LMS/GNO/S31892

2020 Groundwater Monitoring and Inspection Report Gnome-Coach, New Mexico, Site

March 2021

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY | Legacy Management

Available for sale to the public from:

U.S. Department of Commerce
National Technical Information Service
5301 Shawnee Road
Alexandria, VA 22312
Telephone: (800) 553-6847
Fax: (703) 605-6900
E-mail: orders@ntis.gov
Online Ordering https://classic.ntis.gov/help/order-methods/#online

Available electronically at https://www.osti.gov/scitech/

Available for a processing fee to U.S. Department of Energy and its contractors, in paper, from:

U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Scientific and Technical Information
P.O. Box 62
Oak Ridge, TN 37831-0062
Phone: (865) 576-8401
Fax: (865) 576-5728
Email: reports@adonis.osti.gov

Contents

Figures

Tables

Appendixes

Abbreviations

BLM	U.S. Bureau of Land Management
^{137}Cs	cesium-137
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
FR	*Federal Register*
ft	feet
LM	Office of Legacy Management
LTHMP	Long-Term Hydrologic Monitoring Program
LTS&M Plan	Long-Term Surveillance and Maintenance Plan
m/day	meters per day
^{90}Sr	strontium-90
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
WIPP	Waste Isolation Pilot Plant

Executive Summary

The Gnome-Coach, New Mexico, Site in southeastern New Mexico was the location of an underground nuclear test in 1961 and a groundwater tracer test in 1963 that resulted in residual radionuclide contamination at the site. The Long-Term Surveillance and Maintenance Plan for the site describes the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Legacy Management plan for monitoring groundwater (radiochemical sampling and water-level measurements), inspecting the site, maintaining site institutional controls, evaluating and reporting data, and documenting site records and data management processes. These activities are reported annually, and this report summarizes the results from the September 2019 through September 2020 reporting period. The site inspection and annual sampling were conducted on January 28, 2020. At the time of the inspection, a sign near the emplacement shaft was missing, so a new sign was installed and the sign near well USGS-1 reinstalled because it had fallen. The signs around the perimeter of the site were observed as being in good condition, as were the roads, wellheads, and Project Gnome monument. The saltwater disposal well and oil well in Section 35 (API numbers 30-015-43801 and 30-015-42299) have not been drilled, but the well pad for the injection well (API number 0-015-43801) has been constructed. No new applications were received nor were any permits granted to drill groundwater extraction wells or oil wells on the site or in the sections that surround the site during this reporting period.

The groundwater elevation data from the Culebra wells continue to support a regional groundwater flow direction that is generally toward the south but is influenced locally by the pumping in well USGS-1. Groundwater elevation data from these wells continue to show that pumping in well USGS-1 produces an almost immediate water-level drawdown response in wells USGS-4 and USGS-8. Groundwater elevations have been decreasing in the Culebra wells since monitoring began in 2008 but have recently shown an increase that started in January 2020. Groundwater elevations increased in these wells by approximately 1 foot during this reporting period. This is likely the result of the reduced pumping in well USGS-1 and possibly other water supply wells outside the Gnome-Coach site study area. Groundwater elevation data from well LRL-7, which monitors the Coach drift, indicate that water levels have recovered from the well's last sampling event in January 2011. Water levels in reentry well DD-1, which monitors the detonation cavity, continued to rise at a rate of approximately 10 feet per year. Water levels in LRL-7 and DD-1 might not be representative of the Salado Formation and are likely influenced by remnant pressure effects associated with the detonation, the plastic nature of the Salado Formation, and past disposal activities.

Samples were collected from wells USGS-1, USGS-4, and USGS-8 (completed in the Culebra Dolomite) on January 28, 2020, to monitor radionuclide concentrations associated with the tracer test. Laboratory radiochemical results were consistent with the previous year's results. Samples were not collected from wells DD-1 and LRL-7 (completed in the Salado Formation) during the 2020 reporting period. Copies of this report are sent to the individuals on the distribution list provided as Appendix C, and the report is available on the Office of Legacy Management public website at https://www.lm.doe.gov/gnome/Sites.aspx.

This page intentionally left blank

1.0 Introduction

This report presents the groundwater monitoring and site inspection data collected by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Legacy Management (LM) at the Gnome-Coach, New Mexico, Site (Figure 1). The site was the location of an underground nuclear test in 1961 and a radionuclide groundwater tracer test in 1963, which resulted in residual radionuclide contamination in the groundwater and postdetonation features that require long-term oversight. Long-term responsibility for the site was transferred from the DOE National Nuclear Security Administration Nevada Site Office to LM on October 1, 2006. The Long-Term Surveillance and Maintenance Plan (LTS&M Plan) for the site describes LM's plan for monitoring groundwater, inspecting the site, maintaining the institutional controls, evaluating and reporting data, and documenting the site's records and data management processes (DOE 2016b). Figure 1 is a map showing the site location.

This report summarizes the results of the groundwater monitoring and site inspection activities conducted during the September 2019 through September 2020 reporting period. The purpose of these activities is to monitor the groundwater and ensure that the institutional controls are protective of the site and of human health and the environment. This report and the LTS&M Plan are available on the LM public website at https://www.lm.doe.gov/gnome/Sites.aspx. Data collected during this and previous monitoring events (including laboratory results and water-level data) are available on the Geospatial Environmental Mapping System website at https://gems.lm.doe.gov/#site=GNO.

2.0 Site Location and Background

The Gnome-Coach site is approximately 25 miles southeast of Carlsbad in Eddy County, New Mexico (Figure 1). The U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, a predecessor agency to DOE, acquired the site through a land withdrawal from the U.S. Bureau of Land Management (BLM) in the early 1960s for underground nuclear testing through the Plowshare Program (AEC 1962). The Plowshare Program was a research and development initiative started in 1957 to determine the technical and economic feasibility for peaceful applications of nuclear energy. The withdrawal comprises two parcels of land of approximately 680 acres. The larger parcel (640 acres) is where the underground nuclear test and radionuclide tracer test occurred and consists of Section 34 within Township 23 South, Range 30 East. The smaller parcel (40 acres) was used for observation during the underground test and is in Section 10, Township 23 South, Range 30 East. The focus of this report is the 640-acre parcel identified as the Gnome-Coach site, where the underground nuclear test and radionuclide tracer test occurred (Figure 1).

