....	11,000,000	---
CALIFORNIA		
CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT:		
SACRAMENTO RIVER DIVISION.....	12,300,000	12,300,000
SAN LUIS UNIT.....	3,103,000	3,603,000
AUBURN-FOLSOM SOUTH UNIT:		
AUBURN AREA FACILITIES.....	2,250,000	2,250,000
ALL OTHER AUBURN-FOLSOM SOUTH UNIT FACILITIES.....	705,000	705,000
MISCELLANEOUS PROJECT PROGRAMS.....	2,645,000	2,645,000
SAN FELIPE DIVISION.....	72,360,000	72,360,000
TRINITY RIVER DIV, GRASS VALLEY CREEK DEBRIS DAM....	---	450,000
COLORADO		
FRYINGPAN-ARKANSAS PROJECT.....	6,863,000	7,463,000
SAN LUIS VALLEY PROJECT, CLOSED BASIN DIVISION.....	15,155,000	15,155,000
NEW MEXICO		
BRANTLEY PROJECT.....	12,694,000	12,694,000
VELARDE, N. MEX. WATER DIVERSION SYS. REPAIR.....	---	3,000,000
OKLAHOMA		
MCGEE CREEK PROJECT.....	40,610,000	45,360,000
TEXAS		
AMERICAN CANAL EXTENSION, RIO GRANDE PROJECT.....	---	450,000
NUECES RIVER PROJECT.....	8,103,000	8,103,000
WASHINGTON		
CHIEF JOSEPH DAM PROJECT, OROVILLE-TONASKET UNIT.....	13,430,000	13,430,000
COLUMBIA BASIN PROJECT:		
IRRIGATION FACILITIES.....	17,170,000	17,170,000
THIRD POWERPLANT.....	38,590,000	38,590,000
PICK-SLOAN MISSOURI BASIN PROGRAM		
NEBRASKA		
NORTH LOUP DIVISION.....	32,420,000	32,420,000
O'NEILL UNIT.....	1,570,000	---
NORTH DAKOTA		
GARRISON DIVERSION UNIT.....	22,330,000	22,330,000
SOUTH DAKOTA		
WEB RURAL DEVELOPMENT PROJECT.....	---	4,000,000
WYOMING		
BUFFALO BILL DAM MODIFICATION.....	3,000,000	---
RIVERTON UNIT.....	5,900,000	5,900,000
VARIOUS		
DRAINAGE AND MINOR CONSTRUCTION		
BELLE FOURCHE PROJECT, SOUTH DAKOTA.....	800,000	800,000
BOISE PROJECT, PAYETTE DIVISION, IDAHO.....	990,000	990,000
BUFFALO RAPIDS PROJECT, MONTANA.....	61,000	61,000
CHIEF JOSEPH DAM PROJECT, WHITESTONE COULEE UNIT, WA.	725,000	725,000
EDEN PROJECT, UTAH.....	300,000	300,000
GILA PROJECT, ARIZONA.....	1,000,000	1,000,000
KLAMATH PROJECT, OREGON-CALIFORNIA.....	3,004,000	3,004,000
LITTLE WOOD RIVER PROJECT, IDAHO.....	80,000	80,000



PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE
MISCELLANEOUS ENGINEERING SERVICES.....	10,000	10,000
MOUNTAIN PARK PROJECT, OKLAHOMA.....	100,000	100,000
PALMETTO BEND PROJECT, TEXAS.....	100,000	100,000
RECREATION FACILITIES AT EXISTING RESERVOIRS,VAR....	7,000	7,000
SAN ANGELO PROJECT, TWIN BUTTES DAM, TEXAS.....	500,000	1,500,000
SOUTHERN NEVADA PROJECT, NEVADA.....	937,000	937,000
TUALATIN PROJECT, OREGON.....	1,150,000	1,150,000
WASHITA BASIN PROJECT, FOSS DAM, OKLAHOMA.....	1,300,000	1,300,000
WASHOE PROJECT, CALIFORNIA-NEVADA.....	1,818,000	1,818,000
WEBER BASIN PROJECT, UTAH.....	350,000	350,000
<b>UNITS OR DIVISIONS, PICK-SLOAN MISSOURI BASIN PROGRAM:</b>		
EAST BENCH UNIT, MONTANA.....	50,000	50,000
FARWELL UNIT, NEBRASKA.....	700,000	700,000
DAHE UNIT, SOUTH DAKOTA.....	50,000	50,000
OWL CREEK UNIT, WYOMING.....	50,000	50,000
<b>SUBTOTAL, DRAINAGE AND MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....</b>	<b>14,082,000</b>	<b>15,082,000</b>
<b>SAFETY OF DAMS PROGRAMS:</b>		
BOISE PROJECT, BLACK CANYON DAM, IDAHO.....	200,000	200,000
MINIDOKA PROJECT, ISLAND PARK DAM, IDAHO.....	1,900,000	1,900,000
MINIDOKA PROJECT, JACKSON LAKE DAM, WYOMING.....	200,000	200,000
NEWLANDS PROJECT, LAHONTAN DAM, NEVADA.....	3,412,000	3,412,000
NORTH PLATTE PROJECT, GUERNSEY DAM, WYOMING.....	2,190,000	2,190,000
ORLAND PROJECT, STONY GORGE DAM, CALIFORNIA.....	4,750,000	4,750,000
RAPID VALLEY PROJECT, DEERFIELD DAM, SOUTH DAKOTA...	306,000	306,000
SALT RIVER PROJECT, STEWART MTN. DAM, ARIZONA.....	100,000	100,000
SUN RIVER PROJECT, GIBSON DAM, MONTANA.....	100,000	100,000
SUN RIVER PROJECT, WILLOW CREEK DAM, MONTANA.....	300,000	300,000
DEPARTMENT DAM SAFETY PROGRAM.....	700,000	700,000
MODIFICATION REPORTS AND PRECONSTRUCTION PLANNING...	3,585,000	3,585,000
<b>SUBTOTAL, SAFETY OF DAMS.....</b>	<b>17,743,000</b>	<b>17,743,000</b>
<b>REHABILITATION AND BETTERMENT:</b>		
CARLSBAD PROJECT, NEW MEXICO.....	224,000	224,000
GRAND VALLEY PROJECT, ORCHARD MESA DIVISION, COLO..	348,000	348,000
HARLINGEN IRRIGATION DISTRICT, TEXAS.....	800,000	800,000
MILK RIVER, GLASGOW DIVISION, MONTANA.....	110,000	110,000
NEWLANDS PROJECT, NEVADA.....	500,000	500,000
OKANOGAN PROJECT, OKANOGAN IRRIGATION DISTRICT, WA..	3,210,000	3,210,000
P-SMBP, FRENCHMAN-CAMBRIDGE DIVISION, NEBRASKA.....	610,000	610,000
P-SMBP, HELENA VALLEY UNIT, MONTANA.....	300,000	300,000
SALT RIVER PROJECT,ROOSEVELT WTR. CONSV. DIST.,AR...	1,200,000	1,200,000
SHOSHONE PROJECT, FRANNIE DIVISION, WYOMING.....	100,000	100,000
SHOSHONE PROJECT, HEART MOUNTAIN DIVISION, WYOMING..	1,000,000	1,000,000
SHOSHONE PROJECT, WILLWOOD DIVISION, WYOMING.....	150,000	150,000
STRAWBERRY VALLEY PROJECT, UTAH.....	664,000	664,000
SUN RIVER PROJECT, FT. SHAW DIVISION, MONTANA.....	100,000	100,000
SUN RIVER PROJECT, GREENFIELDS DIVISION, MONTANA....	1,200,000	1,200,000
TUCUMCARI PROJECT, NEW MEXICO.....	300,000	300,000
UNCOMPAHGRE PROJECT, COLORADO.....	650,000	650,000
YAKIMA PROJECT, GRANDVIEW IRRIGATION DISTRICT, WA...	200,000	200,000
YAKIMA PROJECT, GRANGER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, WA.....	100,000	100,000
YAKIMA PROJECT, OUTLOOK IRRIGATION DISTRICT, WA.....	1,361,000	1,361,000
YAKIMA PROJECT, SUNNYSIDE BOARD OF CONTROL, WA.....	200,000	200,000
YAKIMA PROJECT, SUNNYSIDE VALLEY IRRIGATION DIST, WA	200,000	200,000
YAKIMA PROJECT, YAKIMA-TIETON IRRIG. DIST.,WA.....	22,200,000	22,200,000
<b>SUBTOTAL, REHABILITATION AND BETTERMENT OF EXISTING PROJECTS.....</b>	<b>35,727,000</b>	<b>35,727,000</b>
<b>TOTAL, CONSTRUCTION AND REHABILITATION.....</b>	<b>389,750,000</b>	<b>388,930,000</b>
<b>COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT</b>		
<b>UPPER COLORADO RIVER BASIN FUND</b>		
<b>PARTICIPATING PROJECTS</b>		
<b>COLORADO</b>		
DALLAS CREEK PROJECT.....	16,200,000	16,200,000
DOLORES PROJECT.....	37,200,000	37,200,000

