

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, September 21, 2012 3:29 PM  
**To:** Acone, Scott E NAE  
**Cc:** Stephen Wenzel; Melissa G. Taylor; Michael P. Last; John D'Agostino; Garth Patterson; Senator James Timilty; Elizabeth A. Poirier; Jay Barrows; Tim Giblin; Brad Bramwell; Jennifer Carlino; Leon Dumont; Ron O'Reilly  
**Subject:** Re: ALI\_\_COUNCILMANS\_MEETING\_HOT\_POINTS[1] (UNCLASSIFIED)  
**Categories:** Shpack

Scott,

I appreciate your response.

We are not talking about municipal waste - as in trash, or shredded paper either.

Nor is EndCap hauling in clean dry soil or sand for their 650,000 cubic yards of 'shaping and grading' materials.

This is Undesirable 'Slightly Contaminated' Debris from Jay Cashman Inc. excavation and construction projects (formerly from the Big Dig or Greenbush Rail Line).

Materials which have been mentioned by EndCap include: Urban Setting Soils (highway - asphalt etc.?), Construction and Demolition Debris (buildings - brick, concrete, wood etc.), Dredge (marine?), Catch Water Basin Cleanings, and Street Sweepings.

The trucks being used are 18 wheeler dump trucks.

The whole purpose of trucking in such an extremely excessive amount of crap is to generate funds for the owner of a private for profit enterprise, who claims he cannot afford even the minimal capping (only 30,000 cubic yards of clean materials needed) and/or the 30 year

monitoring and maintenance of the entire landfill.

The cost of everything is estimated at 3 million 1 hundred thousand dollars.

Cannot understand how EndCap can estimate a tonnage without identifying the material, most of which have different weights.

They also plan to be bringing this stuff from a variety of sites in Boston, Ct. and RI.

Not easy to find an area that is willing to take these materials, therefore there are huge profits in getting rid of them. And really nifty that MassDEP has made special allowances for whatever - when the debris is piled up (in this case to an elevation of 110 feet to connect with the ALI Phase A Mound) on an area that will be 'capped'.

And then of course the Department (which is still tied up in court after 7 years over an enforcement action ALI appealed) may at long last get to cross the Attleboro Landfill off their list of sites that still need to be capped.

Although it will be past the deadline time for comments (which is next Friday, Sept. 28th) when we have our Shpack Meeting at the library to discuss ERM's 100% Design for the Shpack Superfund Site, EndCap's ALI Capping Proposal will also be on the agenda.

The Norton Board of Selectmen and CAST have insisted that the principal parties involved with remediation of the Shpack FUSRAP/Superfund Site be contacted, advised and expected to review the EndCap proposal and its potential impacts on the Shpack Site (short term - trucking conflicts on Union Rd., long term - the creation of another Mound of 'slightly contaminated materials' abutting the Shpack Site).

I will not be satisfied waiting for EndCap to ask the Army Corps, US EPA, the

Shpack Steering Committee (PRP Group) or ERM for input.

We need a proactive approach on this - with all of you contacting EndCap to provide all of the information on their ALI Capping Project, including 'Design'.

Garth Patterson will be with us on this.

Will send all the information I have on the EndCap Project well in advance of our Oct.16th

Shpack Meeting.

Thanks for your help,

Heather

---

From: "Scott E NAE Acone" <Scott.E.Acone@usace.army.mil>  
To: [REDACTED], "Stephen Wenzel" <[REDACTED]>  
Sent: Friday, September 21, 2012 1:26:37 PM  
Subject: RE: ALI\_\_COUNCILMANS\_MEETING\_HOT\_POINTS[1] (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED  
Caveats: NONE

Hi Heather...sorry if I'm late in my reply.

Yes – tonnage depends upon how dense the material is.

Assuming it's municipal solid waste either in compactor trucks or somewhat compacted, it's probably about 1,000 lbs/cubic yard.

So, 780,000 tons could be as much as 1.5M cubic yards.

If the material is more dense, wet, or even lighter (shredded paper), etc – it will obviously change that ratio.

Hope that helps.

Scott

Scott Acone  
US Army Corps of Engineers  
978-318-8162 (office)  
978-318-8891 (fax)

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]]  
Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2012 11:54 AM  
To: Acone, Scott E NAE; Stephen Wenzel  
Subject: Fwd: ALI\_\_COUNCILMANS\_MEETING\_HOT\_POINTS[1]

Scott and Steve,

Please see Attached Memorandum.

2. I do not know how to convert 650,000 cubic yards into tons.

Would that not depend on the type of material: sand, wet soil, wood, brick,  
concrete?

We were saying the 650,000 cubic yards equaled One Million, Two Hundred Thousand Tons of Material.

EndCap is stating the total tonnage is 780,000.

Can you help me out here?

I really have appreciated assistance from both of you with the technical stuff.

Not my schtick.

Safe to say: Hauling in 650,000 cubic yards of material would require 27,000 trucks. Thanks for doing the math for me Scott.

Steve - When you have some spare time. maybe you could review this entire document, and advise me if there are any inconsistencies or (God Forbid) untruths...

Interesting turn of events - we are finally getting through to someone other than EndCap's attorney Richard Nylan. Perhaps because we called him a liar!

Thanks guys.

The beat goes on...

Steve - If you did not attend the Attleboro Ward 4 Meeting last night, catch it online: [www.attleboropatch.com](http://www.attleboropatch.com) <<http://www.attleboropatch.com/>> .

Heather

---

From: [REDACTED] <mailto:[REDACTED]>  
To: "heathergraf1" <[REDACTED]> <mailto:[REDACTED]> >  
Sent: Wednesday, September 19, 2012 11:48:40 PM  
Subject: Fwd: ALI\_\_COUNCILMANS\_MEETING\_HOT\_POINTS[1]

Have you seen this?

---

From: "Roxanne" <[REDACTED]> <mailto:[REDACTED]> >  
Cc: [REDACTED] <mailto:[REDACTED]>  
Sent: Wednesday, September 19, 2012 11:48:04 PM  
Subject: ALI\_\_COUNCILMANS\_MEETING\_HOT\_POINTS[1]

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED  
Caveats: NONE