The purpose of the underground nuclear test, identified as Project Gnome, was to study the possibility of converting the energy from nuclear detonations into electricity, investigate the production and retrieval of radioisotopes, measure neutron activation cross sections of specific isotopes, collect data on the characteristics of nuclear explosions in salt formations, and collect data for use in future Plowshare projects (AEC 1962). Preparation for the test began in 1958 and involved multiple agencies. The U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) installed several wells and boreholes to assess the geologic and hydrologic conditions at the site (Section 2.1). The site was determined suitable for the experiment, and a 10-foot (ft)-diameter vertical emplacement shaft was excavated to a depth of 1216 ft (Figure 2). A horizontal drift (Gnome drift) was mined



Figure 1. Location Map for the Gnome-Coach, New Mexico, Site



Figure 2. Site Map for the Gnome-Coach, New Mexico, Site

from the bottom of the shaft, extending 1116 ft to the northeast, ending in a hook shape that was completed in the Salado Formation. The hook shape was designed for placement of the nuclear device and was intended to be self-sealing following the detonation that occurred at a depth of 1184 ft on December 10, 1961. The nuclear device had a reported yield of 3 kilotons (DOE 2015b). Immediately following the detonation, close-in stemming materials failed, and gases from the detonation cavity vented to the atmosphere through the Gnome drift and the emplacement shaft (AEC 1962). The emplacement shaft was cleared, and a new drift was excavated after the detonation to inspect the effects of the detonation. The cavity that resulted from the detonation has dimensions that are well-documented because scientists entered the cavity 5 months after the test in May 1962 (Figure 3). Post-test drilling operations and preparations for another underground nuclear test, identified as Project Coach, began shortly after the Gnome test. The emplacement shaft was restored and deepened to a depth of 1284 ft, and a second horizontal drift, which is called the Coach drift, was mined 1945 ft southeast from the shaft (AEC 1969). The Coach experiment was initially scheduled for 1963 but was canceled, and there were no additional underground nuclear detonations at the site. The site is still referred to as the Gnome-Coach site. Figure 2 is a map showing the site and site features (monitoring wells, emplacement shaft, and the Project Gnome monument). Figure 3 is a cross section that shows these units with the emplacement shaft, the Gnome drift, and the cavity that resulted from the nuclear detonation.

In 1963, USGS conducted a groundwater tracer test in the Culebra Dolomite, a fractured carbonate aquifer that is the most prolific aquifer near the site (Figure 3) and is at a depth of approximately 500 ft near the site. The tracer test was designed to estimate the dispersion coefficient and effective porosity of the Culebra aquifer for evaluating the potential movement of radionuclides (Beetem and Angelo 1964). Wells USGS-4 and USGS-8 were used for the tracer test and are approximately 3100 ft west of the Project Gnome monument, which is directly above the detonation cavity and signifies surface ground zero (Figure 2). Water from the extraction well (USGS-4) was mixed with four dissolved radionuclides (tritium, iodine-131, strontium-90 [^{90}Sr], and cesium-137 [^{137}Cs]), and the solution was pumped into the injection well (USGS-8). The tracer test experiment was performed in two separate phases over 21 days in February and March 1963. Samples were collected at the extraction well (USGS-4) during the test to record the arrival and concentration of each tracer.

2.1 Geology and Hydrology

The Gnome-Coach site is in the northwestern part of the Delaware Basin, a deep, oval, sedimentary basin 75 miles wide and 135 miles long in southeastern New Mexico. The geology and hydrology of this basin are well studied because of oil and gas exploration, mining, and the presence of the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) approximately 8.5 miles north-northeast of the site (measured from the approximate center of each withdrawal boundary). The basin lithology comprises crystalline sedimentary rocks overlain by evaporites that were deposited during the late Permian Period when a warm, shallow sea was blocked from seawater circulation. As the seawater evaporated, the transition from a deep marine environment (limestone and dolomite) to a shallow marine and later dry environment (gypsum, halite, anhydrite, and potassium salts [potash]) resulted in several thousand feet of deposits accumulating on the basin floor (USGS 1962). The basin deposits and the lithostratigraphic units they compose are almost flat to gently dipping to the east and southeast in the vicinity of the Gnome site (USGS 1962).



Figure 3. Stratigraphic Cross Section at the Gnome-Coach, New Mexico, Site

The lithostratigraphic units beneath the Gnome site were defined during the pretest drilling and mining of the emplacement shaft (Figure 3). The Salado Formation, in which the detonation took place, is an approximately 1500-ft-thick bed of halite with potassium minerals and minor amounts of sandstone, siltstone, shale, anhydrite, and gypsum that formed at the site during the Permian Period (USGS 1968). Overlying the Leached Member at the top of the Salado Formation are five thinly bedded members of the Rustler Formation (Figure 3). In ascending order, these are the Lower Member (now referred to as the Los Medanos Member), which primarily consists of clay and silt with some gypsum and anhydrite; the Culebra Dolomite Member; the Tamarisk Member, which consists of anhydrite and gypsum; the Magenta Member, which consists of silty dolomite; and the Forty-Niner Member, a mixture of gypsum and anhydrite (USGS 1968). The youngest Permian sequences in the site area are the thinly bedded siltstones of the Dewey Lake Redbeds Formation. Overlying the Dewey Lake Redbeds Formation are the Gatuna Formation, which was deposited after the Permian Period, and the alluvial sand deposits, which are Quaternary deposits (USGS 1968).

The Culebra Dolomite Member of the Rustler Formation is a widespread, laterally continuous, fractured carbonate aquifer in which the radionuclide tracer test took place. It is approximately 30-ft-thick and is present at depths ranging from approximately 460 to 515 ft at the site (Figure 3). The Culebra is the most prolific aquifer in the vicinity of the site; despite the poor water quality associated with high concentrations of dissolved solids (Mercer 1983), ranchers access it to provide water to their livestock throughout the area. Water-level data collected from wells completed in the Culebra (USGS-1, USGS-4, and USGS-8) (Figure 2) prior to and after the underground test indicate that the Culebra aquifer is confined (under artesian conditions) at the site. These data (historical and recent) also indicate that the aquifer is sensitive to pressure changes. Water-level responses were seen in the observation wells (USGS-1 and USGS-4) immediately following the underground nuclear test (USGS 1962). More recently, wells USGS-4 and USGS-8 have responded to changes in the pumping of groundwater from well USGS-1 (DOE 2017). Groundwater within the Culebra moves through fractures in the dolomite, which is fairly permeable at the site, with hydraulic conductivities measuring approximately 4 meters per day (m/day) (USGS 1971). The hydraulic conductivity decreases to the northeast near the WIPP facility, ranging from 0.27 to 2.7×10^{-3} m/day (DOE 2012b). It is reported that groundwater flow within the Culebra near the WIPP facility is generally to the south (DOE 2012a).