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE
UTAH		
CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT, BONNEVILLE UNIT.....	101,607,000	101,607,000
CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT, JENSEN UNIT.....	1,725,000	1,725,000
CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT, UINTAH UNIT, (PRECON. PLANNING).....	550,000	550,000
CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT, UPALCO UNIT.....	2,015,000	2,015,000
VARIOUS		
DRAINAGE AND MINOR CONSTRUCTION:		
UPPER COLORADO RIVER BASIN FUND:		
MODIFICATIONS & ADDITIONS TO COMPLETED FACILITIES.....	1,056,000	1,056,000
PARTICIPATING PROJECTS:		
LYMAN PROJECT, UTAH.....	251,000	251,000
SEEDSKADEE PROJECT, WYOMING.....	500,000	500,000
RECREATIONAL AND FISH AND WILDLIFE FACILITIES:		
RECREATIONAL FACILITIES.....	6,389,000	6,389,000
FISH AND WILDLIFE FACILITIES.....	1,227,000	1,227,000
TOTAL, COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT.....	168,720,000	168,720,000
COLORADO RIVER BASIN PROJECT		
CENTRAL ARIZONA PROJECT		
ARIZONA		
CENTRAL ARIZONA-WATER DEVELOPMENT.....	155,600,000	165,600,000
CENTRAL ARIZONA PROJECT, NON-INDIAN DISTR. SYSTEMS.....	10,000,000	20,000,000
TOTAL, CENTRAL ARIZONA PROJECT.....	165,600,000	185,600,000
COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL PROJECTS		
TITLE II		
COLORADO		
GRAND VALLEY UNIT.....	3,915,000	3,915,000
PARADOX VALLEY UNIT.....	6,068,000	6,068,000
NEVADA		
LAS VEGAS WASH UNIT.....	1,075,000	1,075,000
VARIOUS		
TITLE I		
MEASURES BELOW IMPERIAL DAM.....	21,872,000	21,872,000
TOTAL, COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL PROJECTS.....	32,930,000	32,930,000
PAY RAISE ALLOWANCE.....	5,388,000	5,388,000
GENERAL REDUCTION DUE TO SLIPPAGE, SAVINGS AND CARRYOVER BALANCES.....	-63,000,000	-87,750,000
TOTAL, CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM.....	699,388,000	693,818,000

Loan Program

Amendment No. 18: Appropriates \$45,000,000 for the loan program instead of \$52,970,000 as proposed by the House and \$40,500,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 19: Provides a limitation of \$51,802,000 for gross obligations for the principal amount of direct loans as proposed by the House instead of \$43,332,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The funds are to be allocated as shown in the following table:

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE
-----		
LOAN PROGRAM		
ARIZONA		
ROOSEVELT IRRIGATION DISTRICT.....	3,200,000	3,200,000
CALIFORNIA		
COLUSA COUNTY WATER DISTRICT.....	2,000,000	2,000,000
EASTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT NO. 2.....	3,181,000	3,181,000
FALLBROOK PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT.....	3,220,000	3,220,000
GLIDE IRRIGATION DISTRICT.....	1,694,000	1,694,000
GLENN-COLUSA IRRIGATION DISTRICT.....	1,000,000	1,000,000
OAKDALE IRRIGATION DISTRICT.....	---	1,500,000
RAHONA MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT.....	4,580,000	4,580,000
SAN BENITO CO. WATER CONSERV. & FLOOD CONTROL DIST.....	6,800,000	6,800,000
YUBA COUNTY WATER AGENCY.....	3,000,000	6,000,000
NEBRASKA		
CENTRAL NERR. PUBLIC POWER & I.D. - PHELPS SYSTEM IMPROV.	3,187,000	3,187,000
OREGON		
GALESVILLE PROJECT.....	---	4,000,000
TEXAS		
HIDALGO CO. WATER CON. & IMPROV. DIST. NO. 1 (EDINBURG).	1,148,000	1,148,000
HIDALGO COUNTY IRRIGATION DISTRICT NO. 2 (SAN JUAN)....	2,500,000	2,500,000
DELTA LAKE IRRIGATION DISTRICT.....	2,700,000	2,700,000
WASHINGTON		
COLUMBIA IRRIGATION DISTRICT.....	2,306,000	2,306,000
VARIOUS		
CONSTRUCTION COST INCREASES.....	1,152,000	1,152,000
ADMINISTRATION OF LOAN PROGRAM.....	832,000	832,000
UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION BASED ON ANTICIPATED DELAYS...	-1,000,000	-6,000,000
TOTAL, LOAN PROGRAM.....	41,500,000	45,000,000
=====		

*General administrative expenses*

Amendment No. 20: Appropriates \$53,750,000 for general administrative expenses as proposed by the House instead of \$53,400,000 as proposed by the Senate.

**TITLE III—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

The summary table at the end of this title sets forth the conference agreement with respect to individual appropriations, programs and activities for the Department

*Energy supply, research and development activities*

Amendment No. 21: Appropriates \$1,951,609,000 for Energy Supply, Research and Development Activities, instead of \$1,964,209,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,943,709,000 as proposed by the Senate.

*Solar Energy*

**Solar Thermal Energy Systems.**—The conferees agree to provide \$6,000,000 for detailed design of one central solar power receiver under the House-stipulated conditions. No funds are available for salt gradient research. Up to \$2,000,000 may be used for the thermal energy storage program identified in the House Report.

**Wind Energy Systems.**—The conferees agree with the Senate Report language concerning MOD 5A and 5B funding allocations and the wind systems comprehensive program management plan.

**Biomass and Alcohol Fuels.**—The conferees agree to provide \$4,000,000 for regional biomass energy programs.

**Ocean Energy Systems.**—The conferees agree that funds are available for only feasibility studies of open-cycle systems and \$1,500,000 is available for cold water pipe research.

**Electric Energy Systems.**—The conference agreement provides for the deep sea cable project under the guidance of the Senate Report.

*Nuclear Fission*

**Breeder Reactor Systems.**—The conference agreement provides \$4,000,000 for design activities on the breeder reactor engineering test facility.

**Remedial Action Program.**—The conferees direct that the Department give priority to the undertaking of a decontamination research and development project involving the following sites and their vicinity properties, and has added funds to initiate the work: \$2,000,000 for the site and vicinity properties in Wayne/Pequanak, New Jersey; \$2,000,000 for the site and vicinity properties in Maywood, New Jersey; \$500,000 for the site and vicinity properties near Albany, New York; and \$500,000 for the former Cotter Corp. site at Latty Avenue, Hazelwood, Missouri.

**Commercial Nuclear Waste.**—The conferees agree that \$9,600,000 is available for the subseabed waste program in fiscal year 1984.

*Environment*

**Liquified Gas Fuels Safety Test Facility.**—The conferees agree that the Department should proceed immediately to select a site and initiate construction on the Liquified Gaseous Fuels Safety Test Facility using funds available in fiscal year 1983 and fiscal year 1984. Additional funding requirements shall be submitted with the fiscal year 1985 budget request if additional construction funding is determined to be necessary.

*Magnetic Fusion*

The conferees agree that the Administration shall consider including funding in the fiscal year 1985 budget submission for aneutronic fusion activities for consideration by the Congress. Of the funds available, \$2,000,000 is for operating expenses on Elmo Bumpy Torus to continue concept develop-

ment activities, and funds are available as specified in the Senate Report for advanced toroidal concepts and for fusion systems engineering activities.

*Supporting research and technical analysis*

The conferees agree that, prior to obligation of funds provided for the National Center for Advanced Materials, the Vitreous State Laboratory and the National Center for Chemical Research, the Department of Energy shall conduct a technical review and approve each project.

*(Deferral)*

Amendment No. 22: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate overturning a portion of proposed Deferral No. 83-72.