The Salado Formation, in which the nuclear detonation took place, is characterized as a regional aquiclude because of the hydraulic properties of the bedded halite within the formation (DOE 2012b). The plastic nature of salt under pressure of its own weight and that of overlying units results in movement over time that closes openings (fractures and void spaces) within the deposit, making any continuous movement of water through the formation highly unlikely. Permeability testing conducted in the Salado Formation near the WIPP facility measured hydraulic conductivities that were less than 6.5×10^{-9} m/day (DOE 2012b). The low permeability, low porosity, and plastic nature of salt are characteristics that supported the determination that the bedded halite of the Salado Formation is an optimal geologic material to host a nuclear waste repository (SNL 1997). These same characteristics also limit the transport potential of any residual contamination associated with the Gnome detonation cavity, and the assumption is that the detonation cavity and drifts will close over time. Fluids associated with the Salado Formation occur mainly as small fluid inclusions in the halite crystals and also occur between crystal boundaries (interstitial fluid) of the massive crystalline salt formation; there are also fluids in clay seams and anhydrite beds. Wastes were mixed with water and injected through well DD-1 into the detonation cavity for disposal during surface cleanup activities (Section 2.2). Fluid levels in the detonation cavity are monitored by the reentry well DD-1 and in the Coach drift by well LRL-7, both of which are completed in the Salado Formation.

2.2 Summary of Reclamation and Remediation Activities

Cleanup of the surface and shallow subsurface contamination resulting from the underground nuclear testing, post-test drilling, and groundwater tracer test was conducted in 1968 and 1969. A second major cleanup was conducted from 1977 to 1979 (REECO 1981). During this phase of the cleanup, liquid waste was pumped into the cavity through existing boreholes; contaminated material was disposed of in the emplacement shaft and the Coach drift through existing drill holes; uncontaminated equipment was moved offsite; and boreholes were plugged except for those that were retained for use as groundwater monitoring wells (AEC 1969). While conducting a survey and sampling event in 1994, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) identified radiological contamination on the surface and in the shallow subsurface. The DOE National Nuclear Security Administration Nevada Site Office conducted a Corrective Action investigation to assess the extent of contamination at the site. The field investigations were performed from February through June 2002 and in May 2003. Contamination identified during the field investigation was excavated and disposed of offsite. A postremediation surface radiological survey identified areas having radiological concentrations above background, but none of the concentrations were above the action levels determined to be safe for the public. The Corrective Action investigation report (DOE/NNSA 2004) summarizes the results of the investigation. After discussions with the State of New Mexico, it was decided that the site would be administered under the Voluntary Remediation Program. DOE prepared a completion report in accordance with the Voluntary Remediation Program (DOE/NNSA 2005), and a Conditional Certificate of Completion documents that surface remediation activities have been completed in accordance with the Voluntary Remediation Program (NMED 2014).

Subsurface activities have consisted of annual sampling and monitoring of groundwater as part of the Long-Term Hydrologic Monitoring Program (LTHMP). EPA began the LTHMP in 1972 (EPA 1972) and conducted the sampling until 2008, when LM assumed responsibility for sampling. In 2009, LM evaluated the LTHMP to assess the effectiveness of the monitoring network (Figure 1) and to determine future monitoring at the site. The evaluation considered potential transport pathways for contaminant migration from the detonation zone and tracer test area to surrounding receptors. Samples collected from these locations have generally been analyzed for gamma-emitting radionuclides (using high-resolution gamma spectrometry), ^{90}Sr, and tritium (using conventional and electrolytic enrichment methods). Analytical results from more than 30 years of monitoring indicate that groundwater at sample locations outside the land-withdrawal boundary (Figure 1) was not impacted by contamination related to nuclear tests. For this reason, starting in 2010, the monitoring was focused on the monitoring wells within the site boundary (Figure 2). Table 1 provides the monitoring network wells with the purpose for monitoring, the unit monitored, and the frequency for monitoring (sampling and water levels).

Table 1. Gnome-Coach Site Monitoring Well Network

<table>
<tr><th rowspan="2">Well Identification</th><th rowspan="2">Purpose for Monitoring</th><th rowspan="2">Formation/ Unit Monitored</th><th colspan="2">Monitoring Frequency</th></tr>
<tr><th>Sampling</th><th>Water Levels</th></tr>
<tr><td>USGS-1[a]</td><td>Point of access</td><td rowspan="3">Culebra Dolomite</td><td rowspan="3">Annual</td><td rowspan="5">Annual</td></tr>
<tr><td>USGS-4</td><td rowspan="2">Tracer test</td></tr>
<tr><td>USGS-8</td></tr>
<tr><td>LRL-7</td><td>Coach drift</td><td rowspan="2">Salado Formation</td><td rowspan="2">Periodic</td></tr>
<tr><td>DD-1</td><td>Detonation cavity</td></tr>
</table>

Note:

[a] This well has been used since the early 1980s as a point of diversion to provide water for livestock belonging to area ranchers under the BLM water right C01901.

Low-flow bladder pumps were installed in wells USGS-4, USGS-8, and LRL-7 in June 2008 to enhance monitoring at the site. The dedicated bladder pumps were installed to replace the previous sampling method that used a depth-specific bailer and to allow the collection of samples using the low-flow sampling method. Pressure transducers were also installed in the onsite monitoring wells in 2008, 2009, and 2010 to monitor water-level changes. Geophysical well logging was conducted in onsite wells USGS-1, USGS-4, and USGS-8 in April 2010. The well logging was conducted to obtain borehole deviation data from wells USGS-1 and USGS-4, natural gamma radiation data from wells USGS-4 and USGS-8, and downhole video logs from wells USGS-4 and USGS-8. The borehole deviation data allow measured depths to be corrected to true vertical depths to support the calculation of groundwater elevations at site wells that deviate from vertical. The gamma ray logs provide geologic information that can be used to correlate with other wells in the area. The video log images suggest that the well casings are generally in good condition. The 2010 groundwater monitoring and inspection report (DOE 2011) summarizes the well-logging results.