*Uranium supply and enrichment activities*

The conferees agree to the following allocation of funding within the appropriations available for uranium supply and enrichment:

	Fiscal year 1984 budget	Conference agreement
GCEP operating expenses:		
(Advanced Centrifuge Development).....	\$106,400,000	\$117,400,000
GCEP Construction.....	572,000,000	546,000,000
AIS Technology.....	59,900,000	89,900,000
AIS Development Module.....	2,400,000	2,400,000
General Reduction.....		-23,000,000
Uranium Resource Assessment.....		3,000,000
Subtotal.....	740,000,000	735,700,000
Other Items Not in Conference.....	1,499,300,000	1,499,300,000
Total.....	2,240,300,000	2,235,000,000

The conferees concur with the Senate in regard to procurement of baseline centrifuge machines and with the House in regard to continuation of the uranium resource assessment program at a reduced level.

*General science and research activities*

Amendment No. 23: Appropriates \$638,250,000 for General Science and Research Activities instead of \$643,250,000 proposed by the House and \$635,250,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference allowance includes \$32,000,000 for the linear collider at the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center and \$5,000,000 for the Colliding Beam Accelerator funded under the ISABELLE project. The allowance also includes funding for LAMPF and Bevalac as described in House Rept. No. 98-217. The conferees agree with the provisions for muon catalysis and nuclear data file as proposed by the Senate but direct that these activities be included under the Energy Supply, Research and Development Activities account.

*Atomic energy defense activities*

Amendment No. 24: Appropriates \$8,547,875,000 for Atomic Energy Defense Activities instead of \$8,558,375,000 as proposed by the House and \$6,500,875,000 as proposed by the Senate.

**Research, Development and Test.**—The conference allowance includes \$7,000,000 for buffer land acquisition at LLNL as proposed by the House and \$5,600,000 for the new detonator facility at LANL as proposed by the Senate. Also included is \$23,500,000 for the nuclear test facilities revitalization project.

**Inertial Confinement Fusion.**—The conference allowance includes \$145,000,000. The increase over the budget shall be applied proportionately over the 1983 levels.

**Production and Surveillance.**—The conference allowance includes \$1,666,915,000 for operating expenses which represents an increase of \$192,415,000 over 1983 which is

consistent with the overall increase in workload. The conference allowance includes \$30,000,000 for MX warhead production facilities. Obligation of these funds is contingent upon approvals required in Senate Rept. No. 98-153. The \$5,000,000 reduction in unobligated balances should be applied against the total Production and Surveillance program.

**Materials Production.**—The conference allowance includes \$60,000,000 for Special Isotope Separation and \$7,500,000 for the Process Facility Modifications at Purex. The Conference includes \$140,000,000 for enriched material as proposed by the Senate.

**Defense Waste and By-products Management.**—The conference allowance includes \$15,600,000 for the by-product and beneficial use program.

Amendment No. 25: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate, with an amendment as follows:

Delete the matter stricken by said amendment and insert the following:

*Provided, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, no funds may be obligated or expended after the date of enactment of this Act for Project 82-D-109 unless the President certifies to Congress that—*

*(1) for each 155mm nuclear weapon produced an existing 155mm nuclear weapon shall be removed from the stockpile and permanently dismantled; and*

*(2) formal notification has been received from the North Atlantic Treaty Organization nation in which such weapons are sought to be deployed that such nation has approved replacement of existing 155mm nuclear weapons with the new 155mm nuclear weapon.*

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference includes \$50,000,000 for Project 82-D-109, 155mm artillery fired atomic projectile production facilities. The conference agreement would prohibit the obligation of the funds pending a Presidential certification.

Amendment No. 26: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate, with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed by said amendment, insert the following:

*None of the funds appropriated by this Act, or by any other Act, or by any other provision of law shall be available for the purpose of restarting the L-Reactor at the Savannah River Plant, Aiken, South Carolina, until the Department of Energy completes an Environmental Impact Statement pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and until issued a discharge permit pursuant to the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1251, et. seq.) as amended, which permit shall incorporate the terms and conditions provided in the Memorandum of Understanding entered into between the Department of Energy and the State of South Carolina dated April 27, 1983, relating to studies and mitigation programs associated with such restart. For purposes of this paragraph the term "restarting" shall mean any activity related to the operation of the L-Reactor that would achieve criticality, generate fission products within the reactor, discharge cooling water from nuclear operations directly or indirectly into Steel Creek, or result in cooling system testing discharges which exceed the volume, frequency*

and duration of test discharges conducted prior to June 28, 1983.

Consistent with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, and in consultation with State officials of South Carolina and Georgia, the preparation and completion of the Environmental Impact Statement called for in the preceding paragraph shall be expedited. The Secretary of Energy may reduce the public comment period, except that such period shall not be reduced to less than thirty days, and the Secretary shall provide his Record of Decision, based upon the completed Environmental Impact Statement, not sooner than December 1, 1983, and not later than January 1, 1984.

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement would require the preparation of a Environmental Impact Statement as required by the Senate, but would allow L-Reactor to be loaded with unirradiated fuel and continue with nonnuclear flow testing pending the completion of the Environmental Impact Statement. The conferees agree that this amendment not establish a precedent with regard to future decisions concerning compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act.

## DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

Amendment No. 27: Appropriates \$366,056,000 for Departmental Administration instead of \$371,056,000 as proposed by the House and \$361,056,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees agree that \$500,000 shall be available for the Council of Energy Resource Tribes.

Amendment No. 28: Inserts language proposed by the Senate changing "such that the" to "so as to result in a" for purposes of clarification.

Amendment No. 29: Inserts language proposed by the Senate changing "not be greater" to "estimated at not more" for purposes of clarification.

Amendment No. 30: Identifies \$156,437,000 instead of \$161,437,000 as proposed by the House and \$151,437,000 as proposed by the Senate as the final estimated appropriation for Departmental Administration.

## POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS

## BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION FUND

Amendment No. 31: Deletes House language restricting the use of new borrowing authority.

## CONSTRUCTION, REHABILITATION, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION

Amendment No. 32: Appropriates \$194,630,000 for Construction, Operation and Maintenance, Western Area Power Administration instead of \$184,630,000 as proposed by the House and \$204,630,000 as proposed by the Senate

## FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

## Salaries and expenses

Amendment No. 33: Appropriates \$89,582,000 as proposed by the House instead of \$94,582,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 34: Identifies \$29,582,000 as proposed by the House instead of \$34,582,000 as proposed by the Senate as the final estimated appropriation for the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

Amendment No. 35: Restores language proposed by the House, amended to delete reference to the "Fifteenth Congressional District" and references the Counties of Merced, Mariposa, Madera and Fresno in California of that District.

	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
<b>ENERGY SUPPLY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT</b>		
<b>SOLAR ENERGY</b>		
<b>I. Solar applications</b>		
A. Solar building energy systems.....	8,375	17,200
C. Photovoltaic energy systems		
Operating expenses.....	32,700	49,700
Construction.....	---	1,500
Subtotal, Photovoltaic energy systems.....	32,700	51,200
D. Solar thermal energy systems		
Operating expenses.....	13,325	33,750
Capital equipment.....	250	7,750
Subtotal, Solar thermal energy system.....	13,575	41,500
E. Biomass and alcohol energy systems		
Operating expenses.....	17,300	29,000
F. Wind energy systems		
Operating expenses.....	8,600	26,500
G. Ocean energy systems		
Operating expenses.....	---	7,000
Subtotal, Solar applications.....	80,550	172,400
(Operating expenses).....	(80,300)	(163,150)
(Plant and Capital).....	(250)	(9,250)
<b>II. Other solar energy</b>		
A. International solar energy program - OE.....	---	1,000
B. Solar technology transfer - OE.....	3,100	3,250
E. Program support - OE.....	---	1,000
F. Program direction - OE.....	3,009	6,000
Subtotal, Other solar energy.....	6,109	11,250
General reduction.....	---	-5,000
<b>TOTAL, SOLAR ENERGY.....</b>	<b>86,659</b>	<b>178,650</b>
(Operating expenses).....	(86,409)	(174,400)
(Plant and Capital).....	(250)	(9,250)
(General reduction).....	---	(-5,000)
<b>GEOHERMAL</b>		
<b>I. Hydrothermal industrialization</b>		
Operating expenses.....	---	2,000
<b>II. Geopressured resources</b>		
Operating expenses.....	---	5,000
<b>III. Geothermal technology development</b>		
Operating expenses.....	13,059	21,459
<b>IV. Program direction - OE.....</b>		
	494	1,000
<b>TOTAL, GEOHERMAL.....</b>	<b>13,553</b>	<b>29,459</b>