A seismic reflection survey was conducted at the site in early 2011. Seven seismic reflection profiles totaling approximately 13.9 miles were acquired to assist in the interpretation of subsurface conditions (geology and hydrogeology) at and near the site. The survey was designed to image the upper few thousand feet of the section, which includes the Culebra Dolomite (at a depth of about 475 ft at wells USGS-4 and USGS-8) and the detonation (at a depth of 1184 ft) within the Salado Formation. A check-shot survey was acquired in well USGS-4 to calibrate the seismic profiles to the subsurface lithology. Significant features identified that would influence groundwater flow were areas of collapse in the evaporites overlying the Salado Formation and possible faults that cross the site. The seismic survey results are summarized in the 2012 groundwater monitoring and inspection report (DOE 2013).

Well boxes were installed at USGS-4, USGS-8, LRL-7, and DD-1 in 2012 and 2013 to improve wellhead security at the site. This resulted in modifications to the USGS-4 and USGS-8 wellheads. The USGS-1 wellhead was also modified in 2013 to repair damage received from a water truck (DOE 2013). The wellhead modifications established new measuring points on the top of casing for measuring depth to groundwater in these wells. To account for these modifications, the monitoring wells were surveyed by a registered land surveyor in 2014 to provide northings and eastings with new top-of-casing elevations. The wellhead survey data are summarized in the 2014 groundwater monitoring and inspection report (DOE 2015a).

Repairs were made to the wellhead of reentry well DD-1, and a totalizing flow meter was installed at well USGS-1 in January 2015. Repairs to DD-1 were necessary because of vandalism in July 2014 (DOE 2016a). The flow meter was installed in the flow system of water-supply well USGS-1 to monitor total gallons removed from the well. Signs were also installed at the site in April 2015 to inform the public that ground-disturbing activities are not allowed at the site without permission from LM (DOE 2016a). These signs were installed near the emplacement shaft, near well USGS-1, and around the perimeter of the site. The signs fulfill a requirement of the Conditional Certificate of Completion that was issued by the New Mexico Environment Department in 2014. LM’s plan for monitoring and inspecting the site and maintaining the site institutional controls is outlined in the LTS&M Plan for the site (DOE 2016b). The 2015 groundwater monitoring and inspection report documents repairs made to well DD-1 (DOE 2016a).

3.0 Groundwater Monitoring and Inspection Results

The LTS&M Plan provides guidance for groundwater monitoring and inspection activities at the site (DOE 2016b). These activities include working with local agencies and frequent monitoring of public websites to maintain the institutional controls and ensure protectiveness of the site (Section 3.1). The field activities, which were conducted on January 28, 2020, included a site inspection (Section 3.1), downloading data from pressure transducers (Section 3.2), measuring depth-to-groundwater (Section 3.2), and collecting groundwater samples (Section 3.3). The *Sampling and Analysis Plan for U.S. Department of Energy Office of Legacy Management Sites* (LMS/PRO/S04351) provides the procedures used to guide the quality assurance/quality control of the annual sampling and monitoring program. These procedures incorporate standards and guidance from EPA, DOE, and ASTM International. The site inspection and monitoring results are summarized in the following sections.

3.1 Site Inspection and Results

The Gnome-Coach site lands are under federal jurisdiction and administered by BLM. The site (Section 34 within Township 23 South, Range 30 East) was withdrawn from all forms of appropriation associated with mining laws and leasing through Public Land Order 2526 (Volume 26 *Federal Register* page 10279 [26 FR 10279]) on October 26, 1961, which prohibits future oil and gas leasing or mineral claims at the site. The institutional controls and associated restrictions are documented in the LTS&M Plan for the site (DOE 2016b). To maintain protectiveness of the site, the restrictions specific to ground-disturbing activities were provided to BLM (as shape files) for inclusion in their database to increase their visibility and prevent inadvertent intrusion. These restrictions allow BLM to make surface improvements with provisions for avoiding surface features (emplacement shaft, buried debris/salt muck, and monitoring wells) that remain at the site. LM monitors these surface features and drilling activities in the sections surrounding the site to ensure those activities do not impact the site (Figure 4). This includes inspecting the site for evidence of land use changes or significant land disturbances. It also includes evaluating the site roads and inspecting the well network, the signs that inform the public that ground-disturbing activity is not allowed, the concrete cap that covers the emplacement shaft, and the monument for signs of damage, natural deterioration from weather, or vandalism. Figure 4 shows the site (Section 34) and surrounding sections that are monitored for drilling activity.

The site inspection for this reporting period was performed on January 28, 2020. At the time of the inspection, the site wells, roads, concrete cap, and monument at surface ground zero were observed as being in good condition. A sign near the emplacement shaft was missing, so a new sign was installed and the sign near well USGS-1 was reinstalled because it had come loose and fallen to the ground. All the remaining signs around the perimeter of the site were observed as being in good condition. The totalizing flow meter at well USGS-1 used to monitor the total gallons removed from the well was replaced. Appendix A provides photographs of the monument and well DD-1 and the concrete cap that covers the emplacement shaft.



Figure 4. Sections Surrounding the Gnome-Coach, New Mexico, Site

Additional inspection activities and the results are provided below:

- The New Mexico Office of the State Engineer website was accessed to determine whether any new groundwater extraction wells had been permitted in the nine sections in and surrounding the site (Figure 4). There were no new groundwater extraction well permits in the referenced sections during this reporting period (OSE 2020).
- The New Mexico Oil Conservation Division website was accessed to determine whether any new oil well applications had been received or permitted for wells having a planned surface or bottom hole location within the nine sections in and surrounding the site (Figure 4). Oil wells near the site are initially drilled vertically until they near the target depth, where they build angle until they are horizontal, targeting the Brushy Canyon and Bone Spring Formations. The horizontal part of the well is then hydraulically fractured to increase formation permeability and stimulate production. The target depth for production near the site ranges from 7600 to 10,500 ft, which is much deeper than the depth of the nuclear test (1184 ft). The two locations in Section 35 (API numbers 30-015-43801 and 30-015-42299) that were issued permits during the 2016 reporting period (DOE 2017) have yet to be drilled. A well pad has been constructed for an injection well (API number 30-015-43801) that was permitted in 2016 (Figure 4). The injection well will be used to dispose of wastewater at a depth of 16,500 ft. No new well applications were permitted for the sections in and surrounding the site during this reporting period (OCD 2020).
- The USGS Earthquake Hazards Program provides notifications of any seismic events near the site. No seismic events having a magnitude of 1.5 or greater were reported within 25 miles of the Gnome-Coach site during this reporting period (USGS 2020).
- The LM public website was updated during this reporting period to include the 2019 groundwater monitoring and inspection report (DOE 2020).

The LM public website is routinely updated to allow the public and stakeholders to access the most current site information. LM also monitors the above mentioned public websites (water well drilling and extraction, oil well drilling, wastewater injection, and seismic activity) to assess for any potential impacts these activities may have at the site. The hydraulic fracturing process used to stimulate oil and gas production uses millions of gallons of water for each well, much of which flows back during production as produced water. The produced water (a combination of fracturing water and formation water) is brine and is typically disposed of as wastewater in injection wells. The two injection wells in Section 33 (API numbers 30-015-26084 and 30-015-31744) that were abandoned in February 2018 were used to dispose of wastewater from 2001 through 2013. These wells injected wastewater at depths ranging from 8000 to 14,500 ft. It has been documented that wastewater injection wells caused earthquakes as great as magnitude 4.5 (damaging surface structures) in Oklahoma before regulations were in place to limit injection rates and pressures. LM will continue to monitor these activities for any impacts to the site.

3.2 Water-Level Monitoring and Results

The monitoring well network consists of three wells completed in the Culebra Dolomite (USGS-1, USGS-4, and USGS-8) and two wells completed in the Salado Formation (DD-1 and LRL-7). LM began monitoring water levels in these wells in 2008, shortly after assuming responsibility for the site. This includes measuring depth-to-water manually in all wells in the network during the site visits. Water levels in the Culebra wells are recorded more frequently using pressure transducers to evaluate short-term and long-term changes in the aquifer. Water levels in the Salado wells are no longer recorded using pressure transducers due to the high-salinity water that limits transducer life and the absence of short-term variations in the water levels observed by the past transducer data. Table 2 presents the depth-to-water data with groundwater elevations measured during the inspection, along with the top-of-casing elevations, the top and bottom screen-zone elevations, and the formation monitored for the wells. The vertical datum used to document the top-of-casing elevations was changed in this year's report from U.S. State Plane, Zone New Mexico East, coordinate system, with vertical data based on NGVD 29 to the vertical datum based on NGVD 88. This change resulted in an increase in the groundwater elevations of approximately 1.5 ft from the last report.

Table 2. Gnome-Coach Site Monitoring Well Network Water Levels

Well	Date	DTW (ft)[a]	TOC Elevation (ft amsl)	TSZ Elevation (ft amsl)	BSZ Elevation (ft amsl)	Formation/ Unit Monitored	Groundwater Elevation (ft amsl)
USGS-1	1/28/2020	439.66[b]	3428.72	2909[c]	2877[c]	Culebra Dolomite	2989.15[c]
USGS-4	1/28/2020	431.60	3415.84	2942[c]	2909[c]		2989.20[c]
USGS-8	1/28/2020	424.32	3413.37	2949[c]	2917[c]		2989.05[c]
LRL-7	1/28/2020	462.35	3444.64	2655[d]	2129[d]	Salado Formation	2982.29[d]
DD-1	1/28/2020	923.52	3399.53[e]	2261[d]	U/NM		2476.01[d]

Notes:
The TOC elevations are provided in U.S. State Plane, Zone New Mexico East, coordinate system, with vertical data based on NGVD 88 (DOE 2015a).
[a] Depth to water has not been corrected for true vertical depth.
[b] Well USGS-1 has a dedicated submersible pump that was not operating at the time of the water level measurement.
[c] Elevation has been corrected for true vertical depth. (At the current water-level depths, the deviation correction for USGS-1 is 0.09 ft; the deviation correction for USGS-4 is 4.96 ft; and no correction is required for USGS-8 because it did not deviate from vertical.)
[d] Elevations for LRL-7 and DD-1 have not been corrected for true vertical depth because borehole deviation data are not available for these wells.
[e] TOC elevation is estimated because of repairs to the wellhead after the well was vandalized in 2014 (DOE 2016a).

Abbreviations:
BSZ = bottom of screen zone, uncased, open, or perforated interval in ft amsl
DTW = depth to water (all measurements obtained from north top-of-casing)
ft amsl = feet above mean sea level
NGVD 88 = National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1988
TOC = top-of-casing elevation in ft amsl (NGVD 88)
TSZ = top of screen zone, uncased, open, or perforated interval in ft amsl
U/NM = unknown or not measured (the construction and open intervals of reentry well DD-1 are unknown)

The transducer data were downloaded and water levels measured manually in the site wells on January 28, 2020. The transducer data were downloaded again on September 11, 2020. The manual water levels were used along with the top-of-casing elevations to convert the transducer data to groundwater elevations, which are presented as hydrographs to show data from the time monitoring began in 2008 (Figure 5 and Figure 8). The hydrographs are grouped according to each well's open interval and formation monitored. Shorter time-intervals of the Culebra well hydrographs are shown in Figure 6 and Figure 7 to highlight specific groundwater responses. Groundwater elevations from manual water-level measurements are shown as individual data point symbols, and transducer data appear as lines. These data were corrected for the specific gravity of water for each screened unit. The specific gravity of water from Culebra-screened wells is approximately 1.0035, and the specific gravity of water from Salado-screened wells is approximately 1.15. Borehole deviation data are available for wells USGS-1, USGS-4, and USGS-8, so groundwater elevation data from these wells include a correction for true vertical depth (Figure 5, Figure 6, and Figure 7). Borehole deviation data are not available for wells DD-1 and LRL-7, so groundwater elevations from these wells are approximate (Figure 8).

Groundwater elevation data were evaluated with the historical data to assess changes in the groundwater flow system. The hydrographs for wells USGS-1, USGS-4, and USGS-8 (completed in the Culebra Dolomite) are shown in Figure 5. The pump in well USGS-1 cycles on and off to maintain a constant volume in the nearby water tank that supplies water to livestock in the area. The volume of water in the tank is maintained by a float switch, which activates the pump in well USGS-1 to supply water to the tank at a rate of approximately 15 gallons per minute. When the pump cycles on, water levels in USGS-1 drop by approximately 5 ft (Figure 6). The totalizing flow meter installed at this well has been difficult to maintain because the relatively high salt content (total dissolved solids) causes buildup on flow meter parts over time. For this reason, the flow meter is replaced annually during the site inspections. The flow meter was not working at the time of the inspection, and it indicated that only 3000 gallons of water was removed from October 2019 (end of last year's reporting period) through January 28, 2020. A new flow meter was installed during the January inspection and at the end of the reporting period it indicated that more than 6 acre-feet of water had been removed from January 28 through September 11, 2020. Transducer data from well USGS-1 supports the determination that the flow meter failed in the first half of the reporting period, because data indicate that pumping durations were longer during the first half of the reporting period. This is evident in the average monthly groundwater elevation in well USGS-1, which shows that it increased from the lows in December 2019 (Figure 6). Figure 6 is the hydrograph showing groundwater elevations for the Culebra wells during this reporting period.