	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
101010	HYDROPOWER	
101015		500
101040		250
101050		750
101090	ELECTRIC ENERGY SYSTEMS AND STORAGE	
101100	I. Electric energy systems	
101105	A. Electric field effects research	
101110	6,600	3,000
101130	B. Reliability research	
101135	6,000	3,500
101145		11,500
101180	102	500
101185	12,702	18,500
101215	II. Energy Storage Systems	
101220	A. Battery storage	
101225	14,000	18,000
101245	B. Thermal and mechanical storage	
101250		7,000
101270	200	700
101275	14,200	25,700
101300	26,902	44,200
101350	NUCLEAR	
101355	I. Converter reactor	
101360	A. High temperature reactor technology	
101365		30,000
101375		30,000
101380	B. Light water reactor systems	
101385	15,000	19,500
101400	C. Three Mile Island activities	
101405	33,000	33,000
101410	4,000	4,000
101415	37,000	37,000
101420	D. Advanced reactor systems	
101425	4,980	4,980
101440	1,190	1,190
101445	58,170	92,670
101450	(54,170)	(88,670)
101455	(4,000)	(4,000)

	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
<b>II. Commercial nuclear waste</b>		
<b>1. Terminal isolation</b>		
Operating expenses.....	4,700	4,700
<b>2. Waste systems technology</b>		
Operating expenses.....	9,440	9,440
Capital equipment.....	360	360
Subtotal, Waste systems technology.....	9,800	9,800
<b>3. Waste treatment technology</b>		
Operating expenses.....	5,600	5,600
Capital equipment.....	600	600
Construction:		
84-N-105 General Plant Projects.....	1,400	1,400
Subtotal, Waste treatment technology.....	7,600	7,600
<b>4. Program direction - OE.....</b>	<b>480</b>	<b>480</b>
Subtotal, Commercial nuclear waste.....	22,580	22,580
(Operating expenses).....	(20,220)	(20,220)
(Plant and Capital ).....	(2,360)	(2,360)
<b>III. Remedial action</b>		
<b>1. Management of surplus radioactivity contaminated DOE facilities</b>		
Operating expenses.....	15,360	15,360
Capital equipment.....	140	140
Subtotal, Management, of surplus radioactivity contaminated DOE facilities.....	15,500	15,500
<b>2. Remedial action for former DOE contractors installation MED/AEC sites</b>		
Operating expenses.....	11,700	16,700
Capital equipment.....	300	300
Subtotal, Remedial action for former DOE cont installation MED/AEC sites.....	12,000	17,000
<b>3. Grand Junction remedial action OE.....</b>	<b>1,500</b>	<b>1,500</b>
<b>4. Remedial action for inactive uranium mill tailing sites</b>		
Operating expenses.....	27,125	27,125
Capital equipment.....	775	775
Subtotal, Remedial action for inactive uranium mill tailing sites.....	27,900	27,900
<b>5. West Valley</b>		
Operating expenses.....	36,000	36,000
<b>6. Program direction - OE.....</b>	<b>1,085</b>	<b>1,085</b>
Subtotal, Remedial action.....	93,985	98,985
(Operating expenses).....	(92,770)	(97,770)
(Plant and Capital ).....	(1,215)	(1,215)



	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
<b>IV. Nuclear fuel cycle</b>		
<b>A. Spent fuel technology</b>		
Operating expenses.....	4,500	4,500
Capital equipment.....	500	500
<b>Subtotal, Spent fuel technology.....</b>	<b>5,000</b>	<b>5,000</b>
<b>B. Fuel cycle R&amp;D</b>		
Operating expenses.....	31,385	31,385
Capital equipment.....	4,000	4,000
<b>Subtotal, Fuel cycle R&amp;D.....</b>	<b>35,385</b>	<b>35,385</b>
<b>C. Program direction - OE.....</b>	<b>1,422</b>	<b>1,422</b>
<b>Subtotal, Nuclear fuel cycle.....</b>	<b>41,807</b>	<b>41,807</b>
(Operating expenses).....	(37,307)	(37,307)
(Plant and Capital ).....	(4,500)	(4,500)
<b>V. Advanced nuclear systems</b>		
<b>A. Space and terrestrial applications</b>		
Operating expenses.....	30,135	30,135
Capital equipment.....	2,600	2,600
<b>Subtotal, Space and terrestrial applications.....</b>	<b>32,735</b>	<b>32,735</b>
<b>B. Program direction - OE.....</b>	<b>1,370</b>	<b>1,370</b>
<b>Subtotal, Advanced nuclear systems.....</b>	<b>34,105</b>	<b>34,105</b>
(Operating expenses).....	(31,505)	(31,505)
(Plant and Capital ).....	(2,600)	(2,600)
<b>VI. Breeder reactor systems</b>		
<b>A. Liquid metal fast breeder reactor</b>		
Operating expenses.....	255,600	263,600
Capital equipment.....	19,100	21,100
<b>Construction:</b>		
84-N-101 General plant projects.....	1,000	1,000
84-N-102 Mods. to reactors.....	4,000	4,000
83-N-334 Safeguards & sec. Assess, imp., ANL, INEL, Id.....	1,000	1,000
78-6-C Safety research experimental facility, INEL, Idaho (A-E, Long-lead procurement and limited construction only).....	1,100	1,100
78-6-E Liquid metal engineering center modifications, liquid metal engineering center, Santa Susana, California (A-E and long-lead procurement only).....	5,000	5,000
78-6-F Fuels & materials examination facility, Richland, WA.....	---	4,000
<b>Subtotal, Construction.....</b>	<b>12,100</b>	<b>16,100</b>
<b>Subtotal, Liquid metal fast breeder reactor.....</b>	<b>286,800</b>	<b>300,800</b>
<b>B. Clinch River Breeder Reactor demonstration-OE.</b>	<b>270,025</b>	<b>---</b>

	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
<b>C. Water cooled breeder reactor</b>		
Operating expenses.....	35,500	35,500
<b>D. Program direction - OE.....</b>	<b>10,200</b>	<b>10,200</b>
<b>Subtotal, Breeder reactor systems.....</b>	<b>602,525</b>	<b>346,500</b>
(Operating expenses).....	(571,325)	(309,300)
(Plant and Capital).....	(31,200)	(37,200)
<b>TOTAL, NUCLEAR.....</b>	<b>853,172</b>	<b>636,647</b>
(Operating expenses).....	(807,297)	(584,777)
(Plant and Capital).....	(45,875)	(51,875)

## ENVIRONMENT

## I. Overview and assessment

Operating expenses.....	30,400	30,400
Capital equipment.....	1,600	1,600
Construction - LGF test facility.....	3,000	1,000
<b>Subtotal, Overview and assessment.....</b>	<b>35,000</b>	<b>33,000</b>

## II. Biological and environmental research

Operating expenses.....	157,900	171,900
Capital equipment.....	7,700	7,700
Construction:		
84-ER-133 General plant projects.....	1,000	1,000
<b>Subtotal, Biological and environ. research....</b>	<b>166,600</b>	<b>180,600</b>

## III. Program direction - OE.....

A. Overview and assessment - PD.....	5,375	5,375
B. Bio. and env. research - PD.....	3,600	3,600
<b>Subtotal, Program direction - OE.....</b>	<b>8,975</b>	<b>8,975</b>

<b>Total, Environment.....</b>	<b>210,575</b>	<b>222,575</b>
(Operating expenses).....	(197,275)	(211,275)
(Plant and Capital).....	(13,300)	(11,300)

## FUSION

## I. Magnetic fusion

## A. Confinement systems

Operating expenses.....	186,050	186,050
Capital equipment.....	20,650	20,650
Construction:		
80-MF-3 EBT Proof of principal exp.....	---	2,000
<b>Subtotal, Confinement systems.....</b>	<b>206,700</b>	<b>208,700</b>

## B. Development and technology

Operating expenses.....	77,310	78,310
Capital equipment.....	7,740	7,740
<b>Subtotal, Development and technology.....</b>	<b>85,050</b>	<b>86,050</b>

## C. Applied plasma physics

Operating expenses.....	76,040	76,790
Capital equipment.....	5,060	5,060
<b>Subtotal, Applied plasma physics.....</b>	<b>81,100</b>	<b>81,850</b>

	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
<b>D. Planning and projects</b>		
Operating expenses.....	48,700	48,700
Capital equipment.....	4,350	4,350
Construction:		
84-GPP General plant projects.....	6,500	6,500
78-J-A Mirror fusion test facility.....	30,000	30,000
Subtotal, Construction.....	36,500	36,500
Subtotal, Planning and projects.....	89,550	89,550
E. Program direction - DE.....	4,600	4,600
Total, Fusion.....	467,000	470,750
(Operating expenses).....	(392,700)	(394,450)
(Plant and Capital ).....	(74,300)	(76,300)

SUPPORTING RESEARCH AND TECHNICAL ANALYSIS

I. Basic energy sciences

A. Nuclear sciences

Operating expenses.....	37,900	37,900
Capital equipment.....	2,150	2,150
Construction:		
84-ER-141 A I P.....	270	270
Subtotal, Nuclear sciences.....	40,320	40,320

B. Materials sciences

Operating expenses.....	125,110	125,110
Capital equipment.....	12,780	12,780
Construction:		
84-ER-111 N S L S.....	2,500	2,500
84-ER-112 National center for adv materials..	25,900	3,000
84-ER-141 A I P.....	640	640
84-ER-142 General plant projects.....	300	300
84-ER-14 Vitreous State Laboratory.....	---	5,000
84-ER-15 National Center for Chemical Research.....	---	5,000
Subtotal, Construction.....	29,340	16,440

Subtotal, Materials sciences.....

167,230 154,330

C. Chemical sciences

Operating expenses.....	75,500	75,500
Capital equipment.....	6,520	6,520
Construction:		
84-ER-141 A I P.....	350	350
84-ER-142 General plant projects.....	100	100
Subtotal, Construction.....	450	450

Subtotal, Chemical sciences.....

82,470 82,470

	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
<b>D. Engineering, mathematical, and geosciences</b>		
Operating expenses.....	33,670	33,670
Capital equipment.....	2,120	2,120
Subtotal, Engineering, math., and geosciences....	35,790	35,790
<b>E. Advanced energy projects</b>		
Operating expenses.....	9,100	9,100
Capital equipment.....	310	310
Subtotal, Advanced energy projects.....	9,410	9,410
<b>F. Biological energy research</b>		
Operating expenses.....	10,580	10,580
Capital equipment.....	400	400
Subtotal, Biological energy research.....	10,980	10,980
G. Program direction - OE.....	3,970	3,970
Subtotal, Basic energy sciences.....	350,170	337,270
(Operating expenses).....	(295,830)	(295,830)
(Plant and Capital ).....	(54,340)	(41,440)
<b>II. Energy oversight, research analy. &amp; Univ support</b>		
<b>A. Energy research analysis - OE</b>		
1. Assessment projects.....	2,869	2,869
<b>B. University research support - OE</b>		
2. University reactor fuel assistance.....	1,500	1,500
3. University laboratory coop. program.....	3,500	3,500
5. Energy manpower assessment program.....	270	270
6. Education and training.....	200	200
Subtotal, University research support - OE.....	5,470	5,470
C. University research instrumentation.....	6,000	4,000
D. Program direction and oversight - OE.....	3,400	3,400
Subtotal, Energy oversight, res analy, & Univ sup	17,739	15,739
<b>III. Multi-program facilities</b>		
Construction:		
84-ER-101 Rehab. old utilities (LRL).....	1,000	1,000
84-ER-102 Cooling water facility, Restor. (ORNL).....	600	600
84-ER-103 Road repair (various locations)....	3,000	3,000
84-ER-104 Facilities support Projects (various locations).....	4,000	4,000
83-E-308 Rep power sys, INEL, LLNL, ORNL, RL.....	7,988	7,988
83-E-309 Electronics fac., ANL.....	4,150	4,150
83-E-310 Utility replacements.....	7,500	7,500
83-E-311 Emergency response center, ORNL.....	2,200	2,200
82-E-301 300 area utilities (HEDL).....	4,400	4,400
82-E-302 Security facility (ANL).....	2,300	2,300
82-E-305 Traffic safety improvements (HEDL)..	800	800

	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
81-E-325 Energy Technology Lab (Sandia).....	1,600	3,100
80-ES-11 Idaho Lab Facility (INEL).....	1,062	1,062
Subtotal, Multi-program facilities.....	40,600	42,100
Total, Supporting research and tech. analysis....	408,509	395,109
(Operating expenses).....	(313,569)	(311,569)
(Plant and Capital ).....	(94,940)	(83,540)
<b>POLICY AND MANAGEMENT</b>		
<b>I. Policy and Management</b>		
A. Policy and management - OE - ER.....	515	1,288
B. Policy and management - OE - ME.....	1,370	1,868
C. Policy and management - OE - EP.....	900	1,611
D. Policy and management - OE - CRE.....	970	970
Subtotal, Policy and management.....	3,755	5,737
<b>ENERGY APPLICATIONS</b>		
<b>I. Technical Information Center</b>		
Operating expenses.....	13,300	15,200
Capital equipment.....	800	800
Subtotal, Technical Information Center.....	14,100	16,000
<b>II. In-house energy management</b>		
Operating expenses.....	1,200	1,200
Construction:		
84-A-601 Mods for energy Mat., various locations.....	11,500	11,500
84-A-602 Residual energy applications.....	---	1,332
82-A-603 LASL Hot water distribution.....	1,700	1,700
Subtotal, Construction.....	13,200	14,532
Subtotal, In-house energy management.....	14,400	15,732
(Operating expenses).....	(1,200)	(1,200)
(Plant and Capital ).....	(13,200)	(14,532)
<b>III. Plant engineering and design</b>		
Construction:		
82-C-601 Plant engineering and design.....	1,000	1,000
Subtotal, Energy applications.....	29,500	32,732
(Operating expenses).....	(14,500)	(16,400)
(Plant and Capital ).....	(15,000)	(16,332)
<b>SUBTOTAL, ENERGY SUPPLY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.....</b>	<b>2,099,625</b>	<b>2,016,609</b>
(Operating expenses).....	(1,855,960)	(1,773,012)
(Plant and Capital ).....	(243,665)	(248,597)
<b>Adjustments:</b>		
FY 1983 deferrals carried forward.....	---	-65,000
<b>TOTAL, ENERGY SUPPLY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.....</b>	<b>2,099,625</b>	<b>1,951,609</b>

	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
(Operating expenses).....	(1,855,960)	(1,708,012)
(Plant and Capital).....	(243,665)	(248,597)
(General reduction).....	---	(-5,000)
<b>URANIUM ENRICHMENT</b>		
<b>I. Uranium enrichment activities</b>		
<b>A. Gaseous diffusion and operations support</b>		
Operating expenses.....	1,400,400	1,400,400
Capital equipment.....	9,900	9,900
Construction:		
84-N-401 General plant projects.....	13,300	13,300
84-N-402 UF6 cylinders.....	4,000	4,000
84-N-403 Intermediate gas removal.....	1,700	1,700
84-N-404 Power systems protection impr.....	3,000	3,000
84-N-405 Utilities upgrading, Phase III.....	6,000	6,000
83-N-401 Rep. of elec. circuit breaker Gas dif. plant, Portsmouth, Ohio.....	7,000	7,000
83-N-402 Compli. with toxic sub. Control act. gas dif. plants.....	10,000	10,000
83-N-405 Utilities upgrading, Phase II. gas. dif. plant.....	10,000	10,000
82-R-413 IMP UF6 containment.....	9,000	9,000
82-R-416 Env/safety mods.....	3,500	3,500
81-R-506 Environmental protection and safety improvements, Phase I GDP's.....	3,500	3,500
Subtotal, Construction.....	71,000	71,000
Subtotal, Gaseous diffusion operations and suppo	1,481,300	1,481,300
<b>B. Gaseous centrifuge operations and support</b>		
Operating expenses.....	106,400	117,400
Capital equipment.....	3,400	3,400
Construction:		
76-8-G Enriched Uranium production facility, Portsmouth, OH.....	572,000	546,000
Subtotal, Gas centrifuge operations and support.	681,800	666,800
C. Program direction - OE.....	3,900	3,900
<b>D. Advanced isotope separation technology</b>		
Operating expenses.....	59,900	89,900
Capital equipment.....	9,500	9,500
Construction:		
84-N-406 General plant projects.....	1,200	1,200
84-N-407 Development I demonstration Module (design only).....	2,400	2,400
Subtotal, Construction.....	3,600	3,600
Subtotal, Advanced isotope separation technology	73,000	103,000
General reduction.....	---	-23,000
Subtotal, Uranium enrichment activities.....	2,240,000	2,232,000