Figure 5. Hydrograph Showing Groundwater Elevations in Culebra Wells



Figure 6. Hydrograph Showing Groundwater Elevations in Culebra Wells for 2020 Reporting Period



Figure 7. Hydrograph Showing Groundwater Elevation Response in USGS-4 and USGS-8 to Pumping USGS-1



Figure 8. Hydrograph Showing Water Elevations in Reentry Wells DD-1 and LRL-7

Groundwater elevation data from the Culebra wells continue to support a regional groundwater flow direction that is generally toward the south but is locally influenced by the pumping in well USGS-1. These data also continue to show that pumping in well USGS-1 produces an almost immediate water-level drawdown response in wells USGS-4 and USGS-8 which are about 2350 ft to the northwest (Figure 7). Groundwater elevations have been decreasing in the Culebra wells since monitoring began in 2008 (Figure 5) but have recently shown an increase that started in January 2020. Groundwater elevations increased in the Culebra wells by approximately 1 ft during this reporting period (Figure 6). This is likely the result of the reduced pumping in well USGS-1, and possibly other pumping wells in the area. Historical information on the total gallons removed from this well is limited, because of difficulties with maintaining the flow meter. Since historical data on the total gallons removed from well USGS-1 is limited, it is difficult to attribute the decreasing water levels solely to the pumping at well USGS-1.

Fluid levels in the detonation cavity are monitored by well DD-1 and in the Coach drift by well LRL-7, which are both in the Salado Formation. The hydrograph for wells DD-1 and LRL-7 are shown in Figure 8. The water elevations in these wells are likely not indicative of the Salado Formation, because of their connection to the large unnatural openings and their use for disposal of liquid waste during site cleanup activities in late 1960s and 1970s. Water elevations in well LRL-7 (primary vertical axis) indicate that water levels are no longer rising and have recovered from the last sampling event in January 2011. Water elevations in well DD-1 (secondary vertical axis) indicate that water levels continue to rise in this well at a rate of approximately 10 ft per year. Factors that influence water levels in wells LRL-7 and DD-1 include the slow refilling of the detonation cavity due to limited groundwater flow within the Salado, remnant pressure effects associated with the detonation, the plastic nature of the Salado Formation, and injections of liquefied waste material associated with past disposal activities. Water elevations from wells DD-1 and LRL-7 are approximate because borehole deviation data are not available for these wells (Figure 8).

3.3 Groundwater Sampling and Results

The well network is designed to monitor the sources of radionuclide contamination (underground nuclear test and tracer test) and the point of access (well USGS-1). The monitoring of these wells was initiated in 1972. The monitoring wells completed in the Culebra Dolomite (USGS-1, USGS-4, and USGS-8) are sampled annually for the radionuclides of interest (tritium, ^{137}Cs, and ^{90}Sr) used during the tracer test in 1963. Iodine-131 was also used during the tracer test, but because of its short half-life (8 days) it is no longer present at the site. Wells completed in the Salado Formation (LRL-7 and DD-1) are sampled less frequently because of the low permeability of the Salado Formation and limited potential for transport. Table 3 presents a summary of laboratory radiochemical results from 2012 through 2020 for comparison.

The monitoring wells USGS-1, USGS-4, and USGS-8 were sampled on January 28, 2020. Samples were not collected from wells DD-1 and LRL-7 during this monitoring event. Wells USGS-4 and USGS-8 were sampled using dedicated low-flow submersible bladder pumps. The tubing inlets of the bladder pumps are located in the screened or open interval to allow water to be collected directly from the adjacent geologic formation. The samples from well USGS-1 were collected as a grab sample using the dedicated pump that fills the nearby water tank. Samples were analyzed for gamma-emitting radionuclides (using high-resolution gamma spectrometry), ^{90}Sr, and tritium (using conventional methods). The analytical results were

Table 3. Radiochemical Analytical Results 2012–2020

Sample Location	Collection Date	Tritium (pCi/L)	Tritium Enriched Method (pCi/L)	^{137}Cs (pCi/L)	^{90}Sr (pCi/L)	Formation/ Unit Monitored
USGS-1	1/18/2012	<240	<2.33	<5.69	<0.728	Culebra Dolomite
	1/18/2012[a]	<243	NA	<6.82	<0.794	
	1/29/2013	<371	<2.18	<4.68	<0.909	
	1/29/2013[a]	<371	NA	<5.97	<0.716	
	2/19/2014	NA	<2.4	<5.68	<0.987	
	2/19/2014[a]	<298	NA	<4.81	<1.08	
	1/27/2015	NA	<2.24	<6.77	<0.722	
	1/27/2016	<364	<2.91	<6.08	<0.974	
	2/7/2017	<357	<3.1	<4.92	1.78, <0.85	
	7/12/2017	<365	NA	NA	<0.689	
	2/6/2018	<344	<2.8	<2.67	<0.852	
	2/12/2019	<130	NA	<6.0	<0.57	
	1/28/2020	<110	NA	<4.1	<0.65	
USGS-4	1/18/2012	9110	NA	<5.62	884	Culebra Dolomite
	1/30/2013	10,200	NA	<5.33	987	
	2/19/2014	7680	NA	<5.85	1780	
	1/27/2015	6030	NA	<4.85	1740	
	1/27/2016	5240	NA	<6.03	1420	
	2/7/2017	4470	NA	<3.09	1050	
	2/6/2018	4102	NA	<2.55	1906	
	2/6/2018[a]	NA	NA	<2.77	1828	
	2/12/2019	4070	NA	<7.8	2260	
	1/28/2020	3700	NA	<6.2	2100	
USGS-8	1/18/2012	21,700	NA	154	1400	Culebra Dolomite
	1/29/2013	20,900	NA	174	1580	
	2/19/2014	18,400	NA	176	1640	
	1/27/2015	17,400	NA	123	2650	
	1/27/2015[a]	16,400	NA	128	2480	
	1/27/2016	16,400	NA	142	2410	
	1/27/2016[a]	16,100	NA	166	2270	
	2/7/2017	11,300	NA	149	1640	
	2/7/2017[a]	11,600	NA	141	1670	
	2/12/2019	10,500	NA	142	3260	
	2/12/2019[a]	11,000	NA	127	3310	
	1/28/2020	10,600	NA	145	3280	
	1/28/2020[a]	10,000	NA	136	3250	

Note:
[a] Indicates a field duplicate sample.

Abbreviations:
NA = not analyzed
pCi/L = picocuries per liter

validated in accordance with Section 5.0, "Validation of Laboratory Data," in the *Environmental Data Validation Procedure* (LMS/PRO/S15870). Samples were analyzed using accepted procedures based on the specified methods. The laboratory radiochemical minimum detectable concentrations reported with these data are an estimate of the predicted detection capability of a given analytical procedure, not an absolute concentration that can or cannot be detected. A copy of the data validation memo is available upon request.

Laboratory radiochemical results from the January 2020 monitoring event were consistent with the previous year's results (Table 3). Concentrations of tritium, ^{137}Cs, and ^{90}Sr in the samples collected from wells USGS-4 and USGS-8 are the result of radionuclides injected during the tracer test in 1963. Concentrations are higher in well USGS-8, the tracer-test injection well, than in well USGS-4, the tracer-test extraction well. A field duplicate sample was collected from well USGS-8 and the results met the LM established acceptance criteria. Laboratory results of the sample from well USGS-1 indicate no detection of radionuclides above the laboratory minimum detectable concentration. Table 3 presents a summary of laboratory radiochemical results from 2011 through 2019 for comparison.

Figures B-1 through B-7 in Appendix B show temporal plots of radionuclide concentrations (1972–2019) in samples collected from wells LRL-7, USGS-4, and USGS-8. Sample results from well USGS-1 are not included because concentrations of tritium (using conventional methods), ^{90}Sr, and ^{137}Cs have not been detected above the laboratory minimum detectable concentration in this well since monitoring began in 1972. The detection of ^{90}Sr in the February 2017 sample collected from USGS-1 was attributed to laboratory contamination (DOE 2018). Concentrations are plotted on a semilogarithmic scale, and all sample results, including nondetects, are plotted. Several results from sampling events before the late 1980s had no reported detection limit, as shown in the charts. For interpretation purposes, relatively high concentrations (i.e., concentrations significantly higher than detection limits associated with subsequent sampling) should be considered detections. The natural decay rates for tritium (12.3-year half-life), ^{90}Sr (28.8-year half-life), and ^{137}Cs (30.2-year half-life) have been included on the charts for reference. The increases in tritium concentrations in samples collected from well LRL-7 (Figure B-1) and ^{137}Cs concentrations in samples collected from wells USGS-8 and LRL-7 (Figure B-4 and Figure B-6) after the 2007 sampling event are attributed to changes in the sampling method. Prior to 2008, EPA collected samples using a depth-specific bailer, and starting in 2008 LM collected samples from dedicated bladder pumps using the low-flow sampling method. Tritium concentrations in samples collected from well USGS-4 (Figure B-1) continue to decrease at a rate that is greater than the natural decay rate for tritium.

4.0 Summary and Conclusions

The site inspection and annual sampling were conducted on January 28, 2020. At the time of the inspection, a sign near the emplacement shaft was missing so a new sign was installed and the sign near well USGS-1 was reinstalled because it had fallen. The signs around the perimeter of the site were observed as being in good condition, as were the roads, wellheads, concrete cap, and Project Gnome monument. The saltwater disposal well and oil well in Section 35 (API numbers 30-015-43801 and 30-015-42299) have not been drilled, but the well pad for the injection well (API number 30-015-43801) has been constructed. No new applications were received nor were any permits granted to drill groundwater extraction wells or oil wells on the site or in the sections that surround the site during this reporting period.

The groundwater elevation data from the Culebra wells continue to support a regional groundwater flow direction that is generally toward the south but is influenced locally by the pumping in well USGS-1. Groundwater elevation data from these wells continue to show that pumping in well USGS-1 produces an almost immediate water-level drawdown response in wells USGS-4 and USGS-8. Groundwater elevations have been decreasing in the Culebra wells since monitoring began in 2008 but have recently shown an increase that started in January 2020. Groundwater elevations increased in the Culebra wells by approximately 1 ft during this reporting period. This is likely the result of the reduced pumping in well USGS-1, and possibly other water supply wells outside the Gnome-Coach site study area. Groundwater elevation data from well LRL-7, which monitors the Coach drift, indicate that water levels have recovered from the well's last sampling event in January 2011. Water levels in reentry well DD-1, which monitors the detonation cavity, continued to rise at a rate of approximately 10 ft per year. Water levels in LRL-7 and DD-1 might not be representative of the Salado Formation and are likely influenced by remnant pressure effects associated with the detonation, the plastic nature of the Salado Formation, and past disposal activities.

Samples were collected from wells USGS-1, USGS-4, and USGS-8 (completed in the Culebra Dolomite) on January 28, 2020, to monitor radionuclide concentrations associated with the tracer test. Samples were not collected from wells DD-1 and LRL-7 (completed in the Salado Formation) during the 2020 reporting period. Laboratory radiochemical results obtained from this monitoring event were consistent with the previous year's results. Copies of this report are sent to the individuals on the distribution list provided as Appendix C and a copy is available on the LM public website at https://www.lm.doe.gov/gnome/Sites.aspx.

5.0 References

26 FR 10279. "New Mexico; Public Land Order; Withdrawing Lands for Use of Atomic Energy Commission," *Federal Register*, November 2, 1961.

AEC (U.S. Atomic Energy Commission), 1962. *Project Manager's Report, Project Gnome, Plowshare Program*, NVO-1, Washington, D.C.

AEC (U.S. Atomic Energy Commission), 1969. *Site Disposal Report, Carlsbad (Gnome/Coach) Nuclear Test Site, Eddy County, New Mexico*, NVO-41, prepared by Holmes & Narver Inc., Las Vegas, Nevada.