	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
(Operating expenses).....	(1,570,600)	(1,611,600)
(Plant and Capital).....	(669,400)	(643,400)
(General reduction).....	---	(-23,000)
<b>II. Uranium resource assessment</b>		
A. Assessment program		
Operating expenses.....	---	3,000
<b>Total, Uranium enrichment.....</b>	<b>2,240,000</b>	<b>2,235,000</b>
<b>III. Offsetting revenues</b>		
Operating expenses.....	-1,570,600	-1,565,600
Capital equipment.....	-22,800	-22,800
Construction.....	-646,600	-646,600
<b>Subtotal, Offsetting revenues.....</b>	<b>-2,240,000</b>	<b>-2,235,000</b>
<b>TOTAL, URANIUM ENRICHMENT.....</b>	<b>---</b>	<b>---</b>
(Operating expenses).....	(1,570,600)	(1,614,600)
(Plant and Capital).....	(669,400)	(643,400)
(General reduction).....	---	(-23,000)
(Gross revenues).....	(-2,240,000)	(-2,235,000)
<b>GENERAL SCIENCE AND RESEARCH</b>		
<b>I. High energy physics</b>		
A. Physics research		
Operating expenses.....	101,500	99,500
B. Facility operations		
Operating expenses.....	166,000	161,000
Capital equipment.....	48,000	48,000
Construction:		
84-ER-131 AIP.....	9,000	9,000
84-ER-132 GPP.....	7,000	7,000
84-ER-133 SLAC linear collider (SLC).....	40,000	32,000
82-E-206 Tevatron II.....	13,000	13,000
81-E-218 Tevatron I.....	20,000	20,000
78-10-B Intersecting storage accelerator (Isabelle) Brookhaven national lab, NY.....	---	5,000
<b>Subtotal, Construction.....</b>	<b>89,000</b>	<b>86,000</b>
<b>Subtotal, Facility operations.....</b>	<b>303,000</b>	<b>295,000</b>
C. High energy technology		
Operating expenses.....	82,000	82,000
D. Other capital equipment - CE.....		
	3,500	3,500
<b>Subtotal, High energy physics.....</b>	<b>490,000</b>	<b>480,000</b>
(Operating expenses).....	(349,500)	(342,500)
(Plant and Capital).....	(140,500)	(137,500)
<b>II. Nuclear physics</b>		
A. Medium energy physics		
Operating expenses.....	59,000	62,000

	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
<b>B. Heavy ion physics</b>		
Operating expenses.....	47,000	47,000
<b>C. Low energy physics</b>		
Operating expenses.....	13,000	13,000
<b>D. Nuclear theory</b>		
Operating expenses.....	9,000	9,000
Capital equipment.....	10,500	10,500
Construction:		
84-ER-110 Univ Accel upgrade.....	8,000	8,000
84-ER-121 AIP.....	3,500	3,500
84-ER-122 GPP.....	2,200	2,200
Subtotal Construction.....	24,200	24,200
E. Other capital equipment - CE.....	800	800
Subtotal, Nuclear physics.....	153,000	156,000
(Operating expenses).....	(128,000)	(131,000)
(Plant and Capital ).....	(25,000)	(25,000)
III. General Science program direction - OE.....	2,250	2,250
TOTAL, GENERAL SCIENCE AND RESEARCH.....	645,250	638,250
(Operating expenses).....	(479,750)	(475,750)
(Plant and Capital ).....	(165,500)	(162,500)
<b>ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES</b>		
<b>I. Weapons activities</b>		
<b>A. Research, development and testing</b>		
<b>1. Research and development</b>		
Operating expenses.....	714,480	714,480
Capital equipment.....	68,800	68,800
Construction:		
84-D-101 General plant projects, various locations .....	20,000	16,000
84-D-102 Radiation Hardened Integrated Circuit Lab, SNLA.....	---	2,000
84-D-103 Hardened central guard force facility, LANL .....	600	600
84-D-104 Nuclear materials storage facility, LANL .....	700	700
83-D-199 Buffer land acquisition LLNL.....	---	7,000
82-D-144 Simulation technology laboratory, SNLA.....	8,000	8,000
82-D-150 Weapons materials research and development facility, LLNL.....	2,900	2,900
82-D-152 New detonator facility, LANL.....	---	5,600
78-16-G Radioactive liquid waste improvement, LANL, NM.....	3,500	3,500
Subtotal, Construction.....	35,700	46,300
Subtotal, Research and development.....	818,980	829,580



	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
<b>2. Testing</b>		
Operating expenses.....	475,900	475,900
Capital equipment.....	39,600	39,600
Construction:		
84-D-101 General plant projects, various locations .....	7,100	6,100
84-D-107 Nuclear test facilities revitalization, various locations .....	38,500	23,500
Subtotal Construction.....	45,600	29,600
Subtotal, Testing.....	561,100	545,100
<b>Subtotal, Research, development and testing.....</b>		
	1,380,080	1,374,680
<b>8. Inertial confinement fusion</b>		
Operating expenses.....	127,100	145,000
Capital equipment.....	11,800	11,800
Construction:		
81-D-101 Particle beam fusion accelerator-II SNL, NM.....	12,900	12,900
Subtotal, Inertial confinement fusion.....	151,800	169,700
<b>C. Production and surveillance</b>		
Operating expenses.....	1,779,715	1,666,915
Capital equipment.....	114,400	106,400
Construction:		
84-D-111 General plant projects .....	29,500	23,000
84-D-112 TRIDENT II warhead production facilities, various locations .....	19,300	19,300
84-D-113 Antisubmarine warfare/standoff weapon warhead prod facs, var locations .....	17,600	---
84-D-114 Consolidated nonnuclear manufacturing facility, Rocky Flats, CO .....	24,100	24,100
84-D-115 Electrical system expansion, Pantex Plant, Amarillo, TX .....	1,500	1,500
84-D-117 Inert assembly & test facility Pantex Plant, Amarillo, TX .....	1,500	1,500
84-D-118 High explosive subassembly facility, Pantex Plant, Amarillo, TX .....	7,000	7,000
84-D-119 Railroad track replacement and upgrade, Pantex Plant, Amarillo, TX .....	800	800
84-D-120 Explosive component test facility, Mound Laboratory, Miamisburg, OH .....	13,100	3,100
84-D-121 Safeguards and security upgrade, Rocky Flats, CO.....	10,000	10,000
83-D-124 Standard missile-2 (SM-2) warhead production facilities, various locations.....	3,000	---
83-D-134 SENTRY (LoADS) warhead production facility, various locations .....	16,300	---
82-D-107 Utilities & equip restoration, replace & upgrade, Phase III, var locations..	229,200	185,000
82-D-108 Nuclear weapons stockpile improvement, various locations .....	4,000	4,000
82-D-109 155mm artillery fired atomic projectile (AFAP) prod facs, var locations ..	50,000	50,000

	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
82-D-111 Interactive graphics system, various locations (49M auth FY82).....	18,600	8,600
82-D-146 Weapons prod & prod support facs, various locations.....	14,200	14,200
81-D-115 MX warhead prod facs, various locations.....	30,000	30,000
81-D-120 Control of effluents & pollutants, Y-12 Plant, Oak Ridge, TN.....	1,000	1,000
Unobligated balances.....	---	-5,000
Subtotal, Construction.....	490,700	378,100
Subtotal, Production and surveillance.....	2,384,815	2,151,415
D. Program direction		
Operating expenses		
1. Weapons program .....	55,930	55,930
Subtotal, Weapons activities.....	3,972,625	3,751,725
(Operating expenses).....	(3,153,125)	(3,058,225)
(Plant and Capital).....	(819,500)	(693,500)
II. Materials production		
A. Reactor operations		
Operating expenses.....	497,745	484,245
Construction:		
83-D-180 Facility storage modifications, various locations .....	6,500	---
83-D-146 Water pollution control, FMPC, Fernald, Ohio.....	4,000	4,000
82-D-126 Reactor safety and reliability, various locations.....	6,000	6,000
81-D-142 Steam transfer header, Savannah River Plant, SC.....	7,400	7,400
Subtotal, Construction.....	23,900	17,400
Subtotal, Reactor operations.....	521,645	501,645
B. Processing of nuclear materials		
Operating expenses - Processing of nuc. mat... Operating expenses - Special isotope sep. res	287,595 40,000	287,595 40,000
Subtotal Operating expenses.....	327,595	347,595
Construction:		
84-D-130 Modification processing facility, substation, Savannah River, SC.....	5,600	5,600
84-D-135 Process Facility Modifications Purex.....	---	7,500
83-D-142 Fuel dissolver off-gas transfer and treatment system, IFFF, INEL, ID.....	4,100	4,100
82-D-201 Special plutonium recovery facs, F- chem separations area, Savannah River, SC....	26,000	26,000
81-D-131 Remote analytical facility upgrade and expansion, IFFF, INEL, ID.....	2,000	2,000
Subtotal, Construction.....	37,700	45,200
Subtotal, Processing of nuclear materials.....	365,295	392,795

	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
<b>C. Supporting services</b>		
Operating expenses.....	212,860	207,960
Construction:		
84-D-125 General plant projects .....	31,000	28,000
84-D-126 Plant engineering and design .....	2,000	1,000
83-D-138 Purex canyon & dissolver filter systems improvements, Richland, WA.....	8,500	8,500
83-D-147 Pollution discharge elimination, Savannah River, SC.....	2,000	2,000
83-D-148 Non-radioactive hazardous waste management, Savannah River, SC.....	3,000	3,000
82-D-118 N-reactor plant security and surveillance, Richland, WA.....	2,400	2,400
82-D-124 Restoration of production cap- abilities, Phases II & III, var locations ...	118,600	104,600
82-D-127 Safeguards improvements, Savannah River Plant, SC.....	7,000	7,000
82-D-128 Plant perimeter security systems upgrade, IFFP, INEL, IDAHO.....	3,000	3,000
82-D-136 Fuel processing facilities upgrade, IFFP, INEL, Idaho.....	18,000	18,000
Subtotal, Construction.....	195,500	177,500
Subtotal, Supporting services.....	408,360	385,460
D. Enriched materials.....	70,000	140,000
E. Capital equipment.....	102,500	98,500
F. Program direction.....	17,500	17,500
Subtotal, Materials Production.....	1,485,300	1,535,900
(Operating expenses).....	(1,125,700)	(1,197,300)
(Plant and Capital).....	(359,600)	(338,600)
<b>III. Defense waste and by-products management</b>		
<b>A. Interim waste management</b>		
Operating expenses.....	221,026	221,026
Construction:		
84-D-150 General plant projects, various locations .....	25,830	22,830
83-D-157 Additional radioactive waste storage facilities, Richland, WA.....	31,000	31,000
82-N-103 Waste handling & isolation facs, Richland, WA.....	3,570	3,570
82-N-107 Rail replacement/Hanford railroad, Richland, WA.....	3,000	3,000
81-T-104 Radioactive waste facs. improves., ORNL, Oak Ridge, TN.....	4,000	4,000
Subtotal, Construction.....	67,400	64,400
Subtotal, Interim waste management.....	288,426	285,426

	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
<b>B. Long-term waste management technology</b>		
Operating expenses.....	64,604	64,604
Construction:		
81-T-105 Defense waste processing facilities, Savannah River, SC.....	142,000	142,000
Subtotal, Long-term waste management technology..	206,604	206,604
<b>C. Terminal storage</b>		
Operating expenses.....	20,800	20,800
Construction:		
77-13-F Waste isolation pilot plant, Delaware Basin, Southeast, NM.....	59,300	59,300
Subtotal, Terminal storage.....	80,100	80,100
<b>D. Byproducts and Beneficial use</b>		
Operating expenses.....	---	10,000
Construction:		
84-D-200 Byproducts beneficial use demo plant, various locations.....	---	5,000
E. Capital equipment.....	33,900	34,500
F. Program direction.....	2,470	2,470
Subtotal, Defense waste & by-products management.	611,500	624,100
(Operating expenses).....	(308,900)	(318,900)
(Plant and Capital ).....	(302,600)	(305,200)
<b>IV. Naval reactors development</b>		
<b>A. Plant development</b>		
Operating expenses.....	59,000	59,000
<b>B. Reactor development</b>		
Operating expenses.....	188,800	188,800
Construction:		
84-N-101 General plant projects .....	2,500	2,500
83-N-102 Additions to radioactive materials laboratory, Schenectady, NY.....	6,500	6,500
82-N-111 Materials facility, Savannah River, SC.....	70,000	70,000
81-T-112 Mods. and additions to prototype fac, various locations.....	24,000	24,000
Subtotal, Construction.....	103,000	103,000
Subtotal, Reactor development.....	291,800	291,800
<b>C. Reactor operation and evaluation</b>		
Operating expenses.....	112,000	112,000
<b>D. Enriched material.....</b>		
	120,000	---
<b>E. Capital equipment.....</b>		
	20,000	20,000
<b>F. Program direction .....</b>		
	10,200	10,200
Subtotal, Naval reactors development.....	613,000	493,000
(Operating expenses).....	(490,000)	(370,000)
(Plant and Capital ).....	(123,000)	(123,000)

	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
<b>V. Other atomic energy defense activities</b>		
<b>A. Verification and control technology</b>		
Operating expenses.....	57,150	57,150
Capital equipment.....	1,750	1,750
Program direction.....	2,750	2,750
Subtotal, Verification and control technology....	61,650	61,650
(Operating expenses).....	(59,900)	(59,900)
(Plant and Capital ).....	(1,750)	(1,750)
<b>B. Nuclear safeguards and security</b>		
Operating expenses.....	41,650	41,650
Capital equipment.....	4,000	4,000
Program direction.....	6,350	6,350
Subtotal Nuclear safeguards and security .....	52,000	52,000
(Operating expenses).....	(48,000)	(48,000)
(Plant and Capital ).....	(4,000)	(4,000)
<b>C. Security investigations - OE.....</b>		
	29,500	29,500
Subtotal Other atomic energy defense activities..	143,150	143,150
<b>TOTAL, ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES.....</b>		
	6,825,575	6,547,875
(Operating expenses).....	(5,215,125)	(5,081,825)
(Plant and Capital ).....	(1,610,450)	(1,466,050)
<b>DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION</b>		
<b>I. Office of the Secretary - Salaries and expenses</b>		
A. Office of the Secretary.....	647	954
B. Other expenses.....	280	348
Subtotal, Office of the Secretary - OE.....	927	1,302
<b>II. General management - salaries</b>		
A. Board of Contract Appeals.....	261	261
B. General Counsel.....	8,727	10,795
C. Inspector General.....	7,071	7,071
D. A/S Management & Administration.....	28,799	36,660
E. A/S Cons., Intersov. & Public Affairs.....	1,990	4,818
F. A/S Conservation and Renewable Energy.....	441	498
G. Regional / Operations Offices.....	46,529	57,569
H. Policy, Planning & Analysis.....	1,323	1,940
I. A/S International Affairs.....	1,170	1,872
J. Office of Minority Economic Impact.....	429	429
Subtotal, Salaries.....	96,740	121,913
<b>III. General management - other expenses</b>		
A. Other salary expenses - OE.....	6,422	8,800
B. Benefits.....	14,491	15,662
C. Travel.....	4,816	5,178
D. Services.....	100,536	110,642
E. Workmens compensation.....	1,387	1,387
F. Minority Economic Impact studies.....	1,100	1,100
G. Capital equipment.....	4,981	4,981
Subtotal, Other expenses.....	133,733	147,750
(Operating expenses).....	(128,752)	(142,769)
(Plant and Capital ).....	(4,981)	(4,981)