Beetem, W.A., and C.G. Angelo, 1964. *Tracer Study at Project Gnome Site, near Carlsbad, New Mexico: Background Information*, Technical Letter: Carlsbad Hydrology-2, U.S. Geological Survey.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2011. *2010 Groundwater Monitoring and Inspection Report, Gnome-Coach Site, New Mexico*, LMS/GNO/S07114, Office of Legacy Management, February.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2012a. *Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Annual Site Environmental Report for 2011*, DOE/WIPP-12-3489, Carlsbad, New Mexico, September.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2012b. *Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit, Attachment L, WIPP Groundwater Detection Monitoring Program Plan*, Carlsbad, New Mexico, October.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2013. *2012 Groundwater Monitoring and Inspection Report, Gnome-Coach, New Mexico*, *Site*, LMS/GNO/S09337, Office of Legacy Management, March.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2015a. *2014 Groundwater Monitoring and Inspection Report, Gnome-Coach, New Mexico, Site,* LMS/GNO/S12309, Office of Legacy Management, January.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2015b. *United States Nuclear Tests, July 1945 through September 1992*, DOE/NV—209-Rev 16, National Nuclear Security Administration, Nevada Field Office, September.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2016a. *2015 Groundwater Monitoring and Inspection Report, Gnome-Coach, New Mexico, Site,* LMS/GNO/S13360, Office of Legacy Management, January.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2016b. *Long-Term Surveillance and Maintenance Plan for the Gnome-Coach, New Mexico, Site,* LMS/GNO/S12934, Office of Legacy Management, June.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2017. *2016 Groundwater Monitoring and Inspection Report, Gnome-Coach, New Mexico, Site*, LMS/GNO/S15149, Office of Legacy Management, January.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2018. *2017 Groundwater Monitoring and Inspection Report, Gnome-Coach, New Mexico, Site*, LMS/GNO/S17298, Office of Legacy Management, November.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 2020. *2019 Groundwater Monitoring and Inspection Report, Gnome-Coach, New Mexico, Site*, LMS/GNO/S27436, Office of Legacy Management, April.

DOE/NNSA (U.S. Department of Energy National Nuclear Security Administration), 2004. *Surface Corrective Action Investigation Report for the Gnome-Coach Site, New Mexico*, DOE/NV–926, May.

DOE/NNSA (U.S. Department of Energy National Nuclear Security Administration), 2005. *Voluntary Remediation Program Completion Report, Gnome-Coach Site, New Mexico*, Rev. 1, DOE/NV–1077, December.

Environmental Data Validation Procedure, LMS/PRO/S15870, continually updated, prepared by Navarro Research and Engineering, Inc., for the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Legacy Management.

EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency), 1972. *Environmental Monitoring Report for the Nevada Test Site and Other Test Areas Used for Underground Nuclear Detonations*, NERC-LV-539-23, National Environmental Research Center, Las Vegas, Nevada, May.

Mercer, J.W., 1983. *Geohydrology of the Proposed Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Site, Los Medaños Area, Southeastern New Mexico*, U.S. Geological Survey, Water-Resources Investigations Report 83-4016.

NMED (New Mexico Environment Department), 2014. *Issuance of the Conditional Certificate of Completion for the Gnome-Coach Site (Surface and Shallow Subsurface) Near Carlsbad, NM, VRP Site No. 53043003*, letter dated September 25.

OCD (New Mexico Oil Conservation Division), 2020. "New Mexico Oil Conservation Division Permitting," https://wwwapps.emnrd.state.nm.us/ocd/ocdpermitting/Data/Wells.aspx, last accessed November 20, 2020.

OSE (New Mexico Office of the State Engineer), 2020. "New Mexico Water Rights Reporting System," http://nmwrrs.ose.state.nm.us/nmwrrs/wellSurfaceDiversion.html, last accessed November 20, 2020.

REECO (Reynolds Electrical & Engineering Company Inc.), 1981. *Gnome Site Decontamination and Decommissioning Project—Radiation Contamination Clearance Report*, DOE/NV/004410-59, Las Vegas, Nevada, August.

Sampling and Analysis Plan for U.S. Department of Energy Office of Legacy Management Sites, LMS/PRO/S04351, continually updated, prepared by Navarro Research and Engineering, Inc., for the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Legacy Management.

SNL (Sandia National Laboratories), 1997. *Evaluation of Methods for Measuring Relative Permeability of Anhydrite from the Salado Formation: Sensitivity Analysis and Data Reduction*, SAND94-1346, Albuquerque, New Mexico, May.

USGS (U.S. Geological Survey), 1962. *Hydrologic and Geologic Studies for Project Gnome—Preliminary Report,* PNE-l30P, May.

USGS (U.S. Geological Survey), 1968. *Geologic Studies, Project Gnome, Eddy County, New Mexico,* U.S. Geologic Survey Professional Paper 589.

USGS (U.S. Geological Survey), 1971. *Geohydrology of Project Gnome Site, Eddy County, New Mexico – Hydrology of Nuclear Test Sites,* U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 712-A.

USGS (U.S. Geological Survey), 2020. Earthquake Track, "Recent Earthquakes near Carlsbad, New Mexico, United States," https://earthquaketrack.com/us-nm-carlsbad/recent, last accessed November 20, 2020.

This page intentionally left blank

Appendix A

Photographic Documentation

This page intentionally left blank

Photograph A-1. Looking northeast at the Project Gnome monument and reentry well DD-1

Photograph A-2. Looking northeast at the concrete cap that covers the Project Gnome emplacement shaft and the sign that notifies the public of no excavating or digging without permission

Photograph A-3. Looking east at the concrete cap that covers the Project Gnome emplacement shaft

Photograph A-4. Looking southeast at well USGS-1, the water storage tank, and the sign that notifies the public of no excavating or digging without permission

Appendix B

Well Concentration Plots

This page intentionally left blank



Figure B-1. Tritium Concentrations at Wells USGS-4, USGS-8, and LRL-7



Figure B-2. Cesium-137 Concentrations at Well USGS-4



Figure B-3. Strontium-90 Concentrations at Well USGS-4



Figure B-4. Cesium-137 Concentrations at Well USGS-8



Figure B-5. Strontium-90 Concentrations at Well USGS-8



Figure B-6. Cesium-137 Concentrations at Well LRL-7



Figure B-7. Strontium-90 Concentrations at Well LRL-7

Appendix C

Report Distribution List

This page intentionally left blank

Report Distribution List

Recipient	Copies
U.S. Department of Energy Office of Scientific and Technical Information P.O. Box 62 Oak Ridge, TN 37831-0062 865-576-8401	1 (Uncontrolled, electronic copy)
New Mexico Environment Department (Brownfields and Voluntary Remediation Ground Water Quality Bureau) Rebecca Cook Team Lead 1190 South St. Francis Drive P.O. Box 5469 Santa Fe, NM 87502-5469	1 (Uncontrolled)
U.S. Department of Energy Carlsbad Field Office George Basabilvazo, Anderson Ward, and Ruthie Brown 4021 National Parks Highway Carlsbad, NM 88220	3 (Uncontrolled, electronic copy)
U.S. Bureau of Land Management Ty Allen and Chelsie Dugan Assistant Field Manager and Hydrologist 620 E. Greene Street Carlsbad, NM 88220	2 (Uncontrolled)

This page intentionally left blank