	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
<b>IV. Program Support</b>		
A. Policy analysis and system studies - OE.....	3,500	3,500
B. Intergovernmental and Institutional Relations		
Public Affairs - OE.....	150	150
C. International Policy Studies - OE.....	250	250
D. Cost of work for others.....	116,191	116,191
Subtotal, Program support.....	120,091	120,091
<b>V. Miscellaneous revenues.....</b>		
	-209,619	-209,619
FY 1983 Deferred balance carried forward.....	---	-25,000
<b>TOTAL, DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION.....</b>	<b>141,872</b>	<b>156,437</b>
(Operating expenses).....	(346,510)	(361,075)
(Miscellaneous revenues).....	(-209,619)	(-209,619)
(Plant and Capital ).....	(4,981)	(4,981)
<b>ALASKA POWER ADMINISTRATION - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE</b>		
I. Power marketing - Alaska Power Administration		
A. Operating expenses.....	2,469	2,469
B. General investigation.....	100	100
D. Program direction.....	641	641
E. Emergency fund.....	---	200
TOTAL ALASKA POWER ADMINISTRATION.....	3,210	3,410
<b>BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION</b>		
I. Power marketing - Bonneville Administration.....	203,500	123,400
Permanent authority to borrow.....	(201,100)	---
TOTAL BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION.....	203,500	123,400
<b>SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE</b>		
I. Power marketing - Southeastern power administration		
A. Operating and maintenance.....	1,751	1,751
B. Purchase power and wheeling.....	18,843	18,843
TOTAL SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION.....	20,594	20,594
<b>SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE</b>		
I. Power marketing - Southwestern Power Administration		
A. Operation and maintenance.....	9,800	9,800
B. Purchase power and wheeling.....	22,729	22,729
C. Construction.....	3,700	3,700
TOTAL SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION.....	36,229	36,229
<b>WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION - CONSTRUCTION, REHABILITATION, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE</b>		
I. Power marketing - Western Area Power Administration		
A. Construction and rehabilitation.....	78,767	78,767
B. System operation and maintenance.....	72,863	72,863
C. Purchase power and wheeling.....	68,000	68,000
Unobligated balances.....	---	-25,000
TOTAL WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION.....	219,630	194,630

	Budget estimate	Conference allowance
<b>EMERGENCY FUND - WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION</b>		
I. Emergency fund.....	500	500
<b>TOTAL, WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION.....</b>	<b>220,130</b>	<b>195,130</b>
<b>FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION</b>		
I. Oil pipeline regulation.....	6,682	6,682
II. Natural gas regulation		
A. Pipeline certificate regulation.....	15,644	15,644
B. Pipeline rate regulation.....	14,062	14,062
C. Producer certificate regulation.....	2,478	2,478
D. Producer rate regulation.....	3,837	3,837
E. Wellhead pricing.....	7,498	7,498
Subtotal, Natural gas regulation.....	43,519	43,519
III. Hydro power licensing.....	24,707	24,707
IV. Electric power regulation.....	19,674	19,674
General reduction.....	---	-5,000
<b>SUBTOTAL, FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION.....</b>	<b>94,582</b>	<b>89,582</b>
Adjustments:		
Less offsetting revenues.....	-60,000	-60,000
<b>TOTAL, FERC AFTER REVENUES.....</b>	<b>34,582</b>	<b>29,582</b>
<b>NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL FUND</b>		
I. Nuclear waste disposal fund.....	306,675	306,675
<b>TOTAL NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL FUND.....</b>	<b>306,675</b>	<b>306,675</b>
<b>GEOHERMAL RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT FUND</b>		
III. Interest differential payments.....	2,000	2,000
V. Program direction.....	100	100
<b>TOTAL GEOHERMAL RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT FUND.....</b>	<b>2,100</b>	<b>2,100</b>

**TITLE IV—INDEPENDENT AGENCIES**

**APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSION**

*Salaries and expenses*

Amendment No. 36: Appropriates \$2,700,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of \$2,500,000 as proposed by the House.

**FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT**

*Appalachian Regional Development programs*

Amendment No. 37: Appropriates \$145,000,000 for Appalachian Regional Development Programs instead of \$150,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$125,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 38: Earmarks \$100,000,000 for the Appalachian Development Highway System as proposed by the House instead of \$80,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

**NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

*Salaries and expenses*

Amendment No. 39: Appropriates \$465,800,000 as proposed by the House instead of \$466,800,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference allowance includes no funds for the Nuclear Data Link.

**TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY**

*Tennessee Valley Authority fund*

Amendment No. 40: Provides \$125,500,000 for Tennessee Valley Authority Fund instead of \$125,950,000 as proposed by the House and \$122,500,000 as proposed by the Senate.

**Reprogrammings.**—The conferees agree that TVA shall submit to the Committees on appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate all changes to the budget justification material submitted to the Congress or the base tables included in House, Senate or Conference report on annual appropriations measures and take no action on such changes until 30 days after notifying the Committees. Changes of 10 percent or more to any program, project or activity are to be submitted. Any item mentioned in either Committee's report shall be considered an "Item of Special Interest" and shall be subject to these reprogramming requirements irrespective of the other criteria. In addition, all new starts or program cancellations are to be submitted as a reprogramming action.

The conferees are encouraged by recent progress in achieving financial participation in the Northern Alabama Coal Gasification Project and hope that the Synthetic Fuels Corporation will proceed to an early decision.

The following table summarizes the conference agreement on the TVA program:

**CONFERENCE AGREEMENT**

(In thousands of dollars)

	1984 budget estimate	Conference agreement
<b>CAPITAL INVESTMENT</b>		
Water resources:		
Columbia Dam and Reservoir:		
New look at Pickwick Landing Dam	21,000	21,000
Additions and improvements	3,015	3,015
Land and forest resources:		
Recreation facilities	100	100
Land Between the Lakes	190	190
Economic resources: Yellow Creek Port		
Community resources: Local flood damage prevention facilities	610	610
National fertilizer development, chemical facilities:		
Curtain granulation unit		
Railroad tank car replacement	590	590
Suspension fertilizer unit	588	588
Water pollution control facilities	500	500
Fluid fertilizer storage facilities	1,500	1,500
Energy-efficient fertilizer process	1,100	1,100
Optimize ammonia plant	770	770
Replacements, improvements, and pollution abatement and support facilities	1,482	1,482
Ammonia-from-coal facilities	297	297
General services facilities	3,013	3,013
Subtotal, capital investment	34,755	34,755
<b>EXPENSES</b>		
Natural resources:		
Water resources	2,920	2,920
Reservoir system operations	19,490	19,490
Land and forest resources	4,059	4,059
Resource management and development support	2,541	2,541
Land Between the Lakes	6,705	6,705
Economic and community resources:		
Economic resources	8,160	11,160
Community resources	4,680	4,680
Agriculture resources development	3,955	3,955
National fertilizer development	28,615	28,615
Ammonia from coal	6,025	6,025
General services	595	595
Subtotal, expenses	87,745	90,745
Unallocated reduction	-14,000	
Total program financed from appropriations	108,500	125,500
<b>FINANCING</b>		
Appropriations	61,229	78,229
Unobligated balance carried forward	47,271	47,271
Total financing	108,500	125,500

\* Of the total funding for fiscal year 1984 (\$125,500,000), \$47,271,000 shall be derived from funds appropriated in fiscal year 1983 and \$75,229,000 in new appropriations requested in fiscal year 1984.

**TITLE V—GENERAL PROVISIONS**

Amendment No. 41: Deletes language proposed by the House providing for a 3 percent general reduction in various programs in the bill.

**CONFERENCE TOTAL—WITH COMPARISONS**

The total new budget (obligational) authority for the fiscal year 1984 recommended by the Committee of Conference, with comparisons to the fiscal year 1983 amount, the 1984 budget estimates, and the House and Senate bills for 1984 follow:

New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1983	\$14,535,691,000
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1984	14,610,671,000
House bill, fiscal year 1984	14,180,003,000
Senate bill, fiscal year 1984	14,170,853,000
Conference agreement fiscal year 1984	14,307,045,000
Conference agreement compared with:	
New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1983	-228,646,000
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1984	-303,626,000
House bill, fiscal year 1984	+127,042,000
Senate bill, fiscal year 1984	+136,192,000

TOM BEVILL,  
LINDY (MRS. HALE) BOGGS,  
BILL CHAFFELL,  
VIC FAZIO,  
WES WATKINS,  
BILL BONER,  
JAMIE L. WHITTEN,  
JOHN T. MYERS,  
VIRGINIA SMITH,  
ELDON RUDD,  
SILVIO O. CONTE  
(except amendments  
2, 3, 12),

*Managers on the Part of the House.*

MARK O. HATFIELD,  
JAMES A. MCCLURE,  
JAKE GARN,  
THAD COCHRAN,  
JAMES ABDNOR,  
ROBERT KASTEN,  
MACK MATTINGLY,  
PETE V. DOMENICI,  
J. BENNETT JOHNSTON,  
JOHN C. STENNIS,  
ROBERT C. BYRD,  
ERNEST F. HOLLINGS,  
WALTER D. HUDDLESTON,  
QUENTIN BURDICK,  
JIM SASSER,

*Managers on the Part of the Senate.*